

Christchurch City Council

Fendalton Library & Service Centre BU 0450-001 EQ2

Detailed Engineering Evaluation Quantitative Assessment Report





Christchurch City Council

Fendalton Library & Service Centre

Quantitative Assessment Report

Opus International Consultants Ltd Christchurch Office 20 Moorhouse Avenue PO Box 1482, Christchurch Mail Centre, Christchurch 8140 New Zealand

 Telephone:
 +64 3 363 5400

 Facsimile:
 +64 3 365 7858

 Date:
 November 2012

 Reference:
 6-QUCCC.27

 Status:
 Final



Summary

Fendalton Library & Service Centre BU 0450-001 EQ2

Detailed Engineering Evaluation Quantitative Report - Summary Final

Background

This is a summary of the quantitative report for the building structure, and is based on the Detailed Engineering Evaluation Procedure document (draft) issued by the Structural Advisory Group on 19 July 2011.

A quantitative assessment that was issued in November 2011 rated the Fendalton Library and Service Centre building as earthquake prone and Christchurch City Council chose to close the building. Following the closure a strengthening scheme to improve the building to 100%NBS was designed, consented and constructed. The building was reopened to the public following this strengthening work on 2 April 2012.

Damage Observed

Structural damage in the Canterbury earthquake sequence was limited to cracking in the ground floor concrete slab. These have now been repaired.

Critical Structural Weaknesses

No critical structural weaknesses were identified in this building.

Building Strength

The building's earthquake resistance was assessed to be 27% of Building Code requirements for new buildings (27%NBS) based on the building being an Importance Level 2 structure. This was a result of deficiencies in the horizontal steel roof level bracing. Other components in the building were assessed to be in excess of 100%NBS. The deficient bracing components have now been replaced with new bracing. The building is now rated at 100%NBS (IL2) as a consequence of this work.

Contents

Sum	maryi
1	Introduction1
2	Compliance1
3	Earthquake Resistance Standards5
4	Background Information7
5	Structural Damage9
6	Detailed Seismic Assessment (original building)9
7	Summary of Geotechnical Appraisal
8	Structural Repairs and Improvements
9 10	References
	endix 1 – Floor Slab Damage Report
	endix 2 – Repair and Improvement Drawings
Арро	endix 3 – Fire and Accessibility Compliance Reports

Appendix 4 – CERA DEE Spreadsheet

1 Introduction

A quantitative assessment [7] that was issued in November 2011 rated the Fendalton Library and Service Centre building as earthquake prone and Christchurch City Council chose to close the building. Following the closure a strengthening scheme was designed, consented and constructed. The building was reopened to the public on April 2012 following the completion of the strengthening work.

In this report the seismic rating of the building structure is updated to reflect the improvement works that have been undertaken. Copies of fire safety and accessibility reports produced during the improvement work are also included.

The seismic assessment and reporting have been undertaken based on the qualitative and quantitative procedures detailed in the Detailed Engineering Evaluation Procedure (DEEP) document (draft) issued by the Structural Engineering Society (SESOC) [3] [4].

2 Compliance

This section contains a brief summary of the requirements of the various statutes and authorities that control activities in relation to buildings in Christchurch at present.

2.1 Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA)

CERA was established on 28 March 2011 to take control of the recovery of Christchurch using powers established by the Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Act enacted on 18 April 2011. This act gives the Chief Executive Officer of CERA wide powers in relation to building safety, demolition and repair. Two relevant sections are:

Section 38 – Works

This section outlines a process in which the chief executive can give notice that a building is to be demolished and if the owner does not carry out the demolition, the chief executive can commission the demolition and recover the costs from the owner or by placing a charge on the owners' land.

Section 51 – Requiring Structural Survey

This section enables the chief executive to require a building owner, insurer or mortgagee to carry out a full structural survey before the building is re-occupied.

We understand that CERA require a detailed engineering evaluation to be carried out for all buildings (other than those exempt from the Earthquake Prone Building definition in the Building Act). CERA have adopted the Detailed Engineering Evaluation Procedure (DEEP) document (draft) issued by the Structural Engineering Society (SESOC) on 19 July 2011. This document sets out a methodology for both initial qualitative and detailed quantitative assessments.

It is anticipated that a number of factors, including the following, will determine the extent of evaluation and strengthening level required:

- 1. The importance level and occupancy of the building.
- 2. The placard status and amount of damage.
- 3. The age and structural type of the building.
- 4. Consideration of any critical structural weaknesses.

Christchurch City Council requires any building with a capacity of less than 34% of New Building Standard (including consideration of critical structural weaknesses) to be strengthened to a target of 67% as required under the CCC Earthquake Prone Building Policy.

2.2 Building Act

Several sections of the Building Act are relevant when considering structural requirements:

Section 112 - Alterations

This section requires that an existing building complies with the relevant sections of the Building Code to at least the extent that it did prior to the alteration. This effectively means that a building cannot be weakened as a result of an alteration (including partial demolition).

The Earthquake Prone Building policy for the territorial authority shall apply as outlined in Section 2.3 of this report.

Section 115 – Change of Use

This section requires that the territorial authority is satisfied that the building with a new use complies with the relevant sections of the Building Code 'as near as is reasonably practicable'.

This is typically interpreted by territorial authorities as being 67% of the strength of an equivalent new building or as near as practicable. This is also the minimum level recommended by the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering (NZSEE).

Section 121 – Dangerous Buildings

This section was extended by the Canterbury Earthquake (Building Act) Order 2010, and defines a building as dangerous if:

- 1. In the ordinary course of events (excluding the occurrence of an earthquake), the building is likely to cause injury or death or damage to other property; or
- 2. In the event of fire, injury or death to any persons in the building or on other property is likely because of fire hazard or the occupancy of the building; or

- 3. There is a risk that the building could collapse or otherwise cause injury or death as a result of earthquake shaking that is less than a 'moderate earthquake' (refer to Section 122 below); or
- 4. There is a risk that other property could collapse or otherwise cause injury or death; or
- 5. A territorial authority has not been able to undertake an inspection to determine whether the building is dangerous.

Section 122 – Earthquake Prone Buildings

This section defines a building as earthquake prone (EPB) if its ultimate capacity would be exceeded in a 'moderate earthquake' and it would be likely to collapse causing injury or death, or damage to other property.

A moderate earthquake is defined by the building regulations as one that would generate loads 33% of those used to design an equivalent new building.

Section 124 – Powers of Territorial Authorities

This section gives the territorial authority the power to require strengthening work within specified timeframes or to close and prevent occupancy to any building defined as dangerous or earthquake prone.

Section 131 – Earthquake Prone Building Policy

This section requires the territorial authority to adopt a specific policy for earthquake prone, dangerous and insanitary buildings.

2.3 Christchurch City Council Policy

Christchurch City Council adopted their Earthquake Prone, Dangerous and Insanitary Building Policy in 2006. This policy was amended immediately following the Darfield Earthquake on 4 September 2010.

The 2010 amendment includes the following:

- 1. A process for identifying, categorising and prioritising Earthquake Prone Buildings, commencing on 1 July 2012;
- 2. A strengthening target level of 67% of a new building for buildings that are Earthquake Prone;
- 3. A timeframe of 15-30 years for Earthquake Prone Buildings to be strengthened; and,
- 4. Repair works for buildings damaged by earthquakes will be required to comply with the above.

The council has stated their willingness to consider retrofit proposals on a case by case basis, considering the economic impact of such a retrofit.

If strengthening works are undertaken, a building consent will be required. A requirement of the consent will require upgrade of the building to comply 'as near as is reasonably practicable' with:

- The accessibility requirements of the Building Code.
- The fire requirements of the Building Code. This is likely to require a fire report to be submitted with the building consent application.

Where an application for a change of use of a building is made to Council, the building will be required to be strengthened to 67% of New Building Standard or as near as is reasonably practicable.

2.4 Building Code

The Building Code outlines performance standards for buildings and the Building Act requires that all new buildings comply with this code. Compliance Documents published by The Department of Building and Housing can be used to demonstrate compliance with the Building Code.

On 19 May 2011, Compliance Document B1: Structure was amended to include increased seismic design requirements for Canterbury as follows:

- increase in the basic seismic design load for the Canterbury earthquake region (Z factor increased to 0.3 equating to an increase of 36 47% depending on location within the region);
- Increased serviceability requirements.

2.5 Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ) Code of Ethics

One of the core ethical values of professional engineers in New Zealand is the protection of life and safeguarding of people. The IPENZ Code of Ethics requires that:

Members shall recognise the need to protect life and to safeguard people, and in their engineering activities shall act to address this need.

- 1.1 Giving Priority to the safety and well-being of the community and having regard to this principle in assessing obligations to clients, employers and colleagues.
- 1.2 Ensuring that responsible steps are taken to minimise the risk of loss of life, injury or suffering which may result from your engineering activities, either directly or indirectly.

All recommendations on building occupancy and access must be made with these fundamental obligations in mind.

3 Earthquake Resistance Standards

For this assessment, the building's earthquake resistance is compared with the current New Zealand Building Code requirements for a new building constructed on the site. This is expressed as a percentage of new building standard (%NBS). The loadings are in accordance with the current earthquake loading standard NZS1170.5 [1].

A generally accepted classification of earthquake risk for existing buildings in terms of %NBS that has been proposed by the NZSEE 2006 [2] is presented in Figure 1 below.

Description	Grade	Risk	%NBS	Existing Building Structural Performance		Improvement of St	ructural Performance
					_►	Legal Requirement	NZSEE Recommendation
Low Risk Building	A or B	Low	Above 67	Acceptable (improvement may be desirable)		The Building Act sets no required level of structural improvement (unless change in use)	100%NBS desirable. Improvement should achieve at least 67%NBS
Moderate Risk Building	B or C	Moderate	34 to 66	Acceptable legally. Improvement recommended		This is for each TA to decide. Improvement isNot r Acce	Not recommended. Acceptable only in exceptional circumstances
High Risk Building	D or E	High	33 or lower	Unacceptable (Improvement required under Act)		Unacceptable	Unacceptable

Figure 1: NZSEE Risk Classifications Extracted from table 2.2 of the NZSEE 2006 AISPBE Guidelines

Table 1 below compares the percentage NBS to the relative risk of the building failing in a seismic event with a 10% risk of exceedance in 50 years (i.e. 0.2% in the next year).

Percentage of New Building Standard (%NBS)	Relative Risk (Approximate)
>100	<1 time
80-100	1-2 times
67-80	2-5 times
33-67	5-10 times
20-33	10-25 times
<20	>25 times

Table 1: %NBS compared to relative risk of failure

3.1 Minimum and Recommended Standards

Based on governing policy and recent observations, Opus makes the following general recommendations:

3.1.1 Occupancy

The Canterbury Earthquake Order¹ in Council 16 September 2010, modified the meaning of "dangerous building" to include buildings that were identified as being EPB's. As a result of this, we would expect such a building would be issued with a Section 124 notice, by the Territorial Authority, or CERA acting on their behalf, once they are made aware of our assessment. Based on information received from CERA to date and from the DBH guidance document dated 12 June 2012 [6], this notice is likely to prohibit occupancy of the building (or parts thereof), until its seismic capacity is improved to the point that it is no longer considered an EPB.

3.1.2 Cordoning

Where there is an overhead falling hazard, or potential collapse hazard of the building, the areas of concern should be cordoned off in accordance with current CERA/territorial authority guidelines.

3.1.3 Strengthening

Industry guidelines (NZSEE 2006 [2]) strongly recommend that every effort be made to achieve improvement to at least 67%NBS. A strengthening solution to anything less than 67%NBS would not provide an adequate reduction to the level of risk.

It should be noted that full compliance with the current building code requires building strength of 100%NBS.

3.1.4 Our Ethical Obligation

In accordance with the IPENZ code of ethics, we have a duty of care to the public. This obligation requires us to identify and inform CERA of potentially dangerous buildings; this would include earthquake prone buildings.

¹ This Order only applies to buildings within the Christchurch City, Selwyn District and Waimakariri District Councils authority

4 Background Information

4.1 Original Documentation

Copies of the following construction drawings were provided by CCC:

- 1999 record drawings from Christchurch City Council.
- 1999 record drawings by Ian Krause Architects Limited.

The drawings have been used to confirm the structural systems, investigate potential critical structural weaknesses (CSW) and identify details which required particular attention.

Copies of the design calculations were not provided.

4.2 Building Description

The Fendalton Library and Service Centre building is located on the corner of Clyde Road and Jeffreys Road. For the purposes of this report we refer to the direction parallel to Clyde Road as the north to south direction and the direction parallel to Jeffreys Road as the east to west direction.

The original building was constructed in 1999. It is a single storey steel portal frame and precast perimeter concrete wall building. The building has overall plan dimensions of 38 metres (north-south) and 64 metres (east-west), and a 2090 m² floor area.

4.2.1 Gravity load resisting system

The building has nine 610UB steel portal frames spanning in the north-south direction. These frames are supported near to their midpoints by a 6-bay 410UB steel frame spanning the length of the building in the east-west direction. These frames support steel purlins, metal roofing and suspended ceilings. The external columns are partially encased in precast concrete cladding, the remainder of these and the internal columns are clad with timber framing and gib board.

The steel frame columns are supported on shallow foundation pads. The east-west frame has a foundation beam which provides moment restraint to the column bases.

There is an 8.2m x 9.9m roof-level plant deck comprising a "Unispan" concrete floor slab supported on precast concrete walls.

Around the entire perimeter of the building are multi-component precast concrete walls comprising columns, 150mm thick wall panels with openings for windows and doors and wall capping panels. The wall panels are reinforced with YD12 bars at 400mm centres and YD16 trimmers. The wall panels are fixed to the columns with bolted connections to cast-in inserts, and with "Reid bars" and associated couplers located in ducts cast into the panels. The bases of the panels are connected to the building foundation with starters anchored with grout into ducts cast in the panels.

4.2.2 Seismic Load Resisting System

Loads and the existing structural layout have been assessed by referring to the 1999 record drawings from Christchurch City Council, and the 1999 record drawings by Ian Krause Architects Limited.

Seismic forces in the east-west and north-south directions are generated by the response of the roof and external concrete wall panel masses. The load path in the north-south direction is from the wall panels and purlins directly into the transverse portal frames. In the east-west direction the load is transferred into the central 'spine' portal frame through a set of diagonal steel roof plane bracing members located between grids B to C, and K to L, with a nominal amount of load being transferred into the precast concrete wall panels located along grid lines 1 and 8/9.

The roof bracing comprises tubular CHS sections which were designed to take axial forces as both *struts* (compression) and *ties* (tension).

In-plane shear within the wall panels is transferred into the floor slab thickening through threaded rods. The panels have window openings forming deep beams / column frames that resist the in-plane shears.

4.3 Survey

4.3.1 Post 22 February 2011 Rapid Assessment

A structural assessment of the building was undertaken on 5 March 2011 by Opus International Consultants. The site was posted with a Green (G1) placard indicating that there were no apparent structural or safety hazards found at time of inspection.

A further inspection was undertaken by Opus International Consultants on 26 March 2011². This inspection included external and internal visual inspections of all structural elements above foundation level, and of areas of damage to structural and non-structural elements.

The following damage was observed:

- 1. Movement between adjoining precast wall panels with isolated instances of concrete spalling at the joints and some cracking visible to the foundation slab ring beam.
- 2. Diagonal cracks/ buckling within the GIB wall lining, particularly at partition corners.
- 3. Opening up of the floor slab construction joints.

An investigation was recommended to determine the adequacy of the current restraints used for the ceiling ventilation and lighting system, as inspected libraries of similar age and build in the worst affected areas of the city suffered substantially greater amounts of damage to those particular elements.

² Letter report to CCC titled "Fendalton Service Centre and Library, Ref: BU 0450-001 EQ2, Post Earthquake Structural Assessment", dated 26 March 2011.

4.3.2 Further Inspections

Further inspections for damage were undertaken during the strengthening design and construction phases between November 2011 and February 2012.

5 Structural Damage

The following damage has been noted:

5.1 Surrounding Buildings

Surrounding buildings have minor damage.

5.2 Residual Displacements

There were no residual displacements in the building structure resulting from earthquake loading.

5.3 Foundations

There was no evidence of ground settlements or lateral displacements, or of damage to the building's foundations.

5.4 Primary Structure

There was extensive cracking in the ground floor slab with widths up to 13 mm. Investigations concluded that the cracks were probably initiated by thermal and shrinkage strains shortly after construction, but were widened in the earthquake (see report in Appendix 2). The mesh slab reinforcing was fractured at the large cracks, potentially reducing the horizontal tie force required for stability of the steel portal frames.

Fine cracking (<0.2mm) occurred in a number of the external concrete panels. These were assessed to be of no structural significance.

No damage was observed in the steel frames and bracing.

5.5 Non Structural Elements

Non-structural damage was limited to cracks in Gib-board partition linings.

6 Detailed Seismic Assessment (original building)

The detailed seismic assessment has been based on the NZSEE 2006 [2] guidelines for the "Assessment and Improvement of the Structural Performance of Buildings in Earthquakes" together with the "Guidance on Detailed Engineering Evaluation of Earthquake Affected Non-residential Buildings in Canterbury, Part 2 Evaluation Procedure" [3] draft document prepared by the Engineering Advisory Group on 19 July 2011, and the SESOC guidelines "Practice Note – Design of Conventional Structural Systems Following Canterbury Earthquakes" [5] issued on 21 December 2011.

Critical Structural Weaknesses 6.1

The term Critical Structural Weakness (CSW) refers to a component of a building that could contribute to increased levels of damage or cause premature collapse of a building. No CSWs were identified in this building.

Seismic Forces 6.2

The following criteria from the earthquake loadings NZS 1170.5 [3] were used to determine the site loading spectrum:

	Table 2: Seismic force criteria				
Parameter	Value	Comments			
C _h (T)	3.0	Class D soil, T ₁ < 0.5secs			
Z	0.3	Increased seismic hazard factor for Christchurch			
R	1.0	Importance level 2, normal building			
N(T,D)	1.0				

Table 2:	Seismic force	criteria

6.3 Material Properties

The following material properties were used in the analyses:

Table 3: Adopted material properties				
Material	Nominal Strength			
Structural steel	$f_y = 300MPa$			
Reinforcing steel	$f_y = 460MPa$			
Concrete	f'c = 30MPa			

6.4 Analysis

The force-based equivalent static force method of NZS 1170.5 was used to analyse the forces in the structure. A three-dimensional model of the structure was created using Autodesk Robot Structural Analysis Professional 2011 software. From this the response period of the building, as well as the forces to be resisted by the seismic load resisting systems, were deduced.

The forces in the various components were calculated in accordance with the following ductility criteria:

Component	Ductility Factor µ
Roof plane steel bracing	1.25 (NZS 3404 [4] category 3, nominally ductile)
Bolted connections within steel bracing	1.0 (NZS 3404 [4], category 4, elastic)
Steel frames (transverse portal and longitudinal spine)	1.25 (NZS 3404 [4], category 3, nominally ductile)
Wall panels in-plane flexure and shear	1.25 (NZS 3101 [5], category 3, nominally ductile)
Wall panel connections to steel frames	1.0 (NZS 3404 [4], category 4, elastic)

Table 4: Displacement ductility factors

The capacities of the components to withstand these forces were assessed in accordance with the Steel Design (NZS 3404) and Concrete Design (NZS 3101) standards.

6.5 Analysis Results

A summary of the structural performance of the original building is shown in the following table.

Component	Failure Mode or description of limiting criteria based on elastic capacity of critical element.	% NBS based on calculated capacity
Bolted connections in roof bracing	Shear strength	36%
Roof bracing	Compressive (buckling) strength	27%
Longitudinal "spine" frame	Flexural strength	>100%
Transverse portal frames	Flexural strength	>100%
Wall panels in plane (in-plane forces)	Flexural and shear strength	>100%
Wall panel fixings	Anchorage strength	>100%

Table 5: Summar	v of seismic	performance –	original building

7 Summary of Geotechnical Appraisal

7.1 General

Site soil data was available from six shallow (1m to 2m deep) boreholes. These indicate 1m to 2m depth of sandy silts and sands over gravels. One scala penetrometer sounding is available, indicating the silts and sands to be dense to loose (25mm to 50mm per blow).

7.2 Liquefaction Potential

The building is located in an area that is generally classified as Geotechnical Technical Category 2 (minor to moderate land damage from liquefaction is possible in future significant earthquakes).

There is a layer of granular material on the site that could potentially be liquefaction-prone. However the layer is relatively thin (approx. 1m) and is likely to be above the water table. There were no significant surface expressions of liquefaction following the Darfield and Christchurch earthquakes. Extensive liquefaction is therefore not considered to be a risk. It is possible that minor settlement due to densification of loose soils has contributed to the damage sustained, especially the opening of the floor slab construction joints.

8 Structural Repairs and Improvements

8.1 Repairs

The large crack in the ground floor slab was repaired by grouting tie bars across the crack. The specification for this work is included in Appendix 3.

8.2 Structural Improvements

The roof bracing was improved to 100%NBS by replacing the substandard braces with new braces. These braces were designed in accordance with the requirements of the Steel Design standard (NZS 3404), and HERA report R4-142:2009 (Eccentric cleats in compression). The details of this work are shown in the drawings in Appendix 3. Also included are the Design (PS1) and Construction Review (PS4) Producer Statements.

This work was completed in March 2012. As a consequence the earthquake resistance of whole building now rates at 100%NBS (IL2).

8.3 Fire and Accessibility Compliance

Copies of the Fire and Accessibility compliance reports that were prepared in conjunction with the structural improvements are included in Appendix 4.

9 Conclusions

With the exception of cracking of the concrete floor slab, the Fendalton Library and Service Centre building sustained only superficial damage in the Canterbury earthquake sequence. However a

quantitative assessment concluded that the structure's earthquake resistance was approximately 27% of New Building Standard as a result of deficiencies in the strength of horizontal bracing elements in the roof plane. Other structural components were assessed to be in excess of 100%NBS.

The deficient bracing components have now been replaced with new bracing, and the floor slab cracks have been repaired. The building is now rated at 100%NBS (IL2) as a consequence of these improvements.

10 References

- [1] NZS 1170.5: 2004, Structural design actions, Part 5 Earthquake actions, Standards New Zealand.
- [2] NZSEE (2006), Assessment and improvement of the structural performance of buildings in earthquakes, New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering.
- [3] Engineering Advisory Group, Guidance on Detailed Engineering Evaluation of Earthquake Affected Non-residential Buildings in Canterbury, Part 2 Evaluation Procedure, Draft Prepared by the Engineering Advisory Group, Revision 5, 19 July 2011.
- [4] Engineering Advisory Group, *Guidance on Detailed Engineering Evaluation of Nonresidential buildings, Part 3 Technical Guidance*, Draft Prepared by the Engineering Advisory Group, 13 December 2011.
- [5] SESOC (2011), Practice Note Design of Conventional Structural Systems Following Canterbury Earthquakes, Structural Engineering Society of New Zealand, 21 December 2011.
- [6] DBH (2012), Guidance for engineers assessing the seismic performance of non-residential and multi-unit residential buildings in greater Christchurch, Department of Building and Housing, June 2012.
- [7] Opus International Consultants, Fendalton Library Quantitative Assessment Report, December 2011.

Appendix 1 – Floor Slab Damage Report

Fendalton Library: Floor Slab Cracking

1 Background

Opening up of construction joints in the Fendalton Library concrete floor slab was noted following the February 2011 earthquake¹. This movement was evident from relative displacements the carpet edges (see Photo 1). Christchurch City Council has advised that gaps of this size in the carpet were not present prior to the September 2010 earthquake, and that any such gaps would have been rectified as a health and safety (potential trip hazard) matter.



Photo 1: 25 March 2011



Photo 3: 29 November 2011

The cracking has been investigated further by exposing the cracks and cutting out a section of the slab (see Photos 2 and 3). A plan showing the locations and sizes of cracks is shown on the attached drawing. Cracks have opened at construction joints and only some of the sawcuts. The largest crack is located at or adjacent to a saw cut crack control joint running east-west between grid lines 4 and 5 (shown offset from the correct location on the drawing), near to the centre of the building. The horizontal displacement across this joint is approximately 10-13mm, with a maximum vertical displacement of 5mm.

The floor is 125mm thick concrete slab on grade, reinforced with 665 mesh. According to the drawings it has formed construction joints at 6m spacings running in the north-south direction, and saw cuts at 6m spacings running in the east-west direction. The mesh is continuous through the joints but with every second bar cut. The slab was laid onto a polythene damp proof course (dpc) and AP40 hardfill. Areas of the north, east and west of the floor have in-floor heating with a polystyrene insulation layer. Inspections to date indicate the slab was constructed in accordance with the design drawings.



¹ Letter report to CCC titled "Fendalton Service Centre and Library, Ref: BU 0450-001 EQ2, Post Earthquake Structural Assessment", dated 26 March 2011.

The mesh reinforcing has fractured at the crack large crack. The evidence from the exposed area of dpc is that it has not been ruptured.

The site was inspected by a geotechnical engineer and there are no signs of liquefaction, ground settlement or lateral spreading.

2 Cause of Cracking

Likely cause of the cracking is as follows:

- 1. The crack had initiated prior to the February earthquake due to shrinkage strains in the concrete.
- 2. The earthquake resulted in increased horizontal thrust forces at the base of the portal frames and forces from the response of the slab mass, causing the slab to slide and the crack to widen. Coincident vertical accelerations may have contributed by reducing the friction restraint under the slab.

3 Effect of Cracking

Besides providing a wearing surface, the slab is also required to act as a tie to restrain horizontal thrusts from the portal frames since there are no tie-beams provided. This relies on the mesh reinforcement to remain unfractured. As a consequence of the large crack and fractured mesh, the floor is no longer able to reliably perform that function. There is residual restraint from friction between the floor slab and ground, but this is not a reliable system, particularly in earthquake conditions.

There is no evidence that the cracks have resulted in movement of the portal foundations sufficient to affect the performance of the portal frames. It is probable that the steel frames have sufficient ductility to absorb the maximum displacement they could have been subjected to, without loss of strength, however this should be checked. (Note that it is appropriate to analyse the frame performance anyway, to confirm the qualitative structural assessment² results).

The crack widths on the areas of slab containing the in-floor heating are in the range 1mm to 4mm, which is in the range of expected shrinkage-induced crack widths. It is understood that the heating system is operating satisfactorily. No further investigation of this matter appears to be justified.

4 Recommended Remedial Work

The following remedial work is recommended:



² Fendalton Library and Service Centre Building, Detailed Engineering Evaluation, Stage One Qualitative *Report*, prepared by Opus International Consultants for Christchurch City Council, September 2011.

- 1. Fill the large (10mm) crack with shrinkage compensated grout Seal off medium size cracks (>2mm) by towelling in a cement mortar. Smaller cracks may be left unsealed.
- 2. Grind and level off uneven and spalled areas of the slab surface with a high-bond fairing screed.
- 3. Provide continuity of reinforcing across the 10mm crack by grouting reinforcing bars into slots cut into the floor slab.

5 Conclusions and Recommendations

It appears that the February 2011 earthquake caused an existing saw-cut shrinkage control joint to increase in width.

The crack does have structural implications as it degrades the ability of the floor to resist horizontal thrust from the portal frames and remedial work to restore the strength of the floor is recommended in addition to filling and making good the cracks.

Robert Davey Principal Structural Engineer CPEng Opus International Consultants



Appendix 2 – Repair and Improvement Drawings









Appendix 3 – Fire and Accessibility Compliance Reports

FENDALTON LIBRARY

FIRE ENGINEERING REPORT EARTHQUAKE REINSTATEMENT

Issue A – February 2012

Fendalton Library and Service Centre, Christchurch FIRE ENGINEERING REPORT EARTHQUAKE RE-INSTATEMENT

Prepared By

ran

Thomas Spencer Fire Engineer

Reviewed By

Michael Dunn Principal Fire Engineer

Opus International Consultants Limited Christchurch Office Opus House 20 Moorhouse Avenue, PO Box 1482 Christchurch, New Zealand

Telephone: +64 9 363 5400 Facsimile: +64 9 365 7858

Date:February 2012Reference:6-QUCCC.27Status:Issue A - Consent

File Location: g:\projects\local authorities\6-quccc.27_fendalton library\4 specifications and reports\fire report\fendalton library fire report 1-2-2011.docx

This document and its contents are the property of Opus International Consultants Limited. Any unauthorised employment or reproduction, in full or part is forbidden

© Opus International Consultants Limited 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

lssue	Register	ii
1	Summary	1
2	Legislation / Regulations	1
	2.1 Alterations to Existing Buildings 112 (1)	1
3	Scope of Report	1
4	Building Characterisation	1
5	Building Description	2
6	Existing Fire Safety Features	2
7	C/AS1: Part 2: Occupant Numbers and Purpose Groups	2
	7.1 Table 2.1 – Purpose Groups	2
	7.2 Table 2.2 – WL/CL - Occupant Numbers	2
8	C/AS1: Part 3: Means of Escape	
	8.1 Table 3.1: Number of Escape Routes from a Floor Level	
	8.2 Table 3.2: Widths of Escape Routes	
	8.3 Table 3.3: Length of Open and Protected Paths	
	8.4 Features of Escape Routes	
9	C/AS1: Part 4: Requirements for Firecells	
	9.1 Table 4.1/1 Purpose Group WL/CL for between 101 and 500 occupants in a Firecell	
	9.2 Proposed Fire Safety Precautions	
10	C/AS1: Part 5: Fire Resistance Ratings	
	10.1 'F' Ratings	
	10.2 Evaluation of 'S' Rating	
11	C/AS1: Part 6: Control of Internal Fire and Smoke Spread 11.1 F' Ratings	
	11.1 F Raungs	
	11.3 Surface Finishes of Walls and Ceilings	
12	C/AS1: Part 7: Control of External Fire Spread	
12		
	C/AS1: Part 8: Fire Fighting	
14	Conclusion	
15	Appendix A – Drawings	8



Issue Register

Issue	Date	File Name	Comments
А	7 February 2012	Fendalton Library Fire report 1-2-2012	Consent



1 Summary

Opus International Consultants (Opus) have prepared this report for the Christchurch City Council to support a building consent application for the proposed earthquake reinstatement of the Fendalton Library and Service Centre, Jeffreys Road, Christchurch.

The works comprise of some minor structural strengthening, and structural repairs. There are no partition changes intended as part of this work.

The entire building is presently sprinkled (Type 6 system). The sprinklers were installed as a property protection measure.

The drawing on which this report is based is attached in Appendix A.

2 Legislation / Regulations

2.1 Alterations to Existing Buildings 112 (1)

When an existing building is altered, the Building Consent Authority is only able to issue a building consent under Section 112 (1) of the Building Act (2004) if they are satisfied that after the alteration the building will:

- (a) comply, as nearly as is reasonably practicable, with the provisions of the building code that relate to
 - *i)* means of escape from fire; and
 - *ii)* access and facilities for person with disabilities (if this is a requirement in terms of section 118);and
- (b) Continue to comply with the other provisions of the building code to at least the same extent as before the alteration.

3 Scope of Report

To identify the limitations, deficiencies and corrective actions necessary for the proposed alterations to comply with clauses C2, C3 and C4 of the NZBC. The following items are considered:

(a) Earthquake Reinstatement of the library.

4 Building Characterisation

The following is a summary of the building characteristics.

ltem	Description
Building Name	Fendalton Public Library
Building Location	4 Jeffreys Road, Christchurch
Building Uses	Public library, administration services, meeting rooms, offices
Description	Entire building is single level
Floor Area	Ground 2010 m ² (approximately)
Escape Height	0 m
Building Interfaces	Mechanical HVAC Shutdown



Item	Description
Special features	Sprinklers installed throughout
Fire Separation	The entire building forms one firecell
Fire Safety Precautions	 The following known features are provided : Type 6 sprinkler system and manual call points throughout Emergency lighting in various locations
Boundary Condition	N/A.
Fire Brigade Access and Facilities	Vehicular access is provided to front of building via Jeffries and Clyde Roads

5 Building Description

The Fendalton Library and Service centre is located at 4 Jeffreys Road, Christchurch. The building is single level and includes a public library, as well as the associated administration areas. The building also houses service staff for the Christchurch City council and there is a police area beside. There are no proposed alterations to the existing basement car park.

6 Existing Fire Safety Features

The following fire safety precautions are known to be installed in the building:

- (b) Automatic sprinkler system with manual call points throughout (Type 6).
- (c) Emergency lighting in escape routes and illuminated exit signage throughout the building (Type 16).
- (d) There is (Type 9) shutdown of HVAC plant.
- (e) Hand held fire extinguishers and fire hose reels.

7 C/AS1: Part 2: Occupant Numbers and Purpose Groups

7.1 Table 2.1 – Purpose Groups

Space	Purpose Group	FHC	
Public library, meeting rooms	CL	2	
Administration areas	WL	2	
Support areas	IA	2	
Police Office	WL	2	

Support areas include communications room, toilets and corridors.

7.2 Table 2.2 – WL/CL - Occupant Numbers

Level	Space	Area (m²)	Densities (p/m²)	Calculated Occupant Load	Proposed Occupant Load
G	Meeting Rooms 1 to 4	184	0.5	92	92
G	Meeting room 5	50	0.5	25	25
G	Offices/administration areas	400	0.1	41	41
G	Library	1350	0.15	203	203



Level	Space	Area (m²)	Densities (p/m²)	Calculated Occupant Load	Proposed Occupant Load
G	Police	30	0.1	3	3
G	Loading dock	60	0.1	6	6
М	Mezzanine plant deck	-	-	-	-
Total Ground Floor		2010		370	370
Total Occupancy			-	-	370

It is unlikely that all meeting rooms will be occupied simultaneously. We have conservatively assumed that all meeting rooms are occupied whilst the library is fully occupied.

8 C/AS1: Part 3: Means of Escape

8.1 Table 3.1: Number of Escape Routes from a Floor Level

Space	Routes Required	Routes Provided	
Ground Floor	2	3	
Plant deck	1	1	

Code compliance is achieved.

8.2 Table 3.2: Widths of Escape Routes

Туре	Widths Required	Widths Provided	
Entire Occupancy	2,590	4,000	
Library	1,421	3,000	

Code Compliance is achieved.

The plant deck is accessed by an external ladder. This area is only accessed by maintenance staff. Given that this is existing (noting that it has a rectangular safety hoop and was originally installed to D1/AS1), and is used for maintenance access only we consider it reasonable to accept the construction as it is.

8.3 Table 3.3: Length of Open and Protected Paths

Space	Туре	Purpose Group	Max. Allow. Length (m)	Max Actual Length (m)
Meeting Rooms 1 to 4	DEOP	CS	36	8
Meeting Rooms 1 to 4	TOP	CS	90	38
Meeting room 5	DEOP	CS	36	11
Meeting room 5	TOP	CS	90	64
Offices/administration areas	DEOP	WL	48	12
Offices/administration areas	TOP	WL	120	68
Library	DEOP	CL	36	11



Space	Туре	Purpose Group	Max. Allow. Length (m)	Max Actual Length (m)
Library	TOP	CL	90	80
Police	DEOP	WL	48	16
Loading dock	DEOP	IA	48	8
Mezzanine plant deck	DEOP	IA	48	27

- (1) Allowable total open path (TOP) and dead end open path (DEOP) travel distances have been increased by 100 % in accordance with Clause 3.5 of C/AS1 as a Type 6 sprinkler system with manual call points is installed throughout.
- (2) Travel lengths on the plant deck mezzanine (note that the deck is open to the outside) have included the 1.5 travel allowance factor on the deck and for the ladder have included a travel allowance factor of 3.

Code compliance is achieved.

8.4 Features of Escape Routes

8.4.1 Locking Devices

The automatic sliding entrance doors need to operate failsafe on power failure.

Doors on escape routes need to be able to be easily opened on the inside without the need of a key. Any security doors on egress routes need to be provided with "In Emergency Push to Release switches" installed adjacent to the security door.

8.4.2 Directions of Door Opening

Doors on escape routes shall be hung to open in egress direction where occupant number is over 20 in an open path. The meeting rooms are not intended to have any more occupants than 20. The direction of door openings comply with the requirements of C/AS1 as indicated on the attached drawings SK 001 FE (this layout was as originally consented in 1999).

8.4.3 Exit Signage

Exit signs shall be provided and comply with F8/AS1. The locations of existing and recommended Exit signage locations are shown on attached drawings SK 001 FE.

9 C/AS1: Part 4: Requirements for Firecells

9.1 Table 4.1/1 Purpose Group WL/CL for between 101 and 500 occupants in a Firecell

For a ground level WL/CL firecell with an occupant load less than 1000, the following precautions are required:

- (a) Fire resistance rating of F0.
- (b) Type 3f automatic fire alarm system with heat detectors and manual call points.
- (c) Type 16 Visibility in escape routes over final Exits and throughout those parts of escape routes that exceed the initial 20 metres of travel in accordance with F6/AS1.
- (d) Type 18c

Date: February 2012



(e) – Fire Hydrant System. This feature is only required when hose runs from the fire service appliance to any point in the building is greater than 75.0 m.

9.2 **Proposed Fire Safety Precautions**

The following fire safety precautions are proposed throughout the Fendalton Library and service centre building.

- (a) Reinstate sprinkler coverage to NZS 4541:1996. (This is the standard that the sprinkler system was installed to). If more than 18 heads are to be altered the fire protection contractor needs to provide an FPIS certificate, otherwise the fire protection contractor is to provide a producer statement stating that the sprinkler system has been reinstated to NZS 4541.
- (b) Reinstate manual call point and sounder coverage to NZS 4512. Note that less than 40% of the building is being altered so a compatibility of sound needs to be retained throughout and sounder levels only need to comply with the standard, i.e. it is not intended to upgrade the sounders throughout. It is not intended to modify the existing fire alarm panel. At completion the fire protection contractor is to provide a producer statement stating that the alterations comply with the standard.
- (c) Maintain the existing Type 9 shutdown feature for HVAC plant that was originally installed.
- (d) The existing fire hose reel and fire extinguisher coverage is to be retained and maintained in accordance with NZS 4503.
- (e) Review emergency lighting and extend the emergency lighting coverage where necessary to meet the requirements of F6/AS1.
- (f) Alter and extend the emergency egress signage to meet the requirements of F8/AS1.

10 C/AS1: Part 5: Fire Resistance Ratings

10.1 'F' Ratings

The building forms one firecell. As the building is sprinkled throughout there is no limitation in fire cell area. There are proposed to be no partitioning changes to the building as part of the Earthquake reinstatement work.

There is a plant deck above the roof (unloading bay areas where gas fired heating is provided). This plant is located outside above the deck. The deck is of concrete construction and the deck and it's supporting structure (concrete tilt slab) and the floor and supporting structure is likely to provide the minimum required F15 rating (for a mezzanine level that is sprinkled). Given that the construction is existing we consider it reasonable to accept it as it is.

10.2 Evaluation of 'S' Rating

Calculations of the 'S' ratings is not a requirement of Section 112 of the Building Act 2004, noting that the building is not undergoing a Change of Use or any of the external walls are being altered.


11 C/AS1: Part 6: Control of Internal Fire and Smoke Spread

11.1 F' Ratings

The building forms one firecell.

11.2 Foamed Plastics

Any exposed foamed plastics need to be encapsulated to meet with the flame barrier requirements of C/AS1 6.20.13

11.3 Surface Finishes of Walls and Ceilings

Where alterations are carried out, the surface finishes of ceilings and walls in all occupied spaces are required to comply with the following indices:

In respect to internal surface finishes the following Table C/AS1 6.2 applies to new work for ceilings and should apply to other areas in future refurbishments. Because the building is sprinkled only ceiling surface finish requirements need to apply.

Space	SFI	SDI	FI
Public areas	not >2	not >5	-
Corridors	not >7	not >5	-
All other areas	not >5	not >10	-
	or not >9	or not >8	-
Flexible fabrics	-	-	12

12 C/AS1: Part 7: Control of External Fire Spread

No changes to the external walls of the building are proposed and therefore consideration of external fire spread is not required under Section 112 of the Building Act 2004. Note that in any case the external are greater than 1 metre from any external boundaries.

13 C/AS1: Part 8: Fire Fighting

There are no proposed changes to the existing fire service access as part of these alterations therefore the existing building complies.

14 Conclusion

In order to ensure that the earthquake re-instatement of the Fendalton Library and Service Centre at 4 Jeffrey's Road, complies with C/AS1 the following work needs to be undertaken.

- 1. Reinstate sprinkler coverage to NZS 4541:1996. (This is the standard that the sprinkler system was installed to). If more than 18 heads are to be altered the fire protection contractor needs to provide an FPIS certificate, otherwise the fire protection contractor is to provide a producer statement stating that the sprinkler system has been reinstated to NZS 4541.
- 2. Reinstate manual call point and sounder coverage to NZS 4512. Note that less than 40% of the building is being altered so a compatibility of sound needs to be retained throughout and sounder levels only need to comply with the standard, i.e. it is not intended to upgrade the sounders throughout. It is not intended to modify the existing fire alarm panel. At completion the fire protection contractor is to provide a producer statement stating that the alterations comply with the standard.



- 3. Maintain the existing Type 9 shutdown feature for HVAC plant that was originally installed.
- 4. The existing fire hose reel and fire extinguisher coverage is to be retained and maintained in accordance with NZS 4503.
- 5. Review emergency lighting and extend the emergency lighting coverage where necessary to meet the requirements of F6/AS1. Refer to drawing attached that covers the emergency lighting coverage.
- 6. The automatic sliding entrance doors need to operate failsafe on power failure.
- 7. Egress Doors need to be able to be opened from the inside without the need of a key. Where there are security doors on egress routes the existing "In Emergency Push to Release" switches located adjacent to the doors that allow the security locks to release in an emergency are to be retained.
- 8. Any exposed foamed plastics need to be encapsulated to meet with the flame barrier requirements of C/AS1 6.20.13.
- 9. Alter and extend the emergency egress signage to meet the requirements of F8/AS1.

Provided that the above is carried out we consider that the earthquake remedial work for the Fendalton library and service centre will meet with the requirements of section 112 "Means of Escape" under the building code.



15 Appendix A – Drawings

The following drawings are included as part of this report.

Drawing Title	Drawing Number	Sheet Number	Revision
	_		—
Ground Floor Plan - Fire Safety Design	SK-001FE	-	A
Site Plan (Ian Krause Architects Ltd)	1308	A1.01	Aug 99







Accessible Facilities

Report Template

Applicant Information Sheet and Report Template

CCC Use Only:	Project No: 6-QUCCC.27	TRIM Ref:	_

Project Address: 4 Jeffrey's Road, Christchurch

[include Level and Unit No]

If you have not used this template previously or need to reacquaint yourself on how to use this template please refer to the notes section on the last two pages for guidance notes.

All proposed features (including upgrade actions) must be shown on the plans and specifications.

COMPLETE SECTIONS 1-17 FOR BOTH NEW AND EXISTING BUILDINGS

Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
1. CAR PARKS (NZBC D1.3.5 & D1/AS1/10 (AS	2890.1), NZS 4121 SECTIO	NS 5 & F3)	
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why):			
Provided at the ratio of 1 for up to 20, 2 for up to 50, plus 1 more for every additional 50 parks (or part thereof)(NZS 4121). Although this differs from our Proposed City Plan, our Planners will accept this Standard.	Drawing Sheet A1.01	Compliant	No upgrade required
Identified by the symbol of access (on ground or post)	Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Compliant	No upgrade required
Location of accessible car park is either visible from a vehicle at the entrance to the car park area, or is sign posted from the entrance to the parking area.	Drawing Sheet A1.01	Compliant	No upgrade required
Min. 3500mm width (NZS 4121). Min. 3200mm width (AS2890.1 Fig. 2.2) but 3500mm if beside an obstruction (D1/AS1/10.1.1 Comment)	Drawing Sheet A1.01	Compliant	No upgrade required
Located on an accessible route, as close as possible to the building accessible entry	Drawing Sheet A1.01	Compliant	No upgrade required
Located on a surface with a max. 1:50 slope		Compliant	No upgrade required
Located to avoid conflict between vehicles and people, and provided with direct access to an accessible route without having to pass behind parked cars	Drawing Sheet A1.01	Compliant	No upgrade required

Form B-065 Updated: 22.11.2011



Page 1 of 11

Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
2. RAMPS & FOOTPATHS (NZBC D1, D1/AS1/	2.3, 3.0 & 6.0, NZS 4121 S	ECTION 6)	
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why):			
Where the footpath surface is more than 25mm above adjacent ground, either a 75mm high kerb or a low barrier rail is required.		Compliant	No upgrade required
Accessible routes have a cross fall of no more than 1:50.		Compliant	No upgrade required
Footpaths and ramps have a min. 1200mm clear width (1000mm between handrails)		Compliant	No upgrade required
Footpaths and ramps have non slip surface (refer D1/AS1 Table 2)		Compliant	No upgrade required
Portable ramps are not permitted.		N/A	No upgrade required
Ramps have a max. gradient of 1:12 (preferably 1:14)		N/A	No upgrade required
Ramps have landings top and bottom, extending 1200mm beyond any doorway or door swing. Landings may have a maximum gradient, in the direction of travel, of 1:50		N/A	No upgrade required
All ramps have an upstand or a low rail to prevent wheel-chair wheel from running off edge		N/A	No upgrade required
Ramps steeper than 1:20 have handrails both sides, continuing for 300mm beyond head and foot of ramp, plus an intermediate safety rail where not against a wall or barrier (NZS 4121 Fig. 12)		N/A	No upgrade required
Height of handrails is botween 840mm and 1000mm vertically above "plane" surface of ramp		N/A	No upgrade required
Handrail diameter is between 32mm and 50mm (or to Fig. 26(b) D1/AS1)		N/A	No upgrade required
Handrails have clearance between 45mm and 60mm from wall		N/A	No upgrade required
Handrails have projecting ends (NZS 4121 Fig. 13)		N/A	No upgrade required
Ramp landings (and rest areas) allow 1200mm space clear of door swings		N/A	No upgrade required
Max. rise between landings is 750mm		N/A	No upgrade required
3. KERB RAMPS (D1/AS1/3.4, NZS 4121 SECTIO			(2.5)
Footpath portion of kerb ramp has gradient no steeper than 1:8, and no longer than 1500mm			
Road/gutter segment of kerb ramp has gradient no steeper than 1:20			
Kerb ramp has no lip at common surface (gutter channel)			
Kerb ramp has contrasting colour and texture to adjacent footpath, gutter or road.			
Step ramps replace isolated steps, and are no steeper than 1:8, max. 190mm high, and max. 1520mm long, with min. 1200mm long landing. (NZS 4121 Fig. 16)			
orm B-065 odated: 22,11.2011		Chris	y Council



Cont'd

Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
4. MAIN ENTRANCE AND ALL ACCESSIE (NZBC D1.3.4(f), D1 AS1/7.0, FIG.27, NZS 4121 S		ORRIDORS, DOORW	AYS & DOORS
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why):			34) (34)
The main entrance is on the accessible route	Drawing Sheet A1.01	Compliant	No upgrade required
If the main entrance is not accessible, it has signage indicating location of accessible entrance.		N/A	No upgrade required
Preferably there are no thresholds in doorways. If they cannot be avoided, they are max. 20mm high, or 56mm high if a 1:8 max. ramp is provided both sides (NZS 4121 Fig. 17)	Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Compliant	No upgrade required
There are accessible routes extending from the accessible entry to all spaces that are required to be accessible, 1200mm min. width		Compliant	No upgrade required
If existing corridors are less than 1200mm wide, doorways off it are made wider to compensate.	N/A	Compliant	No upgrade required
Doorways have 760mm min. clear opening (unless from narrow corridors where wider clear openings are required)		Compliant	No upgrade required
Double doors have at least one leaf which provides 760mm min. clear opening.		Compliant	No upgrade required
Doors are colour-contrasted with their surroundings	Rofer to accompanying photo schedule	Compliant	No upgrade required
Doors with dual swing have visibility glazing panels		N/A	No upgrade required
Doors with full height glazing have manifestation markings 700 -1000mm above floor.		Compliant - although sidelights, borrowed lights and some exterior glazing do not have transoms or manifestatins	OPUS recommends manifestations to all full height glazing internal and external where compliant transoms do not exist.
Clear space between successive doors is 1200mm min. (Fig. 27 D1/AS1)		Compliant	No upgrade required
Where doors open towards wheelchair, an unobstructed wall space not less than 300mm wide is required at side of door adjacent to door handle	Drawing Sheet A1.03 Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Non-Compliant - D.16 measures 285mm	No upgrade required -not feasible to relocate wall
Forces required to open non-fire doors are within limits		Compliant	No upgrade required
5. PUBLIC FACILITIES (NZBC G5.3.4, NZS 412	1 SECTION 11)		
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why):			
Where public counters or desks are provided in reception areas, bars, shops and supermarkets, at east one is accessible, for both the public and for the staff using it.	Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Non-Compliant	Modification of existing counter required for compliance
Accessible portion of counter has top of work surface 775mm max. above floor, with 675mm min. height clearance under for a dopth of 540mm.	Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Non-Compliant	Modification of existing counter required for compliance
Public telephones comply with NZS 4121 Section 11.2	-	N/A	No upgrade required

Form B-065 Updated: 22.11.2011 Page 3 of 11



			Cont
Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
6. LIFTS (NZBC D1.3.4 (c), D2.3.5, D2/AS1/71, NZS	4121 SECTION 9)	24	
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why): Not a	oplicable - single storey bui	Iding	
Lifts are required as follows:			
 In all buildings with four or more floors In a three floor building when the total gross floor area of the two upper floors is 500m² or more and the design occupancy exceeds 50 persons In a two floor building whon the gross floor area of upper floor is 400m² or more and the design occupancy exceeds 40 persons Notwithstanding any of the above, a lift is required if an upper floor is used for: a place of assembly for 250 or more persons, public reception area for a bank, central and local government offices and facilities (including libraries), medical and dental rooms, health care centres Notwithstanding any of the above, lifts are not required in two or three storey hotels and motels provided that the accessible accommodation units, reception office, restaurant, bars and other communal facilities are on the ground floor (NZS 4121 clause 14.4.1) 			
At least one lift is on the accessible route			
Lobbies have 1800mm min. unobstructed depth in front of lift doors			
Car floor has 1400mm x 1400mm min, internal dimensions			
Doors have 900mm min. clear opening			
Doors are readily distinguishable from their surroundings			
Doors remain open for at least 5 seconds before starting to close			
Car has handrails on walls to NZBC D1/6.0 or NZS 4121 Fig. 26			
All controls are located between 900mm and 1350mm above the floor			
All controls have tactile features			2 2
Lift indicators are provided as NZS 4121:2001 clause 9.2.5			
7. STAIRS (NZBC D1.3.4(g)(h)(i), D1/AS1/4.0, 4.2, 4	4.4, 4.5. NZS 4121 SECTIO	N 8)	
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why): Not a	oplicable		5.07
All multi storeyed buildings that are required to be accessible have at least one accessible stair.	11000.045		
Stair treads 310mm min.; Risers 180mm max. (of uniform height over each flight)			
Stair has 900mm min. width between handrails			





Cont'd

Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
Landings have 900mm min. depth (1200mm recommended)			
Max, total rise of 2500mm between landings			
No open risers, no winders, no spiral stairs			
Nosings are rounded, and colour contrasted with rest of tread			
Colour-contrasted change of floor surface texture are provided at head and foot of stair. (NZS 4121 Fig. 22)			
8. STAIR HANDRAILS (NZBC D1.3.4(i), D1/AS1	/6.0, NZS 4121 SECTION	3.6)	
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why): Not a	pplicable		
Are provided on both sides of the stair			1.00
Have no obstruction to the passage of the hand along the rail	114.1		
Are continuous around landings (except at doorways)			
Extend 610mm min beyond the foot of the stair and 300mm min beyond the head of the stair			
At the same slope as the pitch line		(B)	
Between 900mm and 1000mm above pitch line			
Profiles are to D1/AS1 Fig. 26(b)			
Have no projecting ends, and have domed buttons 150mm from the ends (NZS 4121 Fig. 23)			
9. TOILET FACILITIES (NZBC D1.3.2 (c) & G1.1	& 1.3.4 G1/AS1, NZS 412	1 SECTION 10)	
Accessible toilets are on the accessible route	Drawing Sheet A1.03	Compliant	No upgrade required
Route to accessible toilets does not traverse different tenancies	Drawing Sheet A1.03	Compliant	No upgrade required
Minimum dimensions of space are 1900mm x 1600mm, and the layout of fittings is correct		Compliant	No upgrade required
In certain large buildings having more than 300 accupants, accessible toilets are evenly distributed		Compliant	No upgrade required
f doors are hinged, they swing outwards unless the space is sufficiently large (sliding doors are also acceptable)	Drawing Sheet A1.03	Compliant	No upgrade required
Door has 760mm min. clear opening (with 1200mm clear space in any lobby between door swing arcs)		Compliant	No upgrade required
f hinged, the door has a grab rail on inner face	Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Compliant	No upgrade required
ndicator bolt is of sufficient size so as to be usable by person with limited hand movement	Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Compliant	No upgrade required

Form B-065 Updated: 22.11.2011



Cont'd

Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act (existing buildings only)
Horizontal leg of grab rail beside WC pan Is fixed 700mm above floor		Compliant	No upgrade required
Vertical leg of grab rail is fixed between 150mm and 250mm from front of WC pan		Compliant	No upgrade required
Top of WC pan seat is 460mm above floor		Compliant	No upgrade required
Front edge of WC pan is 700mm - 750mm from wall behind it		Compliant	No upgrade required
Toilet paper holder is located in the correct zone		Compliant	No upgrade required
Washbasin has 675mm min. underside clearance from floor, and is located 300mm min. from the front of the WC pan	5. ⁴	Compliant	No upgrade required
Taps on washbasin have capstan or lever handles (hot tap to left of cold tap)		Compliant	No upgrade required
Any nappy-changing tables do not intrude into the wheelchair manoeuvring space		Compliant	No upgrade required
10. SHOWERS (NZBC G1.3.4, G1/AS1/TABLE 9,	NZS 4121 SECTION 10)		
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why):			
If the building requires showers, at least one is accessible. If the building use does not require snowers, but has two or more showers, at least one is accessible (BIA News No.131)	Refer to accompanying photo schedule	Compliant - building does provide a shower but is not required to.	No upgrade required
Minimum internal dimensions of combined tollet/shower space are 2100mm x 1900mm		Compliant	No upgrade required
Accessible shower is on an accessible route	Drawing Sheet A1.03	Compliant	No upgrade requireo
If doors are hinged, they swing outwards unless the space is sufficiently large (sliding doors are also acceptable)		Compliant	No upgrade required
Shower door has 760mm min. clear opening	1	Compliant	No upgrade required
Shower has self draining floor with no lip or upstand		Compliant	No upgrade required
Floor covering is of impervious, non-slip material		Compliant	No upgrade required
Grab rail is of correct shape, size and position		Compliant	No upgrade required
Mixing valve is lever-operated, and is fixed 1100mm above floor		Compliant	No upgrade required
Hand-held shower rose on flexible hose		Compliant	No upgrade required
Shower head can be fixed to slide rail between 1000mm and 1900 mm above floor. Slider rail is to be as strong as a grab rail		Compliant	No upgrade required
Shower seat is 800mm min. length x 450mm, in correct position		Compliant	OPUS recommends replacement of shower soat with new compliant seat
Clothes-hanging device is located between 1200mm and 1350mm above the floor		Compliant	No upgrade required



Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
11. DOOR & WINDOW CONTROLS AND LI	GHT SWITCHES (NZB	C D1.3.4(f), G9/AS1, NZS 4	4121 Sections 4,7, C5)
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why):			
Doors can be opened with one hand		Compliant	No upgrade required
Door handles are fixed between 900mm and 1200mm (1000mm optimum) above floor		Compliant	No upgrade required
Door handles are lever action, with end returned towards door (knob handles are not permitted)		Compliant	No upgrade required
Door closers have minimum tension required to bring door to closed position		Compliant	No upgrade required
Electronic access units are located as NZS 4121 clause 4.11.5		Compliant	No upgrade required
Window locking and opening controls are located between 900mm and 1200mm above the floor		N/A	No upgrade required
Light switches throughout building are horizontally aligned with door handles		Compliant	No upgrade required
Socket outlets are located 500 -1200mm above the floor		Non-Compliant - skirling socket outlets typically mounted 300mm AFFL	Not feasable to relocato all skirting socket outlets due to costs
12. VISIBILITY FACTORS (NZBC F2, G7 AND C	58, NZS 4121 215, D1/AS1	/1.5.4 & 1.8)	112-
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why):		Compliant	No upgrade requireo
All signs, information boards and all elements of accessible routes are well illuminated		Compliant	
Check D1/AS1 1.5 "Obstructions"		Compliant	No upgrade required
13. ALERTING DEVICES (F7/AS1/2.1, NZS 412	1 CLAUSES 4.12 & 4.13)		
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why): Not a	oplicable		1.1
Alerting devices (where required) have both audible and visual signal (see 'ACCESSIBLE ACCOMMODATION' section 16)			
14. PLACES OF ASSEMBLY, ENTERTAIN	MENT & RECREATIO	N (D1/AS1/8.0, G5.3.5, NZ	S 4121 Sections 12, H
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why): Not a	pplicable		
Where a sound amplification system is installed, it has a listening system for people with hearing aids			
Two wheelchair spaces are provided for up to 250 seats, plus one for every additional 250			
Wheelchair spaces are located amongst other seating, and evenly distributed where possible			
An accessible route is provided to podium or stage area, including to all back-stage areas (portable ramps are not permitted)			
			- C
Swimming pools have unaided access into the water (preierably by a ramp at max. 1:12 slope)		50	

Form B-065 Updated: 22.11.2011



Cont'd

Required Fea	ture	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing bulldings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
15. SIGNS (BUILDING ACT	CL. 47A(5), NZBC	35.3.5, 5.3.6 & F8.3.4, F8/AS1/	5.0, NZS SECTIONS 3.6 &	k 4.8)
NOT APPLICABLE (specif	fy reason why):			
Signs are positioned on walls, 1400mm and 1700mm above ti		¢1	Compliant	No upgrade required
International symbol of access the building or so as to be visib		e	Non-Compliant	Fit new sign to exterior of main entrance
Access symbol on main Ir identifies location of lift, acces rooms with listening aids, etc.			Non-Compliant	New signage required
Accessible toilets / showers a access symbol on entrance door		n	Compliant	No upgrade required
All symbols have correct lettering and colour contrast will	proportional layou	t,	Compliant	No upgrade required
Identify facilities: • accessible car park space • accessible entrance • services available in build • accessible routes, lifts and • toilet / shower facilities • rooms with listening alds	ing		Compliant	No upgrade required
In hotels, motels, hostels, holiday cabins, groups of pens houses, guest houses, old p other buildings providing acc public, accessible units bedroom, shower/toilet arrang all other accessible foa requirements) are provided as	sioner flats, boardir eoples homes, ar emmodation for th (including kitche jement, laundry ar tures and rou	ng nd n, nd		
Total guest units	1-10 11-25			
Accessible units required	1 2			
For every additional 25 guest unit is required.	t units, 1 accessib	le	30	
An accessible car park is av accessible entrance to assist t	vailable at the ma hose booking in/ou	in t		
Reception counters are acces FACILITIES' on page 3)	ssible (see 'PUBLI	c		
In hotel or motel complex telephone and tollet is availab guests, casual patrons and sta	le in public areas f	le or		
Bedrooms, sitting and dining laundries have 1500mm dia, circle	areas, kitchens ar			
If the unit has kitchen and/ these are fully accessible (Section 14 for detailed requirer	refer to NZS 412			



Page 8 of 11

Cont'd

Required Feature	Reference where found on drawings / specification, e.g. sheet 3, detail 2. (all buildings)	Current Situation (existing buildings only)	Upgrade action proposed under Sections 112 or 115 of the Building Act. (existing buildings only)
If a building has common laundry facilities, at least one of these is accessible (BIA News No.67 Pg. 2, 3)			
Socket outlets are fixed between 500mm and 1200mm above the floor, at least 500mm from internal corners of rooms, and within a 500mm horizontal dimension from the front edge of any bench or fixed unit			
Telephone, television and radio controls, wardrobe rails, curtain pull cords, are easily reachable			
At least one room light has a bedside switch			
Where an ablution block contains communal toilet/shower facilities, there is also one or more all- gender accessible toilet/shower(s) provided			
17. OTHER FACILITIES USED BY PEOPLE normal activities and processes in that building' as it	E WITH DISABILITIES Building Act 2004 section 1	(in order for persons with 8(1)(b))	t disabilities to carry out
NOT APPLICABLE (specify reason why): Not a	applicable		
Fitting rooms in clothes shops (or the like) having 1500mm dia turning circle, clothes hooks at 1350mm max. above floor (or two adjoining rooms of a similar overall size, with a drawable curtain between)			

COMPLETE IN FULL FOR ALL EXISTING BUILDINGS

Report Prepared by:	Stephen Parkes		
Contact Details:	Phone: [Day]: 03 363 5497	Mobile:	Email: Stephen.parkes@opus.co.nz
Has a site visit been carried out?	🖾 Yes 🔲 No	Date of Visit: 3	//01/2012
Has the building prev	lously been upgraded?		
	s, e.g. project number(s):		essible features currently in the building. ated: 01/02/2012
	nen Parkos		Owner / X Agent (on behalf of and with the consent of the owner)

The following pages are guidance notes and do not need to be submitted to Council with your application.



Form B-065 Updated: 22.11.2011

Accessibility Photo Report Non-Compliant Items

Read in conjunction with the Christchurch City Council Accessible Facilities Report Form B-065 accompanying this document.



A non-invasive visual inspection was carried out.

1. Particulars:

Report carried out by:	Stephen Parkes
Date of Report:	3rd February 2012
Date of visit:	31st January 2012

2. Property:

Street Address: 4 Jeffrey's Road, Christchurch

3. Report:

Space	Photo	Current Situation	Upgrade Action
4. Main Entrance an	d all Accessible Rou	tes Including Corridors, I	Doorways and Doors
Corridor D.16		Non-Compliant – where door opens towards wheelchair wall space is not less than 300mm wide at side of door adjacent to handle. Currently measures 285mm	No upgrade required -not feasible to relocate wall
Glazing		Compliant	OPUS recommends manifestations to all full height glazing internal and external where compliant transoms do not exist.

5. Public Facilities	3		
Main counter		Non-Compliant – public counter is not accessible for staff or public using it	Modification of existing counter required to provide accessible portion.
10. Showers			
Accessible Shower	I.	Compliant – Building is not required to provide accessible shower facilities Shower seat is too small	OPUS recommends replacing the existing shower seat with new compliant seat
11. Door and Wind	dow Controls and Light Swi	tches	
Socket Outlets		Non-Compliant – Low level socket outlets measure 300mm AFFL	No Action – not feasible to relocate all low level socket outlets due to costs
13. Alerting Devic	es		
Refer to the Fire Report			

15. Signs		
Accessible Shower	Compliant – Building is not required to provide accessible shower facilities Non-compliant signage to door	OPUS recommends new unisex accessible shower symbols to door









Building Code Clause(s) B1 and B2

PRODUCER STATEMENT – PS1 – DESIGN

(Guidance notes on the use of this form are printed on the reverse side*)

ISSUED BY: Opus Inte	mational Consultant	S(Design Film)	******		
IN RESPECT OF:	Earthquake Repair	s and Strengthening to (Description of Building V	Fendalton Sen	vices Centre and	d Library
AT: 4.Jeffreys.Road	J. Fendalton, Christo	hurch			
	***********************	LO1	「	DP	SO
We have been engaged		1947 - P. M. P. M. P. M. P. M. P. P. M. P.	Constrained and a second structure to the		
observation	(Extent of Enverom		S	ervices in respe	ect of the requirements of
Clause(s) Bl and B2		••••••••	·····		of the Building Code for
All or O Part or	nly (as specified in t	he attachment to this st	atement), of th	e proposed buil	ding work.
The design carried out t					
	2.572 - 631.	artment of Building & Ho	17 C	(verification method)	/ acceptable solution)
Alternative solution		schedule Name			
The proposed building v	work covered by this	producer statement is o	described on th	ne drawings title	d. Fendalton Library
Earthquake Strengtheni	ing	and numbered .6/,1	366/232/7502	Sheets 1 to 3	
together with the specifi	ication, and other do	cuments set out in the s	schedule attaci	ned to this state	ment.
On behalf of the Desig	In Firm, and subject	to:			
(i) Site verificat	ion of the following a	lesign assumptions			
(ii) All proprieta	ry products meeting	their performance spec	cification requir	ements;	
documents provided or	listed in the attached	schedule, will comply	with the releva	ant provisions of	
I, .Robert.Davey	(Name of Design Profes	alonal)	12	Transfer and the second	2#
I am a Member of :]IPENZ DNZIA	and hold the followin	1000 D. 200		ENZ, MNZSEE
The Design Firm issuing The Design Firm is a me			ofessional Inde	mnity Insurance	no less than \$200,000*.
SIGNED BY Robert Day	vey	ОЛ ВЕНА			sultants
Date 28/2/12	(signature)	Jrh.	Uluny		
the Design Firm only. The	e total maximum amo consent Authority in re	unt of damages payable	arising from this	s statement and a	nder this statement accrues to all other statements arwise (including negligence),

This form is to accompany Form 2 of the Building (Forms) Regulations 2004 for the application of a Building Consent.

PRODUCER STATEMENT PS1

1

May 2007

THIS FORM AND ITS CONDITIONS ARE COPYRIGHT TO ACENZ, IPENZ AND NZIA

GUIDANCE ON USE OF PRODUCER STATEMENTS

Producer statements were first introduced with the Building Act 1992. The producer statements were developed by a combined task committee consisting of members of the New Zealand Institute of Architects, Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand, Association of Consulting Engineers New Zealand in consultation with the Building Officials Institute of New Zealand. The original suite of producer statements has been revised at the date of this form as a result of enactment of the Building Act (2004) by these organisations to ensure standard use within the industry.

The producer statement system is intended to provide Building Consent Authorities (BCAs) with reasonable grounds for the issue of a Building Consent or a Code Compliance Certificate, without having to duplicate design or construction checking undertaken by others.

PS1 Design	Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional in circumstances where the BCA accepts a producer statement for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;
PS2 Design Review	Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional where the BCA accepts an independent design professional's review as the basis for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;
PS3 Construction	Forms commonly used as a certificate of completion of building work are Schedule 6 of NZS 3910:2003 ¹ or Schedules E1/E2 of NZIA's SCC 2007 ²
PS4 Construction Review	Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional who undertakes construction monitoring of the building works where the BCA requests a producer statement prior to issuing a Code Compliance Certificate.

This must be accompanied by a statement of completion of building work (Schedule 6).

The following guidelines are provided by ACENZ, IPENZ and NZIA to interpret the Producer Statement.

Competence of Design Professional

This statement is made by a Design Firm that has undertaken a contract of services for the services named, and is signed by a person authorised by that firm to verify the processes within the firm and competence of its designers.

A competent design professional will have a professional qualification and proven current competence through registration on a national competence-based register, either as a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPEng) or a Registered Architect.

Membership of a professional body, such as the Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)or the New Zealand Institute of Architects (NZIA), provides additional assurance of the designer's standing within the profession. If the design firm is a member of the Association of Consulting Engineers New Zealand (ACENZ), this provides additional assurance about the standing of the firm.

Persons or firms meeting these criteria satisfy the term "suitably qualified independent design professional".

* Professional Indemnity Insurance

As part of membership requirements, ACENZ requires all member firms to hold Professional Indemnity Insurance to a minimum level.

The PI insurance minimum stated on the front of this form reflects standard, small projects. If the parties deem this inappropriate for large projects the minimum may be up to \$500,000.

Professional Services during Construction Phase

There are several levels of service which a Design Firm may provide during the construction phase of a project (CM1-CM5)³ (OL1-OL4)². The Building Consent Authority is encouraged to require that the service to be provided by the Design Firm is appropriate for the project concerned.

Requirement to provide Producer Statement PS4

Building Consent Authorities should ensure that the applicant is aware of any requirement for producer statements for the construction phase of building work at the time the building consent is issued as no design professional should be expected to provide a producer statement unless such a requirement forms part of the Design Firm's engagement.

Attached Particulars

Attached particulars referred to in this producer statement refer to supplementary information appended to the producer statement.

Refer Also:

- Conditions of Contract for Building & Civil Engineering Construction NZS 3910; 2003
- ² NZIA Standard Conditions of Contract SCC 2007 (1st edition)
- ³ Guideline on the Briefing & Engagement for Consulting Engineering Services (ACENZ/IPENZ 2004)

www.acenz.org.nz www.ipenz.org.nz www.nzia.co.nz



PRODUCER STATEMENT PS1

2

May 2007

THIS FORM AND ITS CONDITIONS ARE COPYRIGHT TO ACENZ, IPENZ AND NZIA







PRODUCER STATEMENT – PS4 – CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

uidance notes on the use of this form are printed on the reverse side)
--

ISSUED BY: Opus International Consultants	onstruction Review Firm)		
TO: Christchurch City Council (CCC)	wnen/Developer)		
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Christchurch City Council	whing Consent Authority)		
IN RESPECT OF: Earthquake Repairs and Streng	thening to Fendalton Servi	ice Centre and Library	
AT: 4 Jeffreys Road, Fendalton, Christchurch	escription of Building Work) Idressj		••••••
	ьот.1	DP 81683	so
Opus (Construction Review Firm)	s been engaged by CCC		
to provide O CM1 O CM2 O CM3 O CM4 O CM	5 (Engineering Categories) OF OOL	1 O OL2 O OL3 O OL	.4 (Architectural Celegories)
observation O or other	dent of Engegement)		services
in respect of clause(s) .B1 and B2	YALMALE COMPANY WARKS	ilding Code for the build	ing work described in
documents relating to Building Consent No. ABA 1	011303		and those relating to
Building Consent Amendment(s) Nos.			
course of the works. We have sighted these Buildi			10
Authorised instructions / variation(s) No. Drawing	1076 C.		
or by the attached Schedule have been issued			(copies attached)
On the basis of Othis Othese review(s) and in	formation supplied by the	contractor during the c	ourse of the works, I
believe on reasonable grounds that OAII OP	art only of the building wo	rks have been complete	d in accordance with
the relevant requirements of the Building Consents		nendments identified a	bove, with respect to
Clause(s) B1 and B2 of the Building Reg			N22
I, Robert Davey. (Neme of Construction Review Professional)	a	m: CPEng No. 17.9.	12
		Reg Arch No	
I am a Member of : IPENZ NZIA and hold	the following qualifications	s: BE, MSc	
The Construction Review Firm issuing this statem	ent holds a current policy	of Professional Indemn	ity Insurance no less
than \$200,000*.			
The Construction Review Firm is a member of ACI	ENZ: OYES ONO		
SIGNED BY Robert Davey	ON BEHALF OF Opus		
Date : 19/04/12	NON COMMAN	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Built Construction Review Firm only. The total maximum amount o			

Construction Review Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise (including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000*.

This form to accompany Forms 6 or 8 of the Building (Form) Regulations 2004 for the issue of a Code Compliance Certificate.

PRODUCER STATEMENT PS4

GUIDANCE ON USE OF PRODUCER STATEMENTS

Producer statements were first introduced with the Building Act 1992. The producer statements were developed by a combined task committee consisting of members of the New Zealand Institute of Architects, Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand, Association of Consulting Engineers New Zealand in consultation with the Building Officials Institute of New Zealand. The original suite of producer statements has been revised as at the date of this form as a result of enactment of the Building Act (2004) by these organisations to ensure standard use within the industry.

The producer statement system is intended to provide Building Consent Authorities (BCAs) with reasonable grounds for the issue of a Building Consent or a Code Compliance Certificate, without having to duplicate design or construction checking undertaken by others.

PS1 Design	Intended for the use by a suitably qualified independent design professional in circumstances where the BCA accepts a producer statement for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;
PS2 Design Review	Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional where the BCA accepts an independent design professional's review as the basis for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;
PS3 Construction	Forms commonly used as a certificate of completion of building work are Schedule 6 of NZS 3910:2003 ¹ ; or Schedules E1/E2 of NZIA's SCC 2007 ²
PS 4 Construction Review	Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional who undertakes construction monitoring of the building works where the BCA requests a producer statement prior to issuing a Code Compliance Certificate.
	This must be accompanied by a statement of completion of building work (Schedule 6).

The following guidelines are provided by ACENZ, IPENZ and NZIA to interpret the Producer Statement.

Competence of Design Professional

This statement is made by a Design Firm that has undertaken a contract of services for the services named, and is signed by a person authorised by that firm to verify the processes within the firm and competence of its designers.

A competent design professional will have a professional qualification and proven current competence through registration on a national competence-based register, either as a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPEng) or a Registered Architect.

Membership of a professional body, such as the Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)or the New Zealand Institute of Architects (NZIA) provides additional assurance of the designer's standing within the If the design firm is a member of the profession. Association of Consulting Engineers New Zealand (ACENZ), this provides additional assurance about the standing of the firm.

Persons or firms meeting these criteria satisfy the term "suitably qualified independent design professional".

* Professional Indemnity Insurance

As part of membership requirements, ACENZ requires all member firms to hold Professional Indemnity Insurance to a minimum level.

The PI insurance minimum stated on the front of this form reflects standard, small projects. If the parties deem this inappropriate for large projects the minimum may be up to \$500,000.

Professional Services during Construction Phase

There are several levels of service which a Design Firm may provide during the construction phase of a project $(CM1-5)^3$ $(OL1-OL4)^2$. The Building Consent Authority is encouraged to require that the service to be provided by the Design Firm is appropriate for the project concerned.

Requirement to provide Producer Statement PS4

Building Consent Authorities should ensure that the applicant is aware of any requirement for producer statements for the construction phase of building work at the time the building consent is issued as no design professional should be expected to provide a producer statement unless such a requirement forms part of the Design Firm's engagement.

Attached Particulars

Attached particulars referred to in this producer statement refer to supplementary information appended to the producer statement.

Refer Also:

- Conditions of Contract for Building & Civil Engineering Construction đ. NZS 3910: 2003
- NZIA Standard Conditions of Contract SCC 2007 (1st edition) 2
- 3 Guideline on the Briefing & Engagement for Consulting Engineering Services (ACENZ/IPENZ 2004)











Building Code Clause(s) C/AS1

PRODUCER STATEMENT – PS4 – CONST (Guidance notes on the use of this form are printe	
ISSUED BY: Opus International Consultants L	
(Construction Review Firm) Christchurch City Council (Owner/Developer)	
TO BE SUPPLIED TO: Christchurch City Council (Building Consent Authonity)	
AT: 4 Jeffreys Road, Christchurch (Artdress)	
LOT	DP SO
Opus International Consultants Ltd. has been engaged by	The Ministry of Education
to provide O CM1 O CM2 O CM3 O CM4 O CM5 (Engineering Categories) or OOL1	O OL2 O OL3 O OL4 (Architectural Categories)
observation O or other Fire Engineering	services
in respect of clause(s) of the Build	ling Code for the building work described in
documents relating to Building Consent No. Fire report dated February 2011	and those relating to
Building Consent Amendment(s) Nos	issued during the
course of the works. We have sighted these Building Consents and the conditi	ons of attached to them.
Authorised instructions / variation(s) NoN/A	(copies attached)
or by the attached Schedule have been issued during the course of the work	ks.
On the basis of Othis Othese review(s) and information supplied by the c	ontractor during the course of the works, I
believe on reasonable grounds that OAll OPart only of the building work	s have been completed in accordance with
the relevant requirements of the Building Consents and Building Consent Ame	endments identified above, with respect to
Clause(s)	
I,	: ✓ CPEng No
	Reg Arch No.
I am a Member of : IPENZ NZIA and hold the following qualifications:	.ME.Fire, CPEng
The Construction Review Firm issuing this statement holds a current policy of than \$200,000*.	f Professional Indemnity Insurance no less
The Construction Review Firm is a member of ACENZ: OYES ONO	
SIGNED BY. Michael Dunn ON BEHALF OF	Opus International Consultant Ltd.
Date : 14.8.2012	****
Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named at	

Note: This statement shall only be relied upon by the Building Consent Authority named above. Liability under this statement accrues to the Construction Review Firm only. The total maximum amount of damages payable arising from this statement and all other statements provided to the Building Consent Authority in relation to this building work, whether in contract, tort or otherwise (including negligence), is limited to the sum of \$200,000*.

This form to accompany Forms 6 or 8 of the Bullding (Form) Regulations 2004 for the issue of a Code Compliance Certificate.

PRODUCER STATEMENT PS4

May 2007

THIS FORM AND ITS CONDITIONS ARE COPYRIGHT TO ACENZ, IPENZ AND NZIA

GUIDANCE ON USE OF PRODUCER STATEMENTS

Producer statements were first introduced with the Building Act 1992. The producer statements were developed by a combined task committee consisting of members of the New Zealand Institute of Architects, Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand, Association of Consulting Engineers New Zealand in consultation with the Building Officials Institute of New Zealand. The original suite of producer statements has been revised as at the date of this form as a result of enactment of the Building Act (2004) by these organisations to ensure standard use within the industry.

The producer statement system is intended to provide Building Consent Authorities (BCAs) with reasonable grounds for the issue of a Building Consent or a Code Compliance Certificate, without having to duplicate design or construction checking undertaken by others.

PS1 Design	Intended for the use by a suitably qualified independent design professional in circumstances where the BCA accepts a producer statement for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;
PS2 Design Review	Intended for use by a suitably qualified Independent design professional where the BCA accepts an independent design professional's review as the basis for establishing reasonable grounds to issue a Building Consent;
PS3 Construction	Forms commonly used as a certificate of completion of building work are Schedule 6 of NZS 3910:2003 ¹ ; or Schedules E1/E2 of NZIA's SCC 2007 ²
PS 4 Construction Review	Intended for use by a suitably qualified independent design professional who undertakes construction monitoring of the building works where the BCA requests a producer statement prior to issuing a Code Compliance Certificate.
	This must be accompanied by a statement of completion of building work (Schedule 6).

The following guidelines are provided by ACENZ, IPENZ and NZIA to interpret the Producer Statement.

Competence of Design Professional

This statement is made by a Design Firm that has undertaken a contract of services for the services named, and is signed by a person authorised by that firm to verify the processes within the firm and competence of its designers.

A competent design professional will have a professional qualification and proven current competence through registration on a national competence-based register, either as a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPEng) or a Registered Architect.

Membership of a professional body, such as the Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)or the New Zealand Institute of Architects (NZIA) provides additional assurance of the designer's standing within the profession. If the design firm is a member of the Association of Consulting Engineers New Zealand (ACENZ), this provides additional assurance about the standing of the firm.

Persons or firms meeting these criteria satisfy the term "suitably qualified independent design professional".

* Professional Indemnity Insurance

As part of membership requirements, ACENZ requires all member firms to hold Professional Indemnity Insurance to a minimum level.

The PI insurance minimum stated on the front of this form reflects standard, small projects. If the parties deem this inappropriate for large projects the minimum may be up to \$500,000.

Professional Services during Construction Phase

There are several levels of service which a Design Firm may provide during the construction phase of a project (CM1-5)³ (OL1-OL4)². The Building Consent Authority is encouraged to require that the service to be provided by the Design Firm is appropriate for the project concerned.

Requirement to provide Producer Statement PS4

Building Consent Authorities should ensure that the applicant is aware of any requirement for producer statements for the construction phase of building work at the time the building consent is issued as no design professional should be expected to provide a producer statement unless such a requirement forms part of the Design Firm's engagement.

Attached Particulars

Attached particulars referred to in this producer statement refer to supplementary information appended to the producer statement.

Refer Also:

- 1 Conditions of Contract for Building & Civil Engineering Construction NZS 3910: 2003
- 2 NZIA Standard Conditions of Contract SCC 2007 (1st edition)
- 3 Guideline on the Briefing & Engagement for Consulting Engineering Services (ACENZ/IPENZ 2004)



PRODUCER STATEMENT PS4

May 2007

THIS FORM AND ITS CONDITIONS ARE COPYRIGHT TO ACENZ, IPENZ AND NZIA

Appendix 4 – CERA DEE Spreadsheet

Location	Building Name:	Fendalton Library and service Centre	Reviewer: Robert Davey
		Unit	No: Street CPEng No: 17912
	Building Address: Legal Description:		4 Jefferys Road Company: Opus Company project number: 60UCCC.27
		Degrees	Company phone number: 3635400
	GPS south:	Degrees	Min Sec Date of submission: 29-Nov-12
	GPS east:		Inspection Date: Mar-11 Revision: Final
	Building Unique Identifier (CCC):	BU 0450-001 EQ2	Is there a full report with this summary? yes
lou.			
Site	Site slope:	flat	Max retaining height (m):
	Soil type:	silty sand	Soil Profile (if available):
	Site Class (to NZS1170.5): Proximity to waterway (m, if <100m):		If Ground improvement on site, describe:
	Proximity to clifftop (m, if < 100m): Proximity to cliff base (m, if <100m):		Approx site elevation (m): 21.80
	Proximity to can base (m,ir < room).		
Building			
Building	No. of storeys above ground:		single storey = 1 Ground floor elevation (Absolute) (m): 21.80
	Ground floor split? Storeys below ground		Ground floor elevation above ground (m): 0.20
	Foundation type:	strip footings	if Foundation type is other, describe:
	Building height (m): Floor footprint area (approx):	<u>4.00</u> 2090	
	Age of Building (years):		Date of design: 1992-2004
	Strengthening present?	yes	If so, when (year)? 2012 And what load level (%g)? 100%
	Use (ground floor):	public	And what load level (%g)? 100% Brief strengthening description: Strengthened roof braces
	Use (upper floors): Use notes (if required):		
	Importance level (to NZS1170.5):	IL2	
Gravity Structure			
Chavity Structure	Gravity System:	frame system	
	Roof	steel framed	610UB rafter, Steel purlins, steel rafter type, purlin type and cladding cladding
	Floors:	concrete flat slab	slab thickness (mm) 125
		steel non-composite structural steel	beam and connector type 410UB, welded typical dimensions (mm x mm) 610UB
		non-load bearing	0
Lateral load resisting			
		welded and bolted steel moment frame	Note: Define along and across in
	Ductility assumed, μ: Period along:	1.25 0.50	detailed report! note typical bay length (m) 0.00 estimate or calculation? calculated
	Total deflection (ULS) (mm):	45	estimate or calculation? calculated
max	timum interstorey deflection (ULS) (mm):	45	estimate or calculation?
		welded and bolted steel moment frame	
	Ductility assumed, μ: Period across:	1.25 0.50	0.00 note typical bay length (m) estimate or calculation? calculated
	Total deflection (ULS) (mm):	40 40	
max	timum interstorey deflection (ULS) (mm):	40	
Separations:	north (mm):		leave blank if not relevant
	east (mm):		
	south (mm): west (mm):		
	, <i>, , ,</i>		
Non-structural eleme	ents Stairs:		
	Wall cladding: Roof Cladding:	precast panels	thickness and fixing type <u>150 thick</u> , <u>bolted connections</u> describe
	Glazing:	aluminium frames	
	Ceilings: Services(list):	light tiles	
Available documer	ntation		
	Architectural		original designer name/date Ian Krause 1999
	Structural Mechanical		original designer name/date City Design 1999/Opus 2012 original designer name/date
	Electrical		original designer name/date
	Geotech report	none	original designer name/date
Damage			
Site:	Site performance:	No damage	Describe damage:
(refer DEE Table 4-2		none observed	notes (if applicable):
	Differential settlement:	none observed	notes (if applicable):
	Liquefaction: Lateral Spread:	none apparent	notes (if applicable):
	Differential lateral spread:	none apparent	notes (if applicable):
	Ground cracks: Damage to area:		notes (if applicable): notes (if applicable):
Building			
<u>Building:</u>	Current Placard Status:	green	
Along	Damage ratio:	-270%	Describe how damage ratio arrived at: Building was strengthening in 2012
	Describe (summary):	-270%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Across	Damage ratio:	0%	$Damage _Ratio = \frac{(\% NBS (before) - \% NBS (after))}{(\% NBS (before))}$
	Describe (summary):		% NBS (before)
Diaphragms	Damage?:		Describe:
CSWs:	Damage?:		Describe:
Pounding:	Damage?:		Describe:
Non-structural:	Damage?:	yes	Describe: Minor cracking
Recommendations		alaalifaant -tuurtuu t	
	Level of repair/strengthening required: Building Consent required:	yes	Describe: Has been completed (see report) Describe:
	Interim occupancy recommendations:	full occupancy	Describe:
Along	Assessed %NBS before e'quakes:	27%	##### %NBS from IEP below If IEP not used, please detail DSA
	Assessed %NBS after e'quakes:	100%	assessment methodology:
Across	Assessed %NBS before e'quakes:		##### %NBS from IEP below
Across	Assessed %NBS before e'quakes: Assessed %NBS after e'quakes:		##### %NBS from IEP below



Opus International Consultants Ltd 20 Moorhouse Avenue PO Box 1482, Christchurch Mail Centre, Christchurch 8140 New Zealand

t: +64 3 363 5400 f: +64 3 365 7858 w: www.opus.co.nz