

PC 13 -TABLE OF RHA RELATED SUBMISSIONS DECIDED IN PC14 OR WITHDRAWN BY COUNCIL – NOT TO BE CONSIDERED IN PC13

Submitter	Submission No.	Request	Decision Sought	
Susanne Trim	S37.5, S37.6, S37.7 [part relating to Heaton St RHA only]	Amend	Support most of the Residential [Heritage] areas except Heaton Street	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2092.2	Simon Watts for Brighton Observatory of Environment and Economics	Amend – unclear if supports or opposes Heaton St RHA
Heritage NZ Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	S193.8, S193.19; S1046.8, S1046.19	Support	Supports increased minimum net site areas in RHAs, supports RD status for activities that do not meet built form standards.	
Heritage NZ Pouhere Taonga (NZHPT)	S193.27, S1046.27	Support	Supports interface areas in adjoining high density zones being RD activities under Rule RD8.	
Property Council of NZ	S242.20, S242.21	Amend	Given the scale of the proposal and introduction of 11 new residential heritage areas, we wish to highlight the importance of ensuring that Christchurch has sufficient development capacity.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2051.68.	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support (S242.21)
Jono de Wit	S351.6, S1053.1, S1053.3	Oppose	Oppose the Piko Crescent Residential Heritage Area. Does not meet the threshold to be a protected area. Also oppose because it is close to the Riccarton Road public transport corridor/future MRT line	
James Carr	S519.6, S519.7, S519.22- S519.24, S519.26	Amend	Adopt MDRS height rules and recession plane rules in RHAs, to provide for taller villas and two story Victorian villas and Arts and Crafts houses to be altered, but	

			apply stricter limits on site coverage and setbacks to work with the existing streetscape.	
Hamish Ritchie	S687.2	Oppose	Remove sites at 75 and 77 Rattray Street from the Interface Overlay Area to the east of the Piko/Shand RHA. [Points S687.1 and S687.3 dealt with elsewhere].	
Te Hapu o Ngati Wheke	S695.8, S695.11-S695.21	Amend	Amend all relevant RHA provisions, in Ch 9.3 Historic heritage, Ch 8 subdivision and Ch 14.8.3 area specific provisions in the Banks Peninsula Residential zone, to enable Rapaki runanga to develop ancestral land for papākāinga housing. Within the Lyttelton RHA, request that papākāinga housing be exempt from RHA rules.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2037.1001, FS2037.1004-FS2037.1014	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support.
		FS2082.459, FS2082.462--FS2082.472	Kāinga Ora	Support
Hilary Talbot	S700	Support	[point not coded] Support a protective buffer zone for the Englefield RHA although it is not clear how it will work.	
Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	S823.183, S823.222 (part), S823.227	Oppose	Seek that the advice note at the end of 15.12.1.3 be deleted [refers to RD8 in Ch 9.3 and RHA interface areas]. Also delete 9.3.4.1.3 RD8 and matters of discretion for interface areas	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2037.1415, FS2037.1454, FS2037.1459	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose

		FS2045.356, FS2045.395, FS2045.400	Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Ltd	Support
		FS2082.1228	Kainga Ora	Oppose in part (S823.227)
		FS2051.70	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose (S823.222)
Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	S823.228	Oppose	Delete Heritage Item 390 and Heritage Setting 287 regarding 32 Armagh Street from Appendix 9.3.7.2.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2037.1460	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose
		FS2045.401	Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited	Support
Carter Group Ltd	S824.111, S824.116.	Oppose	Seek that the advice note at the end of 15.12.1.3 be deleted [refers to RD8 in Ch 9.3 and RHA interface areas]. Also delete 9.3.4.1.3 RD8 and matters of discretion for interface areas	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2051.69	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose (S824.116)
		FS2065.5	Davie Lovell - Smith for Hughes Developments Ltd	Support (S824.116)
		FS2082.929, FS2082.934 FS2082.1047	Kainga Ora	Support in part (S824.116, S824.111)

Carter Group Limited	S824.117	Oppose	Amend the planning maps to remove the following features identified on the planning maps at 32 Armagh Street (as indicated below): a. The heritage setting and heritage item; [.....] c. The residential heritage area overlay applying to the land and surrounding area.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2082.1071	Kainga Ora	Support in part
Carters Group Ltd	S824.122, S824.123, S824.124, S824.126, S824.127	Oppose	Oppose all rules relating to RHAs elsewhere in the Plan (ie not in Ch 9.3) eg 14.5.3.2 built form standards in RHAs and seek their deletion.	
Kāinga Ora	S834.333	Oppose	Specifically opposes Piko/Shand RHA in covering letter	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2044.131	Chapman Tripp for Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support
		FS2045.137	Chapman Tripp for Carter Group Limited	Support
		FS2051.114	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
Kāinga Ora	S834.336	Oppose	Oppose the proposed provisions controlling new buildings on sites sharing a boundary with a Residential Heritage Area (Residential Heritage Area Interface).	

		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2044.134	Chapman Tripp for Catholic Diocese	Support
		FS2045.140	Chapman Tripp for Carter Group	Support
		FS2063.157	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Support
		FS2064.151	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Support
Historic Places Canterbury	S835.20 (part)	Support	The submitter welcomes Residential Heritage areas and their inclusion as Qualifying Matters.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2037.607 and FS2037.705	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support
		FS2051.120	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support
Historic Places Canterbury	S835.23	Amend	Clarify these[interface] rules, eg whether it is a site sharing a boundary or a zone sharing a boundary. Possibly apply more widely eg to sites separated from RHA by a road.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2037.610, FS2037.708	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support
Fire and Emergency NZ	S842.78, S842.80	Support	Retain RD8 re sites sharing a boundary with RHAs, and associated matters of discretion at 9.3.6.6.	

Otautahi Community Housing Trust	S877.6, S877.7, S877.24	Oppose	Delete the Residential Heritage Area qualifying matter and any proposed provisions, including in Ch 14 MRZ area specific rules and in 14.3.f.i – how to apply rules	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2082.1234, FS2082.1235, FS2082.1252, FS2082.1302, FS2082.1303, FS2082.1320	Kāinga Ora	Support in part
		FS2051.105, FS2051.100	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose (S877.7, S877.24)
Otautahi Community Housing Trust	S877.24	Oppose	Regarding 14.3.i, Remove the last part of the sentence: "Residential Heritage Area, Residential Heritage Area Interface"	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2051.100	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
		FS2082.1252, FS2082.1320	Kāinga Ora	Support
Peter Dyhrberg	S885.5, S885.6	Support	[Retain] the proposed Interface rules for the adjacent sites which share a boundary with that proposed Residential Heritage Area	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2062.23	Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association.	Support (S885.6)
Keith Patterson	S1002.2	Amend	Amend the matters of discretion for 9.3.6.6 (sites sharing a boundary with RHA) to require consultation with neighbouring properties.	

Melissa Macfarlane	S1003.8	Amend	Amend rule 14.5.3.2.8 (b)(i) to apply a minimum 6m setback for all buildings.	
	S1003.9	Amend	Amend Rule 14.5.3.2.3(b)(v)(b) to enable 2 storey buildings.	
	S1003.10	Amend	Amend Rule 14.5.3.2.8(c)(ii) so that it only applies to residential dwellings and not accessory buildings. Accessory buildings will need to comply with the standard zone provisions for boundary setbacks.	
Maxine Webb	S1026.1 (part)	Support	The submitter supports the heritage areas as a qualifying matter	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2051.123	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support
Sam Spekreijse	S1033.1	Oppose	These whole [RHA] areas are not significant enough to be given effective indefinite exemption to intensification, especially with the buffer zone requirements as planned	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2051.115	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
Emily Arthur	S1036.2	Amend	[RHA built form rules]:Remove the mandatory 1m from one boundary and 3m from the other on new builds. Allow houses to be built closer than 1m or 3m from property boundaries if that was the way the one being removed was constructed.	
	S1036.3	Amend	Allow up to 70% site coverage on a site by site basis [in RHAs] rather	

			[than] having a blanket rule of 40%.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2082.830	Kāinga Ora	Oppose
Peter Earl	S1038.1 (part)	Oppose	Requests Council stay in line with the government's policy direction for intensification.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2051.116	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose
Cameron Matthews	S1048.1- S1048.16, S1048.19- S1048.36 (part)	Oppose	Strike out all rules or parts of rules as they relate to Residential Heritage Areas, particularly Piko/Shand RHA.	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2051	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose - 23 submission points
		FS2015.1, FS2015.10	Susan Wall	Support (S1048.3, S1048.22)
Oxford Terrace Baptist Church	S1052.6	Amend	Seeks that the wording for buffers for Residential Heritage Areas is made clearer.	
Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board	S1090.3 (part)	Support	Supports the proposed buffer between RHAs, bordering high density areas [.....]	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2037.648	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support

		FS2063.192	Ryman Healthcare Ltd	Oppose
		FS2064.186	Retirement Village Association	Oppose
Ian Cumberpatch Architects	S2076.56	Amend	Amend subclause 14.8.3.2.2.(a) to be 250m ² [Residential Banks Peninsula zone area specific built form standards – site density for Lyttelton RHA]	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2099.68	Kāinga Ora	Oppose
	S2076.57	Amend	Amend subclause 14.8.3.2.4(a) to be 60% [Residential Banks Peninsula zone area specific built form standards – coverage in Lyttelton RHA]	
		Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose
		FS2099.69	Kainga Ora	Oppose