

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	I would like this submission to override my previous submission	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2769	R D Gray	support option 1			The bridge will be suitable for all, the able-bodied, the disabled people in wheelchairs, those with walking frames, the elderly with walking sticks, families with pushchairs a rockery could be hazardous and slippery when wet. People will go across there rather than walk up and around the proposed walkway extension. Pity about the extra cost of a bridge but it would be safer and more suitable for everyone.
2768	Dennis Blondell	support option 2			I support option 2 but the proposed carpark will be some distance from the public toilets. Therefore, there should at least be some side-of-the road parking and easy access to the toilets. Thanks
2766	H Swim	support option 1			Good work. Please get on and get done. Good work
2693	Hannah Tasker	Support option 2			<p>If not rebuilding the bridge, please use some of the financial savings to do the following around Horseshoe Lake area:</p> <p>Please tidy up the lake part across from Oregon Place - some weeks ago some trimming of trees was done in the water and it currently looks unsightly with twigs sticking out. Can these be mulched or fixed up to restore the Lake to its glassy finish look (perhaps they are coming back to do this anyway).</p> <p>Could there be proper pathways that are asphalted ALL THE WAY from across from Tangmere Place right through to the proposed North end bridge area. The current "informal" goat track walkway by the road is inadequate for the many school children that use it to get to the buses before and after school and others who have to walk through the mud. There are many children on Tangmere, Oregon, Lakewood Dr, Witchita, Idaho etc who use the bus stops on Horseshoe Lake and crossing the road can be hazardous in the busy traffic so they are sometimes forced to cross at the safest part and walk along the Lake side of the road. They often come home with very muddy shoes in the Winter. Proper asphalted pathways would be hugely appreciated. Also, during the day I see many Mums with pushchairs, runners, elderly people, and people on their lunch breaks etc using the walkways past Tangmere/Oregon and further North. It is a safety issue the way it is especially if prams/buggies run off the goat track path and down toward the Lake (I found in the kids preschool years it was hard to control the buggy along the narrow paths), and also it would let the traffic be clear that people are walking there as it's such a bendy portion of road. Thanks for your consideration of this.</p>
2688	Rose Ambler	Support option 2			
2645	Ngairé Parkinson	support option 1			I would like the carpark kept open as lots of children get enjoyment feeding the ducks. Also the walkway thru to New Brighton Road is used and not only by local residents but folk from all over the city.
2644	Louise Manson	Support option 2			Horseshoe Lake looks a real mess at the moment. There are many tree stumps protruding in the water, after work has been done in the lake. Hopefully the savings will be put towards doing a better job.
2643	June Spyve	Support option 2			<p>Why are so many car parks required? I doubt if there is ever more the 6 cars parked at any one time?</p> <p>Car park at present is sufficient. Kids love visiting this site to see / feed the ducks.</p>
2642	A Barow	Support option 2			<p>You have taken such a long time to do anything</p> <p>Also, South Brighton boardwalk and bird sign -such a disgrace - it has not been fitted yet.</p> <p>Everything is so SLOW!</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	I would like this submission to override my previous submission	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2607	David Ramm				<p>The earthquake repairs are long overdue to this special small corner of Christchurch, one of the very few spaces like it in the city. I don't have a strong preference either way for the two options, though see below (4).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No doubt there is a limited budget for the reserve, perhaps set by the more expensive bridge-rebuild option.</li> <li>2. If the bridge is replaced, I prefer it is of timber (which I understand is the proposed material, if this option proceeds) rather than not-so-attractive concrete as it was.</li> <li>3. It would seem reasonable to assume if the rockery pathway option is sensible, it will be usable for most pedestrians more often than not, even after several years of weathering etc (surfaces becoming slippery etc?). Is that the expectation?</li> <li>4. I understand the following feedback is also helpful: The pre-EQ often-used walkway behind the lake, connecting the bridge area with the distant car park opposite the main river (and bordering the large red zone area including Kingsford St) appears to be getting some attention, mostly (?) the chain sawing of trees close to the lake edge in several isolated areas. The timber board-walks I have recently seen are all awkwardly sloping. Fixing these and renovating the entire extra walkway may be adequately funded by the remaining \$50,000 if the main bridge is not replaced. This then would be my preference for how the projects funds are spent: the no-bridge option + renovating the walkway through the main forested area neighbouring the red zone.</li> <li>5. Whatever the motivation for chain sawing various trees around the lake (not native trees?), I prefer this was done more sensitively so that the chain sawed stumps are not so visible (and ugly in a reserve such as this).</li> <li>6. The proposed car parks: the Reserve is a special area (I have lived near it for 20+ years and always enjoy the lake view when I pass by each day), so it seems 28 car parks is reasonable. But they come at the cost of less greenery and more asphalt/concrete. I doubt the Reserve has ever had anywhere near 28 cars parked within it or near it for visiting it at one time, at least not that I have ever seen. Pity. So I prefer that excessive car parks, that may never be used, are avoided (and the resulting additional money spent renovating the reserve walkways, landscaping etc). Where did the number 28 come from (4x the previous available)? Maybe 2x is adequate? Will a bigger car park attract persons less community sensitive and their cars? Already the road beside the proposed car park attracts regular piles of illegally disposed materials/garbage, as no doubt CCC is aware. It is hard to imagine how this will ever be stopped...</li> </ol> <p>Thank you for your time for this important project.</p>
2547	Bronwyn Larsen	Support option 2	Canterbury District Health Board Health in All Policies Advisor		Please see formal submission attached.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	I would like this submission to override my previous submission	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2434	Pamela Phelan	Support option 2			<p>I support option 2 because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>. It is cheaper.</li> <li>. The walkway would be on more stable ground - especially since it is mostly people WALKING who use this area.</li> <li>.I like the advantage of having \$50,000 in savings for other work within the reserve.</li> <li>.Having a bridge isn't that important.</li> </ul> <p>I walk from De Ville Place, around Horseshoe Lake and the 'horse paddocks' and back home every day, (sometimes twice a day) with my Golden Retriever.</p> <p>The car park is RARELY used. So closing it and landscaping is a great idea for either option.</p> <p>Having the walkway closer to the road doesn't bother me; I think it's preferable to option 1 in the event of a future earthquake causing yet again more expensive repairs.</p>
2433	Jung and Kim Won and Shinn	Support option 2			
2393	Mr and Mrs Cheesman	Support option 2			The cheaper option please/ We will get used to the disadvantages.
2392	Diana Handiside	Support option 2			
2391	P Oliver	support option 1			I would like to see a new footbridge across river, I don't want to see a footpath close to a road either.
2390	Edna and Melva Brooker	Support option 2			
2389	Mrs J Leys	Support option 2			
2386	Wendy Higgs	Support option 2			
2385	David and Lynda Bush	Support option 2			<p>We support option 2 primarily as it will make funds available to further develop the reserve area.</p> <p>We would particularly favour any plans that would reinstate a path through the reserve to New Brighton Rd.</p>
2384	Barry Cox	Support option 2		Yes	A simple, innovative design proposal in keeping with the reserve and location. Very functional. A cheaper option is a bonus. More future proof with potentially lower maintenance.
2383	Valerie Hutton	Support option 2		Yes	
2382	Mike Moore	Support option 2			
2381	Kim Goggin	Support option 2			
2380	Barrie Shipley	Support option 2			I already have a submission which agrees with this option
2365	None Baroni				This is the third notice we have received about this! Bureaucracy!
2364	Fen Fah				I feel that the car park is needed. A lot of people call with children to feed the ducks, birds etc. I feel that car parking is required remembering that the road is very narrow throughout the whole area

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	I would like this submission to override my previous submission	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2363	W. Curtain				Being quite a busy road most days I am all for the cheapest most attractive option.  Anything to avoid dirty overflowing rubbish bins. Where all the strays upset and throw about.  Just keep it all pretty
2361	Vicki Rae Hoskin	support option 1			
2360	Paul and Carol Crannitch	Support option 2		Yes	
2359	Yolanda and Mark Holland	Support option 2			
2358	Francie and Marty Boyce	Support option 2			
2357	Ray Williams	support option 1			
2356	T.M. & P. Newman	Support option 2			Absolutely can't see the sense in wasting money. Extra 20 metres walk won't kill anyone.
2354	Jeanette High	Support option 2			Although I support option two I do not support closing the car park. This carpark is very well used and there are no satisfactory options for parking on the road. Closing the carpark will especially disadvantage caregivers and children who frequently go there to watch the ducks. It is the only carpark around horse shoe lake, and a beautiful spot.  Thanks for the opportunity to feed back.
2353	Lyn Wilson	Support option 2			
2352	Sandra Wong	Support option 2			Money would be better spent upgrading the area (as long as it is not spent elsewhere) If there are more earthquakes there will be no problem without the bridge.
2351	Jackie Soady	support option 1			I regularly walk with my dog alongside the lake, cross the bridge, up to the open space and then along the back pathway to the dog park. The bridge is a lovely feature of this walk (even though it is presently challenging to cross). I would hate to see the bridge removed - we have lost so many lovely old characteristics of Christchurch. Please let us keep our bridge. There is walkway for miles but only one bridge, and \$50,000 is not a lot extra to maintain the original character of the reserve.  I would like to add that there does not appear to be a need for the additional parking spaces that are planned. There are never more than a few cars parked in the existing parking areas and there is plenty of available road parking - please don't concrete over our green space unnecessarily.
2349	Jean Preston	Support option 2			A bridge is not a necessity and the money saved could be used to improve the rest of the reserve.
2348	G.M. Ansell	Support option 2			
2347	Maureen Hider	Support option 2			We live in lake terrace and feel there is no need for the bridge. It would look much tidier with a rockery instead.
2345	Judith Inkster	Support option 2			
2344	Valerie Percy	Support option 2			There will be more earthquakes so let's just improve what we have don't spend too much money on this area but make it accessible for all.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	I would like this submission to override my previous submission	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2341	Rena Browne	Support option 2			Any chance of a couple of rubbish bins?  I foresee people buying food and drinks at the dairy on Lake Terrace Road and then just littering the reserve.
2340	Karen Millar	support option 1			Nice to look down on the water. A rockery would be subject to dogs, people, rubbish and more maintenance. There is discarded rubbish in rockery on the other side as it is.  Keep the bridge  Is reserve walkway ever going to be repaired from New Brighton Road to Lake Terrace Drive etc?
2338	Paul and Tina Ross	Support option 2		yes	
2336	Brian Partridge	Support option 2			A visual feature is better than a bridge that was hardly used
2334	John Allen	Support option 2			Currently unable to use bridge and effectively have to walk the extra 20 metres anyway.  No point in replace if possibility it will require further replacement later. Whilst nice to have - not essential
2331	W.S. Bensemam	Support option 2			Close the carpark as soon as possible, at night too many cars are parked.
2329	Linda Lilley	support option 1			
2326	Gaye Rochford	Support option 2			
2325	Doug and Frances Watkinson	Support option 2			Option two is more practical, especially when considering that it mitigates potential risks involved in replacing the bridge should there be another major earthquake.  The rockery will add to the aesthetics of the area.  The \$50,000 saving will be worth having. As ratepayers we do not like to see money spent unnecessarily.  We are regular users of the reserve and we can see no point in pursuing 'Option One'
2323	Mary and Patrick O'Boyle	Support option 2			
2321	J.R and E.A Dicks	support option 1			
2319	Ian and Lynda Giespie	Support option 2			save the \$50,000.00 for other work within the reserve
2316	Barbara Preston	support option 1			Please replace or repair the facilities where they are. The carpark, parking and bridge work well for a lot of people - enjoy the area. The bridge - use handrails as is in the area.  Will the picnic seat and area remain? No tracks for walking on, consider the many elderly walkers and children. An area which already walked well does not need major changes.  May I add - the first coloured map was very poor in colour printing. Colour blind people in our house could never see differences. Thanks

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	I would like this submission to override my previous submission	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2313	Alan and Svanang Nilsen	Support option 2			We like the walkway closer to the road and think the rockery - used as stepping stones will add something to the enjoyment. That this option is cheaper is a bonus. There will surely be options for use of this money.
2244	Brendan McConnell				
2234	john tooley	Support option 2			it would be a complete waste of money to put in a new bridge it will look great without i take it they will rebuild the tracks through the reserve
2212	Dirk De Lu	Support option 2	1,200 +/- Dirk De Lu Submissions Convener		This is simply to reaffirm support for our position from our first submission.  Spokes Canterbury supports option 2 for this project with the inclusion of adequate cycle parking to be provided. Option 2 is chosen both as it offers a better likelihood of providing longer lasting improvements and the saving of \$50,000.00 of ratepayers money.
2161	Vivienne & Tony Mander	support option 1		Yes	I was concerned that the stepping stones may become unsafe and slippery. My grandchildren loved playing 'pooh sticks' from the bridge.  I assume these comments refer only to the bridge rebuild and not the rest of my submission which asked for more seating and tables and far fewer car parks so that it did not encourage the "Freedom Campers". There is not much to do in this area either so the large number of car parks are quite unnecessary.
1928	Matt Bayliss	support option 1		Yes	Do it once and do it right. Having the bridge will be worth it. Closing the carpark and the landscaping looks like it will work well
1904	Dawn Laurie	Support option 2			I miss the walkway so much. It was such a place of peace.
1894	Eric & Cheryl Sutton	support option 1			
1893	A Schelle	Support option 2			Money saved rebuilding the bridge could be better spend in clearing the lake.  Horse Shoe lake is now worse than before clearing some fallen trees!
1890	Tony & Isobel van Woerkom	Support option 2			
1889	Gail & Bruce Todd	Support option 2			
1888	E S Cormack	Support option 2			
1887	Heather Belcher	Support option 2			I support 2 option as the reserve can still be used without the bridge and the rockery will make it look in setting with the lake
1882	Tony Prisk	Support option 2			
1876	Janet Miller	support option 1			We feel that a Rockery would be unsafe for toddlers and the Elderly.
1875	Milton Hayward	Support option 2			
1873	Bronwyn Booth	Support option 2			It's cheaper, don't need to park right on the lake and it's a more stale proposition

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	I would like this submission to override my previous submission	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1863	Dudley Webb	Support option 2		Yes	
1861	Trudy Brown	Support option 2			
1859	Joanne and Les D	Support option 2			
1858	Sharron Moyle	Support option 2			
1857	ash woodham	Support option 2			
1845	Dave McKinnon	Support option 2			I would hope some of the money saved by option 2 would be used to remediate the disgraceful hazard on the track behind Broomfield Reserve caused by the leaking horse trough. The neglectful party leasing the paddock should really be charged for this.
1837	Graham and Gail Aitken	Support option 2			How of earth would it cost \$20000 to remove the small bridge and cost another \$40000 to realign the walkway?  Is this a competitive quote or an indicative costing?
1835	Peter Bakermans	Support option 2		Yes	