

CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE

# Barn - Barclays Road Heritage Park Little River PRK 3659 BLDG 004 EQ2

Detailed Engineering Evaluation Qualitative Report Version FINAL

**Barclays Road** 



INFRASTRUCTURE | MINING & INDUSTRY | DEFENCE | PROPERTY & BUILDINGS | ENVIRONMENT

# Barn - Barclays Road Heritage Park Little River PRK 3659 BLDG 004 EQ2

Detailed Engineering Evaluation Qualitative Report Version FINAL

**Barclays Road** 

**Christchurch City Council** 

Prepared By Simon Barker

Reviewed By Stephen Lee

**Date** 10 April 2013

# Contents

Qua	alitative Report Summary	1				
1.	Background					
2.	Compliance					
	<ul> <li>2.1 Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA)</li> <li>2.2 Building Act</li> <li>2.3 Christchurch City Council Policy</li> <li>2.4 Building Code</li> </ul>	2 2 4 4				
3.	Earthquake Resistance Standards	5				
4.	Building Description					
	<ul><li>4.1 General</li><li>4.2 Gravity Load Resisting System</li><li>4.3 Lateral Load Resisting System</li></ul>	7 7 8				
5.	Assessment	9				
	5.1 Damage Assessment	9				
6.	Critical Structural Weakness					
	<ul> <li>6.1 Short Columns</li> <li>6.2 Lift Shaft</li> <li>6.3 Roof</li> <li>6.4 Staircases</li> <li>6.5 Site Characteristics</li> <li>6.6 Plan Irregularity</li> </ul>	10 10 10 10 10 10				
7.	Geotechnical Consideration	11				
8.	Initial Capacity Assessment	12				
	<ul> <li>8.1 % NBS Assessment</li> <li>8.2 Seismic Parameters</li> <li>8.3 Expected Structural Ductility Factor</li> <li>8.4 Discussion of Results</li> </ul>	12 12 12 12				
		12				

Conclusions	& Recommendations	13
Limitations		1
10.1 General		1
ole Index		
Table 1	%NBS compared to relative risk of failure	6
Table 2	Indicative Building and Critical Structural	
	Weaknesses Capacities based on the NZSEE	
	Initial Evaluation Procedure	12
ure Index		
Figure 1	NZSEE Risk Classifications Extracted from table	
	2.2 of the NZSEE 2006 AISPBE	5
Figure 2	Plan Sketch Showing Key Structural Elements	7
	Conclusions Limitations 10.1 General <b>ble Index</b> Table 1 Table 2 <b>ure Index</b> Figure 1 Figure 2	Conclusions & Recommendations Limitations 10.1 General NOI General

# Appendices

- A Photographs
- B CERA Building Evaluation Form

# **Qualitative Report Summary**

Barn - Barclays Road Heritage Park Little River

PRK 3659 BLDG 004 EQ2

Detailed Engineering Evaluation Qualitative Report - SUMMARY Version FINAL

#### **Barclays Road**

#### Background

This is a summary of the Qualitative report for the building structure, and is based in part on the Detailed Engineering Evaluation Procedure document (draft) issued by the Structural Advisory Group on 19 July 2011 and visual inspections on 20 September 2012.

#### **Building Description**

The shed has a gable shaped roof framed by timber trusses and clad with corrugated iron sheets. The roof is supported by timber framed perimeter walls which are clad externally with timber weather boards. There are openings on all but the south-eastern elevation. The walls are supported along their bottom edge by timber bearers which span between the timber pile foundations. A section of the building has a piled timber floor previously used as a loading dock. The remaining section contains an unused railway line.

#### Key Damage Observed

No damage was observed that is thought to be a result of seismic activity. The building, however, is in poor condition.

#### **Critical Structural Weaknesses**

No critical structural weaknesses have been identified in the structure.

#### Indicative Building Strength (from IEP and CSW assessment)

Based on the information available, and using the NZSEE Initial Evaluation Procedure, the original capacity of the building has been assessed to be in the order of 15% NBS and post-earthquake capacity also in the order of 15% NBS. The buildings post-earthquake capacity excluding critical structural weaknesses is in the order of 15% NBS, as none were identified. The % NBS has been reduced from 22% due to the poor condition of the building.

The building has been assessed to have a seismic capacity in the order of 15% NBS and is therefore considered potentially Earthquake Prone.

#### Recommendations

The building has been assessed as being Earthquake Prone. As a result, it is recommended a quantitative assessment of the building be undertaken to determine the seismic capacity and to develop potential strengthening concepts.

# 1. Background

GHD has been engaged by the Christchurch City Council (CCC) to undertake a detailed engineering evaluation of the Barn at Barclays Road Heritage Park in Little River.

This report is a Qualitative Assessment of the building structure, and is based in part on the Detailed Engineering Evaluation Procedure document (draft) issued by the Structural Advisory Group on 19 July 2011.

A qualitative assessment involves inspections of the building and a desktop review of existing structural and geotechnical information, including existing drawings and calculations, if available.

The purpose of the assessment is to determine the likely building performance and damage patterns, to identify any potential critical structural weaknesses or collapse hazards, and to make an initial assessment of the likely building strength in terms of percentage of new building standard (%NBS).

At the time of this report, no intrusive site investigation, detailed analysis, or modelling of the building structure had been carried out. Construction drawings were made available, and these have been considered in our evaluation of the building. The building description below is based on a review of the drawings and our visual inspections.

# 2. Compliance

This section contains a brief summary of the requirements of the various statutes and authorities that control activities in relation to buildings in Christchurch at present.

## 2.1 Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA)

CERA was established on 28 March 2011 to take control of the recovery of Christchurch using powers established by the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Act enacted on 18 April 2011. This act gives the Chief Executive Officer of CERA wide powers in relation to building safety, demolition and repair. Two relevant sections are:

### Section 38 – Works

This section outlines a process in which the chief executive can give notice that a building is to be demolished and if the owner does not carry out the demolition, the chief executive can commission the demolition and recover the costs from the owner or by placing a charge on the owners' land.

### Section 51 – Requiring Structural Survey

This section enables the chief executive to require a building owner, insurer or mortgagee carry out a full structural survey before the building is re-occupied.

We understand that CERA will require a detailed engineering evaluation to be carried out for all buildings (other than those exempt from the Earthquake Prone Building definition in the Building Act). It is anticipated that CERA will adopt the Detailed Engineering Evaluation Procedure document (draft) issued by the Structural Advisory Group on 19 July 2011. This document sets out a methodology for both qualitative and quantitative assessments.

The qualitative assessment is a desk-top and site inspection assessment. It is based on a thorough visual inspection of the building coupled with a review of available documentation such as drawings and specifications. The quantitative assessment involves analytical calculation of the buildings strength and may require non-destructive or destructive material testing, geotechnical testing and intrusive investigation.

It is anticipated that factors determining the extent of evaluation and strengthening level required will include:

- The importance level and occupancy of the building
- The placard status and amount of damage
- The age and structural type of the building
- Consideration of any critical structural weaknesses
- The extent of any earthquake damage

### 2.2 Building Act

Several sections of the Building Act are relevant when considering structural requirements:

### Section 112 – Alterations

This section requires that an existing building complies with the relevant sections of the Building Code to at least the extent that it did prior to any alteration. This effectively means that a building cannot be weakened as a result of an alteration (including partial demolition).

### Section 115 – Change of Use

This section requires that the territorial authority (in this case Christchurch City Council (CCC)) be satisfied that the building with a new use complies with the relevant sections of the Building Code 'as near as is reasonably practicable'. Regarding seismic capacity 'as near as reasonably practicable' has previously been interpreted by CCC as achieving a minimum of 67% NBS however where practical achieving 100% NBS is desirable. The New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering (NZSEE) recommend a minimum of 67% NBS.

### 2.2.1 Section 121 – Dangerous Buildings

The definition of dangerous building in the Act was extended by the Canterbury Earthquake (Building Act) Order 2010, and it now defines a building as dangerous if:

- In the ordinary course of events (excluding the occurrence of an earthquake), the building is likely to cause injury or death or damage to other property; or
- In the event of fire, injury or death to any persons in the building or on other property is likely because of fire hazard or the occupancy of the building; or
- There is a risk that the building could collapse or otherwise cause injury or death as a result of earthquake shaking that is less than a 'moderate earthquake' (refer to Section 122 below); or
- > There is a risk that that other property could collapse or otherwise cause injury or death; or
- A territorial authority has not been able to undertake an inspection to determine whether the building is dangerous.

#### Section 122 – Earthquake Prone Buildings

This section defines a building as earthquake prone if its ultimate capacity would be exceeded in a 'moderate earthquake' and it would be likely to collapse causing injury or death, or damage to other property. A moderate earthquake is defined by the building regulations as one that would generate ground shaking 33% of the shaking used to design an equivalent new building.

#### Section 124 – Powers of Territorial Authorities

This section gives the territorial authority the power to require strengthening work within specified timeframes or to close and prevent occupancy to any building defined as dangerous or earthquake prone.

#### Section 131 – Earthquake Prone Building Policy

This section requires the territorial authority to adopt a specific policy for earthquake prone, dangerous and insanitary buildings.

# 2.3 Christchurch City Council Policy

Christchurch City Council adopted their Earthquake Prone, Dangerous and Insanitary Building Policy in 2006. This policy was amended immediately following the Darfield Earthquake of the 4th September 2010.

The 2010 amendment includes the following:

- A process for identifying, categorising and prioritising Earthquake Prone Buildings, commencing on 1 July 2012;
- A strengthening target level of 67% of a new building for buildings that are Earthquake Prone;
- A timeframe of 15-30 years for Earthquake Prone Buildings to be strengthened; and,
- Repair works for buildings damaged by earthquakes will be required to comply with the above.

The council has stated their willingness to consider retrofit proposals on a case by case basis, considering the economic impact of such a retrofit.

We anticipate that any building with a capacity of less than 33% NBS (including consideration of critical structural weaknesses) will need to be strengthened to a target of 67% NBS of new building standard as recommended by the Policy.

If strengthening works are undertaken, a building consent will be required. A requirement of the consent will require upgrade of the building to comply 'as near as is reasonably practicable' with:

- The accessibility requirements of the Building Code.
- The fire requirements of the Building Code. This is likely to require a fire report to be submitted with the building consent application.

### 2.4 Building Code

The building code outlines performance standards for buildings and the Building Act requires that all new buildings comply with this code. Compliance Documents published by The Department of Building and Housing can be used to demonstrate compliance with the Building Code.

After the February Earthquake, on 19 May 2011, Compliance Document B1: Structure was amended to include increased seismic design requirements for Canterbury as follows:

- Hazard Factor increased from 0.22 to 0.3 (36% increase in the basic seismic design load)
- Serviceability Return Period Factor increased from 0.25 to 0.33 (80% increase in the serviceability design loads when combined with the Hazard Factor increase)

The increase in the above factors has resulted in a reduction in the level of compliance of an existing building relative to a new building despite the capacity of the existing building not changing.

# 3. Earthquake Resistance Standards

For this assessment, the building's earthquake resistance is compared with the current New Zealand Building Code requirements for a new building constructed on the site. This is expressed as a percentage of new building standard (%NBS). The new building standard load requirements have been determined in accordance with the current earthquake loading standard (NZS 1170.5:2004 Structural design actions - Earthquake actions - New Zealand).

The likely capacity of this building has been derived in accordance with the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering (NZSEE) guidelines 'Assessment and Improvement of the Structural Performance of Buildings in Earthquakes' (AISPBE), 2006. These guidelines provide an Initial Evaluation Procedure that assesses a buildings capacity based on a comparison of loading codes from when the building was designed and currently. It is a quick high-level procedure that can be used when undertaking a Qualitative analysis of a building. The guidelines also provide guidance on calculating a modified Ultimate Limit State capacity of the building which is much more accurate and can be used when undertaking a Quantitative analysis.

The New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering has proposed a way for classifying earthquake risk for existing buildings in terms of %NBS and this is shown in Figure 1 below.

Description	Grade	Risk	%NBS	Existing Building Structural Performance		Improvement of Structural Performance		
					_→	Legal Requirement	NZSEE Recommendation	
Low Risk Building	A or B	Low	Above 67	Acceptable (improvement may be desirable)		The Building Act sets no required level of structural improvement (unless change in use)	100%NBS desirable. Improvement should achieve at least 67%NBS	
Moderate Risk Building	B or C	Moderate	34 to 66	Acceptable legally. Improvement recommended		(unless change in use) This is for each TA to decide. Improvement is not limited to 34%NBS.	Not recommended. Acceptable only in exceptional circumstances	
High Risk Building	D or E	High	33 or lower	Unacceptable (Improvement	Ľ,	Unacceptable	Unacceptable	

### Figure 1 NZSEE Risk Classifications Extracted from table 2.2 of the NZSEE 2006 AISPBE

Table 1 compares the percentage NBS to the relative risk of the building failing in a seismic event with a 10% risk of exceedance in 50 years (i.e. 0.2% in the next year). It is noted that the current seismic risk in Christchurch results in a 6% risk of exceedance in the next year.

Percentage of New Building Standard (%NBS)	Relative Risk (Approximate)		
>100	<1 time		
80-100	1-2 times		
67-80	2-5 times		
33-67	5-10 times		
20-33	10-25 times		
<20	>25 times		

 Table 1
 %NBS compared to relative risk of failure

# 4. Building Description

### 4.1 General

The Barn is located in the Heritage Park at Barclays Road, Little River. The barn was constructed in 1886 and originally used as a train station. No obvious alterations have been made to the building.

The shed has a gable shaped roof framed by timber trusses and clad with corrugated iron sheets. The roof is supported by timber framed perimeter walls which are clad externally with timber weather boards. There are openings on all but the south-eastern elevation. The walls are supported along their bottom edge by timber bearers which span between the timber pile foundations. A section of the building has a piled timber floor previously used as a loading dock. The remaining section contains an unused railway line.



### Figure 2 Plan Sketch Showing Key Structural Elements

The building is approximately 18.6m in length, 8.35m in width and 6.5m in height. The building has an approximate footprint of  $174m^2$ . The nearest building is approximately 5m to the southeast. The flat site is approximately 400m to the north of Okana river.

No plans were made available for the Barn.

# 4.2 Gravity Load Resisting System

Gravity roof loads are supported by the corrugated iron cladding, timber purlins and the timber truss roof frame beneath. These roof loads are transferred through the roof frame to the timber framed walls. The

timber framed walls transfer the gravity loads downwards to the timber bearers which spans between the timber pile foundations. The loads are then distributed into the ground by the timber piles.

Internal gravity loads are passed through the timber floor to the bearers and the timber piles beneath.

### 4.3 Lateral Load Resisting System

The lateral load resisting systems in the longitudinal and transverse directions is similar.

The braced timber framed roof structure redistributes lateral roof loads to the in-plane timber walls. The diagonal braces and timber framing in the walls combine to brace the lateral roof loads to the timber piles. The weather boards and timber studs may also provide some nominal panel actions to resist lateral loads. Lateral loads will then be transferred to the ground through the timber piles.

# 5. Assessment

An inspection of the building was undertaken on the 20<sup>th</sup> of September 2012. Both the interior and exterior of the building were inspected. The main structural components of the roof of the building were all able to be viewed. Only the top of some piled foundations could be viewed.

The inspection consisted of scrutinising the building to determine the structural systems and likely behaviour of the building during an earthquake. The site was assessed for damage, including examination of the ground conditions, checking for damage in areas where damage would be expected for the type of structure and noting general damage observed throughout the building in both structural and non-structural elements.

The %NBS score determined for this building has been based on the IEP procedure described by the NZSEE and based on the information obtained from visual observation of the building.

### 5.1 Damage Assessment

### 5.1.1 Surrounding Buildings

No damage was identified in any of the nearby buildings.

### 5.1.2 Residual Displacements

No residual displacements of the structure were noticed during our inspection of the building.

### 5.1.3 Building Condition

This building is in particularly poor condition as a result of decay and poor maintenance. The corrugated iron sheeting in the roof is rusting and the weather boards are broken or cracked in many locations. At ground level, where weather boards are absent, high levels of rot can be seen. This results in no direct connection between some piles, bearers, studs and braces. Because this is not classed as a CSW, an F factor of 0.7 has been taken to reduce the %NBS by 30%.

#### 5.1.4 Floor Level Survey

No level or verticality surveys have been undertaken for this building at this stage as indicated by Christchurch City Council guidelines.

#### 5.1.5 Ground Damage

There was no evidence of ground damage on the property or surrounding neighbours land.

# 6. Critical Structural Weakness

## 6.1 Short Columns

No short columns are present in the structure.

### 6.2 Lift Shaft

The building does not contain a lift shaft.

### 6.3 **Roof**

Roof elements such as timber trusses, purlins and diagonal bracing were clearly visible and, if in good condition, are expected to provide bracing to the roof structure.

### 6.4 Staircases

The building does not contain a staircase.

### 6.5 Site Characteristics

The Site Characteristics have been classed as 'insignificant' when considering critical structural weaknesses. This is based on the absence of liquefaction and lateral spreading following the September 2010, February 2011, June 2011 and December 2011 earthquakes.

### 6.6 Plan Irregularity

This building does not contain a plan irregularity.

# 7. Geotechnical Consideration

A desktop geotechnical report was not conducted for this site, as there has been no evidence of liquefaction reported in Little River.

No post-earthquake aerial photography was available for Little River at the time of this report.

According to the geological map of the area (Forsyth et al, 2008<sup>1</sup>), the underlying geology is understood to be young river or terrace alluvium, comprising gravel, sand and silt.

Due to the site's proximity to the hills, this alluvium is anticipated to be underlain by loess colluvium, followed by volcanic bedrock at relatively shallow depths (between 20m and 50m bgl).

As a result, a soil class of C (in accordance with NZS 1170.5:2004) should be adopted for this site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Forsyth P.J., Barrell D.J.A., & Jongens R. (compilers) (2008): *Geology of the Christchurch Area*. Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences 1:250,000 Geological Map 16. IGNS Limited: Lower Hutt.

# 8. Initial Capacity Assessment

### 8.1 % NBS Assessment

The building has had its capacity assessed using the Initial Evaluation Procedure based on the information available. The buildings capacity is in the order of that shown below in Table 2 this remains unchanged when considering CSW's are there are none however, the inclusion of a 'F factor' does modify the score. These capacities are subject to confirmation by a more detailed quantitative analysis.

Item <u>%NBS</u> Building 15

# Table 2 Indicative Building and Critical Structural Weaknesses Capacities based on the NZSEE Initial Evaluation Procedure

Following an IEP assessment, the building has been assessed as achieving 15% New Building Standard (NBS). Under the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering (NZSEE) guidelines the building is considered Earthquake Prone as it achieves less than 34% NBS. This score has not been adjusted when considering seismic damage as none was observed.

## 8.2 Seismic Parameters

The seismic design parameters based on current design requirements from NZS 1170:2002 and the NZBC clause B1 for this building are:

- Site soil class: C, NZS 1170.5:2004, Clause 3.1.3, Soft Soil
- Site hazard factor, Z = 0.3, NZBC, Clause B1 Structure, Amendment 11 effective from 1 August 2011
- Return period factor R<sub>u</sub> = 1.0, NZS 1170.5:2004, Table 3.5, Importance level 2 structure with a 50 year design life.

An increased Z factor of 0.3 for Christchurch has been used in line with requirements from the Department of Building and Housing resulting in a reduced % NBS score.

# 8.3 Expected Structural Ductility Factor

A structural ductility factor of 2.0 has been assumed based on the structural system observed and the date of construction. The structure is a timber framed construction as is expected to have ductile behaviour in a seismic event.

# 8.4 Discussion of Results

The results obtained from the initial IEP assessment are consistent with those expected for a building of this age and construction type. The Barn was constructed in 1886, in the absence of any national standards, therefore; it was unlikely that it was designed with seismic loads taken into account. The loads used in design, if any, are therefore likely to have been much less than those required by the current loading standard. It is reasonable to expect that the building would both not achieve 100% NBS and be classified as potentially earthquake prone.

# 9. Conclusions & Recommendations

The building has been assessed to have a seismic capacity in the order of 15% NBS and is therefore potentially Earthquake Prone.

The building has been assessed as being Earthquake Prone. As a result, it is recommended a quantitative assessment of the building be undertaken to determine the seismic capacity and to develop potential strengthening concepts.

# 10. Limitations

### 10.1 General

This report has been prepared subject to the following limitations:

- No intrusive structural investigations have been undertaken.
- No intrusive geotechnical investigations have been undertaken.
- Inspection of timber piled foundations was limited to those visible.
- The date of construction was assumed.
- No level or verticality surveys have been undertaken.
- No material testing has been undertaken.
- No calculations, other than those included as part of the IEP in the CERA Building Evaluation Report, have been undertaken. No modelling of the building for structural analysis purposes has been performed.

It is noted that this report has been prepared at the request of Christchurch City Council and is intended to be used for their purposes only. GHD accepts no responsibility for any other party or person who relies on the information contained in this reportrite a specific limitations section.

### 10.2 Geotechnical Limitations

This report presents the results of a geotechnical appraisal prepared for the purpose of this commission, and solely for the use of Christchurch City Council and their advisors. The data and advice provided herein relate only to the project and structures described herein and must be reviewed by a competent geotechnical engineer before being used for any other purpose. GHD Limited (GHD) accepts no responsibility for other use of the data.

The advice tendered in this report is based on a visual geotechnical appraisal. No subsurface investigations have been conducted. An assessment of the topographical land features have been made based on this information. It is emphasised that Geotechnical conditions may vary substantially across the site from where observations have been made. Subsurface conditions, including groundwater levels can change in a limited distance or time. In evaluation of this report cognisance should be taken of the limitations of this type of investigation.

An understanding of the geotechnical site conditions depends on the integration of many pieces of information, some regional, some site specific, some structure specific and some experienced based. Hence this report should not be altered, amended or abbreviated, issued in part and issued incomplete in any way without prior checking and approval by GHD. GHD accepts no responsibility for any circumstances, which arise from the issue of the report, which have been modified in any way as outlined above.

Appendix A Photographs Photograph 1 View of Barn from the north.



Photograph 2 Northwest elevation.



Photograph 3 Rotten timber piles and timber bearer, and broken weatherboards.



Photograph 4 Southwest elevation of the barn.



Photograph 5 Timber truss roof structure with rusted corrugated iron cladding above.



Photograph 6 Connection between timber roof and wall. Diagonal bracing in roof.





Photograph 8 Gable end of timber framed wall.



Appendix B CERA Building Evaluation Form

Location Building Name: Barclays Road Heri Building Address: Barclays Road Legal Description: GPS south:	age Park Little River Reviewer: Stephen Lee
Building Name: <u>Barr - Sarclays Road Hen</u> Building Address: <u>Barclays Road</u> Legal Description:	age Park Little River Reviewer: Stephen Lee
Building Address: Barclays Road Legal Description: GPS south:	Unit No: Street CPEng No: 10
GPS south:	Company: GHD
GPS south:	Company project number: 151/30902/75 Company phone number: 04 472 0799
GPS south:	Degrees Min Sec
GPS east:	Date of submission:
с, с ак.	Revision:
Building Unique Identifier (CCC): PRK 3659 BLDG 004 EQ2	Is there a full report with this summary? yes
Site slope: flat	Max retaining height (m):
Soil type:	Soil Profile (if available)
Site Class (to NZS1170.5): C	
Proximity to waterway (m, if <100m): Provimity to clifftop (m, if < 100m):	If Ground improvement on site, describe:
Proximity to cliff base (m,if <100m):	Approx site elevation (m):
Building	
No. of storeys above ground:	1 single storey = 1 Ground floor elevation (Absolute) (m):
Ground floor split? no	Ground floor elevation above ground (m):
Foundation type: timber piles	if Foundation type is other, describe:
Building height (m):	6.50 height from ground to level of uppermost seismic mass (for IEP only) (m): 6.5
Floor footprint area (approx):	174 126
Age of Building (years).	
Strengthening present? no	If so, when (year)?
Use (around floor): other (specify)	Brief strengthening description
Use (upper floors):	
Use notes (if required):	
Importance level (IO N231170.3): IL2	
Gravity Structure	
Gravity System: load bearing walls	trues donth surfic time and electrical
Floors: other (note)	describe sytem Timber loading bav in part
Beams: none	overall depth x width (mm x mm)
Columns:	
vv ans:	
ateral load resisting structure	
Lateral system along: lightweight timber framed v	alls Note: Define along and across in detailed acro
Period along:	0.40 0.00 estimate or calculation? estimated
Total deflection (ULS) (mm):	estimate or calculation?
maximum interstorey deflection (ULS) (mm):	estimate or calculation?
Lateral system across: lightweight timber framed	ralis
Ductility assumed, µ:	2.00 note typical wall length (m)
Period across:	0.40 0.00 estimate or calculation? estimated
maximum interstorey deflection (ULS) (mm):	estimate or calculation?
, , , , , ,	
Separations:	leave blank if not relevant
Separations: north (mm):east (mm):	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:         north (mm):	leave blank if not relevant
Separations: north (mm): east (mm): south (mm): west (mm):	leave blank if not relevant
Separations: north (mm) east (mm) south (mm) west (mm) west (mm)	leave blank if not relevant
Separations: north (mm): east (mm): south (mm): west (mm): West (mm): Von-structural elements Statirs: Wall cladding: other light	leave blank if not relevant
Separations: north (mm): east (mm): south (mm): west (mm): Wall clading: Wall clading: Wall clading: Metal	leave blank if not relevant describe Timber boards describe Corrugated Iron
Separations:         north (mm)           east (mm)         south (mm)           south (mm)         west (mm)           west (mm)         west (mm)           Von-structural elements         Stairs:           Wall cladding:         cither light           Roof Cladding:         Metal           Cladding:         Metal           Clading:         Imber frames	describe
Separations:       north (mm):         east (mm):       east (mm):         south (mm):       west (mm):         Wall cladding:       ofter light         Roof Cladding:       Metal         Glazing:       Imbert frames         Cellings:       nore         Satires:       Imbert frames         Cellings:       nore         Services(list):       Services(list):	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:       north (mm):         east (mm):       east (mm):         south (mm):       west (mm):         Wal cladding:       cladding:         Kon-structural elements       Stairs:         Wal cladding:       other light         Roof Cladding:       Metal         Claings:       none         Services(tat):	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:         north (mm)           east (mm)         south (mm)           south (mm)         west (mm)           west (mm)         west (mm)           Wall classing:         Stairs:           Wall classing:         other light           Root Classing:         Index frames           Claims:         Claims:           Claims:         ora           Services(list):	describe Timber boards Corrugated Iron
Separations:       north (mm);         east (mm);       south (mm);         south (mm);       west (mm);         west (mm);       west (mm);         Wall cladding; other light       Rod Cladding; other light         Rod Cladding; Metal       Claizing; imbort frames         Cellings; none       Services(list);	describe Timber boards describe Corrugated Iron
Separations:       north (mm):         east (mm):       east (mm):         south (mm):       west (mm):         Wall clading:       other light         Roof Clading:       other inpht         Glazing:       inpht frames         Cellings:       none         Services(list):       other         Available documentation       Architectural none	leave blank if not relevant describe Timber boards describe Corrugated fron
Separations:         north (mm)           east (mm)         south (mm)           south (mm)         west (mm)           west (mm)         west (mm)           Wall clashing:         charling:           Root Clashing:         charling:           Root Clashing:         charling:           Clashing:         charling:           Claings:         none           Structural frome         Structural frome           Structural none         Finetrical none           Filertical none         Filertical none	leave blank if not relevant      describe     Timber boards     describe     Corugated Iron      original designer name/date     original designer name/date     original designer name/date     original designer name/date
Separations:         north (mm) east (mn) south (mn) south (mn)           Non-structural elements         Stairs: Wall cladding: Other light           Roof Cladding: Glazing: Umber frames Cellings: none Services (ist)           Available documentation           Architectural none Electrical none Gedech report none           Glack report Gedech report none	leave blank if not relevant
imparations:       north (mm):         east (mm):	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:       north (mm);         east (mm);       south (mm);         south (mm);       west (mm);         west (mm);       west (mm);         Wail clading;       dthe light         Roof Clading;       there in the light         Roof Clading;       there in the light         Glazing;       there in the light         Glazing;       there in the light         Glazing;       there in the light         Available documentation       Architectural none         Structural none       Structural none         Electrical none       Electrical none         Gedech report none       none         Damage	leave blank if not relevant describe Timber boards describe Corrugated Iron original designer name/date original designer name/date original designer name/date
Separations:         north (mm) east (mm) south (mm) west (mm)           Non-structural elements         Statis: Wall cladding: Cladding: Metal           Non-structural elements         Statis: Wall cladding: Cladding: Metal           Available documentation         Architectural Services(its):           Available documentation         Architectural Damage Site performance: Good           Damage Site         Site performance: Good	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:       north (mm);         east (mm);       south (mm);         south (mm);       west (mm);         west (mm);       west (mm);         Wall cladding;       other light         Roof Cladding;       definition;         Caling;       imber frames         Cellings;       none         Services (list);       services (list);         Available documentation       Architectural none         Electrical none       Gedtech report none         Gedtech report none       Services (list);         Damage       Site performance;         Stettement;       none chaserved	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:         north (mm); east (mm); south (mm);           south (mm); west (mm);	leave blank if not relevant  describe Timber boards describe Corrugated Iron  original designer name/date
isparations:  north (mm)  south (mm)  south (mm)  west (mm)  Calading: dher light  Roof Cladding: Metal  Glaizing: Umber frames Cellings: none  Services(Ist)  wailable documentation  Architectural none  Electrical none  Electrical none  Electrical none  Electrical none  Code	leave blank if not relevant
isparations: north (mm): east (mm): south (mm): south (mm): west (mm): Wall clading: Wall clading: dimber frames Calings: finiber frames	
isparations: east (mn) south (mn) (adding: the light Rod Clading: the light Rod Clading: the light Claims: the light cone south (mn) south (mn) (adding: the light cone south (mn) south (mn) (adding: the light cone south (mn) (adding: the light cone (adding: the light cone (adding: the light cone (adding: the light cone (adding: the light cone (adding: the light cone (adding:	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:       north (mm) south (mm) south (mm) west (mm)         Non-structural elements       Stairs: Wal cladding: dher light         Non-structural elements       Stairs: Wal cladding: Metal Clairing: Imber frames Cellings: none         Available documentation       Architectural none Services (Ist):         Available documentation       Architectural none Electrical none Geotech report none         Damage State: refer DEE Table 4-2)       Site performance:         Differential settement: Differential settement: Caronal spread: none apparent         Differential settement: Corron cracks: none apparent         Differential settement: Domage to area:         State apparent         Differential settement: none apparent	leave blank if not relevant
Separations:         north (mm):           east (mm):         seat (mm):           south (mm):         south (mm):           south (mm):         west (mm):           west (mm):         west (mm):           Wail clading:         off-relight           Root Clading:         Whetal           Glazing:         inher frames           Cellings:         none           Services (list):         ellectrical           Variable documentation         Architectural none           Structural none         Electrical           Betrait         none           Structural settlement:         none           Differential settlement:         none doserved           Liquefaction:         none doserved           Liquefaction:         none doserved           Liquefaction:         none doserved           Liquefaction:         none doserved           Differential settlement:         none doserved           Liquefaction:         none doserved           Liquefaction: <t< td=""><td>leave blank if not relevant describe Timber boards describe Corrugated Iron  original designer name/date original</td></t<>	leave blank if not relevant describe Timber boards describe Corrugated Iron  original designer name/date original
Separations:         north (mm); east (mm); south (mm); south (mm);           Non-structural elements         Stars:           Wall clading: Roof clading: Claming: Claming	beave blank if not relevant      describe     Trither boards     describe     Trither boards     describe     Corrugated Iron      original designer name/date     original designer name
Separations:       north (mm) south (mm) south (mm) south (mm)         Non-structural elements       Stairs: Wall cladding: Metal Clairing: Intheir frames Calings: Inthe Services(Ist):         Available documentation       Architectural Services(Ist):         Available documentation       Architectural Clairing: Intheir frames Calings: Inthe Services(Ist):         Damage       Site performance: Gedech report (none Clairing: Intone Services)         Damage       Site performance: Conserved.         Differential settlement: Inone observed.       Intone observed.         Differential settlement: Intone observed.       Intone observed.         Differential	
isparations:       north (mm);         east (mm);       seat (mm);         south (mm);       south (mm);         west (mm);       west (mm);         Wail clading;       other light         Root Clading;       Whetal         Glazing;       timber frames         Cellings;       one-structural none         Structural none       Structural none         Structural none       Structural none         Structural none       Electrical none         Beterical none       Electrical none         Beterical Stellement:       none doesered         Liquefaction:       none doesered         Differential settlement:       none doparent         Differential settlement:       none doparent         Differential settlement:       none doparent         Lateral Spread:       none doparent         Differential settlement:       none doparent         Caronage to area:       none doparent         Differential settlement:       none doparent         Groud cracks:       none doparent         Differential settlement:       none doparent         Groud cracks:       none doparent         Differential settlement:       none dopagenet         Groud cracks:<	Itexve blank if not relevant         describe       Imber boards         describe       Corrugated fron         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):       original designer name/date         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       ori
isparations: equa	beave blank if not relevant         describe       Imber boards         describe       Corrugated fron         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):       original designer name/date         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         original designer name/date       original designer         notes (f applicable):       original desig
imparations:     north (mm):       east (mm):     seat (mm):       south (mm):     south (mm):       south (mm):     west (mm):       ion-structural elements     Stairs:       Wail clading:     West (metal       Root Clading:     Wetal       Root Clading:     Wetal       Glazing:     inne       Cellings:     none       Structural none     Structural none       Structural none     Electrical none       Electrical none     Electrical none       Electrical none     Stite performance:       Good     Good       Variage     Site performance:       Differential settlement:     none dosmod       none dosmod     none dosmod	leave blank if not relevant         describe       Imber boards         describe       Corrugated fron         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):       original designer name/date         original designer rame date       original designer name/date         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       orig
isparations: north (mm): south (mm): south (mm): south (mm): west (mm): West (mm): West (mm): West (mm): West (mm): West (mm): Roof Claiding: Metal Glazing: Imber frames Cellings: Innote	leave blank if not relevant         describe       Imber boards         describe       Corrugated Iron         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):       original designer name/date         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       orid
isparations: neath (mm) south (mm) (constructions) south (mm) (constructions) south (mm) (constructions) south (mm) (constructions) south (mm) (constructions) south (mm) (constructions) south (mm) (constructions) south (mm) (constructions	beave blank if not relevant         describe       Imber boards         describe       Corrugated fron         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       notes (f applicable):         original designer name/date       original designer name/date
isparations:     north (mm):       east (mm):     seat (mm):       south (mm):     south (mm):       south (mm):     west (mm):       Wail clading:     the light       Root Clading:     Wheat       Glazing:     timber frames       Calings:     none       Structural elements     Stairs:       wailable documentation     Architectural none       Structural none     Structural none       Electrical none     Electrical none       Electrical none     Electrical none       Electrical none     Statement:       Differential statement:     none dosarved       Differential statement:     none dosarved </td <td>leave blank if not relevant         describe       Imber boards         describe       Corrugated fron         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):       original designer         0%       Describe (mamager and arrived at:       mamager and arrived</td>	leave blank if not relevant         describe       Imber boards         describe       Corrugated fron         original designer name/date       original designer name/date         notes (f applicable):       notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):       original designer         0%       Describe (mamager and arrived at:       mamager and arrived
Separations:       north (mm); seat (mm); south (mm); west (mm);         Stars:       Constructural elements         Root Claiding:       Wail claiding: Wail claiding:         Wail able documentation       Architectural Glaizing: Cellings: none         Architectural none       Stars: Bestrices (list);         Structural none       Cellings: none         Structural none       Structural none         Structural none       Structural none         Betrickal none       Structural none         Betrickal none       Codd         Structural none       Structural none         Betrickal none       Stervices (list);         Differential structural none       Codd         Betrickal none       Codd         Betrickal none       Codd         Differential structural none apparent       Carent Structural none doserved         Liquefaction:       none apparent         Differential structural spread:       none apparent         Current Placard Status:       Nong         Describe (summary);       Describe (summary);         Nong       Darnage ratio         Describe (summary);       Describe (summary);         Status:       Darnage ratio         Status:       Darnage ratio         <	beave blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards           describe         Corrugated Iron           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           notes (if applicable):         notes (if applicable):           notes (if applicable):         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           notes (if applicable):         orides (if applicable):
	beave blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards           describe         Corrugated fron           original designer name/date
Separations:       north (mm):         seat (mm):       south (mm):         south (mm):       south (mm):         south (mm):       west (mm):         Wail clading:       Charlings:         Wail clading:       Charlings:         Wail clading:       Inone         Structural elements       Stairs:         Wail clading:       Charlings:         Wail clading:       Inone         Structural none       Structural none         Structural none       Electrical none         Electrical none       Electrical none         Bite:       Codech report none         Carrent DEE Table 4-2)       Site performance:         Course       Sattlement:         Differential lastlement:       None observed         Liguesticito:       Inone opperent         Differential lastlement:       None observed         Liguesticito:       None opperent         Differential lastlement:       None observed         Liguesticito:       None observed         Liguesticito:       None observed         Liguesticito:       None observed         Liguesticito:       None observed         Differential lastlement:       None observed         <	beave blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards describe           describe         Imber boards Corrugated fron           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):           notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):           notes (f applicable):         original designer           0%         Describe how damage ratio arrived at:           0%         Describe i         original designer           0%         NBS (before)         Describe:         original designer
	beave blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards.           describe         Imber boards.           describe         Corrugated Iron           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           notes (f applicable):         interview           notes (f applicable):         interview           original designer name/date         interview           original designer name/date         interview           notes (f applicable):         interview           original designer name/date         interview           or
Separations:       north (mm); south (mm); south (mm); south (mm);         Non-structural elements       Stars; Wal clading; those light         Roof clading; Wal clading; those ranses       Clains; timber frames         Collings; Torke       Collings; timber frames         Available documentation       Architectural none         Architectural none       Structural cone         Electrical none       Electrical none         Benerge       Site performance;         Good       Fore         Benerge       Site performance;         Code       Torke         Differential lateral spread; none doserved         Differential lateral spread; none apparent         Current Placard Status;         Nong       Describe (summary);         Nong       Describe (summary);         Status;       Disperite (summary);         Status;       Disperite (summary);         Status;       Damage ratio;         Status;       Damage; no         Status;       Damage; no         Status;       Damage; no         Status;       Damage?; no	beave blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards           describe         Corrugated fron           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           notes (if applicable):         notes (if applicable):           notes (if applicable):         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer name/date
Separations:       north (mm):         seat (mm):       seat (mm):         south (mm):       south (mm):         west (mm):       west (mm):         South (mm):       west (mm):         Wail clading:       other light         Root Clading:       wheat         Claing::       inmer frames         Claing::       none         Structural innoe       Structural innoe         Structural innoe       Electrical innoe         Electrical innoe       Good         Statement:       none         Differential settlement:       none doserved         State       none doserved         Differential settlement:       none doserved         Current Placard Status:       none doserved         Nong       Damage ratio	beave blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards.           describe         Corrugated from           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           notes (f applicable):         notes (f applicable):           notes (f applicable):         original designer           off         Describe how damage ratio arrived at:           Off         Describe original descripter           Off         Describe:         original descripter           operate:         operate:         operate:           operate:         operate:
Separations:         north (mm); south (mm); south (mm);           Non-structural elements         Stars: Roof Claiding; Roof Claiding; Cher light           Available documentation         Architectural Structural Claiding; Services(list);           Available documentation         Architectural Structural Claiding; Services(list);           Damage         Site performance; Cood           Site; refer DEE Table 4-2)         Site performance; Cood           Differential stettiment; none doserved         Ione doserved none doserved           Differential lateril spread; none doserved         Ione doserved none doserved           Differential stettiment; none doserved         Ione doserved none doserved           Differential lateril spread; none doserved         Ione doserved none doserved           Differential lateril spread; none doserved         Ione doserved none doserved           Differential lateril spread; none doserved         Ione doserved none doserved           Structural;         Current Placard Status;           Nong         Damage ratio; Describe (summary);           Stros         Damage ratio; Describe (summary);           Structural;         Damage?; no           Younding;         Damage?; no           Curvent Placard Status;         Ione           Structural;         Damage?; no           Younding;         Damage	beave blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards           describe         Imber boards           describe         Corrugated Iron             original designer name/date         original designer name/date           notes (if applicable):         notes (if applicable):           notes (if applicable):         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         oris (if applicable):           <
Separations:         north (mm) south (mm) south (mm) south (mm)           Non-structural elements         Stars: Wal clading: Roof clading: Wal clading: Collings: Services(list):           Available documentation         Architectural force Services(list):           Available documentation         Architectural force Services(list):           Damage Star: (refer DEE Table 4-2)         Site performance:           Damage Star: (refer DEE Table 4-2)         Site performance:           Differential lateral spread: force apparent Differential lateral spread: force apparent Damage ratio;         Ince apparent for appar	bewe blank if not relevant           describe         Imber boards           describe         Corrugated fron           original designer name/date         original designer name/date           original designer name/date         original designer
Separations:         north (mm); south (mm); south (mm); west (mm);           Non-structural elements         Statis; Wal clading; Root Clading; Wat clading; Bite: Clading:           Available documentation         Architectural Structural innore           Available documentation         Architectural Clading; Bite: Clearing: none           Damage         Site performance: Clock           Site: (refer DEE Table 4-2)         Site performance: Differential settlement: none           Datage         Site performance: Clock           Site: (refer DEE Table 4-2)         Site performance: Clock           Differential settlement: none doperent. Liquefaction: Differential laterial spread tore doperent. Describe (summary): Describe (summary): Suiding:           Suiding:         Current Placard Status: Describe (summary): Source: Describe (summary): Sightragms           Diaphragms         Damage ratio Describe (summary): Suiding: Conserved required: Damage?; no           Suiding:         Damage ratio Describe (summary): Sightragms           Suiding:         Damage ratio Describe (summary): Sightragms           Suiding:         Damage?; no           Suiding:         Damage?; no           Suiding Concernet required: Interim occupancy recommendations; Dassessed %MSB before equakes;	ImageRatio = (% NBS (before) - % NBS (after)) % NBS (before)         Describe           Org         Describe           Org         Describe           ImageRatio = (% NBS (before) - % NBS (after)) % NBS (before)           Describe         Describe
Separations:       north (mm); south (mm); south (mm);         Non-structural elements       Stars: Roof Cladding:         Wail cladding: Roof Cladding:       ther light         Available documentation       Architectural Structural frome         Available documentation       Architectural Structural Collings: Differential lateral prote         Damage       Site performance:         Site:       Cool         Damage       Site performance:         Cool       Inone         Differential lateral spread: none doparent       Tone doparent         Stars:       Damage ratio:         Damage to area:       Describe (summary);         Across       Damager ratio:         Dascribe (summary);       Damage?         Stars:       Damage?         Pounding:       Damage?         Current Placard Status:       Current Placard Status:         Nong       Describe (summary);         None structural:       Damage?         Damage?	Imperiate blank if not relevant         describe         Imper boards. Corrugated Iron           describe         Imper boards. Corrugated Iron         Corrugated Iron           original designer name/date         original designer name/date         Imper boards.           Inste (if applicable)         Imper boards.

EP	Use of this method is not ma	ndatory - more detailed analysis m	ay give a different answer, which w	vould take precedence. Do not f	ill in fields if not using	g IEP.			
	Period of design of building (from above): Pre 1935			h₀ from a	bove: 6.5m				
Seismic 2	one, if designed between 1965 and 1992: B			not required for this age of bu not required for this age of bu	iilding uilding				
				along		across			
			Period (from above): (%NBS)nom from Fig 3.3:	0.4 3.6%		0.4 3.6%			
	Note:1 for specifically design public I	buildings, to the code of the day: pre-1	1965 = 1.25; 1965-1976, Zone A =1.3	3; 1965-1976, Zone B = 1.2; all else	e 1.0	1.00			
		1	Note 2: for RC buildings Note 3: for buildings designed prior to 1	designed between 1976-1984, use 1935 use 0.8, except in Wellington	∋ 1.2 (1.0)	1.0 0.8			
				along		across			
			Final (%NBS)nom:	3%		3%			
	2.2 Near Fault Scaling Factor		Near Fault s	caling factor, from NZS1170.5, cl 3	3.1.6:	1.00			
		Near Fault s	acaling factor (1/N(T,D), Factor A:	along 1		across 1			
	2.3 Hazard Scaling Factor		Hazard fac	ctor Z for site from AS1170.5, Table	e 3.3:	0.30			
				Z1992, from NZS4203: Hazard scaling factor, Fact	.1992 or B: 3	0.8			
	2.4 Return Period Scaling Factor		Return Period	Building Importance level (from ab Scaling factor from Table 3.1, Fact	ove):	2			
				along		across			
	2.5 Ductility Scaling Factor	Assessed du caling factor: =1 from 1976 onwards: (	ctility (less than max in Table 3.2)	2.00		2.00			
	Cuting	daing lactor I nom for o chilaido, t	Dustiitu Saaling Easter Easter D:	1.57		1.57			
	2.6. Structural Berformance Scaling Factory		Ductinty Octaining Factor, Factor D.	0.700		0.700			
	2.0 offuctural renormance ocaling ractor.	Structural Parf	ormance Scaling Eactor Eactor E:	1 429571420		439571430			
			annance county ractor ractor 2.	1.420371423		42037 1423			
	2.7 Baseline %NBS, (NBS%)b = (%NBS)nom x A x B x 0	x D x E	%NBSb:	22%		22%			
	Global Critical Structural Weaknesses: (refer to NZSEE	IEP Table 3.4)							
	3.1. Plan Irregularity, factor A: insignificant	1							
	3.2. Vertical irregularity, Factor B: insignificant	1							
	3.3. Short columns, Factor C: insignificant	1	Table for selection of D1	eparation Ocsepc 005H	Significant	Insignificant/none Sep> 01H			
	3.4. Pounding potential Pounding ef	ect D1, from Table to right 1.0	Alignment of floors within	20% of H 0.7	0.8	1			
	Height Difference ef	ect D2, from Table to right 1.0	Alignment of floors not within	20% of H 0.4	0.7	0.8			
		Therefore, Factor D: 1	Table for Selection of D2	Severe	Significant	Insignificant/none			
	3.5. Site Characteristics insignificant	1	Height difference >	4 storevs 0.4	.005 <sep<.01h 0.7</sep<.01h 	Sep>.01H			
			Height difference 2 to	4 storeys 0.7	0.9	1			
			Height difference <	2 storeys 1	1	1			
			_	Along		Across			
	3.6. Other factors, Factor F	or ≤ 3 storeys, max value =2.5, othen Ratio	vise max valule =1.5, no minimum	0.7 orly maintained and conditioned building	Poorly maintained r	0.7 and condtioned building			
	Detail Critical Structural Weaknesses: (refer to DEE Procedure section 6) List any: Refer also section 6.3.1 of DEE for discussion of F factor modification for other critical structural weaknesses								
	3.7. Overall Performance Achievement ratio (PAR)			0.70		0.70			
	4.3 PAR x (%NBS)b:		PAR x Baselline %NBS:	15%		15%			

### GHD

Level 11, Guardian Trust House 15 Willeston street, Wellington 6011 T: 64 4 472 0799 F: 64 4 472 0833 E: wgtnmail@ghd.com

#### © GHD Limited 2013

This document is and shall remain the property of GHD Limited. The document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

#### **Document Status**

Rev	Author	Reviewer		Approved for Issue			
No.		Name	Signature	Name	Signature	Date	
Final	Simon Barker	Paul Clarke	Paul Cake	Stephen Lee	300	10/4/13	