

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2468	SM Smith	do not support the plan		Rebuild the Bridge please. Time this reserve was reopened.
2467	Garry & Sandra Dentice & Smith	do not support the plan		We support the Bridge to be rebuilt. Path to close to road & too far from water
1856	Brian Alexandre	support the plan		Signs to be put up along reserve "don't feed the birds" attracting hundreds of seagulls roosting on my roof upstairs and making one hell've mess everywhere. Signs were promised to be installed by Simon Curtis but as yet nothing done.
1819	Valerie Hutton	replace with sub in add info consultation		My only concern is currently the speed of HS Lake Rd. Great if signs went up to reduce. Also lighting for car park. Angle parking nearest road not to make too many inroads grassed area. Do see people there with their dogs and family picnic. Really pleased with plans for area. Well done
1743	Francie Boyce	have some concerns		Concern: Location of new car park/s - why destroy a perfectly located & groomed reserve with picnic tables ideal for families & dog owners to enjoy. The berm outside this reserve fence along Horseshoe Lake Road/Toilet Block cnr has ample area (already used for parking). Level this ground & designate angle parking. Likewise this angle parking could be designed to utilize Lake Terrace Rd, from 'Oregon Place' to the culvert, adjacent to the reserve lake (also currently used for parking). Are you aware that Horseshoe Lake Rd is a boy racer strip. The propose car park (stage 1) could become a potential 'burn out' area, adding to more noise for our area. Other than lighting from the toilets this road is very dark - ideal for regular dumping of house hold rubbish & harassing horses. This will be a great improvement, to that there is now in this earthquake damaged area.
1742	Clinton Deane	support the plan		
1741	Nicola Ogier	support the plan		Some street names would have aided in the visualization of exactly where this is & what it affects but in general nice to see some movement in the repairs
1739	Toni Coey	do not support the plan		I love our broken bridge, if it has no problems with water flow, can we keep it with a sign saying when it was broken for future generations to see the impact of our earthquake. I walk and drive past this location at least 6 times a day, I don't want to see 84 carparks being made in this location. I would see only 4 cars in this location at one time and feel 84 carparks, would ruin the community feel into a more of a concrete jungle. I wonder if you have a bigger plan than what we can see as 84 carparks is an overkill
1738	Ultike & Andreas Prager	support the plan		Excellent idea!!!!
1737	Mr & Mrs M J Williams	have some concerns		We are retired and meet many people out for fresh air walks and picnic stops - the main concern seems to be the non-availability of picnic seating - many have mentioned the lack of picnic seating to us - particularly in the summer months. Two seats and tables are available in the western area, that's fine but some time back a table and seats were removed by the Council or a person who thought they had more right to it! - More easterly in position. Please look at the situation and rectify as you see fit after checking. PS. you are doing a great job so far!

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1705	Connie Christensen	have some concerns		<p>Great to see this park being repaired to encourage more people to come out and enjoy this amazing area. Lots of car parks AND plans for even more car parks. BUT very disappointed to say the least that there is no mention of ANY cycle parking to encourage people to cycle to/from Horseshoe lake reserve.</p> <p>While cycling is not for everyone, cycle facilities should automatically be part of any transport plan, to encourage behaviour change, and help those already motivated to help make this great city safer, cleaner, quieter and more enjoyable for all of us to work, live and enjoy ourselves.</p> <p>Thank you for listening.</p>
1702	Vince Eichholtz	have some concerns		<p>Need to ensure a high level of cycle parking/stands and good safe access to/from the carpark and adjacent road access taking into account increasing cycle use in the future</p>
1687	Linda Harbord	have some concerns		<p>I am a former resident of the area and a member of a small group of volunteers and park rangers who help with the maintenance of the Horseshoe Lake Reserve. It is so nice to see that the Council are turning their attention to rebuilding the Horseshoe Lake Reserve. From conversations I have had with various other members of the public over the years, I know there is a genuine desire to enjoy and use this resource once again. Those who mentioned they used and missed the reserve were from areas such as St Albans to the west to New Brighton to the east, so it is not only the immediate neighbourhood who regret the loss of the Reserve.</p> <p>The group I work with in helping restore the reserve are well supported by the Council, who have supplied tools etc - as well as manpower in the form of the Rangers - by Trees for Canterbury, who have supplied natives for planting in the areas we have cleared, and by individual members of groups such as the Avon-Otakaro Forest Park and the Travis Wetland Trust. It is a small group and two reasons for the small size are uncertainty about the future of the area and the difficulty of access. While the proposal does provide access, it is very important, for both those restoring and maintaining the area and for the public, that this access is relatively easy. For that reason I believe a bridge across into the Reserve may be preferable and in the longer term it could allow work to be carried out in areas that are currently very difficult to access and could allow the development of future tracks and board walks. In the short term this is a good plan but will in the longer term it be sufficient to allow access for the restoration and rebuilding of the Reserve, so that the public can once again enjoy this wonderful resource?</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1685	Scott Butcher	have some concerns		<p>I have a couple of issues with this design proposal. Firstly I think the use of the current horse paddocks for car parking is a good option as it will be difficult to utilise this area for much else. I generally support the staging of development of new car parking, although it is not clear why so many are required. My suggestion is that the full 84 carparks are not developed due to the amount of hard surface and associated storm water runoff that is created. Adequate treatment of storm water needs to occur before water is discharged into the reserve, but there is not enough information in the design plans and on the CCC website to determine if the proposal includes adequate treatment for storm water.</p> <p>Another concern is the car park redevelopment having little connection into the reserve, which the previous car park did have. The existing car park links to a path that leads into the reserve over a small footbridge. This existing bridge crosses a small drain before entering the wetland part of the reserve. Sadly it appears to have been left out of this redevelopment proposal. Therefore I propose that a similar small footbridge is constructed crossing into the reserve from the stage one car park. A small bridge (with associated linking pathway), would have two significant benefits in that it would allow access into the reserve for volunteers carrying out ecological restoration of the reserve and create an access point from which future boardwalks/pathways could be developed. At this stage a footbridge crossing into the reserve would be adequate for volunteers to access the reserve to continue with ecological restoration work, with boardwalks linking to it being developed at a later stage.</p> <p>In regards to the plantings that are associated with the carpark, these need to be appropriate to the local environment which is fairly dry reclaimed soils, and should also complement the existing native plant communities in Horseshoe lake Reserve. Maintenance of plantings needs to be of a high standard as should maintenance of the wetland part of the reserve.</p> <p>Attached is an image illustrating the location of a proposed new bridge that should be constructed as part of this carpark redevelopment proposal.</p>
1592	Joanne and Les Davidson	have some concerns	family	<p>We are concerned about the number of proposed carparks for a number of reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The amount of rubbish this will incur. We often clean up by the lake after the weekend. Lots of rubbish is thrown/left behind. Especially fast-food wrappers, drink containers and cigarette butts. The rubbish bins are overflowing and the birds (and rats) get in there and disperse the rubbish even further. More people equals more rubbish to deal with. 2. We also notice a number of cars which appear to park for some time and get visits from other people in vehicles. This is usually in the less busy times ie early morning or evenings. We have a concern that the parking area may become a meeting place for unsavoury characters making it unsafe. 3. We already have an issue with people feeding the ducks and geese (Through good intentions). It has been widely publicised that feeding bread to these birds is not in fact a healthy thing for the birds. This feeding also encourages many more ducks and geese to stay in the lake area which in itself is unhealthy for the lake and any young children. <p>We would suggest that if a parking area is deemed necessary then it should be locked by automatic gates early evening and reopened in the early morning to avoid gatherings at night which could become troublesome. The number of carparks should be kept to a minimum and there will need to be many more rubbish bins to cope with the increase in rubbish.</p> <p>We love walking around the lake and would fully support more walking tracks and plantings to create an attractive and environmentally friendly area. We support removing the existing carpark and replacing with a planting area. It is a good decision to keep the carpark away from the lake's edge where families tend to gather.</p>
1588	Brian Butterfield	have some concerns		<p>Very concerned that this will become an area for freedom camping - large carpark, associated public toilets, quiet area etc. There is already occasional overnight parking of camper vans in the Horseshoe Lake Reserve. We don't want any more. We actually don't want any freedom camping here at all.</p>
1569	Cassandra Arthur	support the plan		<p>Sounds great!</p>
1567	Vivienne & Tony Mander	replace with sub in add info consultation		<p>No seats or tables, or anything to do other than short walks or feed ducks (not advised), so cannot see why so many vehicle spaces are needed. The only explanation is that the parking areas are designed for freedom campers to use, which is a use we don't support.</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1549	Zed Potgieter	have some concerns		<p>With best intentions of planners, proper and suitable pram/stroller/wheelchair access is not always the actual outcome on the ground. Surface treatment of car parks and pathways is but one problem area - evident at post-Earthquake repairs at Botanic Gardens where contractors dropped tonnes of too large size pebbles on pathways making it near impossible for many. To top it off, contractors who built access roads to the new visitor centre did away with a kerb cut to the Maple Garden, making wheelchair access impossible. After repeated emails by me this was eventually 'fixed' by contractors, but not properly.</p> <p>A community group should be identified to assist in DETAIL planning of all access matters, and after completion of physical works and BEFORE payment by CCC to contractor, an access audit should be done, and not by an able bodied person who may inadvertently miss important points.</p> <p>As a wheelchair user and regular visitor to Botanic Gardens the past 20 years, I would be happy to assist where necessary and avoid the mistakes made at the Gardens.</p>
1542	Fione Noah	have some concerns		<p>1(a). When the bridge was closed walking around over the culvert, I found it to be steep, and a bit intimidating trying to get around the top, and down into the carpark. There is need for steps or handrails, if all is to be kept at the levels it is now over the culvert, and down along the side by the carpark (new gardens).</p> <p>(b). Shrubbery is needed between the road and reserve path up to head height, this would help reduce the noise, smell, and feeling of being unsafe, due to the cars, trucks, and buses that drive so closely to the area at the top of the culvert because of there being no footpath along the side of the road.</p> <p>2. With the car parking being increased, adding to more people having access to the area, picnic areas should be set up within the gardens made and near the water. We need to make the area multi use not just to walk through.</p> <p>3. Rubbish bins will definitely be needed in the picnic area, and the new car parking area, replacing the one bin that is currently at the head of the carpark. My son and I have picked up rubbish in the reserve a number of times, and it tends to be food and drink related, the more cars, the more people, the more rubbish!!! The wildlife need to be protected from rubbish lying around.</p> <p>4. The tracks into the reserve, which were damaged due to the earthquakes, need to be reinstated. They were used by many people, and would lead into the red zone which is such a lovely area for walking too.</p>
1528	Windsor Care	support the plan	Windsor Care	
1527	Daria Martin			<p>I have concerns about the impact of the proposed East Lake currently undergoing feasibility study.</p> <p>I would oppose any negative impact on the Horseshoe Lake Reserve or flooding risk for the suburbs near the reserve such as Westhaven</p>
1526	W Le Breton	support the plan		
1488	Mrs Esme Claxton	support the plan		I used to enjoy using the walkway & look forward to doing so again in the future
1487	J R & E A Dicks	have some concerns		<p>I agree about removing the large plane trees in Birkdale Drive, as the roots do go across the footpath & I have tripped on one & the trees will grow too big.</p> <p>I think that the bridge should be repaired, as a lot of people use it & money is allocated for it.</p> <p>A bridge would be much more convenient for users to cross, in particular elderly & people with push chairs. Whenever I have been past the Horseshoe Lake area, there have been no more than 3 vehicles parked there, & more car parks may lead to vandalism by youths, such as the horrific scaring of that horse, which later had to be euthanized.</p>
1486	Kim Hillson	have some concerns		<p>I love the rockery crossing.</p> <p>* Concerned about 2 things - lack of parking for use of dog area via Horseshoe Rd - perhaps turn the grass verge by graded area into permanent parking. This would be a better area to park to avoid freedom campers.</p> <p>* 2nd concern - car park closed for planting - the council rarely looks after the planting that is there now - additional planting would become another area that is infrequently looked after.</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1485	Sherma Properties Ltd	support the plan	Sherma Properties Ltd	Good design, and great to see you have planned to extend car parks in the future
1483	E & I Cholmondeley	support the plan		Think the proposed new plan is great and as a member of the immediate community we are looking forward to using it. However we think the following risk needs to be addressed as it could result in damage to property or a serious health and safety incident. We live at 104 Lake Tce Road between Lakewood Drive and Oregon Place and there are two huge old poplar trees that frequently break large branches along the road, pathway and all the way into our front yard. With the amount of vehicle traffic, people waiting for the bus and pedestrians (including small children and elderly) it is only a matter of time before someone is hurt. I have tried to contact someone regarding this issue in the past but there has been no action taken. We are taking this opportunity to raise it again and we hope you will give it serious consideration. Thank you for involving the local community in the process and we look forward to seeing the new changes.
1482	Katherine Eveleigh	support the plan		
1480	D L Webb	replace with sub in add info consultation		
1479	Kirsty Mathieson	support the plan		Rockery Crossing a lovely idea
1403	Kate and Matt Bayliss	replace with sub in add info consultation		
1351	Andrea Gosney	do not support the plan		There is no need for so many parks. I see no more than 3-4 cars at a time there. Currently it is a nice open area where you can drive right up to water to feed ducks. This plan is just a waste of time. Put some stones down if you don't have funds to fix bridge and leave everything else alone
1302	Kenneth Allan Fah	support the plan		This is a very popular place for children. Adults to feed ever hungry ducks. Seagulls not forget seagulls which a bit of a nuisance. Car parking good idea!
1301	Glenn Cooper	have some concerns		How would cyclists get across the rockery? Perhaps a smaller bridge over the rocks for the cyclists, made of wooden boards, like a boardwalk. Thank you for allowing my input.
1300	Daniel Shepherd	support the plan		Another query associated with this - I notice that the storm water outlet to the lake has been changed from a pipe & concrete structure to water flowing over rocks - opposite our house at corner of Tangmere Pl. there used to be an outlet but this just seems to scour a hole out in the bank now - can this also be tidied up as per the outlet down towards the golf course? AND - also is there going to be remediation to the river bank where it has subsided into the lake?
1299	Paul & Carol Crannitch	replace with sub in add info consultation		Totally agree with the stone bridge. Like the idea of repositioning the car park and having 28 carparks but not sure about needing 84 carparks. We think the money would be better spent on repairing the walkways amongst the trees.
1298	Bianca & Tania None	support the plan		We walk our dog along this pathway every day and the new layout with a more separate path than the road to the carparks we currently have to share will also be safer. And 2 paths to the field is better than 1!

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1297	T&P Wilson	have some concerns		<p>1. Fencing & Planting existing car park is great. No cars to dump rubbish - surveillance cameras by Golf Link road should help problem of rubbish dump.</p> <p>2. Dredge out mud banks in west end of lake. Building up over last 3 to 4 years could cause a water back up & flood new path access. We have walked the dog in this area for the last 6 years & have on 3 or 4 occasions seen water over the bridge since the earthquakes.</p> <p>Freedom campers often park in area. Notices should be erected to try to stop this & also address the unwanted dumping of rubbish.</p>
1296	H Stevenson	support the plan		<p>I think the rockery is a perfect idea, and would work well.</p> <p>Do we need this many new car parks?</p>
1289	Wayne Loader	have some concerns		<p>Have concerns about the parking, Horseshoe Lake doesn't attract a lot of cars this area is mainly used by locals on feet. Some parking in stage one would probably be ok, but as Horseshoe Lake Rd is so dark and isolated along by the Golf course, we have concerns.</p> <p>This road is already used as a race track for burn outs. Adding more car parks will only allow for larger gatherings.</p>
1288	W J Stapleton	have some concerns		<p>Used this area for 40 years and seen both good and bad development. Car parking is not required as we prefer the open green space. Car parking is provided at end of 1 Lakewood Drive 2 Dog park 3 rear of Burwood Park. These have never been full. If the car park is developed as per your plan it will become by default a FREEDOM CAMPER SITE as it did prior to the earthquakes. Second hand car display also a problem on T Intersection</p>
1287	Demelza Pearey	have some concerns		<p>I think the rockery crossing is a fair compromise given the soil quality in that area with a footpath available for those less able bodied or with buggies etc. I don't know if such a lrg carpark is required it seems pretty extravagant & overkill for how much traffic & cars use the park & area & I don't think people driving to feed ducks will walk that far with small children.</p> <p>Rather than doing stage 3 a footpath down Horseshoe Lake Road to Burwood Park would be safer & well used by many families, dog walkers & walkers.</p>
1285	N Williams	do not support the plan		<p>re the carparks: we are fine with this</p> <p>Sorry we don't support the plan for the following reasons</p> <p>1 Rockery crossing hopeless for disabled people/mums with pushchairs 2 A path leading directly to toilets isn't the best 3 Quite a few of our neighbours use this route to go to dog park (or the Palms) to avoid traffic noise. The bridge is the most direct. We would like the bridge rebuilt. If we can save cost by re-using some of the old bridge, great.</p>
1281	Linda Lilley	support the plan		
1280	Emily Sutherland	support the plan		<p>I think the plan is a good idea. I think it is wise not to rebuild the bridge if it will be so costly and has a high chance of failing again. On a side note, the track through Horseshoe lake Reserve is very much missed by locals and we would love to see it reopened. I realise a large number of residents have left the area, however I feel this could be a great hub for the community to be able to enjoy and make use of.</p>
1275	Ian Woodward	have some concerns		<p>The lake is a mess, we would rather see this cleaned up first. Recent pruning of some of the edge of the lake has made it an eyesore. The bridge is a great reminder to people of the earthquakes, it would be nice if it was left in place. There should be paths around the lake with access to the redzone to the south as there were before. There should be signs put up to dissuade people from feeding bread to the ducks, this is bad for the ducks and pollute the water. Fewer carparks rather than more to dissuade campers, rubbish droppers, etc.</p>
1271	M Williamson	support the plan		
1267	C D Williamson	support the plan		

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1266	G Beazley	support the plan		
1263	Molly Rochford	have some concerns		I'm a local dog walker and was wondering if you can maybe add some new rubbish bins as I usually walk along the reserve walking dogs and there is usually no space for rubbish in the one bin available. Also some dog-poo bag dispenser/s for if you home to use them. This will make the reserve more tidy and clean. The dog-poo bags could be paper brown bags to make them more sustainable as they decompose easier. Also the dog-poo bag dispenser should be made of non-flammable material other than that this is a great plan!
1256	Paul & Tina Ross	replace with sub in add info consultation		
1200	Graziella and Trevor Daly	support the plan		Well done to the planning/design team. The plans look good!
1175	Anouk Minnaar	have some concerns		I'm missing the cycle parking in the plans.
1151	Brent Hodges			I would like to submit a comment concerning the Horseshoe Lake Reserve earthquake rebuild - path relocation, car park and bridge removal. The Horseshoe Lake Reserve Master Plan was devised in 2002, 8 years before the devastation of the area around Horseshoe Lake. There has been a clearance of land and homes, the track has gone and doesn't really go anywhere of interest. You may need to develop a decent walking, running, biking track first to attract the vehicles to the proposed car park. There is plenty of room along Horseshoe Lake Rd to develop car parking between the fence and the road. I feel the construction of a suitable track would be preferable to a car park at this early stage. A well thought out track that does a circuit around the lake would be a great asset to the community. There are acres of land in the Horseshoe Lake Red Zone to construct a wonderful adventure/leisure park. I hope you will contact me, as I have lived in the area for 30 years and would love to see progress for future generations. Thank you.
1142	Ashley & Shirley Woodham	support the plan		
1141	Lyndsey & Ivan Thompson			It will be great to have footbridge fixed. 84 car parks sounds too many to us.
1140	Valerie & Alan Percy	support the plan		Pleased to see something is being put into action at this beautiful site. Full steam ahead
1139	A Schelle	support the plan		I support the plan. After 3 weeks work clearing the lake. Now Horseshoe Lake looks ten times worse as it was before. When will they come back to clear the lake as it should be?
1138	Wendy Curtin	support the plan		As I walk my dog frequently along the lake side I am often annoyed by people's lazy mess, rubbish piled up in the carpark in question, overflowing bins everywhere or just strewn about. I fully appreciate the council plans, moving tags money is sensible and will not change the beauty of such a pretty spot. Good plan
1137	Joanne Lill	have some concerns		Only question the need for Stage 2/3 car parking. Already concerned that the one planned carpark will become yet another meeting place with low lighting - perfect for drinking (&leaving cans/bottles behind) &encouraging the hoons that we already hear. Perfect freedom camping site too, noticed that increasing post-earthquake.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1136	Thelma Bracewell	support the plan		
1082	Anne Scott	support the plan		I support the changes. Please provide cycle parking near the entrance. The half circle cycle stands are the best option.
1076	Nadeane Spriggs	support the plan		
1040	Dirk De Lu	have some concerns	1,000+ Spokes Canterbury Submissions Convener	While the online illustrations are not that easy to read it does not appear that any provision for bicycle parking is provided. Please include bicycle parking at Horseshoe Lake as part of this project. Even converting a half a dozen car parks to 'bike corrals' would be sufficient. Thank you
1020	Dawn Harris	have some concerns		Bridge - I am happy to have a rockery to walk through so long as it is safe for people who may walk along this way outside of daylight hours and with the flooding on New Brighton Road I have to sometimes use the footpath and bridge to cycle to All Saints Anglican Church. I have no comment other than to support the new plan for parking at Bottle Lake.
992	Barry Cox	replace with sub in add info consultation		Happy to support this innovative and practical proposal. Car park needs to be designed to limit dumping of rubbish and cars doing burnouts.
951	John Alexander Walton	support the plan		
949	Dave Hallas	support the plan		
947	B S Frances	do not support the plan		The existing bridge should be retained. Purpose "show the power of the Earth Quake". Specifically place signs "use @ own risk" or similar. Still put in your "Rockery Crossing" I have shown many visitors the bridge to help them understand the POWER of the Feb11 earthquake. This helps others UNDERSTAND what we in Chch went through
944	Richard Moon	have some concerns		The extra car parking could end up being used at night by "boy racers"
941	Sarah Luxon	support the plan		Looks great!
939	W.S. Bewsemann	support the plan		The sooner the better!!
938	T & P Newman	support the plan		
936	Steve Richens			I do not think you need to plan or pay for 84 car parks, this seems quite excessive & not necessary. I believe that the bridge area needs fining, or rockery crossing would be fine subject to it being well above the high water line - a user friendly for young children & pets (dogs). We used to walk around the back of the lake frequently before the damage, & would like to be able to do so again. I favour the remedial action to be taken, & the chance to use the area once again. I note there are other path options in place to cross the lake, again reiterating that We look forward to walking around the back of the lake to N. Brighton Road once again.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
932	Jane Ashby	have some concerns		We like the idea of removing the bridge + using rocks to cross stream. However the planned car park seems too vast for the area. I do not think it will be used extensively unless there are plans to redevelop the path on the inside of the horseshoe lake. People who come to feed the ducks are not going to park there- they will continue to use the drive in by the lake. We would like to see the other side of the lake cleared up + the pathway fixed. Is this planned?
931	Michelle Fleming	have some concerns		Replacing the bridge with a rockery crossing limits the accessibility for people with disabilities and for balance/mobility issues
930	Bob and Mary Cheeseman	support the plan		
927	Barrie Shipley	support the plan		I use the foot bridge most days while walking my dogs. Provided the "Rockery" crossing is trafficable to dogs, I am very happy with the whole proposal.
925	Donn & Elizabeth Armstrong	support the plan		
923	Kim Goggin	have some concerns		I agree with stage one, but I do not support the proposed expansion of stage 2 or stage 3. The car park currently only gets a handful of cars parked at any one time, so I believe there is no need to develop the area further. The Council would be better off spending the money on cycle lines for all the school children biking to school in this area.
921	E & I Shaw	support the plan		Will the walking track within the forest be reinstated? Or is it under water now? We enjoyed using this track prior to the quakes.
920	M+K Johnston	support the plan		
919	B.F Dearden	have some concerns		Car parking is not essential
739	Tony Prisk	support the plan		What you are proposing is sensible and it is great to see you are planning to use the land in Horseshoe Lake for additional car parking and an extension of the walking tracks.
703	Selwyn Eagle	support the plan	over 600 The Just Dirt Trust Facilitator	What proof do you have that we will have some more Earthquakes?
613	Astrid Yardley	support the plan		Me and Tim both think this is a great idea, look forward to it. It's looking a bit sad down there, the ccc boys have been in clearing trees and its looking very unfinished, so it will be good to have a birthday and get done up so people feel welcome down there. Thanks
611	Trudy Brown	do not support the plan		More planting will create a hidden area which equals problem area. Chch has many more pressing problems, yes the bridge does need taking away or a small foot bridge, but keep it simple and plain. You need to address the rat problem first. You have far too many car parks allocated, the parks further down are not being used. More car parking is going to create more problems...camping, youths, crime

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Organisation and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
610	Fiona Mcgregor	support the plan		
608	Marilyn and David Campbell	support the plan		Will be great to have the area tidied up. While a shame for the bridge to go we can understand the reasons why and the rockery across the stream will be good. The new carparks will also tidy up the area that was once the dog park. Are there any plans to fix the walkway that was flooded after the 2011 earthquakes?
594	Lyndsay Richards	have some concerns		while I like the improvements , I feel the Eastern end of the lakeside also needs improving too, as it has been left too long and become completely overgrown along the roadside, needs clearing out and a mix of natives and exotics replanted, I note some attempt has been made to trim back willows , but next spring they will just come back again, just as thick ,as trimming has only been done to the waterline, it's such a lovely area which has been let go and become overgrown and dangerous
591	Karen Harper	support the plan		
588	Glenda Burt	do not support the plan		As an ex resident who lived for 43 years Queensbury Street I still have an interest in the Horseshoe Lake Area. My main concern about these plans are - how do they fit with the planning that Regenerate Christchurch is carrying out? It would be such a waste of resources to carry out any work that could then be "undone" when Regenerate reveal their plans. I can see that for Health and Safety the bridge needs to be removed but what is the level of need for the carpark? Could it wait until the future of the area is decided? I feel quite strongly that any plans for the future of the area should be made in conjunction with the Regenerate Plans. Don't waste precious resources. Thanks for listening.
581	Milton Hayward	support the plan		We have lived in the area for over 40 years and walk these tracks every day. Two comments:- 1. Before the earthquakes the existing carparks were never full (only 4-5 cars at most at any time. We think Stage one carparks will be more than adequate for the foreseeable future. 2. Can consideration be given to a simple Duck walk over a muddy area of the track about where the stage 2 access road is located. Thanks for your great work. Cheers
580	Josie Gray	support the plan		
579	Peter Bakermans	replace with sub in add info consultation		
578	Vanessa van Hoof	support the plan		I support this plan. 28 car parks would be a good amount and the bridge needs to be wherever it is most practical to place it. However, the information provided above is frustrating as it totally lacks timelines/ projected start dates.
575	Sarah Butterfield	support the plan		