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## Minute City

As cities plan beyond Covid-19, the concept of the 20-Minute City is generating growing international interest. The idea is based on living locally, with residents able to access the services and amenities they need within 20 minutes of their home whether by walking, cycling, micromobility or using public transport.

This document summarizes the findings of the first Aotearoa-New Zealand nationwide survey on how long, and how far, people are willing to travel to access different services and amenities without using cars.


Gender of the Respondents


250

Ethnicity of the Respondents


## 6.2\%

of respondants identified that they have a form of disability that hinders their mobility


Age groups also included 76 and over ( $(1 \%$ ) and 15 and under (0.1\%)

## What respondents want

 to live close to

Most of the respondents would prefer to live near nature, parks and gardens

The overall preferred amenities include:


How much time respondents are willing to travel to get to nature, parks and gardens


Regardless of the destination or mode of transport, on average, people would prefer to spend up to $\mathbf{2 0}$ minutes getting there.

[^0]People told us they preferred certain amenities over others. The top two most preferred amenities took up around half of all preferences:

Most preferred = Nature, parks \& gardens

Second preferred = Local shops \& services


This tells us that a 20 minute city strategy could prioritize the provision of local nature and local shops rather than treating everything equally.

A closer look at how walking preference time differs between different amenities

Time Preference for Walking
Public transport stops
Local shops and services Nature, parks and gardens Larger shopping complexes

Recreational facilities Recreational facilities Government services Education facilities Healthcare services Employment | Places of worship | 5 | 10 | $\begin{array}{c}15 \\ \text { Minutes }\end{array}$ | 20 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Respondents want to spend
the least amount of time
walking to public transport
stops.

> Respondents are willing to walk the furthest for religious or spiritual purposes.

On average, respondents are willing to walk 18.54 minutes to reach their preferred destination.

## Time and Distance. <br> People are willing to spend on average and across all amenities...



## A rule of thumb on

 Time and Distance.Averaging responses of this research provides a useful rule of thumb of how close amenities should be by different modes of travel. environment to favour walking we can increase the number of amenities available within 20 minutes

## Age and travel

## Age and amenity

The preferred amenities for each age group is:

| 15 and under |  <br> nature, parks and gardens |
| :---: | :---: |
| $16-20$ | Local shops and services |
| $21-25$ | Local shops and services |
| $26-30$ | Nature, parks and gardens |
| $31-35$ | Nature, parks and gardens |
| $36-40$ | Nature, parks and gardens |
| $41-45$ | Local shops and services |
| $46-50$ | Local shops and services \& nature, <br> parks and gardens |
| $51-55$ | Nature, parks and gardens |
| $56-60$ | Nature, parks and gardens |
| $61-65$ | Local shops and services |
| $66-70$ | Nature, parks and gardens |
| $71-75$ | Local shops and services |
| 76 and over | Local shops and services <br> Note for ages 15 and under and 46-50, the votes were equal. |

## Gender and travel

Age groups 51-55 and 71-75 were willing to spend the most amount of time cycling
21.3 minutes on average

## Micro-mobility

20.9 minutes on average

Age groups
51-55 and 71-75 were willing to spend the most amount of time using micro-mobility

## Cycling


16.4 minutes on average


Age groups 66-70 and 76+ were willing to spend the least amount of time cycling


## Gender and amenity

 MALESoverall rank nature, parks and gardens as their preferred amenity.

## FEMALES

overall rank local shops and services as their preferred amenity.

## GENDER DIVERSE

overall rank nature, parks
and gardens as their
preferred amenity.

## Ethnicity and time

## MĀORI

are willing to spend more time walking and cycling than any other ethnicity
19.95 minutes on average

ASIANS
are willing to spend the least time walking than any other ethnicity
18.2 minutes on average

## PASIFIKA

are willing to spend the least time cycling than any other ethnicity
19.1 minutes

## EUROPEANS

are willing to spend the least amount of time using micro mobility than any other ethnicity
16.9 minutes

## Other interesting finds

Without good design, the way people travel changes after dark.


Feel uncomfortable walking


Feel uncomfortable using micro mobility

Preferred amenities based on location.

1. Local shops and
services
2. Nature, parks
and gardens
3. Employment
```
Hamilton
Hamilton

\section*{Wellington}
.Local shops and
services
2. Nature, parks and gardens 3. Public transport

\section*{Christchurch Cambridge}
1.Nature, parks and gardens 2. Local shops and 3. Larger shopping complexes

\section*{In Summary}

\section*{People only} want to travel for 20 minutes...
...Regardless of how they choose to do it

\section*{Where to from} here...


How socioeconomic factors influence travel choice```


[^0]:    स Public Transport included time spent walking to and from the transit stop, waiting and in transit. Most ( $25 \%$ ) respondents
    selected "I would not / could not", however those who would/could would be willing to spend $20-30$ minutes travelling.
    ( Micro Mobility included electric scooters, skateboards etc.. Most ( $39 \%$ ) respondents selected "I would not / could not",

    * Micro Mobilty included electric scooters, skateboards etc.. Most (39\%) respondents selected "| would not / could not",

