**PC 13 - TABLE OF REMAINING RHA RELATED SUBMISSIONS**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Submitter**  | **Submission No.**  | **Decision No.**  | **Request**  | **Decision Sought**  | **Recommendation and Reasons**  |
| **ISSUE 1 – CHESTER STREET EAST RHA**  |
| **Peter Beck** | **S22**  | **S22.1, S22.2**  | Amend | Extend the Chester Street East Residential Heritage Area to cover the entire street.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |  |
| FS2037.55 | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **Debbie Smith** | **S57** | **S57.1, S57.4** | Amend  | Seeks that the entirety of Chester Street East be included as a Residential Heritage Area. |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.92 | Christchurch Civic Trust  | Support |  |
| **Mary Crowe** | **S281**  | **S281.2**  | Amend  | Chester Street East should receive heritage protection zoning for the whole length of the street.  |  |
| **Fire and Emergency NZ** | **S842**  | **S842.48**  | Amend  | Request that the boundaries of RHA2 are reduced to exclude the Fire and Emergency City Station site at 91 Chester Street East.  |  |
| **S842.75- .77, S842.79, S842.81, S842.82.** | Oppose  | Ensure that 91 Chester Street East is not subject to these RHA rules.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose**  |  |
| FS2084.3 (S842.81) | Christian Jordan | Oppose |  |
| **Kirsten Rupp** | **S1001**  | **S1001.1,** **S1001.2**  | Amend  | All of Chester Street East be included in the Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **Keith Patterson** | **S1002**  | **S1002.1,** **S1002.3**  | Amend  | The section of Kilmore St west of Dawson St to Barbadoes St to be included in the Chester St/ Dawson Lane Residential Heritage Area.  |   |
| **Ian Shaw** | **S1007**  | **S1007.1**  | Amend  | Add the following areas to the Chester St heritage area:  1: The area East of Dorset [Dawson] Street to Fitzgerald Avenue.  2. The properties located on Kilmore Street that adjoin the heritage area of Chester Street East, e.g. the North boundaries of 129, 131 and 133 Chester Street  |  |
| **Simon Adamson and Judith Hudson** | **S1013** | **S1013.1, S1013.2**  | Amend  | That Chester St East be included in the Chester Street Residential Heritage Area.   |  |
| **Susan Parle** | **S1014**  | **S1014.1, S1014.2, S1014.3**  | **Amend**  | That Chester St East be included in the Chester St Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **Mary Crowe** | **S1015**  | **S1015.1,** **S1015.2**  | Amend  | The entirety of Chester Street East should be included in the Residential Heritage Area.   |  |
| **Waipapa Papanui-Innes- Central Community Board** | **S1016**  | **S1016.1**  | Amend  | The entire area or whole street from Chester Street East to Fitzgerald Ave be included in the Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **Bosco Peters** | **S1022**  | **S1022.1,** **S1022.2**  | Amend  | That Council recognises the whole of Chester Street East as having special heritage character, and Include it in Appendix 9.3.7.3  |  |
| **Oxford Terrace Baptist Church** | **S1052**  | **S1052.3,** **S1052.4**  | Amend  | Seek that the whole of Chester Street East be included in the Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **ISSUE 2 - MACMILLAN AVENUE RHA**  |
| **Daniel Rutherford** | **S1027**  | **S1027.1,** **S1027.2**  | Oppose  | Remove 20 Macmillan Avenue from the proposed Macmillan Avenue Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **Dr Bruce Harding** | **S1079**  | **S1079.1**  | Amend  | Seek clarification on the RHA 8 (Macmillan Avenue) boundary, as it was all covered in the Special Amenity Area provisions in the 1990s City Plan. Why is the home of John Macmillan Brown (35 Macmillan Ave) excluded.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose**  |  |
| FS2037.662 | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **S1079.2**  | Amend  | Seek confirmation that homes/properties of iconic citizens (in all city RHAs) are clearly delineated in the revised City Plan— so for Cashmere, for example, “Rise Cottage” (Westenra Terrace), the Ngaio Marsh House (37 Valley Road).  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |  |
| FS2037.663 | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support in part |  |  |
| **ISSUE 3 – INNER CITY WEST RHA**  |
| **Christ’s College** | **S699** **[also covered under Issue 8]; S1060** | **S699.1, [RHA** **mapping only]; S1060.1** | Oppose  | Delete the RHA from the following properties: * Armagh Street – Numbers 6, 14, 16, 20 and 22
* Gloucester Street – Numbers 4, 6, 8, 13, 14 and 19
* Rolleston Avenue – Numbers 54, 64 and 72 (excluding the Heritage Items and Setting 267 at 64 Rolleston Ave.
 |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose**  |  |
| FS2037.544 | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support in part |  |
| FS2084.9 | Christian Jordan | Oppose |  |
| **Carter Group Limited** | **S824; S1080** [S814 was the numbering used in PC14- the content of the points is identical] | **S824.21, S1080.21** | Oppose  | Oppose the definition for Contributory Building. Seek that this is deleted.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose**  |  |
| FS2051.6  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082.839 | Kainga Ora | Support in part |  |
| FS101.2 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |  |
| **S824.23, S1080.23**  | Oppose  | Oppose definition of Defining Building. Seek that it is deleted.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose**  |  |
| FS2051.9  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082.841 | Kainga Ora | Support in part |  |
| FS101.3 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |  |
| **S824.37, S1080.37**  | Oppose  | Oppose definition of Intrusive building or site. Seek that it is deleted.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.23  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082.855  | Kainga Ora | Support in part |  |
| **S824.38, S1080.38**  | Oppose  | Oppose definition for Neutral building or site. Seek that it is deleted.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.27  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082.856 | Kainga Ora | Support in part |  |
| FS101.5 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |  |
|  |  | **S824.1, S824.24, S1080.15, S1080.24** | Oppose  | Oppose changes to the definitions of alterations and demolition to add reference to “heritage areas”. |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS101.1, FS101.4  | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |  |  |
| **Catholic Diocese of Christchurch** | **S823**  | **S823.9**  | Oppose  | Definition of 'Contributory Building'. Delete.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.1241  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Oppose |  |
| FS2045.182 | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited  | Support |  |
| FS2051.7 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082.1094  | Kāinga Ora | Oppose in part |  |
| **S823.11**  | Oppose  | Definition 'Defining building'. Delete  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.1243  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Oppose |  |
| FS2045.184  | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited  | Support |  |
| FS2051.10 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082.1096  | Kāinga Ora | Oppose in part |  |
| **S823.212**  | Oppose  | Delete the definition of 'Neutral building or site'.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.1444  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Oppose. |  |
| FS2045.385 | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited  | Support |  |
| FS2051.28  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose. |  |
| FS2082.1222  | Kāinga Ora | Oppose in part |  |
| **S823.213**  | Oppose  | Delete the definition of 'Intrusive building or site'.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.1445  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Oppose |  |
| FS2045.386 | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited  | Support |  |
| FS2051.24  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082.1223  | Kāinga Ora | Oppose in part |  |
| **Elizabeth Harris and John Harris** | **S1061**  | **S1061.3,** **S1061.4**  | Oppose  | Seeks that the Inner City West Residential Heritage Area overlay is removed from 31 Cashel Street and other sites on [the north side of] Cashel Street.  |  |
| **S1061.1** | Oppose | The submitter seeks that 31 Cashel Street and surrounding sites be rezoned to High Density Residential.  |  |
| **Diana Shand** | **S1075**   | **S1075.1**  | Amend  | Supports the Inner West Residential Heritage Area and seeks that Cranmer Square be included in the Inner City West Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.668  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **S1075.5** **[ heritage only]**  | Oppose  | Seek that the Commercial use be confined to Oxford Terrace and that the Medium Density Zone should extend south from 59 Gloucester Street in a direct line south to the River at 75 Cambridge Terrace, displacing the Mixed Use Zone. **[zoning question reported in PC14]**  |  |
| **ISSUE 4 – CHURCH PROPERTY TRUSTEES/NORTH ST ALBANS RHA**  |
| **Melissa Macfarlane** | **S135**  | **S135.2**  | Oppose  | Delete any applicable residential heritage area for the St Albans Church Properties Subdivision area.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2015.8  | Susan Wall | Support |  |
| FS2035.1  | Anne Talaska  | Support |  |
| FS2038.1  | Nick Bristed | Support |  |
| **Melissa Macfarlane**  | **S1003**   | **S1003.2**  | Amend  | Amend 48 Malvern Street to a ‘neutral building’ rather than a ‘defining building’  |  |
| **S1003.7**  | Oppose  | Delete HA3 from Appendix 9.3.7.3 and retain the area as a residential character area instead.  |  |
| **ISSUE 5- SHELLEY/FORBES RHA**  |
| **Kate Askew** | **S1005**   | **S1005.2**  | Support  | Supports the inclusion of Heritage Areas including HA11 Shelley/Forbes Street, and own property at 11 Shelley Street.  |  |
| **S1005.3**  | Amend  | Amend Appendix 9.3.7.3 to include 10 Shelley Street as a defining building.  |  |
| **Neil McAnulty** | **S1040**  | **S1041.1,****S1041.2**  | Oppose  | Oppose the RHA as it applies to Forbes Street, Sydenham.  |  |
| **ISSUE 6- LYTTELTON RHA**  |
| **Cody Cooper** | **S289**  | **S289.3, S289.4**  | Oppose  | Remove Lyttelton as a heritage area and instead pick a specific street or smaller area to designate as heritage.  |  |
| **Julie Villard** | **S1078**  | **S1078.1,** **S1078.2**  | Amend  | Oppose the extent of the Lyttelton Residential Heritage Area. Seek that this be reduced.  |  |
| **Lyttelton Port Company** | **S1083**  | **S1083.1,** **S1083.2**  | Support  | Supports the extent of the Lyttleton Residential Heritage Area as notified.  |  |
| **ISSUE 7- REQUESTED ADDITIONAL RHAS**  |
| **Susanne Trim** | **S37**  | **[Body of submission]**  | Amend  | Mary Street and Rayburn Avenue in Papanui are more appropriate than Heaton St to be an RHA  |  |
| **Emma Wheeler** | **S206**  | **S206.1**  | Amend  | [New Residential Heritage Area] Make both St James Avenue and Windermere Road category 1 Streets, protecting both the plaques, trees and the people that already enjoy and use these streets  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.298  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **Dominic Mahoney** | **S329**  | **S329.3, S329.4**  | Amend  | Perry Street should not be zoned for high density residential development on the basis of its historical heritage nature  |  |
| **P Tucker and C Winefield** | **S709, S1094**  | **S709.3** , **S1094.3** | Amend  | Windermere Road properties should be in an RHA, with protection extended to the street and housing.  |  |
| **Marie Byrne** | **S734, S1063**  | **S734.1, S734.2; S1063.1,** **S1063.2**  | Amend  | Seeks that the Medium Density Residential area in Phillipstown, Cashel Street to Ferry Road, Bordesley Street to Nursery Road be considered for a heritage area. |  |
| **Susan Bye for Lower Cashmere Residents Association**  | **S741** | **S741.3, S741.4** | Amend | Make Cashmere View Street a heritage street.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2082.493, FS2082.494 | Kāinga Ora | Oppose |  |
| **Mark Winter** | **S1008**  | **S1008.1, S1008.2**  | Amend  | Retain a heritage [and character status] for Beverley Street  |  |
| **Waipapa Papanui-Innes- Central Community Board** | **S1016**  | **S1016.4**  | Amend  | Include Dover Street (original workers’ cottages of historical significance) in schedule.  |  |
| **Ruth Morrison** | **S1041**  | **S1041.1- S1041.3**  | Amend  | Keep the area around Paparoa St, Dormer St, Rayburn Ave and Perry St as a heritage area  |  |
| **Anton Casutt** | **S1088**  | **S1088.1- S1088.3**  | Amend   | Seeks that Scott Street, Sydenham is added to a Residential Heritage Area [or Character Area].  |  |
| **Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board** | **S1090**    | **S1090.1**   | Amend | Supports the Residential Heritage Areas but seeks that additional areas of Hornby, South Hornby, Sockburn, Hei Hei, Islington, and Broomfield be considered  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2063.191  | Ryman Healthcare Limited | Oppose |  |
| FS2064.184  | Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated. | Oppose |  |
| **S1090.6**  | Amend | Seeks a much larger Riccarton Heritage setting from Mona Vale to the Britten stables and war memorial at Jane Deans Close.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.651  | Christchurch Civic Trust |  Support  |  |
| **Rosie Linterman** | **S1091**  | **S1091.1,** **S1091.2**  | Amend  | Seek that Beverley Street be included as a Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **ISSUE 8 - OPPOSITION TO THE CONCEPT OF RHAS, OR TO THE NUMBER OF RHAS**  |
| **Logan Brunner** | **S191**  | **S191.1**  | Oppose  | That proposed Residential Heritage Areas are removed  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS 2037.264  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Oppose  |  |
| **S191.2**  | Oppose | That proposed Residential Heritage Areas are removed |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.265 | Christchurch Civic Trust | Oppose |  |
| FS2051.113  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| **Christs College** | **S699**, **S1060** | **S699.1,****S699.8, S699.10;****S1060.1, S1060.8, - S1060.10 [RHA** **provisions]**  | Oppose  | Delete Residential Heritage Area from the following properties  * Armagh Street – Numbers 6, 14, 16, 20 and 22
* Gloucester Street – Numbers 4, 6, 8, 13, 14 and 19
* Rolleston Avenue – Numbers 54, 64 and 72 (excluding the Heritage Items and Setting 267 at 64 Rolleston Ave).
 |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.544  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support in part (S699.1) |  |
| FS2084.9. | Christian Jordan | Oppose (S699.1) |  |
| FS2051.61  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support (S699.4) |  |
| FS2093.1, FS2093.2, FS2093.3, FS2093.4 | Christian Jordan | Oppose (S699.8 – S699.10) |  |
| **Matthew Gibbons** | **S743** | **S743.4** | Oppose | No new heritage areas should be allowed as they restrict development in parts of Christchurch where people want to live. A good rule would be that for every house added to a heritage area another is removed.  |  |
| **Carter Group Ltd** | **S824, S1080**  | **S824.110, S824.111,****S824.113,****S824.114,****S824.115,****S824.118,****S824.120,****S824.121,****S824.125;** **S1080: point nos. the same as for S824.** | Oppose  | Oppose all policies, rules, schedules and maps relating to RHAs, and seek their deletion.  This includes opposing Policy 9.3.2.2.2 on identification, assessment and scheduling of heritage areas, Policy 9.3.2.2.5 on ongoing use, Policy 9.3.2.2.8 on demolition, Rules RD6 and RD7 for RHAs, and matters of discretion for RHAs in 9.3.6.4 and 9.3.6.5. |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2015.6  | Susan Wall | Support (S824.125) |  |
| FS2051  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2065  | Davie Lovell- Smith Limited for Hughes Developments Ltd | Support |  |
| FS2082  | Kāinga Ora | Support in part |  |
| FS101.6, FS 101.7, FS101.8, FS101.9, FS101.10, FS101.11, FS101.12, FS101.13, FS101.14 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support S1080.110, S1080.111, S1080.113, S1080.114, S1080.115, S1080.118, S1080.120, S1080.121, S1080.125  |  |
| **Catholic Diocese of Christchurch** | **S823**  | **S823.83** **(part), S823.131 – S823.135, S823.216 - S823.219, S823.221, S823.222, S823.225- S823.227, S823.231- S823.233**  | Oppose  | Oppose all policies, rules, schedules and maps relating to RHAs, and seek their deletion.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS 2037  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Oppose |  |
| FS2045  | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited | Support |  |
| FS2051 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS2082  | Kāinga Ora | Support in part |  |
| **Kāinga Ora** | **S834, S1093**  | **S834.333****(part); s1093.333** | Oppose  | Opposes the proposed Residential Heritage Areas (‘RHAs’) in their entiret**y** |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2044.131  | Chapman Tripp for Catholic Diocese of Christchurch | Support |  |
| FS2045.137  | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited | Support |  |
| FS2051.114 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| FS97.1 | Lisa Steele for Frank Greenslade | Support |  |
| FS98.1 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |  |
| FS100.1 | Daniel and Rebecca Ellis | Support |  |
| S834.334, S1093.334 | Oppose | Oppose Residential Heritage Area provisions contained in section 9.3.6.4 (Matters of discretion) |  |
| Further Submission No. | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2044.132  | Chapman Tripp for Catholic Diocese of Christchurch | Support |  |
| FS2045.138 | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited | Support |  |
| FS2051.90  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| F97.2 | Lisa Steele for Frank Greenslade | Support |  |
| F98.2 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |  |
| **S834.335, S1093.335** | Oppose | Oppose Residential Heritage Areas as listed in Appendix 9.3.7.3 |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2044.133 | Chapman Tripp for Catholic Diocese of Christchurch | Support |  |
| FS2045.139  | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited | Support |  |
| FS97.3 | Lisa Steele for Frank Greenslade  | Support |  |
| FS98.3 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |
| **Kāinga Ora** | **S834, S1093** | **S834.337, S1093.337** | Oppose | Oppose the assessments supporting the identification of RHAs as they predominantly focus on physical built form, and do not have sufficient consideration of historical values associated with the place. |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2044.135  | Chapman Tripp for Catholic Diocese of Christchurch | Support |  |
| FS2045.141  | Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited | Support |  |
| FS97.4 | Lisa Steele for Frank Greenslade  | Support  |  |
| FS98.4 | Johanna King for CRFU | Support |  |
| **Richard Abbey- Nesbit** | **S1009**  | **S1009.1- S1009.3**  | Oppose | The submitter supports limitation of heritage areas, including to promote better public transport options. |  |
| **Kristin Mokes** | **S1025** | **S1025.1,****S1025.2** | Oppose  | Reconsider adding so many more heritage sites - especially [in the] suburbs |  |
| **Paul Mollard** | **S1030** | **S1030.1,****S1030.2** | Oppose  | Remove any reference to residential heritage areas and make those areas subject to the same development rules as the rest of the city. |  |
| **Sam Spekreijse** | **S1033** | **S1033.1- S1033.3** | Oppose  | Oppose all heritage overlays for residential heritage areas.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.115  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose S1033.1 |  |
| **Peter Earl** | **S1038** | **S1038.1****(part)** | Oppose  | Oppose all heritage areas |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.116  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| **Cameron Matthews** | **S1048** | **S1048.1- S1048.16, S1048.19- S1048.36 (part)** | Oppose  | Strike out all rules or parts of rules as they relate to Residential Heritage Areas, particularly Lyttelton, Inner City West RHAs.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose - 23 submission points |  |
| FS2015.1, FS2015.10  | Susan Wall  | Support (S1048.3, S1048.22) |  |
| **Keri Whaitiri** | **S1069** | **S1069.1,****S1069.2** | Amend | Seek that the 'defining' and 'contributory' categories in Residential Heritage Areas are removed completely from the proposed new Policy Changes.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2015.2 | Susan Wall | Support (S1069.1)  |  |
| FS2051.54  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose (S1069.2) |  |
| **S1069.3** | Amend  | Seeks that the full implications of the new 'Residential Heritage Areas' are disclosed and that these do not exceed the current provisions of the 'Residential Character Areas'.  |  |
| **Danny Whiting [with regard to RHAs]** | **S1070** | **S1070.2** | Oppose  | Delete/reject proposed amendments to definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters in PC13 and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2015.9  | Susan Wall | Support |  |
| FS2051.47  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| **Peebles Group Limited, Richard and Suzanne Peebles and 181 High Limited** | **S1071- S1073** | **S1071.1, S1072.3,****1073.2** | Oppose  | Delete/reject proposed amendments to definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters as they relate to heritage and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2015.12  | Susan Wall | Support (S1071.1) |  |
| FS2051.39  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose (S1071.1) |  |
| FS2051.48, FS2051.49  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose (S1072.3, S1973.2) |  |
| **Duncans Lane Limited** | **S1085** | **S1085.3** | Oppose  | Delete/reject proposed amendments to definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters as they relate to heritage and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2015.5  | Susan Wall | Support |  |
| FS2051.50  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| **ISSUE 9 – SUPPORT RHAS/ SEEK MORE RHAS**  |
| **Susanne Trim** | **S37** | **S37.5, S37.6, S37.7 – part supporting RHAs only**  | Support | Retain the proposed Residential Heritage Areas  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2092.2 | Simon Watts for Brighton Observatory of Environment and Economics | Seek Amendment – oppose RHAs? |  |
| **Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health** | **S145** | **S145.18** | Support  | Te Mana Ora supports the protection of Residential Heritage Areas and recognises the need to balance housing development with protecting areas of cultural heritage and identity.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.209  | Christchurch Civic Trust  | Support |  |
| **Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)** | **S193, S1046** | **S193.2, S193.3, S193.6, S193.7, S193.10, S193.15,** **S193.16, S193.18, S193.24; S1046 – point nos. the same as for S193** | Support  | Retain definitions of defining, contributory, neutral and intrusive buildings as proposed. [also see Issue 3 on these definitions]. Retain RHA policies, rules and matters of discretion as proposed.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.272, FS2037.274  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **Michael Dore** | **S225** | **S225.5** | Support  | The history, character and heritage of our city of Christchurch should be protected at all costs  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.316  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| FS2051.118  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support |  |
| **Lawrence Kiesanowsk** | **S404** | **S404.1** | Support  | Support plan change provisions to protect historic heritage areas.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.40  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support |  |
| **Sarah Wylie** | **S428** | **S428.3** | Support  | Support the protection of heritage areas  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.41 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support |  |
| **Hilary Talbot** | **S700** | **S700.1-****S700.3, S700.6** | Support  | [Re: Englefield Heritage Area]support the creation of the Heritage Area [and the continuation of the character area] with more stringent controls  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support (all four points) |  |
| FS2051.42 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support (S700.1) |  |
| **S700.4, S700.5** | Support  | Support the retention of heritage listed Englefield House [in the context of the RHA]. [This topic is also mentioned in the evidence of Mrs Richmond] |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.549, FS2037.550, FS2037.990, FS2037.991 | Christchurch Civic Trust  | Support |  |
| **Margaret Stewart** | **S755, S1095** | **S755.4, S1095.4** | Support  | Retain Heritage areas  |  |
| **Historic Places Canterbury** | **S835** | **S835.20 (part)** | Support  | The submitter welcomes the addition of 11 Residential Heritage areas |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.607 and FS2037.705  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| FS2051.120 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support |  |
| **Peter Dyhrberg** | **S885** | **S885.3, S885.4, S885.6, S885.7** | Support  | Retain the proposed Residential Heritage Areas and rules relating to them  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.119  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support (S885.3) |  |
| FS2062.23 | Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association | Support (S885.6) |  |
| **Julie Florkowski** | **S1019** | **S1019.1, S1019.2** | Support  | Supports the Residential Heritage Areas of Otautahi, Christchurch (specifically, Alpha Avenue).  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.121  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support (S1019.2) |  |
| **Chris Florkowski** | **S1020** | **S1020.2,****S1020.3** | Support  | Support Residential Heritage Areas of Otautahi, Christchurch, which deserve special protection  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.45, FS2051.122 | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support |  |
| **Maxine Webb** | **S1026** | **S1026.1 (part)** | Support  | The submitter supports the heritage areas and is of the view that they should have a wider extent to protect the character of Christchurch.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.123  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Support |  |
| **Waihoro Spreydon- Cashmere- Heathcote Community Board** | **S1077** | **S1077.1** | Support  | Supports the addition of the MacMillan Avenue and Shelley/Forbes Street Residential Heritage Areas.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter** | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.664  | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **Christian Jordan** | **S1086 and S737****[statements on RHAs not coded in S737]** | **S1086.5, S1086.6 and S1086.7**  | Amend | Further heritage areas need to be assessed and created across the city to protect Christchurch's remaining built history. |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2099.9, FS2099.10. FS2099.11 | Kāinga Ora | Oppose (S1086.7) |  |
| FS2095.5 | Chapman Tripp for Ryman Healthcare Ltd | Oppose (S1086.7) |  |
| FS2096.5 | Chapman Tripp for Retirement Village Assn of NZ Incorporated  | Oppose (S1086.7) |  |
| **Christchurch Civic Trust** | **S1089** | **S1089.2** | Support | Support the Scheduled Highly Significant Englefield Lodge [in the context of the RHA]. [This topic is also mentioned in the evidence of Mrs Richmond] |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| **FS2037.653** | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **ISSUE 10 - AMEND RHA RULES SO THEY ARE LESS RESTRICTIVE**  |
| **Christs College** | **S699, S1060** | **S699.5, S1060.4, S1060.5** | Amend | Reject all notified changes to Policy 9.3.2.2.8– Demolition of scheduled historic heritage. It is inappropriate that buildings located within a heritage area are subject to the same policy test as listed heritage items. |  |
| **Hilary Talbot** | **S700** | **S700.7** | Amend | The drafting of these rules should be reviewed to see if a more nuanced approach to buildings in heritage areas is appropriate.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2037.552, FS2037.993 | Christchurch Civic Trust | Support |  |
| **Melissa Macfarlane** | **S1003** | **S1003.1.** | Amend  | Delete Rule 9.3.4.1.3 RD6 entirely or if Residential Heritage Areas remain included in the proposed plan, include a more appropriate and targeted rule, e.g. only apply it to new buildings greater than 30m2 or the alteration of defining or contributory external building fabric by more than 35%. |  |
| **S1003.4** | Amend  | Amend the definition of 'Heritage fabric’ to exclude ‘heritage area’ or exclude heritage area buildings that are not defining or contributory.  |   |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |
| FS2051.16  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| **S1003.6** | Amend | Delete 9.3.6.4 or amend 9.3.6.4 to remove matters that focus on the dwelling itself (which is not individually listed) and target the assessment to impacts on the wider residential heritage area. |  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.79  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose (S1003.6) |  |
| **S1003.11- S1003.13** | Amend | Delete references to RHAs in Policies 9.3.2.2.3, 9.3.2.2.5 and 9.3.2.2.8. Instead include a new fit for purpose targeted Partly accept, As the report recommends some amendments to the matters of policy for residential heritage areas that focuses on impacts on the recognised values of the area, i.e. interwar Californian bungalow |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.60  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose (S1003.12). |  |
| FS2051.67  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose (S1003.13) |  |
| **Melissa Macfarlane** | **S1003** | **S1003.16** | Amend  | Amend Rule 14.5.3.1.3 RD14 so that it only applies to the demolition or removal or relocation or erection of a building greater than 30m2.   |  |
| **Jayne Smith** | **S1017** | **S1017.2,****S1017.4** | Amend  | Supports Residential Heritage Areas but has some concerns regarding the ability to make alterations to the exterior of their property for sustainability and other reasons.  |  |
| **Emily Arthur** | **S1036** | **S1036.1** | Amend  | Amend RD7 so that consent is not required to demolish a contributory building in a Residential Heritage Area.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.80  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| **Cameron Matthews** | **S1048** | **S1048.17,****S1048.18** | Oppose  | Strike out all rules or parts of rules as they relate to RHA’s and Heritage Areas,[see Issue 10] including definitions of Contributory and Defining Buildings  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2051.8, FS2051.11  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose |  |
| **Keri Whaitiri** | **S1069** | **S1069.1,****S1069.2** | Oppose  | Seek that the 'defining' and 'contributory' categories in Residential Heritage Areas are removed completely from the proposed new Policy Changes.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2015.2  | Susan Wall  | Support (S1069.1) |  |
| FS2051.54  | Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga | Oppose (S1069.2) |  |
| **Julie Villard** | **S1078** | **S1078 [points not coded]** | Amend  | [Points not coded]. Limit RHA in Lyttelton to defining and contributory sites. Neutral sites do not have any architectural significance or historical values  |  |
| **ISSUE 11 -**– **CLARIFY HOW RHA RULES WILL WORK/MAKE MINOR AMENDMENTS SO THEY WORK BETTER**  |
| **Fire and Emergency NZ** | **S842** | **S842.73** | Oppose  | Regarding Rule 9.3.4.1.1 P2, Fire and Emergency seek clarity as to whether an intrusive building within a residential heritage area would be subject to the activity specific standards set out in permitted activity rule 9.3.4.1.1.- repairs to a building in a heritage area. |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2084.2  | Christian Jordan | Oppose |  |
| **Fire and Emergency NZ** | **S842** | **S842.74** | Oppose  | Assume 91 Chester St East is not subject to 9.3.4.1.1 P3 (a)(iv) temporary activities in a heritage area – clarify.  |  |
| **Melissa Macfarlane** | **S1003** | **S1003.5** | Amend  | Exclude heritage areas from the definition of heritage fabric or amend RD1 so it does not apply to activities covered by Rule 9.3.4.1.3 RD6.  |  |
| **Waipapa Papanui-Innes- Central Community Board** | **S1016** | **S1016.3** | Amend  | Continue to consider any additional suggestions of historical significance that are received through this process. Provision should be made for interim protection of areas (and sites) with potential heritage values to allow time for necessary in-depth investigation to be undertaken.  |  |
| **Rob Seddon- Smith** | **S1028** | **S1028.2** | Amend  | Seeks a clear definition of what constitutes the particular 'heritage' character of each area, so that it is easy to determine how any proposed development might meet such character standards.  |  |
| **S1028.4** | Amend  | Seeks that a date not more than 30 years hence whereby the heritage status of an area and the rules governing it should be reviewed or otherwise automatically removed.  |  |
| **Oxford Baptist Church** | **S1052** | **S1052.5** | Amend  | Seeks that any development of 94-96 Chester Street East be publicly notified.  |  |
| **Further Submission No.** | **Further Submitter**  | **Support / Oppose** |  |
| FS2084.4  | Christian Jordan | Support |  |
| **Hughes Developments Limited** | **S1062** | **S1062.1** | Amend  | Seek that the activity status for development in Residential Heritage Areas is made clearer.  |  |
| **S1062.2** | Amend  | Amend Residential Heritage Area - Heritage Report and Site Record Forms - HA6 Inner City West to remove references to 31 Worcester containing buildings on site.  |  |
| **ISSUE 12- QUESTION/OPPOSE ZONING IN AND AROUND RHAS**  |
| **Waipapa Papanui-Innes- Central Community Board** | **S1016** | **S1016.2** | Oppose  | Address the impact of the HRZ area between Chester St East and Englefield RHAs. [Rezone high density zone between Chester Street East and Fitzgerald Ave to Residential Heritage Area] |  |
| **ISSUE 13 – OTHER MISCELLANEOUS RHA SUBMISSIONS** |
| **Jayne Smith** | **S1017** | **S1017.3** | Support  | Support [Policy 9.3.2.2.10 on] incentives and assistance for historic heritage  |  |
| **R.Seddon-Smith** | **S1028** | **S1028.3** | Amend | Seeks an effective means of compensating owners of property deemed to be of heritage value for the additional expenses incurred in maintenance and any loss of value as a result of the designation.  |  |