

# **PROPOSED PRIVATE PLAN CHANGE 11 - HARVEY TCE / FITZGERALD AVE**

## **SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS**



## PROPOSED PRIVATE PLAN CHANGE 11 – HARVEY TCE / FITZGERALD AVE

### SUMMARY OF DECISIONS SOUGHT

#### INTRODUCTION

The period for making submissions to Proposed Private Plan Change 11 to the Christchurch District Plan closed on Wednesday, 14<sup>th</sup> September 2022.

Further submissions give the opportunity to either support or oppose the submissions already received. It is not another opportunity to make fresh submissions on the Plan Change itself, as a further submission can only be in support of or in opposition to a submission already made to the Council (refer to the summary below).

#### THE SUMMARY

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Andrew Kendrick	<b>S1</b>	<b>D1.1</b>	Oppose	I would like to see the whole plan change declined and for council to investigate which entity sold the red zoned land to the applicant and whether there was any advertising of the sale for other parties to attempt to purchase the land.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D1.2</b>	Amend	I would also like the council to put in place either a change to the RMA or district plan so that this is not possible in the future or to require any proposed similar plan change be more widely advertised.	<b>Yes</b>
Philippa Manningsmith	<b>S2</b>	<b>D2.1</b>	Oppose	I believe that none of the proposed changes should go ahead unless a proper review of these procedures has been done WITH consultation of the previous landowners and community. Yet again CCC let's their ratepayers down.	<b>No</b>
Garry Thompson	<b>S3</b>	<b>D3.1</b>	Oppose	Oppose the plan change.	<b>No</b>
Joanne Byrne	<b>S4</b>	<b>D4.1</b>	Oppose	Do not permit this change.	<b>No</b>
Tane Robinson	<b>S5</b>	<b>D5.1</b>	Oppose	Leave it alone. Many families were forced to leave this land and it is another kick in the gut.	<b>Yes</b>

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Decision No.</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
Cris Fulton	<b>S6</b>	<b>D6.1</b>	Oppose	Either offer the land for sale back to the previous owners or leave the land be, for all to enjoy.	<b>No</b>
Yannick Carpentier	<b>S7</b>	<b>D7.1</b>	Oppose	I oppose these provisions. I would like to know how can housing can [sic] be developed in a red zone? All houses and land were bought after the 2010/2011 earthquakes as the land is deemed inhabitable [sic].	<b>No</b>
T Scott	<b>S8</b>	<b>D8.1</b>	Support	I support this area to be built on. This area makes sense for more residential housing to be put in place, but not all red zone area will be. More communication.	<b>No</b>
Joanne Churcher	<b>S9</b>	<b>D9.1</b>	Oppose	I want to see this application declined entirely and the red-zoning reinforced to stop anyone else trying this stunt.	<b>No</b>
Ashley Campbell	<b>S10</b>	<b>D10.1</b>	Oppose	The land in question should not be allowed to be developed for housing while remaining in private ownership. The private owner should be limited to existing uses.	<b>Yes</b>
Geraldine Allan	<b>S11</b>	<b>D11.1</b>	Oppose	Give the land back to former red zone land owners. Give me back my land I was forced off.	<b>Yes</b>
Ewout van Bruchem	<b>S12</b>	<b>D12.1</b>	Oppose	The Otakaro Avon River corridor should not be built on! People we [sic] forced to leave after the earth quakes, it is totally wrong to now allow others to built [sic] there. This should be a green belt for the whole population to enjoy. In this time of climate change we need more green space with planting (e.g. to keep the city cool in heat waves). The owner should not be allowed to make a huge profit after he was gifted (!) the land as part of a cross lease.	<b>No</b>
Sanjaya Withanage	<b>S13</b>	<b>D13.1</b>	Not stated	Not stated	<b>No</b>
Oliver Neal	<b>S14</b>	<b>D14.1</b>	Support in part	I would not like to see specific amendments made to the Plan Change, however I would like to see street level changes implemented following development of the sites. These street level changes include widening of the footpath in that area, making the area a "slow neighbourhood," creating a link with the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor, and an accelerated upgrade of the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor in the nearby area.	<b>No</b>

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Decision No.</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
Charlene Herring	<b>S15</b>	<b>D15.1</b>	Oppose	That the land at 5 Harvey Terrace not be transferred to the list of properties in Appendix 13.14.6.2 until proper public consultation and notification is done for ALL properties which have been sold from the Otakaro Avon River Corridor Regeneration Plan area.	<b>No</b>
David Newton	<b>S16</b>	<b>D16.1</b>	Oppose	Total opposition.	<b>No</b>
Juliet Neill	<b>S17</b>	<b>D17.1</b>	Oppose	Reinstate the protection of all areas that were evacuated after the earthquakes and allow no further development, and support the restoration of the land.	<b>No</b>
		<b>D17.2</b>	Oppose	Ensure every issue regarding these areas is transparent and open to public consultation and discussion, particularly where iwi and groups such as Greening the Red Zone are concerned. Inform the public of any proposed changes.	<b>No</b>
Helmut Berie	<b>S18</b>	<b>D18.1</b>	Oppose	Include previous land owners and fine them preference too [sic] purchase acquire their land back.	<b>No</b>
Rebecca Finch	<b>S19</b>	<b>D19.1</b>	Oppose	Say no to this change.	<b>No</b>
Heidi Connolly	<b>S20</b>	<b>D20.1</b>	Oppose	No allowance of any residential development on land reclaimed from red zoned residences.	<b>No</b>
Kathleen Carney	<b>S21</b>	<b>D21.1</b>	Oppose	I want the proposed change thrown out!	<b>No</b>
Amr El sawaf	<b>S22</b>	<b>D22.1</b>	Oppose	The red zone should not be changed to medium density residential housing. The city needs more green space!! I voted for the current council, in part, in support of the Red Zone plans to maintain a reservation and green space. Reverting back on its word is dishonest and it is not supported. Furthermore, the manner in which the council sold the land was not transparent.	<b>No</b>
Mark Timmermans	<b>S23</b>	<b>D23.1</b>	Not stated	All previous owners of red zoned land should be given the right to repurchase before it is offered to anyone else to buy.	<b>No</b>
Bridie McKenna	<b>S24</b>	<b>D24.1</b>	Not stated	The development needs to be made public and the sale of the properties on this land needs to be made extremely affordable.	<b>No</b>
Celia Hogan	<b>S25</b>	<b>D25.1</b>	Oppose	I seek for the Council to decline this proposal and to seek to understand how they can undo the purchase of this land that falls against the vision of the Red Zone as set out by Regenerate	<b>Yes</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				Christchurch and approved by the Government.	
Michael Arthur Robinson	<b>S26</b>	<b>D26.1</b>	Oppose	To stop the change.	<b>No</b>
Hayley Guglietta	<b>S27</b>	<b>D27.1</b>	Oppose	The private owner should be limited to existing uses of which they will still benefit from and the land should not be developed for housing that will only benefit the private landowner.	<b>Yes</b>
Fay Brorens	<b>S28</b>	<b>D28.1</b>	Not stated	That previous owners be offered the land.	<b>No</b>
Glenara Family Trust	<b>S29</b>	<b>D29.1</b>	Amend	Amend rows 7-20 Column 5 of the proposed changes to Appendix 13.14.6.2, by deleting RMD and inserting MRZ.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D29.2</b>	Amend	Amend Rule 13.14.4.1.1 P23 and P33 in the Activity and Activity Specific Standards Columns, by replacing all references to the Residential Suburban, Residential Suburban Density Transitional and Residential Medium Density Zones with the Medium Density Residential Zone (MRZ).	<b>Yes</b>
Regina Speer	<b>S30</b>	<b>D30.1</b>	Oppose	I oppose the provision as it is a threat to the Avon green corridor. I want the plans to remain as they are.	<b>No</b>
BirdCottage	<b>S31</b>	<b>D31.1</b>	Oppose	Keep to the existing Red Zoning. Adhere to Resource Management Act provisions.	<b>No</b>
Katie Robinson	<b>S32</b>	<b>D32.1</b>	Oppose	In the first instance, land back to the whānau that were kicked off post quakes.	<b>No</b>
Brenda Banning	<b>S33</b>	<b>D33.1</b>	Oppose	Impacts of the proposal on water drainage, including flooding, and sewerage should have been considered. The change in land use should not be approved.	<b>No</b>
Chris Manson	<b>S34</b>	<b>D34.1</b>	Oppose	I oppose the current plan until it is properly publicised and debated in public.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D34.2</b>	Amend	Add an amendment that delays a vote until the matter has been: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Publicly aired</li> <li>2. Discussed in the media and at public meetings</li> <li>3. The implications (if everything is passed) are explained in plain English</li> </ul>	<b>Yes</b>

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Decision No.</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
Gae Johns	<b>S35</b>	<b>D35.1</b>	Oppose	That the land should not be developed for housing and all such lands included in the Regeneration Plan of OARC should not be reassigned for that purpose.	<b>No</b>
Jennifer Dalziel	<b>S36</b>	<b>D36.1</b>	Oppose	I want the plan change thrown out, not allowed, never allowed in the future. LINZ and the Council must covenant Red Zone land so that these issues don't keep recurring.	<b>No</b>
Hamish West	<b>S37</b>	<b>D37.1</b>	Oppose	Do not approve this plan change, which is totally unfair to so many and would benefit so few.	<b>No</b>
Sylvia Thompson-Kriek	<b>S38</b>	<b>D38.1</b>	Oppose	Decline residential development of the land, decline edge housing overlay on the property, decline all proposals.	<b>No</b>
Harrison McEvoy	<b>S39</b>	<b>D39.1</b>	Oppose	Remove these provisions from the Private Plan [Change], and reaffirm the non-development nature of the Residential Red Zone.	<b>No</b>
Tanya Utting	<b>S40</b>	<b>D40.1</b>	Oppose	This should not go ahead - instead, develop a plan for land use that better benefits the entire community.	<b>No</b>
John McKirdy	<b>S41</b>	<b>D41.1</b>	Oppose	That the Council decline the proposal.	<b>No</b>
Tanya Didham	<b>S42</b>	<b>D42.1</b>	Oppose	I would like to see Council refuse this land use change, restricting the owner to uses already established in the Regeneration Plan.	<b>No</b>
Brighton Observatory of Environment & Economics Trust	<b>S43</b>	<b>D43.1</b>	Oppose	I wish this plan change to be abandoned.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D43.2</b>	Amend	If for good reason (not private profit), this must proceed, I think it should not set a new precedent, instead have the same characteristics and limitations of the current precedent from the Heathcote Valley [land could not be built on].	<b>Yes</b>
Chrissie Williams	<b>S44</b>	<b>D44.1</b>	Oppose	To not continue with the Plan Change, and have the applicant apply for a Resource Consent that is publicly notified.	<b>No</b>
Marilyn Yurjevich	<b>S45</b>	<b>D45.1</b>	Oppose	I would like to see Council refuse this land use change, restricting the owner to uses already established in the Regeneration Plan.	<b>No</b>
Carey Poulter	<b>S46</b>	<b>D46.1</b>	Oppose	The application to rezone and allow residential development should be declined.	<b>No</b>

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Decision No.</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
Murray James	<b>S47</b>	<b>D47.1</b>	Oppose	Reject the plan change and leave the land zoned as it is.	<b>Yes</b>
Siobhan Murphy	<b>S48</b>	<b>D48.1</b>	Oppose	Do not allow residential development creep by stealth onto Red Zone land. Very disappointing plan change LINZ!	<b>Yes</b>
Matthew Baird	<b>S49</b>	<b>D49.1</b>	Oppose	Decline the plan change.	<b>No</b>
Katia De Lu	<b>S50</b>	<b>D50.1</b>	Oppose	Please reject the proposed changes. This land should not ever be built on. It is not safe in case of future earthquakes, and furthermore it is deeply unjust to the many people who lost their homes in the red zone as a result of the earthquakes.	<b>No</b>
Peter Beck, Eastern Vision and Avon Otakaro Network	<b>S51</b>	<b>D51.1</b>	Oppose	That CCC do not endorse this plan change.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D51.2</b>	Oppose	Rather, Council refer this proposed change to the newly established Co-governance body to determine the outcome.	<b>Yes</b>
Margaret Stewart	<b>S52</b>	<b>D52.1</b>	Oppose	Leave the land zone as it already is, i.e. not for housing. Respect the people affected by the government decision to red zone.	<b>Yes</b>
David Jarman	<b>S53</b>	<b>D53.1</b>	Oppose	Reject the proposed inclusion of the property at 5 Harvey Terrace into Appendix 13.14.6.2 of the District Plan with an alternative zoning of RMD. The property at 5 Harvey Terrace is suited to, at most, a zoning of RSDT and a maximum of one dwelling.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D53.2</b>	Amend	If rezoned to RSDT, direct that the boundary of 5 Harvey Terrace, facing to the street would need to be planted with native plants and shrubs to screen any view of housing on the property.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D53.3</b>	Amend	The property at 254 Fitzgerald should not be zoned RMD, at most it should be RSDT.	<b>Yes</b>
David Daish	<b>S54</b>	<b>D54.1</b>	Oppose	Oppose this plan change.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D54.2</b>	Oppose	Do whatever is necessary to return this land to ownership by the government, and never encroach on regeneration areas ever again.	<b>Yes</b>
Lucinda McEvedy	<b>S55</b>	<b>D55.1</b>	Oppose	I wish to see the plan change not approved. Do not agree to amend the plan. Do not give the Glenara Family Trust an avenue to profit from red zoned land. Redzoned land is for the people of	<b>No</b>



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				Christchurch only.	
Kazia Tini	<b>S56</b>	<b>D56.1</b>	Oppose	I seek for the Council to prevent the enabling of residential development in this area and designate the land for native plant and bush regeneration instead.	<b>No</b>
Julia Stowell	<b>S57</b>	<b>D57.1</b>	Oppose	Please oppose this residential development. This area is better suited for native restoration, don't ignore the meaningful mahi of community volunteers. At least engage in foundational community consultation before pushing this through. Red zone residents have been ignored for too long by the council.	<b>No</b>
Avon Loop Planning Association (ALPA)	<b>S58</b>	<b>D58.1</b>	Oppose	ALPA does not support the land plan change. No red zone land should be allowed for private residential use.	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>D58.2</b>	Oppose	A covenant or restriction must be put on this proposed plan change so that no private residences can be placed back on red zone land.	<b>Yes</b>
Casey Peat	<b>S59</b>	<b>D59.1</b>	Oppose	I oppose these provisions as they deviate from the current plan released by Christchurch City Council [sic] to purpose the redzone as public park, which in turn sets a precedent for the re-privatisation of sections within the redzone. I wish to see the council continue with their original plan of purposing this land as a public park, hence rejecting the proposed changes.	<b>No</b>
		<b>D59.2</b>	Oppose	I wish to see the consultation process for these provisions to be extended and more publicly advertised.	
Avon Otakaro Network	<b>S60</b>	<b>D60.1</b>	Oppose	The private owner should be limited to existing uses of which they will still benefit from and the land should not be developed for housing that will only benefit the private landowner.	<b>Yes</b>
Matthew Cameron	<b>S61</b>	<b>D61.1</b>	Oppose	These proposals are a bad idea and should not proceed. No further sections of the red zone should be changed for commercial development.	<b>No</b>
Anna Henderson	<b>S62</b>	<b>D62.1</b>	Oppose	No residential development in the red zone.	<b>No</b>
Jane Hopkins	<b>S63</b>	<b>D63.1</b>	Oppose	There should be a covenant on this land so that it cannot be developed for housing or on sold for personal gain while	<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				remaining in private ownership. If the existing owner no longer wishes to reside there, then the land should be offered back to the Christchurch City Council who are the current red zone guardians.	
Jessica Adams	<b>S64</b>	<b>D64.1</b>	Oppose	I request that the Council decline the application for the Private Plan Change 11 - Harvey Tce and Fitzgerald Ave given it is Red Zoned land and the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor plan has not yet defined the parameters around new residential housing for this area, there are no specific policies and practices in place by the Christchurch City Council to protect the property rights of existing adjacent residents and given that this land has been "given" away to new owners the Council should not be facilitating or endorsing this development and their financial gain.	<b>No</b>
Richmond Residents' and Business Association	<b>S65</b>	<b>D65.1</b>	Oppose	The private owner should be limited to existing uses of which they will still benefit from and the land should not be developed for housing that will only benefit the private landowner.	<b>No</b>
		<b>D65.2</b>	Oppose	We further request that the process involved in this proposed transaction and land use reclassification be scrupulously investigated to avoid further indications of "politics by stealth". The notification and the time frame involved in this instance are unacceptable.	<b>No</b>
Mary Crowe	<b>S66</b>	<b>D66.1</b>	Oppose	Consent for building on the site should be denied and a moratorium put on future building.	<b>No</b>
Moira Farrell	<b>S67</b>	<b>D67.1</b>	Oppose	We hope this Private Plan change is not routinely granted and [we] are not in agreement to any of the proposal. We would like the council to decline the plan change application.	<b>No</b>
Cheann Carroll	<b>S68</b>	<b>D68.1</b>	Oppose	The current owner should be restricted to the existing uses and the land should not be developed for housing. It is not right for the owners to benefit personally when so many others in the red zone suffered the permanent loss of their private land and dwellings.	<b>No</b>
Rob Battersby	<b>S69</b>	<b>D68.1</b>	Not stated	That the Christchurch City Council offer the red zoned land back to the original owners as of at the time prior to the land being red	<b>No</b>

<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Decision No.</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
				zoned by the Christchurch 2011 earthquakes.	
Layling Stanbury	<b>S70</b>	<b>D70.1</b>	Oppose	I oppose the specific provisions and wish to amend them to NO housing or development within that area. At the very least, the previous owners should have first right of refusal as was verbally granted them in talks with Regen Chch. The Council should decline this proposal and seek to understand how they can undo the purchase of this land that falls against the vision of the Red Zone as set out by Regenerate Christchurch and approved by the Government. Regen Chch clearly laid out this space as part of the Green Spine; nothing should be in the Green Spine other than native trees and wetland. Changing this is wasting the millions of dollars spent surveying all of Christchurch, and spitting on the public's right to be heard. This proposed Plan Change is short-sighted and unsustainable.	<b>Yes</b>
Greenwood Roche	<b>S71</b>	<b>D71.1</b>	Oppose	I seek a decision that declines PC 11 as PC 11 does not give effect to the overall objectives of the Regeneration Plan, as expressed through the SPOARC Zone.	<b>Yes</b>
Greening the Red Zone	<b>S72</b>	<b>D72.1</b>	Oppose	We urge Council to deny this land use change, and require the owner to be confined to the uses as laid out in the Regeneration Plan, which then informed the District Plan.	<b>Yes</b>
Gregory Partridge	<b>S73</b>	<b>D73.1</b>	Oppose	The Christchurch City Council must reject Private Plan Change 11 - Harvey Tce and Fitzgerald Ave.	<b>Yes</b>
Mark Thompson	<b>S74</b>	<b>D74.1</b>	Support	I don't oppose the houses being built on the land but improved speed bumps on Harvey Terrace are needed.	<b>Not stated</b>

**SUBMITTERS TO PLAN CHANGE 11 - ADDRESSES FOR SERVICE**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Address 1</b>	<b>Address 2</b>	<b>Address 3</b>	<b>Email</b>	<b>Sub #</b>	<b>Wishes To Be Heard</b>
Andrew Kendrick	121 Gayhurst Road	Dallington	Christchurch	adktrademe@gmail.com	S1	Yes
Philippa Manningsmith	566 Hereford Street	Linwood	Christchurch	philippamanningsmith@gmail.com	S2	No
Garry Thompson	22 Chardale Street	Avondale	Christchurch	garry@hermpac.co.nz	S3	No
Joanne Byrne	29 Patrick St	St Albans	Christchurch	jobyrne.slt@gmail.com	S4	No
Tane Robinson	65 Strathfield Avenue	Dallington	Christchurch	tanerobinson@hotmail.com	S5	Yes
Cris Fulton	6 Mountbatten Street	New Brighton	Christchurch	jetsetgypsies@hotmail.com	S6	No
Yannick Carpentier	66 McGregors Road	Bromley	Christchurch	pariskiwi2001@gmail.com	S7	No
T Scott	13 Jervois Street	South New Brighton	Christchurch	kiwiamericans@gmail.com	S8	No
Joanne Churcher	45a Swanns Road	Richmond	Christchurch	jochchnz@gmail.com	S9	No
Ashley Campbell	149A Tancred Street	North Linwood	Christchurch	ashleycampbell007@gmail.com	S10	No
Geraldine Allan	6 A Brooker Ave	Burwood	Christchurch	leopardothedesignercat@gmail.com	S11	Yes
Ewout Van Bruchem	47 Swanns Road	Richmond	Christchurch	ewout.bruchem@lpc.co.nz	S12	No
Sanjaya Withanage	80b Brockworth Place	Riccarton	Christchurch	swithanage@gmail.com	S13	No
Oliver Neal	Flat 1, 15 Draper Street	Richmond	Christchurch	osneal@outlook.com	S14	No
Charlene Herring	2 Clifton Bay	Clifton	Christchurch	charlenelherring@gmail.com	S15	No
David Newton	107 Normans Road	Papanui	Christchurch	djmjnewton@xtra.co.nz	S16	No
Juliet Neill	2 Brenchley Road		Lyttelton	juleitneill813@gmail.com	S17	No
Helmut Berie	24 Fairway Drive	Westhaven	Christchurch	helmut.berie@hotmail.com	S18	No
Rebecca Finch	14 Howard Street	Spreydon	Christchurch	rebeccafinch41@gmail.com	S19	No
Heidi Connolly	212 Olliviers Road	Linwood	Christchurch	heidicass@live.com	S20	No
Kathleen Carney	14B Onepu Lane	Manly	Whangaparāoa	kaycee1956@gmail.com	S21	No
Amr El sawaf	321a Greers Road	Bishopdale	Christchurch	aelsawaf@live.com	S22	No
Mark Timmermans	C/o Jenny Ferris	43 Adams Road	Whangarei	markkaytimmermans@gmail.com	S23	No
Bridie McKenna	17 Pine Avenue		Christchurch	soldierandshowgirl@gmail.com	S24	No
Celia Hogan	6 Heybrige Lane	Hillsborough	Christchurch	seals_tripps@hotmail.com	S25	Yes

Michael Arthur Robinson	2 Baladin Street	Avondale	Christchurch	maar@xtra.co.nz	S26	No
Hayley Guglietta	3 Forth Street	Richmond	Christchurch	hayley@guglietta.co.nz	S27	Yes
Fay Brorens	17 Moyna Ave	Dallington	Christchurch	fbrorens@gmail.com	S28	No
Glenara Family Trust	C/o David Mountfort	259A Ashgrove Tce	Christchurch	david@mountfortplanning.co.nz	S29	Yes
Regina Speer	156 Huxley Street	Sydenham	Christchurch	say-hi@regina-speer.com	S30	No
BirdCottage	Juliana Venning	2/24 Oxley Avenue	Christchurch	vgjules50@gmail.com	S31	No
Katie Robinson	34 Achilles Street	Dallington	Christchurch	k.tee@hotmail.com	S32	No
Brenda Banning	29 Averill Street	Richmond	Christchurch	blbanning@gmail.com	S33	No
Chris Manson	PO Box 10399	Phillipstown	Christchurch	mansonc@usa.net	S34	Yes
Gae Johns	7 Heriott Close	Burwood	Christchurch	gayjohns@hotmail.com	S35	No
Jennifer Dalziel	62 Chancellor Street	Richmond	Christchurch	jdalziel@inet.net.nz	S36	No
Hamish West	14 Lookaway Place	Huntsbury	Christchurch	Newrew2@hotmail.com	S37	No
Sylvia Thompson-Kriek	65 Laing Crescent	Heathcote	Christchurch	sylviatk215@gmail.com	S38	No
Harrison McEvoy	1/131B McFaddens Road	St Albans	Christchurch	harrisonmcevoy@gmail.com	S39	No
Tanya Utting	6 Chorley Place	St. Martins	Christchurch	tanyauteebb@gmail.com	S40	No
John McKirdy	253 Sparks Rd	Hoon Hay	Christchurch	john.mckirdy@gmail.com	S41	No
Tanya Didham	49 Yarmouth Street	Aranui	Christchurch	monkeyfishnz@gmail.com	S42	No
Brighton Observatory of Environment & Economics Trust	C/o Simon Watts	213 Rocking Horse Road	Christchurch	simon.watts@boee.nz	S43	Yes
Chrissie Williams	104B Southampton Street	Sydenham	Christchurch	chrissie.williams@xtra.co.nz	S44	No
Marilyn Yurjevich	32 Memorial Ave	Ilam	Christchurch	yurjevichm@orcon.net.nz	S45	No
Carey Poulter	81 Alexandra Street	Richmond	Christchurch	mikeandcarey@hotmail.com	S46	No
Murray James	138 Petrie Street	Richmond	Christchurch	murray@maidengroup.co.nz	S47	Yes
Siobhan Murphy	128 Winters Road	Mairehau	Christchurch	siobhan@icch.co.nz	S48	Yes
Matthew Baird	-	Avonhead	Christchurch	mbairdnz@gmail.com	S49	No
Katia De Lu	Greendale Ave	Avonhead	Christchurch	katiadelu@gmail.com	S50	No
Peter Beck, Eastern Vision and Avon Otakaro Network	102/277 Kilmore Street	Central City	Christchurch	peterjbecknz@gmail.com	S51	Yes
Margaret Stewart	50 Woodville Street	St Albans	Christchurch	margaret.stewart002@gmail.com	S52	Yes
David Jarman	31 Harvey Terrace	Richmond	Christchurch	david@castlebrade.co.nz	S53	Yes

David Daish	5 Ballantyne Ave	Upper Riccarton	Christchurch	david@daish.me	S54	Yes
Lucinda McEvedy	51 Quinns Road	Shirley	Christchurch	loo_squinda@hotmail.com	S55	No
Kazia Tini	5/86 Brockworth Place	Riccarton	Christchurch	kaziatini@outlook.com	S56	No
Julia Stowell	100 Elizabeth Street	Riccarton	Christchurch	jfernstowell@gmail.com	S57	No
Avon Loop Planning Association	C/o Cilla Clements, ALPA Secretary	19 Hurley Street	Christchurch	cillaclements@xtra.co.nz	S58	Yes
Casey Peat	5 Ballantyne Ave	Upper Riccarton	Christchurch	caseypeat@protonmail.com	S59	No
Avon Otakaro Network	C/o Hayley Guglietta	PO Box 26115 North Avon	Christchurch	hayley@guglietta.co.nz	S60	Yes
Matthew Cameron	17 Truman Road	Bryndwr	Christchurch	mamatthewc@gmail.com	S61	No
Anna Henderson	16 Argosy Place		Blenheim	a.henderson200@gmail.com	S62	No
Jane Hopkins	-	Richmond	Christchurch	janehopkins99@gmail.com	S63	No
Jessica Adams	63 Metehau Street	Marshland	Christchurch	jessica.m@xtra.co.nz	S64	No
Richmond Residents' and Business Association	C/o David Duffy	46A Vogel Street	Christchurch	secretary@wearerichmond.co.nz	S65	No
Mary Crowe	19/7 Bangor Street	Christchurch Central	Christchurch	marycrowe270@yahoo.co.nz	S66	No
Moira Farrell	31 Harvey Tce	Richmond	Christchurch	moira_farrell@hotmail.com	S67	No
Cheann Carroll	10 Randall St	Richmond	Christchurch	cheann.c@gmail.com	S68	No
Rob Battersby	105 Bamford Street	Woolston	Christchurch	info@rhsconsulting.co.nz	S69	No
Layling Stanbury	16B Spencer Street	Addington	Christchurch	lails42@gmail.com	S70	Yes
Greenwood Roche	Attn: Lauren Semple	PO Box 139	Christchurch	Lauren@greenwoodroche.com	S71	Yes
Greening the Red Zone	C/o Tanya Didham	49 Yarmouth Street	Christchurch	greeningtheredzone@gmail.com	S72	Yes
Gregory Partridge	48 Perth Street	Richmond	Christchurch	greg_partridge@hotmail.co.nz	S73	Yes
Mark Thompson	Not stated			markthompsonnz@hotmail.com	S74	Unknown