

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF OR OPPOSITION TO A SUBMISSION RECEIVED ON A PLAN CHANGE TO THE CHRISTCHURCH DISTRICT PLAN

Office use only **F-Submission No.**

FS 01

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Submissions can be:									
Posted to:	City Planning Team	Delivered to:	Ground floor reception						
	Christchurch City Council		53 Hereford Street						
	PO Box 73012		Christchurch						
	Christchurch 8154		Attn: City Planning Team						
Emailed to:	PlanChange@ccc.govt.nz	<u> </u>	•						

^{*} Denotes required information

This is a further submission on:					
Plan Change Number: 11					

Your name and contact details:

Full name of person or organisation making further submission:*

Christine Williams

Address for service:*
104B Southampton St

Sydenham

Christchurch 8023

Email: Phone:* chrissie.williams@xtra.co.nz 021 330 789

Person of interest declaration*

I am (state whether you are):

- (a) a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or
- (b) a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, or
- (c) the local authority for the relevant area.

Explain the grounds for saying you come within category (a) or (b) above:

I am Chair of Te Tira Kāhikuhiku, the red zone consultative group comprising iwi, community and community board members. For the last 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ years Te Tira Kāhikuhiku has been contributing to decisions being made by Land Information New Zealand and Christchurch City Council on uses in the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor.

I have detailed knowledge of the process and community engagement that led to the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor Regeneration Plan; section 13.14 Specific Purpose (Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor) Zone of the Christchurch District Plan; and the development plan in Appendix 13.14.6.1

Note to person making further submission

A further submission can only support or oppose an original submission listed in the summary. It is not an opportunity to make a fresh submission on matters not raised in the submissions.

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days of making the further submission to the Council.

I support / oppose (choose one) the submission of:*

(Please insert the name and address of the original submitter, and submission number of the original submission. If you are making a further submission on multiple submitters, please use the **table form** on the last page and make sure it is attached.)

See table below/attached

(You should clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose (state S and D number as shown in the summary of submission), together with the relevant provision of the proposed Plan Change.) See table below/attached The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:* (Please give precise details) See table below/attached I seek that the whole or part of the submission be allowed / disallowed:* (Please specify the relevant parts) See table below/attached Please indicate by ticking the relevant box whether you wish to be heard in support of your further submission.* I wish to / I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my further submission. Joint submissions (Please tick this box if you agree) ☐ If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. If you have used extra sheets for this submission, please attached them to this form and indicate this below* Yes, I have attached extra sheets. No, I have not attached extra sheets. **Signature of submitter** (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means. houssi Williams Date: 24 October 2022

The particular parts of the submission that I support / oppose (choose one) are:*

Submissions are public information

Signature: (

The information requested in this submission, including your contact details is required by the Resource Management Act 1991. A copy of your submission will be made available for inspection at all Council service centres and libraries in accordance with the requirements of the Act. A document summarising all submissions and including names and addresses of submitters will be posted on the Council's website.

If you consider there are compelling reasons why your contact details should be kept confidential, you should contact the Statutory Administration Adviser at 941 8999.

Submitter Name and Address for service*	Submission No.	Decision No.	Support or Oppose	Reasons for support / opposition	Decision sought
Glenara Family Trust, C/- David Mountfort 259A Ashgrove Tce Christchurch david@mountfortplannin g.co.nz	29	D 29.1 D 29.2	Oppose	This submission from the Glenara Family Trust, the Plan Change applicant, changes the intent of Plan Change. The proposed change in this submission is very significant. A submission is not the correct place to make such a change to a Plan Change. If the applicant requires this change a new Plan Change request should be submitted, and the plan change process be re-started with new public notification, request for submissions etc. Depending on the progression of PC14 and Government decisions, MDR zoning could lead to even higher density of that proposed in PC11.	I seek the whole submission is disallowed .
Green Wood Roche Attn: Lauren Semple PO Box 139 Christchurch Lauren@greenwoodroch e.com	71	D 71.1	Support	I support the whole submission. I support submission points 2.1 and 2.10 that the Regeneration Plan and SPOARC Zone allow for remaining private property owners to continue pre-earthquake activities (13.14.2.1.4 Policy - Continuation of Pre-Earthquake Activities and Appendix 13.14.6.2 Pre-Earthquake Activities List) but this does NOT apply to properties outside those listed in Appendix 13.14.6.2. I support submission point 2.3 that PC11 and the S32 Report do not adequately demonstrate that the objectives for natural regeneration in the Green Spine will not be compromised. In fact the AEE states "the proposal will not contribute to natural regeneration, natural hazard mitigation, accessibility, recreational or cultural purpose in subclause (a) of 13.14.2.1 Objective — Regeneration. I support submission point 2.8 that the SPOARC Zone provides for a consent pathway for land uses that are not identified as preferred in the Regeneration Plan, and that seeking residential development via a plan change undermines the consenting pathway already available in the SPOARC Zone. I support submission point 2.9 that amalgamation of units in the Relegation Plan is intended. and in fact is currently being undertaken by Land Information New Zealand, and that subdivision of sites was not anticipated and is counter to the intention of the Regeneration Plan.	I seek the whole submission is allowed.



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF OR OPPOSITION TO A SUBMISSION RECEIVED ON A PLAN CHANGE TO THE CHRISTCHURCH DISTRICT PLAN

Office use only **F-Submission No.**

FS 02

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Submissions can be:			
Posted to:	City Planning Team	Delivered to:	Ground floor reception
	Christchurch City Council		53 Hereford Street
	PO Box 73012		Christchurch
	Christchurch 8154		Attn: City Planning Team
Emailed to:	PlanChange@ccc.govt.nz		

This is a further submission on:							
Plan Change Number:*	Private Plan Change 11						

Your name and contact details:					
Full name of person or organisation making further submission	·* ·				
Netta Brown					
Address for service:*					
PO Box 2020, Christchurch Centre, Christchurch 8140					
Email:	Phone:*				
post@xtra.co.nz	027 262 3456				

Person of interest declaration*

I am (state whether you are):

- (a) a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or
- (b) a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has, or
- (c) the local authority for the relevant area.

Explain the grounds for saying you come within category (a) or (b) above:

For years I have been trying to secure reserve status for this precious, irreplaceable amenity area to save and nurture and restore this tiny iconic New Zealand landscape and ecosystem for itself and for the long-term health and well-being of the community.

In this case it is for a small (hardly more than 1km²) watercourse ecosystem /conservation / recreation / nature area and internationally-important landscape amenity comprised of ALL redzone land (along both sides of the Avon) from FitzGerald to Goucester/Gayhurst).

Two years ago I applied to the Dept of Conservation which beneficially held the land administered by LINZ because much of this land is within the riparian zone deemed conservation land by the Conservation Act. The application was also copied to all members of the Council. I will gladly produce a copy at any hearing. I am still seeking reserve status and hoping to teach the Council beureaucrats how to see.... to have vision.... the Council can effect preservation for future generations for the next 100 years..... and not allow this heaven-sent amenity to be killed off by commercial or non-commercial farms, intense housing, or other commercial or non-commercial enterprises eating away at the so-called 'edges' and interior.

The financial benefit of this area as a reserve is measured in the physical, mental, and emotional health and well-being of the community at large and the long-term benefits it confers for the general good of the whole population and the very fact that access is free and this is our nature and our country. Naturally, the fragile ecosystem it comprises is priceless and no local authority can override the national

^{*} Denotes required information

plans, policies and strategies that dictate these areas be saved for its value as a carbon sink, water and temperature moderator, biodiversity and conservation. Every bit of this space is required to function as a whole, to bring back birdsong, insects, molluscs, invertebrates, healthy river plants and natural food, areas to forage and nest to support a variety of riparian species. It is currently a garbage dump and the most polluted river in New Zealand. We have to nurture the whole back to health and that will require conserving every bit of space the so-called 'edges' are part of the riparian zone, but also serve as critical buffer spaces the city should be trying to expand the area not reduce it It is a place where school groups already go to learn about the nature in our own backyard. It is profoundly more beautiful and accessible than Hagley or Little Hagley and has far greater potential as a park and reserve. It is here where we need it most those of us in the East cannot reach Hagley. The so-called 'edges' are the important buffers, like bumpers on a car critical to the whole. If any part of it is lost - especially along the so-called 'edges' or any small part anywhere the whole ecosystem will collapse as it has done largely already.
Note to person making further submission
A further submission can only support or oppose an original submission listed in the summary. It is not an opportunity to make a fresh submission on matters not raised in the submissions. A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days of making the further submission to the Council.
I support / oppose (choose one) the submission of:*
(Please insert the name and address of the original submitter, and submission number of the original submission. If you are making a further submission on multiple submitters, please use the table form on the last page and make sure it is attached.) Please see the Table following.
The particular parts of the submission that I support / oppose (choose one) are:*
(You should clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose (state S and D number as shown in the summary of submission), together with the relevant provision of the proposed Plan Change.)
Please see the Table following.
The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:* (Please give precise details)
Please see the Table following.

I seek that the whole or part of the submission be allowed / disallowed:* (Please specify the relevant parts)								
Please see the Table following.								
Please indicate by ticking the relevant box wheth submission.*	ner you wish to be heard in support of your further							
I wish to / I do not wish to speak at	the hearing in support of my further submission.							
Joint submissions (Please tick this box if you agree	e)							
☑ If others make a similar submission, I will cons	sider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.							
If you have used extra sheets for this submission below*	, please attached them to this form and indicate this							
○ Yes, I have attached extra sheets.	○ No, I have not attached extra sheets.							
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to si A signature is not required if you make your submission by ele								
Signature:	Date: Date.							
A copy of your submission will be made available for inspectithe requirements of the Act. A document summarising all suposted on the Council's website.	contact details is required by the Resource Management Act 1991. on at all Council service centres and libraries in accordance with bmissions and including names and addresses of submitters will be							
If you consider there are compelling reasons why your contact details should be kept confidential, you should contact the Statutory Administration Adviser at 941 8999.								

Submitter Name and Address for service*	Submission No.	Decision No.	Support or Oppose*	Reasons for support / opposition* (Please give precise details)	Decision sought (I seek the whole or part of the submission to be allowed / disallowed)* (Please specify the relevant parts)
Andrew Kendrick; 121 Gayhurst Rd Dallington	S1	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the whole plan change and seeks a change in the District Plan to keep it from happening again.	This submission must be allowed; I agree the Council must change the District Plan so that this never happens again; and that can be achieved by declaring this area to be part of the whole Avonside Reserve from Fitzgerald to Gloucester/ Gayhurst- non-residential, non-commercial; I also agree there is a smell of fraud about the way this billion-dollar land was given away for free
Philippa Manningsmith; 566 Hereford St	S2	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission in that it opposes the plan change entirely	I seek the part of the submission that should be allowed is the part that opposes the whole plan change. The hard part has been done-0 freeing up this riparian ecosystem now we must preserve what so many have sacrificed so it can be saved as a reserve.
Garry Thompson; 22 Chardale St., Chch	\$3	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely.	I seek all this submission be allowed and the plan change declined because so many people have lost so much and endured so much so that this land can be saved as part of an ecosystem reserve for posterity and for all the public to use and enjoy and to bring some wildlife back to this area.
Joanne Byrne; 29 Patrick St	S4	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely.	I seek that this submission be allowed and the plan change declined because, as it says, so many people were coerced off their land in order to make this incredible nature reserve area and that is what is should be - a reserve- for nature and for the public and never ever let any single person profit after so much has been sacrificed for the whole.

Tane Robinson; 65 Strathfield Ave, Dallington	\$5	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely.	I seek this submission be allowed in entirety and the plan change declined, because, as it says, so many people have sacrificed so much to enable this magnificent reserve which would be destoyedif anyone disturbs it in any way and I also support it because it mentions the 'payment to the right people' and it is the multi-millionaire developers who are doing this - going after this billion-dollar low-hanging fruit and it is also self-evident that many of the planners and commissioners see it their mission to enable the flattering developers and are in the pockets of the moneyed lawyers and developers and have literally never opposed them and never put conservation first
Chris Fulton; 6 Mountbatten St	S6	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely;	I seek this submission be entirely allowed and the plan change declined as this says so many people lost everything to create this space, and as it says, "The red zone is stunningly beautiful as nature has reclaimed it and the council and powers that be should be looking to protect it, not destroy it for profit."; I do not support offering the land back to previous owners
Yannick Carpenter; 66 McGregors Rd	S7	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely	I seek this submission be entirely allowed; and the land is not inhabitable because it must be saved as a reserve and restored
JoanneChurcher; 45a Swanns Rd, Richmond, Chch 8013	S9	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely	I seek this submission be entirely allowed; and the plan change declined entirely; and I agree the redzone cannot exist as a crazy- quilt it must be preserved as a whole with

					the 'edges' the all-important bumpers and essential buffer zones and these areas will be fantastic when planted in natives and enjoyed by all who drive by just as they now enjoy driving down harper Ave between Hagley and Little Hagley acclaimed landscape increases mental well-being and the redzone cannot be chopped up any more than it already isplease note the riparian obligates ignore the roads in the way and risk their lives to get to these grassy areas away from the waters edge to forest, rest, nest, etc lots of worms after the rain; I hope this submitter follows through on the threat to go national to the MP and media if any bit of this redzone is lost
Ashley Campbell;149A Tancred St, North Linwood	S10	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely;	I seek this submission be allowed in that it opposes the plan change; but the land should be in reserve status not private ownership possibly taken by the Public Works Act there is no guarantee anywhere that people can profit from property
Ewout van Bruchem; 47 Swanns Rd, Chch	S12	D1-D5	Support	I support this submission because it opposes the plan change entirely;	I seek this submission be allowed entirely; a green belt for nature and the public; I agree it is at least 30 degrees cooler out there than in the city where the Avon sides are horrible commercially developed to ludicrous waste
Charlene Herring; 2 Clifton Bay, Clifton Chch	S15	D1-D5	Support only in part	I support that this land not be transferred to list in Appendices but I oppose the transfer of any of this land to private ownerships	I seek this submission be not allowed only in not allowing the transfer to the list in the appendices but I oppose any transfer of any redzone land to any private ownership

David Newton; 107 Normans Rd Chch 8052	S16	D1-D5	Support	I totally support this submission	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes development of the so-called 'edge' of the redzone which is in fact the heart of the riparian zone - not an 'edge'
Juliet Neill 2 Benchley Rd Littleton	S17	D1-D5	Support	I totally support the submission because it totally opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; I totally support the submission because it totally opposes the plan change; I agree so many sacrifieced so much so that we now have this fabulous public ecological reserve; and that many weeks of the year it is under water after rain and increasingly frequently; and 'green spine' means just that it cannot be broken up by housing, etc; the area is a sponge; stay transparent; keep the public informed
Helmut Berie; 24 Fairway Dr, Shirley	S18	D1-D5	Support only in part that opposes the plan change	Support only in that this opposes the plan change; but oppose letting private people buy land	Support only in that this opposes the plan change; but oppose letting private people buy land
Rebecca Finch; 14 Howard St, Chch 8024	\$19	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; and no residential buildings allowed; too many have sacrificed too much
Heidi Connoly; 212 Oliviers Rd, Linwood	S20	D1-D5	support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; I agree there is too much ober-building and no housing shortage; and we need to preserve the green space; and keep Chch beautiful peaceful not a crowded slum

Kathleen Carney; 14 B Onepu Lane, Manly	S21	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; and so many of us have been through this kind of devastation and loss and years of fighting with EQC, etc. too; suffered so much; now this billion-dollar land must be preserved for nature and the public;
Amr El Sawaf; 321a Greers Rd	S22	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; yes, we need more green space - not less- yes, reserve, yes, greenspace, yes- lack of transparency- smacking of illegality/fraud
Celia Hogan;6 Heybridge Lane, Hillsborough	S25	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree the transfer smacks of fraud; agree promises broken; agree it is the thin edge of the wedge; and I feel the property should be taken back under the Public Works Act to make a reserve; since it cannot be built upon and the owners had a chance to take a deal from the govt. and took the risk and should pay the consequences; plan changes were not part of the plan of anyone I agree totally the land should be taken back it should be rendered useless to the owners because they cannot build on it and so it should be taken back yes we need the courage of vision
Michael Arthur Robinson; 2 Baladin St, Avondale	S26	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change;

Hayley Guglietta; 3 Forth St	S27	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree that redzone should be covenanted as public reserve in perpetuity; agree 100% - wanted natural green space; agree this makes a mockery of promises and plans; agree the greed of one multi-millionaire who already owns a million-dollar property there should not benefit while the entire public suffers and the entire natural ecosystem collapses for loss of this critical riparian buffer zone; however, I oppose allowing the owner to continue as the space has to be managed properly (native trees and bushes) and the land should be taken back under the Public Works Act or the transfer voided
David Mountfort; 259A Ashgrove Tce Somerfield; Glenarra Family Trust	S29	D1-D5	OPPOSE	I oppose this submission entirely because it is made by the person requesting the plan change	I oppose this submission entirely because it is made by the person requesting the plan change; unethical and unconscionable to appear on this forum; question if undue pressure applied on LINZ to effect this transfer and excessive flattery on planners
Regina Speer; 156 Huxley St	S30	D1-D5	Support	Support in entirety because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in entirety because it opposes the plan change; agree it is a threat to green corridor and would cause collapse; agree no transparency
Juliana Venning; Bird Cottage; 2/24 Oxley Ave	S31	D1-D5	Support	Support in entirety because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in entirety because it opposes the plan change; agree fragile ecosystem needs careful nurturing; riparian obligates need

					shade, clean water, proper aquatic plants; etc. as only glimpsed now in Little Hagley
Katie Robinson; 34 Achilles St	S32	D1-D5	Support only part	Support only in opposing plan change	Support only in opposing plan change; oppose giving any land back to anybody
Brenda Banning; 29 Averill St Richmond	S33	D1-D5	Support	Support in entirety because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in entirety because it opposes the plan change; agree this area is often flooded, with increasing frequency
Chris Manson; PO Box 10388 Phillipstown	S34	D1-D5	Support in part	Support in part that opposes the plan change	Support in part that opposes the plan change; oppose offering any land back to anybody
Gae Johns; 7 Herriot Close; Burwood	S35	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree it sets a bad precedent; agree no land to developers; agree need designated reserve; agree it makes of mockery of the sacrifice of so many
Jennifer Dalziel, 62 Chancellor St, Chch	s36	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree not in the plan, not part of 'green spine' public access; agree, notification virtually secret, agree cross lease payout would have been more than generous after parties declined earlier govt offers
Hamish West, 14 Lookaway Place Huntsbury	S37	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree it benefits one multi-millionaires who already owns the multi-unit complex there,

					and destroys the area for the other 5 million New Zealanders and the ecosystem there
Sylvia Thompson-Kriek; 65 Laing Cresc.	\$38	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree only the millionaires could afford not to take govt first offer; rich took a gamble and now should lose-not profit off back of others; agree entirely there should be no 'edge housing overlay' whatsoever anywhere whose stupid idea was that?
Harrison McEvoy 131 B (unit 1) Mc Fadden's Rd, St Albans	\$39	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree unfair, improper, and no property developments in the redzone - ever-even on so-called 'edge' which is not in fact an edge
Tanya Utting, 6 Chorley PI, St Martins, Chch	S40	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree no property developers; agree this is public land and nature land
John McKirdy, 253 Sparks RD	S41	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; however, there is no right to resume pre- quake activities in this area- ever- no; the only thing these people have is the multi- unit million-dollar property nearby
Tanya Didham, 49 Yarmouth St, Aranui 8061	S42	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change	Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree it undermines the long-term vision; agree it is offensive to those whose properties were deemed worthless; however, I oppose any 'overlays' whatsoever all the space is needed to

					regenerate the riparian and aquatic zones and the buffer zone - we can do this but not if there are 'overlays' of any sort; oppose so-called co-governance - especially race-based - only people voted in have governance and it is hard enough to get things sorted with them; this area is little more than the size of a lifestyle block and everybody wants to be in charge of it the greatest blessing is that nothing has been done with it for 10 years so it has healed itself and only needs judicious assistance
Simon Watts 213 Rocking Horse RD	S43, 44	D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change;	I seek that this submission be allowed; Support in that it opposes the plan change; agree vision for peaceful enjoyment;
		D1-D5	Support	Support in that it opposes the plan change; oppose Heathcote Valley private owner model	Support in that it opposes the plan change; oppose Heathcote private property embellishment scheme, prefer that when any property becomes available, it be bought by the city, and added to the reserve
Chrissie Williams; 104B Southampton St, Sydenham	S45	D1-D5	Support in part	Support in that it opposes the plan change; but oppose allowing the new lucky owner of the free land to apply for resource consent	Support in that it opposes the plan change; but oppose allowing the new lucky owner of the free land to apply for resource consent; oppose co-governance by race-based non-elected parties;
Marilyn Jurjevich; 32 Memorial Ave, Chch	\$46	D1-D5	Support	Support entirely because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; agree nothing was fair, many struggled, many still struggling to recover; sacrifice for this nature reserve must preserve the reserve for nature

Murray James; Carey Poulter; 81 Alexandra St	S47	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; I support it because it opposes the plan change; agree transfer done 'under cover of darkness'; agree bureaucracy deaf, blind
Siobhan Murphy; 128 Winters Rd	S48	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; agree no creep by stealth instead, must put bold red line around whole redzone and keep developers, overlays, etc OUT
Matthew Baird, Avonhead	S49	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; agree, do not build on red zone land at all; agree everybody has sacrificed so much
Katia DeLu; Greendale AVe	\$50	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; agree unjust, agree many sacrificed for this green space, agree this is taxpayer money and public land; do not give away million-dollar land agree people died do not rebuild
	S51	D1-D5	Support in part that opposes plan change	Support because it opposes the plan change; oppose any co-governance whatsoever as undemocratic and unfair to those of us who worked hard for elected governance	I seek that this submission be allowed in part that opposes plan change; but oppose part that says this plan change should instead be referred to some race-based co-governance body which is completely undemocratic
Margaret Stewart; 50 Woodville	S52	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; I agree it makes a mockery of everybody and everything; I agree it must be covenanted as reserve land; I agree someone is exerting undue influence and flattery and lining pockets and giving favours or this would not have happened

Peter Beck; Eastern Vision; 277 Kilmore	S53	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed in that it opposes the plan change; I agree that the city planner has tried to convince me that this is a small and insignificant area that wont matter overall, and she told me to look at the map, but I lived there on Fitzgerald Ave many years and know it is a large significant space desperately needed by wildlife for foragin, resting, nesting, etc., and the street frontage is exactly as along Harper Ave- just needs native vegetation I offered to plant kowhai there myself these are not small insignificant areas they are the key areas which hold everything together and must be preserved and as this submitter says, it is not the footprint of the building alone it is the excess cars, excess overpopulation, etc etc all of it abhorrent to the reserve these parts are the 'bumpers' on the car all important protection very important to have buffers next urban activity near riparian wildlife absolutely CANNOT allow any development whatsoever need to understand the whole area save it not just for a few hours or days but for the next 100 years; agree it is unconscionable for these developers to claim a multi-unit complex will improve the green frontage on Fitzgerald Ave absolutely ludicrous and photos from the other side show these developments will absolutely destroy the riparian space on the

					any way and seek that this area be kept as part of the reserve with a view to eventually adding any other intervening property into the reserve not vice versa
David DAish; 5 Ballantyne Ave	S54	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed; I agree that the plan change be opposed and the land be returned to the government no matter what it costs and never encroach on regeneration area ever again
Lucinda McEvedy; 51 Quinns RD	S55	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; I agree this land is for the people
Kazia Tini; 86 Brockworth Place Riccarton	S56	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree this area is for native bush and regeneration
Julia Stowell; 100 Elizabeth St	S57	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree secret transfer; agree residents ignored; agree native restoration agree many of us have worked hard in this area to preserve it
Cillia Clements; 19 Hurley St	S58	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree no private residential land use in redzone
Casey Peat; 5 Ballantyne Ave	S59	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree land is public park; need more reserves
Matthew Cameron; 17 Truman Rd	S61	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree

					revert to natural state; agree no residential development or commercial
Anna Henderson; 16 Argosy Place	S62	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree thin edge of wedge; whole will collapse if 'edges' are gone
Jane Hopkins; Richmond	S63	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree land should be covenanted as a reserve; agree land should be taken back to the govt
Jessica Adams; 63 Metehau, Marshlands	S64	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree give-away of million dollar land not legal;
David Duffie;	S65	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; however, land should be taken back by the govt, no private owners in redzone
Mary Crowe; 7 Bangor St	S66	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree no building ever
Moira Farrell 31 Harvey Tce	S67	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; agree in so far as this submission opposes the plan change; agree there are already hundreds of people accessing the area for recreation and enjoyment despite there being no parking, no bike racks, no toilets nothing; and adding this would only make matter worse and

					cause the eternal loss of this precious landscape nature amenity reserve
Layling Stanbury; 16 B Spencer St	S70	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change; I agree no housing development EVER in the redzone; only native trees and bush, honour the green spine; the benefit of one at the cost to the entire nation and world no!
Lauren Semple; 1 Kettlewell Lane	S71	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change;
Tanya Didham; Greening the Redzone	S72	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change;
Greg Partridge; 48 Perth ST	S73	D1-D5	Support	Support because it opposes the plan change	I seek that this submission be allowed because it opposes the plan change;

NOKU TE AWA

The river is mine

