

**PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 7 – MANAGING SIGNIFICANT INDIGENOUS
VEGETATION**

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 7 – MANAGING SIGNIFICANT INDIGENOUS VEGETATION

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS SOUGHT

INTRODUCTION

The period for making submissions to Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Christchurch District Plan closed on 28 June 2021. The notification of this summary of decisions sought is the start of the second stage of the submission process where affected parties have the opportunity to make further submissions.

Further submissions give the opportunity to either support or oppose the submissions already received. It is not another opportunity to make fresh submissions on the Plan Change itself, as a further submission can only be in support of or in opposition to a submission already made to the Council (refer to the summary below).

THE SUMMARY

Note: For the purposes of this summary, any text proposed to be added to provisions contained in Plan Change 7 through a submission is shown as **bold underlined** and text to be deleted as **~~bold strikethrough~~** and underlined.

Notified changes to provisions are shown as underlined and operative text in the District Plan is shown as plain text.

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Pohatu/Flea Bay c/o Shireen Helps	S1	S1.1	Oppose	The submitter states “I want you to scrap this and work with farmers on what might be acceptable and that will allow continued prosperity for the Peninsula”	Yes
		S1.2	Oppose	Oppose the proposed 0.1 hectare limit for clearance of kanuka in every five year period as a permitted activity. Note: the limits in Appendix 9.1.6.6 that apply to kanuka are in Table 1(a) (i) and (ii) and are 0.25 ha or greater and 0.5 ha or greater respectively.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S1.3	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture”.	
Jeff Hamilton	S2	S2.1	Oppose	Oppose the proposed changes and seeks that the Council take a different approach to achieve the same outcomes as sought by Plan Change 7.	Yes
Will and Hanna Johns	S3	S3.1	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture”. Quote from submission: “We strongly oppose the definition change proposed for Improved Pasture”	No
Helps Family Farm, Pohatu/Flea Bay c/o Francis Helps	S4	S4.1	Oppose	Oppose the proposed Plan Change 7 amendments in their entirety.	No
		S4.2		Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture”.	
		S4.3		Opposes in their entirety the rules within the CCC Coastal Zone.	
		S4.4	Oppose	Opposes the boundaries of the Coastal Zone. Quote from submission: “The Coastal Zone boundaries on BP are nothing but a lazy broad brush approach to zoning with no bases in science”	
Pacific Investment Trust c/o Mark Christensen	S5	S5.1	Oppose	Oppose any proposed amended or new provisions in Plan Change7 that would introduce any additional consenting requirements for a residential activity at 417 Wainui Main Road.	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
David and Carole Miller	S6	S6.1	Oppose	Opposes Objective 3.3.2. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	Yes
		S6.2	Oppose	Opposes Objective 3.3.16. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.3	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.1. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.4	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.1.2. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.5	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.3. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.6	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.4. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S6.7	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.6. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.8	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.7. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.9	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.8. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.10	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.9. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.11	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.1.2.1.14. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	
		S6.12	Oppose	Opposes Policy 9.6.1.1.1. Quote from submission: “Scrap the proposed changes”.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S6.13	Oppose	Opposes the use of rules and enhanced regulatory mechanisms.	
Charlotte Osborne	S7	S7.1	Oppose	Oppose the proposed changes and seeks removal of all the changes.	Yes
Pam Richardson	S8	S8.1	Oppose	Oppose proposed Plan Change 7. Quote from submission: “Plan Change 7 feels like an abuse of power and forces costs of identification including ecological surveys back on to the landowner”	Yes
RS&LS Holloway Farming P/ship	S9	S9.1	Oppose in part	Opposes Appendix 9.1.6.6 due to a lack of clarity. Quote from submission: “It is important to make sure that it is absolutely clear as to what is intended, particularly for commercial farmers who have areas of grazed pasture they wish to maintain and where there is ongoing regeneration of native spp. The table as currently configured is anything but clear”.	Yes
		S9.2	Oppose in part	Requests that the intent of the word “contiguous” is lined up with common usage of the term rather than the proposed definitions of contiguous for the vegetation described in Table 1(a)(i), (ii) and (c), or find an alternative adjective.	
		S9.3	Oppose	Further clarity is sought on the application of the word “contiguous” to limits with “0” values. Quote from submission:	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				“How on earth does a threshold of “0” relate to use of the words “contiguous” and “dominant””	
		S9.4	Oppose	Opposes the use of the word “dominant” in the vegetation listed in Table 1(a)(iii). Quote from submission: “the word dominant is itself subjective and open to interpretation.”	
		S9.5	Oppose	Opposes some of the species listed in Table 1(a)(iii) being listed as rare, particularly coprosma spp.	
Federated Farmers of New Zealand c/o Elisha Young-Ebert	S10	S10.1	Oppose	Oppose Proposed Plan Change 7 in its entirety and seeks its withdrawal.	Yes
		S10.2	Oppose	Requests that the plan change should not be progressed further, and the Council should await the gazettal of the NPSIB.	
		S10.3	Oppose	If Plan Change 7 is not withdrawn, opposes the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and requests that it be deleted and replaced with the definition of “Improved Pasture” contained in the draft national Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.	
		S10.4	Oppose	If Plan Change 7 is not withdrawn, opposes the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture and requests that PC7 includes a workable definition that accurately reflects the purpose of cultivating pasture.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S10.5	Oppose	If Plan Change 7 is not withdrawn, that Plan Change 7 continues to permit the maintenance of existing improved pasture, including the clearance of indigenous vegetation in a way that acknowledges and is consistent with existing use rights.	
		S10.6	Oppose	If Plan Change 7 is not withdrawn, that Plan Change 7 expressly states that objectives, policies and rules relating to indigenous vegetation clearance are subject to landowners existing use rights.	
		S10.7	Oppose	If Plan Change 7 is not withdrawn, that the Council work closely and collaboratively with landowners to prioritise assessment of sites on Schedule B as soon as it is practicable.	
		S10.8	Oppose	If Plan Change 7 is not withdrawn, that the Council deletes the notified revised coastal environment map and engage directly with landowners in the Banks Peninsula and Kaitorete Spit to identify areas of significant ecological value which warrant protection and careful management.	
		S10.9	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.4 amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.10	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.6 amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.11	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.8 amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S10.12	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.7 amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.13	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.11 amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.14	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.14 amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.15	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P1) amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.16	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.17	Oppose	Oppose and delete proposed Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5).	
		S10.18	Oppose	Oppose and delete proposed Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD7).	
		S10.19	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC1) amendments and retain as operative without amendment.	
		S10.20	Oppose	Oppose proposed definition of “contiguous” for kanuka as described in Appendix 9.1.6.6 Table 1(a) (i) and (ii) and apply the ordinary dictionary meaning of contiguous.	
		S10.21	Oppose	Oppose proposed definition of “contiguous” for the indigenous coastal vegetation as described in Appendix 9.1.6.6 Table 1(c) and apply the ordinary dictionary meaning of contiguous.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S10.22	Oppose in part	Partially oppose the replacement of “N/A” with “0” where it does not make sense that “0” is specified and review this aspect of proposed Plan Change 7.	
		S10.23	Oppose in part	Particularly oppose the 5 year limitation for clearance of the indigenous vegetation described in Table 1(c), unless it does not apply to clearance within areas of “Improved Pasture”.	
		S10.24	Oppose	Oppose extending the area to which the limits on clearance of indigenous vegetation apply to the coastal environment and delete all provisions that apply restrictions to the clearance of indigenous vegetation, including within areas of improved pasture within the coastal environment.	
		S10.25	Oppose	Oppose “0” limit for clearance of the indigenous coastal vegetation described in Table 1(c) where it is located within Kaitorete Spit. Either delete this amendment in its entirety, or exempt compliance with this limit for areas of existing “Improved Pasture”.	
		S10.26	Oppose	Oppose the introduction on Three Square (<i>Schenoplectus pungens</i>) into Table 1(d)(ii) of Appendix 9.1.6.6 and its “0” limit for clearance. Either delete Three Square (<i>Schenoplectus pungens</i>) from Appendix 9.1.6.6, or exempt compliance with the 0 limit in areas of existing “Improved Pasture”.	
		S10.27	Oppose	Oppose extending geographic extent of the indigenous coastal vegetation described in Table 1(c) to the entire coastal environment of Banks Peninsula, where it applies to areas of “Improved Pasture”, and delete the coastal environment map.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Wogan Hills Limited; Te Oka Farms Limited; Brian & Helen Hutchinson; Bruce Cameron; Murray and Lessa Edge & Albert and Elizabeth Birdling	S11	S11.1	Oppose	Opposes Proposed Plan Change 7 Quote from submission: “PC7 should we withdrawn/declined and that a process be set up to work collectively with landowners and farmers to address biodiversity issues on Kaitorete Spit and the wider Banks Peninsula”.	Yes
		S11.2	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and requests that it be deleted and replaced with “improved pasture means an area of land where exotic pasture species have been deliberately sown or maintained for the purpose of pasture production, and species composition and growth has been modified and is being managed, for livestock grazing”	
		S11.3	Oppose	The submitters consider it more appropriate and efficient that the District Plan provide a clear permitted activity regime that is workable and provides certainty for all plan users.	
		S11.4	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 9.1.2.2.4 to create a greater degree of certainty with regard to indigenous vegetation that “may be” significant.	
		S11.5	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.6 amendments and retain unamended (operative).	
		S11.6	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.7 amendments and retain unamended (operative).	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S11.7	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 9.1.2.2.8: a. To the extent required as consequential amendments where Policy 9.1.2.2.6 is retained in its operative form; b. To ensure that permitted activity authorisations in relation to pasture maintenance activities are provided for. Retain Clause (a)(ii)A as operative.	
		S11.8	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P1) amendments and retain as operative.	
		S11.9	Oppose	Oppose and delete new Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5), or in the alternative, provide realistic thresholds in Appendix 9.1.6.6 to accompany the permitted activity rule for clearance of indigenous vegetation within areas of “Improved Pasture” in the coastal environment alongside appropriate exemptions.	
		S11.10	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) amendments and retain as operative.	
		S11.11	Oppose	Oppose and delete Rule 9.1.4.1.3 new (RD7).	
		S11.12	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC1) amendments and retain as operative.	
		S11.13	Oppose	Oppose the proposed definition of “contiguous” for the vegetation described in Appendix 9.1.6.6 Table 1(a) and (b).	
		S11.14	Oppose	Oppose the proposed 0.1 hectare limit for clearance of indigenous coastal vegetation described in Table 1(c) of Appendix 9.1.6.6. Provide realistic thresholds to ensure that pasture maintenance activities and weed removal are not prevented.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				Also correct any ambiguity.	
		S11.15	Oppose	Oppose the proposed limits for the indigenous vegetation described in Table 1(a), (b) and (c). Requests that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The “0” limit for Kaitorete Spit is removed and replaced with a realistic threshold for clearance to occur as a permitted activity; b. There be no limits that apply to pasture maintenance activities. 	
		S11.16	Oppose	Remove Three Square (<i>Schenoplectus pungens</i>) from Appendix 9.1.6.6.	
Sage Properties	S12	S12.1	Oppose	Oppose Proposed Plan Change 7 in its entirety and requests that “PC7 should be withdrawn/declined and that a process be set up to work collectively with landowners and farmers to address biodiversity issues on Kaitorete Spit and the wider Banks Peninsula.	Yes
		S12.2	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and requests that it be deleted and replaced with “improved pasture means an area of land where exotic pasture species have been deliberately sown or maintained for the purpose of pasture production, and species composition and growth has been modified and is being managed, for livestock grazing”	
		S12.3	Oppose	The submitters consider it more appropriate and efficient that the District Plan provide a clear permitted activity regime that is workable and provides certainty for all plan users.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S12.4	Oppose in part	Amend Policy 9.1.2.2.4 to create a greater degree of certainty with regard to indigenous vegetation that “may be” significant.	
		S12.5	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.6 amendments and retain unamended (operative).	
		S12.6	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.1.2.2.7 amendments and retain unamended (operative).	
		S12.7	Oppose	Amend Policy 9.1.2.2.8: a. To the extent required as consequential amendments where Policy 9.1.2.2.6 is retained in its operative form; b. To ensure that permitted activity authorisations in relation to pasture maintenance activities are provided for. Retain Clause (a)(ii)A as operative.	
		S12.8	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P1) amendments and retain as operative.	
		S12.9	Oppose	Oppose and delete new Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5), or in the alternative provide realistic thresholds in Appendix 9.1.6.6 to accompany the permitted activity rule for clearance of indigenous vegetation within areas of “Improved Pasture” in the coastal environment alongside appropriate exemptions.	
		S12.10	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) amendments and retain as operative.	
		S12.11	Oppose	Oppose and delete Rule 9.1.4.1.3 new (RD7).	
		S12.12	Oppose	Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC1) amendments and retain as operative.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S12.13	Oppose	Oppose the proposed definitions of “contiguous” for the vegetation described in Appendix 9.1.6.6 Table 1(a) and (b).	
		S12.14		<p>Oppose the proposed 0.1 hectare limit for clearance of indigenous coastal vegetation described in Table 1(c) of Appendix 9.1.6.6.</p> <p>Provide realistic thresholds to ensure that pasture maintenance activities and weed removal are not prevented.</p> <p>Also correct any ambiguity.</p>	
		S12.15		<p>Oppose the proposed limits for the indigenous vegetation described in Table 1(a), (b) and (c). Requests that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The “0” limit for Kaitorete Spit is removed and replaced with a realistic threshold for clearance to occur as a permitted activity; b. There be no limits that apply to pasture maintenance activities. 	
Parish Double Family Trust	S13	S13.1	Oppose	Oppose 59 Seftons Road, Okains Bay, being located within the Coastal Environment Zone.	Yes
		S13.2	Oppose	Opposes the PC7 provisions applying to their land.	
		S13.3	Oppose	Oppose all Plan Change 7 objectives, policies and rules applying to 59 Seftons Road, Okains Bay including Appendix 9.1.6.6.	
		S13.4	Oppose	Requests the removal of their land as potentially having indigenous vegetation.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S13.5	Oppose	Requests that their land is entirely identified as improved pasture.	
		S13.6	Oppose	Requests that the PC7 provisions are not related to their property	
		S13.7	Oppose	Requests the removal of PC7 provisions form Banks Peninsula to allow pastoral farmers to continue to manage their own land effectively.	
Philip and Jane Helps	S14	S14.1	Oppose	Opposes Proposed Plan Change 7 in its entirety.	Yes
		S14.2	Oppose	Supports the submission of Federated Farmers New Zealand	
		S14.3	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and requests that it remain as operative.	
		S14.4	Oppose	Oppose the revised Coastal Environment Map	
		S14.5	Oppose	Opposes the proposed indigenous vegetation clearance rules	
Canterbury Regional Council (ECan)	S15	S15.1	Oppose in part	Opposes in part the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and seeks that the nationally consistent definition as set out in the draft NPSIB and NPSFM is adopted, or in the alternative, that new clause (b)(iii) is inserted as follows: (b)(iii) records can be provided to show this grazing, modification or enhancement has occurred over the specific timeframes set out in (b)(i) and (b)(ii)	Yes
		S15.2	Support	Supports amended Policy 9.1.2.2.4 and requests it be retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S15.3	Support	Supports amended Policy 9.1.2.2.6 and requests it be retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved.	
		S15.4	Support	Supports amended Policy 9.1.2.2.7 and requests it be retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved.	
		S15.5	Support in part	Supports in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.8 and requests that a semi colon is included at the end of clause (a)(ii)(B) rather than a full stop.	
		S15.6	Support in part	Supports in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.11 and requests that where a farm biodiversity plan is used as part of a resource consent application, it identifies areas that are to be enhanced, rather than enhanced where possible.	
		S15.7	Support	Supports amended Policy 9.1.2.2.14 and requests it be retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved. .	
		S15.8	Support in part	Supports in part Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P1) and requests that controlled or restricted discretionary status is used for the clearance of indigenous vegetation within areas of “Improved Pasture”.	
		S15.9	Support in part	Supports in part Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5) and requests that it is retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved.	
		S15.10	Support	Supports Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD7) and requests it be retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved.	
		S15.11	Support	Supports amended Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC1) and requests it be retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S15.12	Support	Supports all notified amendments to Appendix 9.1.6.6 and requests that it is retained as notified or its notified intent is preserved.	
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	S16	S16.1	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. amend it to ensure that it does not allow for pasture conversion given its use in a permitted activity rule framework; or b. Delete the definition in its entirety and manage indigenous vegetation clearance depending on whether activities are in areas identified in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 or is vegetation described in Appendix 9.1.6.6, or are outside those areas. 	Yes
		S16.2	Support in part	Support in part the inclusion of maps showing the extent of the coastal environment and requests that the coastal environment is clearly defined for the entire district and easy to locate on the District Plan maps.	
		S16.3	Support in part	Requests that Objective 9.1.2.1.1 be brought into the scope of Plan Change 7 and is strengthened to remove “so as to ensure no net loss of indigenous biodiversity” as a biodiversity outcome.	
		S16.4	Support in part	Supports in part the amendments to Policy 9.1.2.2.4 “including the inclusion of assessments to apply CRPS significance criteria within the policy”, and requests that it be amended to extend the application of Appendix 9.1.6.6 to all areas of the Christchurch District and include any additional vegetation.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S16.5	Support in part	Supports in part the amendments to Policy 9.1.2.2.6 and requests that it be amended to remove “so as to ensure no net loss of indigenous biodiversity” from clause (a).	
		S16.6	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.7 and requests that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The title be amended to include reference to “significant indigenous vegetation and habitat types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6 located within the coastal environment” b. Clause (a) be amended so as to read: “Protect and manage Where Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 and significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna listed <u>below in (i) to (iii) types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6</u> by:” c. New sub-clause (iii) be added which reads as follows: “<u>The indigenous vegetation and habitat types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6 and located within the coastal environment</u>” 	
		S16.7	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.8 and requests that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Clause (a) is amended to read as follows: “On Banks Peninsula and the Port Hills, outside Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1, manage the clearance of indigenous vegetation and habitat of indigenous fauna types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6, by ensuring that:...” 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>b. The no net loss of indigenous biodiversity outcome is amended to read as follows: “and to ensure there is no net loss of indigenous biodiversity.”</p>	
		S16.8	Amend	Requests that new policy is introduced that protects indigenous vegetation outside Banks Peninsula but located within the coastal environment.	
		S16.9	Oppose in part	Opposes in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.11 and requests that all references to Appendix 9.1.6.6 are deleted and replaced with “Policy 9.1.2.2.7(a)(i) and (ii).”	
		S16.10	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.14 and requests that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Biodiversity offsetting is excluded in the coastal environment and the policy is amended accordingly; or b. Amend Clause (b) as follows: “Within Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 but outside the coastal environment a biodiversity offset will only be considered appropriate where adverse effects on the significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna within the site have been avoided remedied or mitigated in accordance with the hierarchies established in Policies 9.1.2.2.6 and 9.1.2.2.7”, c. Require a new policy to reflect the intricacies of the coastal environment. 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S16.11	Oppose	Opposes Section 9.1.3 referencing controlled activities when Plan Change 7 does not include any. Requests that reference to these activities is deleted.	
		S16.12	Support in part	Supports in part Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P1) and requests that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Activity specific standard (a)(iii) is retained where the relief sought in relation to the definition of “Improved Pasture” is accepted, or delete clause (a)(iii) where it is not; b. Activity specific standard (a)(i) in relation to the clearance of indigenous vegetation within 2 metres either side of access tracks and fences for their operation, maintenance and repair is reduced to 1 metre either side. 	
		S16.13	Oppose in part	Opposes in part Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) and requests that P4 be amended to read as follows: “Any indigenous vegetation clearance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Outside a Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1; or b. That is not vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6. Activity specific standards: 1. <u>The clearance is not within the coastal environment</u> Where indigenous vegetation is located in the coastal environment, or is vegetation listed in Policy 9.1.2.2.7(a), that resource consent is required to undertake clearance by introduction of a rule.	
		S16.14	Oppose	Opposes Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5) and requests that it be deleted as preferred relief, where the relief in relation to Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) is	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>addressed, or alternatively it reads as follows in the same way as other rules referring to Appendix 9.1.6.6:</p> <p><u>“Any indigenous vegetation clearance within the coastal environment:</u></p> <p>a. <u>That is not vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6; and</u></p> <p>b. <u>Is within an area of “Improved Pasture”</u></p>	
		S16.15	Oppose in part	<p>Oppose Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD7) in part and requests that:</p> <p>a. A new restricted discretionary activity rule is included that covers all of the NZCPS Policy 11 matters;</p> <p>b. RD7 is deleted and RD4 is amended to read as follows:</p> <p>“</p> <p>a. Indigenous vegetation clearance of vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6, that:</p> <p>i. is not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 P1 or P3 or P4; and</p> <p>ii. is undertake in accordance with a Farm Biodiversity Plan which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Appendix 9.1.6.7.</p> <p>b. <u>any application arising from this rule shall not be publicly notified and shall be limited notified only to the Department of Conservation (absent its written approval).</u> “</p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				c. Rules 9.1.5.2 (Indigenous biodiversity and ecosystems), 9.1.5.3 (Farm Biodiversity Plans) and 9.6.3.1 (are included as matters of discretion (Effects of activities on the coastal environment)).	
		S16.16	Oppose in part	Requests that a consequential amendment to RD5 be made so that it does not apply to the coastal environment.	
		S16.17	Oppose in part	Opposes in part amended Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC1) and requests that it is amended to read as follows: “Indigenous vegetation clearance, that is not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 P1 or P3 or Rule 9.1.4.1.3 RD3 RD7 provided for as a permitted, or restricted discretionary activity within a Site of Ecological Significance including both A and B areas. ”	
		S16.18	Support in part	Supports in part amended Appendix 9.1.6.6 and requests that it be amended to include any additional vegetation which may be ecologically significant for the entire Christchurch District.	
Hamish Menzies	S17	S17.1	Oppose	Oppose proposed Plan Change 7 and requests that the Christchurch City Council should be working with landowners to protect indigenous biodiversity rather than adding extra layers of unknown compliance costs such as resource consents.	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
Department of Conservation	S18	S18.1	Oppose	Opposes the layout and format of Chapter 9 of the District Plan and requests that its layout be restructured in accordance with the National Planning Standards format. More specifically, that rules for the coastal environment are included with chapter 9.6 Coastal Environment of the District Plan, and not the Natural and Cultural Heritage Chapter.	Yes
		S18.2	Oppose	Opposes Chapter 9 and requests that it is amended to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide more user-friendly rules and remove complexity • Give effect to s31(1)(b)(iii) • Give effect to the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) Policy 11 • Give effect to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement (CRPS) Policies 9.3.1 and 9.3.2 	
		S18.3	Oppose	Opposes all provisions that refer to “Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 and/or Appendix 9.1.6.6 and requests that those provisions also refer to “ Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1 ”.	
		S18.4	Oppose	Opposes all provisions that relate to Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 and requests that assessments be undertaken on the listed Schedule B sites in Appendix 9.1.6.1 as well as all sites in the Christchurch District in accordance with Policy 9.3.1 of the CRPS.	
		S18.5	Oppose	Opposes the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and requests that it be amended to read as follows: “Improved Pasture	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>in relation to Sub-chapter 9.1 Indigenous Biodiversity and Ecosystems of Chapter 9 Natural and Cultural Heritage, means an area of pasture:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Where cultivation has occurred since 2001; and</u> b. <u>Indigenous vegetation present is not more than 20 years old; and</u> c. <u>Vegetation or habitat meeting the Criteria in CRPS Policy 9.3.1 or NZCPS Policy 11 are not present;</u> d. <u>The area is not identified as a threatened LENZ classification; and</u> e. where exotic pasture grass and herb species are the visually predominant vegetation cover have been deliberately sown or maintained <u>prior to 2001</u> for the purpose of livestock grazing; and f. that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. is used for livestock grazing and has been routinely so used since 1 June <u>1996 2001</u>; or ii. at any time on or after 1 June <u>1996 2001</u> was modified or enhanced for the purpose of livestock grazing by cultivation, irrigation, oversowing, top-dressing and/or direct drilling. 	
		S18.6	Support in part	Supports in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.1 and requests that the Council undertakes ecological assessments on all Schedule B Sites listed in Appendix 9.1.6.1 in accordance with policy 9.3.1 of the CRPS.	
		S18.7	Support in part	Supports in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.4 and requests it be retained as notified.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S18.8	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part Policy 9.1.2.2.5 and requests that Council comply with the timeframes set out in that policy to undertake a plan change by 2023 to relocate the sites listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1 to Schedule A (where they have been assessed for their significance) and to remove Appendix 9.1.6.6 and associated rules.</p> <p>That a significance assessment of the District be undertaken in accordance with the CRPS and NZCPS as part of PC7 including sites where the vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6 is present.</p> <p>Appendix 9.1.6.6 should be retained (despite the requirements of Policy 9.1.2.2.5 relating to its removal) until such a time that all Sites of Ecological Significance in the Christchurch District have been assessed and identified in the District Plan.</p>	
		S18.9	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.6 and requested it be further amended to read as follows:</p> <p>Protection and management of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 outside the coastal environment</p> <p>a. Recognise and protect the significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna within each site listed in the Sites of Ecological Significance in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 except for those that are within the coastal environment so as to ensure no net loss of indigenous biodiversity by:</p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. avoiding the adverse effects of vegetation clearance and the disturbance of habitats as far as practicable; then ii. remedying any adverse effects that cannot be avoided; then iii. mitigating any adverse effects that cannot be remedied; and iv. where there are any significant residual adverse effects on the significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna within the site, offsetting them in accordance with Policy 9.1.2.2.14. 	
		S18.10	Support in part	<p>Supports in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.7 and requests that it be amended to read as follows:</p> <p><u>“Protection and management of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1, sites listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1, and indigenous vegetation and habitat types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6 located within the coastal environment</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Where Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1, sites listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1, or indigenous vegetation and habitat types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6 are located within the coastal environment, the protection of their indigenous biodiversity will be achieved by...” 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S18.11	Support in part	<p>Supports in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.8 and requests that it be further amended to read as follows:</p> <p>“Protection and management of other indigenous vegetation and habitats <u>that may be significant</u></p> <p>a. On Banks Peninsula and the Port Hills, outside Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1, manage the clearance of indigenous vegetation and habitat types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6, or sites identified in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1 by ensuring that...:“</p> <p>i. resource consent applications to clear indigenous vegetation or disturb habitat include an assessment of the <u>significance of the indigenous biodiversity of the listed indigenous vegetation and habitat types on the site in accordance with the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement Policy 9.3.1 and Appendix 3</u>, in order to inform the assessment of the potential effects of the activity;</p> <p>ii. that where the assessment undertaken in i. above concludes that an area of indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna is significant:</p> <p>A. <u>Outside the coastal environment</u>, the effects of any clearance shall be considered in relation to the matters set out in Policy 9.1.2.2.6 a.i. – iv to ensure there is no net loss of indigenous biodiversity;</p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>B. <u>Within the coastal environment, the effects of any clearance shall be considered in relation to the matters set out in Policy 9.1.2.2.7.</u></p> <p>to ensure there is not net loss of indigenous biodiversity</p>	
		<p>S18.12</p>	<p>Support in part</p>	<p>Supports in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.11 and requests that it be further amended to read as follows:</p> <p>“Farm biodiversity plans</p> <p>a. Establish a collaborative approach with rural landowners/land managers through the development of Farm Biodiversity Plans that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. recognises and encourages the integrated management, maintenance and protection of indigenous biodiversity, including Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1, sites listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1, and the vegetation types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6, while also providing for the maintenance of rural productive activities; ii. recognises that there may need to be some clearance of indigenous vegetation as part of maintaining rural productive activities; and iii. achieves maintenance, and over time, the enhancement of indigenous biodiversity. 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>b. Farm Biodiversity Plans submitted as part of resource consent applications shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. identify areas of indigenous biodiversity to be maintained, protected and, where appropriate, enhanced; ii. adopt methods to minimise the clearance maximise the protection or enhancement of previously un-cleared areas and Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1, sites listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1, and the vegetation types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6... 	
		S18.13	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part amended Policy 9.1.2.2.14 and requests that it be further amended to read as follows:</p> <p>“</p> <p>Policy - Offsetting</p> <p>b. Within a Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 A biodiversity offset will only be considered appropriate where adverse effects on the significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna within the site have been avoided remedied or mitigated in accordance with the hierarchy <u>hierarchies</u> established in Policy <u>Policies</u> 9.1.2.2.6, and 9.1.2.2.7, and 9.1.2.2.8;”</p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S18.14	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part amended Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P1) and requests that it is further amended to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extend its application to Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1; • Delete activity specific standard (a)(iii) relating to clearance for the purpose of maintaining improved pasture outside the coastal environment. <p>The specific relief sought to Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P1) is as follows:</p> <p>Indigenous vegetation clearance:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. within a Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1; or b. within sites listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1; or c. of vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6. <p>Activity specific standards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Any indigenous vegetation clearance shall be limited to clearance for one or more of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. the operation, maintenance and repair, within 2 metres either side, of fences, access tracks, buildings, fire ponds, gates, stock yards, troughs and water tanks; ii. clearance necessary for the removal of pest plants and pest animals in accordance with any regional pest management plan or the Biosecurity Act 1993; iii. <u>for the purpose of maintaining improved pasture, outside the coastal environment;</u> iv. conservation activities; 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> v. to implement a conservation covenant established under the Conservation Act 1987 or any other Act specified in the First Schedule of the Conservation Act 1987; vi. clearance of any understory of indigenous vegetation as a result of harvesting an existing forestry area or maintenance of forestry access or firebreaks. 	
		S18.15	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part amended Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) and requests that it be further amended to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include permitted indigenous vegetation thresholds, which at a point, require some assessment to take place; • Apply outside the coastal environment only; • Include reference to Sites of Ecological Significance listed on Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1; • Delete the reference to new Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5); • Include an activity specific standard for clearance of indigenous vegetation that falls under Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) to 0.1 hectares or less in every five year period. <p>The specific relief sought to Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4) is as follows:</p> <p>Any indigenous vegetation clearance <u>outside of the Coastal Environment:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. outside a Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1; and b. outside a site listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1; and 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>c. that:</p> <p>i. is not vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6 and is not provided for by P5.</p> <p>Activity specific standard: 0.1 ha or less every 5 years</p>	
		S18.16	Oppose	<p>Opposes new Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (P5) and requests that it be amended to only permit clearance of indigenous vegetation for the purpose of maintaining improved pasture in the coastal environment in the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where it is outside Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedules A and B off Appendix 9.1.6.1; • Where it is not vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6; • Where the clearance is less than 500 m² in any five year period. <p>The specific relief sought to Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5) is as follows:</p> <p>Any indigenous vegetation clearance <u>within an area of for the purpose of maintaining</u> improved pasture within the coastal environment <u>that is of vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6 below the specified thresholds</u>, and is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>outside an area listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1;</u> <u>and</u> b. <u>outside an area listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1;</u> <u>and</u> 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>c. <u>not vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6.</u></p> <p>Activity specific standard: <u>The clearance is less than 500m² every 5 years</u></p>	
		<p>S18.17</p>	<p>Oppose in part</p>	<p>Opposes in Part Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD3) and requests that it be amended to include Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1 and includes Rule 9.6.3.1, which relates to the coastal environment, in its matters of discretion.</p> <p>The specific relief sought to Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD3) is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Indigenous vegetation clearance, not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 P1 or P3, for the purposes of new, or upgrades (except minor upgrades under Rule 11.4.1 P9 - P15) to, utilities or network infrastructure operated by network utility operators, including associated access tracks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. within a Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1; or ii. <u>within a site listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1;</u> or iii. of vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6; or consisting of the vegetation described in Rule 9.1.4.1.5 NC3. iv. 9.1.4.1.5 NC3. <p>Advice note:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This rule does not apply to customary harvesting 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>The Council’s discretion shall be limited to the following matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Indigenous biodiversity and ecosystems – Rule 9.1.5.2 b. <u>Effects of activities on the coastal environment – Rule 9.6.3.1.</u> 	
		S18.18	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in Part Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD4) and requests that its matters of discretion be amended to include Rules 9.1.5.2 and 9.6.3.1 which relate to indigenous biodiversity and ecosystems, and the coastal environment, respectively.</p> <p>The specific relief sought to Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD4) is as follows:</p> <p>The Council’s discretion shall be limited to the following matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Farm Biodiversity Plans – Rule 9.1.5.3 b. <u>Indigenous Biodiversity and Ecosystems – Rule 9.1.5.2</u> c. <u>Effects of activities on the coastal environment – Rule 9.6.3.1.</u> 	
		S18.19	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part new Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD7) and requests that it be further amended to apply outside the coastal environment only.</p> <p>The specific relief sought to Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD7) is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Indigenous vegetation clearance of vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6, outside of the Coastal Environment that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. is not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 P5; and 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>b. Is undertaken in accordance with a Farm Biodiversity Plan which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Appendix 9.1.6.7.</p>	
		S18.20	Amend	<p>Requests that new Rule 9.1.4.1.3 (RD8) be inserted that applies to any clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment in the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where it is not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5); • Where it is not within a Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedules A or B of Appendix 9.1.6.1.1; • Where it is not vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6. <p>The specific relief sought for new Rule 9.1.4.1.3 RD8 is as follows:</p> <p>a. <u>Indigenous vegetation clearance of vegetation within the coastal environment, not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 P5 and is not:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1</u> ii. <u>listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1</u> iii. <u>clearing vegetation types listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6</u> <p><u>The Council’s discretion shall be limited to the following matters:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Indigenous biodiversity and ecosystems – Rule 9.1.5.2.</u> b. <u>Effects of activities on the coastal environment – Rule 9.6.3.1.</u> 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S18.21	Support in part	<p>Supports in Part amended Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC1) and requests that it be further amended to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include reference to Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P4); • Include reference to Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1. <p>The specific relief sought to Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC1) is as follows:</p> <p>Indigenous vegetation clearance, that is not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 P1, or P3, or P4 or Rule 9.1.4.1.3 RD3 – RD6 <u>RD7</u>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. within a Site of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1; or b. within a site listed in Schedule B of Appendix 9.1.6.1; or c. of vegetation listed in Appendix 9.1.6.6. <p>Advice note:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This rule does not apply to customary harvesting. 	
		S18.22	Amend	<p>Requests that new Rule 9.1.4.1.5 (NC4) be inserted that captures circumstances not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 (P5), 9.1.4.1.3 RD7 and RD8</p> <p>The specific relief sought for new Rule 9.1.4.1.4 NC4 is as follows:</p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<p><u>Indigenous vegetation clearance for the purpose of maintaining of improved pasture, that is not provided for by Rule 9.1.4.1.1 P5 or Rule 9.1.4.1.3 RD7 and RD8:</u></p> <p><u>Advice note:</u></p> <p>1. <u>This rule does not apply to customary harvesting.</u></p>	
		S18.23	Oppose in part	<p>Opposes in part the matters of discretion listed in Rule 9.1.5.2 (Indigenous biodiversity and ecosystems) and requests that they be amended to include reference assessments of ecological significance set out in Policies 9.1.2.2.1, 9.1.2.2.2, 9.1.2.2.4 and 9.1.2.2.7.</p> <p>The specific relief sought for Rule 9.1.5.2 is as follows:</p> <p>a. The extent to which the nature, scale, intensity and location of the proposed activity will adversely affect indigenous biodiversity and ecosystems taking into account:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. any loss of, or effects on, indigenous vegetation or habitats of indigenous fauna, including wetlands, ecological corridors and linkages; ii. indigenous ecosystem integrity and function; iii. Ngāi Tahu values associated with indigenous biodiversity; iv. where relevant, any effects on areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna in Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1; 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> v. where relevant, any effects on areas which would meet the criteria for significance in Policy 9.1.2.2.2; vi. where relevant, any effects on indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna in the coastal environment. b. <u>The extent to which the indigenous vegetation of habitat of indigenous fauna has been assessed for significance in accordance with Policies 9.1.2.2.1, 9.1.2.2.2, 9.1.2.2.4 and 9.1.2.2.7;</u> c. The extent to which areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna in Sites of Ecological Significance listed in Schedule A of Appendix 9.1.6.1 will be protected to ensure no net loss of indigenous biodiversity; d. The extent to which adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity and Ngāi Tahu values will be managed to ensure indigenous biodiversity in the Christchurch District is maintained and enhanced; e. Any social, economic, environmental and cultural benefits resulting from the proposed activity including the extent to which the activity may protect, maintain or enhance any ecosystems or indigenous biodiversity, including through the use of biodiversity offsets, covenants and/or restoration and enhancement; f. The risk of the increase in weed and pest species, and proposed management of pests; and g. Any locational, technical or operational requirements of the proposed activity and the practicality of avoiding 	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				indigenous vegetation, including the viability of alternatives.	
		S18.24	Oppose in part	Requests that Appendix 9.1.6.6 be reviewed to ensure that it includes a full list of vegetation types that gives effect to the requirements of Policy 11 of the NZCPS	
		S18.25	Oppose in part	Requests that Appendix 9.1.6.6 be reviewed to ensure that it includes a full list of vegetation types consistent with Policy 9.3.2 of the CRPS.	
		S18.26	Oppose	Requests that the relationship is clarified between the proposed notes that seek to define “contiguous” for the vegetation described in Table 1(a)(i), (ii) and (c), and areas of “Improved Pasture”.	
		S18.27	Oppose	Requests that Appendix 9.1.6.6 be updated to include all vegetation types found on Banks Peninsula.	
		S18.28	Oppose	Opposes limits on Appendix 9.1.6.6 and requests that they be amended to better align with the effects management hierarchies set out in Policy 11 of the NZCPS and amended Policies 9.1.2.2.7 of the District Plan.	
		S18.29	Oppose	Requests that the limits for clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment (depending on the type and location of the vegetation) range from 0-500m ² every five years.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?						
		S18.30	Oppose	Requests that the limits for clearance of indigenous vegetation outside the coastal environment (depending on the type and location of the vegetation) range from 0-1000m ² every five years.							
		S18.31	Oppose	<p>Requests that the limits in Appendix 9.1.6.6 for the vegetation described in Table 1(a)(i) are amended as set out below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contiguous area occupied: 0.05 hectare • Height: 0 metres <p>The specific relief sought for Table 1(a)(i) is as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 678 1937 970"> <tr> <td>Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.2<u>0.05</u> ha or greater in area</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Canopy cover (%) of:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height (metre) of any individual plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Any individual kanuka plants are 4m or greater in Height <u>0</u></td> </tr> </table>	Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)	0.2 <u>0.05</u> ha or greater in area	Canopy cover (%) of:	N/A <u>0</u>	Height (metre) of any individual plants	Any individual kanuka plants are 4m or greater in Height <u>0</u>	
Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)											
0.2 <u>0.05</u> ha or greater in area											
Canopy cover (%) of:											
N/A <u>0</u>											
Height (metre) of any individual plants											
Any individual kanuka plants are 4m or greater in Height <u>0</u>											
		S18.32	Oppose	<p>Requests that the limits in Appendix 9.1.6.6 for the vegetation described in Table 1(a)(ii) are amended as set out below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contiguous area occupied: 0.1 hectare • Height: 0 metres 							

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?						
				<p>The specific relief sought for Table 1(a)(ii) is as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 312 1937 603"> <tr> <td>Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.51 ha or greater in area</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Canopy cover (%) of:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height (metre) of any individual plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Any individual kanuka plants are 4m or greater in Height <u>0</u></td> </tr> </table>	Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)	0.51 ha or greater in area	Canopy cover (%) of:	N/A <u>0</u>	Height (metre) of any individual plants	Any individual kanuka plants are 4m or greater in Height <u>0</u>	
Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)											
0.51 ha or greater in area											
Canopy cover (%) of:											
N/A <u>0</u>											
Height (metre) of any individual plants											
Any individual kanuka plants are 4m or greater in Height <u>0</u>											
		S18.33	Oppose	<p>Requests that the contiguous area occupied limit in Appendix 9.1.6.6 for the vegetation described in Table 1(a)(iii) is amended to 0.05 hectares.</p> <p>The specific relief sought for Table 1(a)(iii) is as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 927 1937 1182"> <tr> <td>Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.05 ha or greater in area</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Canopy cover (%) of:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height (metre) of any individual plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A <u>0</u></td> </tr> </table>	Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)	0.05 ha or greater in area	Canopy cover (%) of:	N/A <u>0</u>	Height (metre) of any individual plants	N/A <u>0</u>	
Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)											
0.05 ha or greater in area											
Canopy cover (%) of:											
N/A <u>0</u>											
Height (metre) of any individual plants											
N/A <u>0</u>											
		S18.34	Support	Requests that Table 1(a)(iv) be retained as notified.							

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?							
		S18.35	Oppose	<p>Requests that the contiguous area occupied limit in Appendix 9.1.6.6 for the vegetation described in Table 1(a)(v) is amended to 0.05 hectares.</p> <p>The specific relief sought for Table 1(a)(v) is as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 512 1937 847"> <tr> <td>Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.105 ha or greater in area</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 – where the <u>vegetation and habitat is located within Kaitorete Spit</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Canopy cover (%) of:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>All native shrub species exceeds 15%.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Height (metre) of any individual plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>N/A 0</u></td> </tr> </table>	Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)	0. 10 5 ha or greater in area	0 – where the <u>vegetation and habitat is located within Kaitorete Spit</u>	Canopy cover (%) of:	All native shrub species exceeds 15%.	Height (metre) of any individual plants	<u>N/A 0</u>	
Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)												
0. 10 5 ha or greater in area												
0 – where the <u>vegetation and habitat is located within Kaitorete Spit</u>												
Canopy cover (%) of:												
All native shrub species exceeds 15%.												
Height (metre) of any individual plants												
<u>N/A 0</u>												
		S18.36	Oppose	<p>Requests that the note describing Kaitōrete Spit is amended as follows:</p> <p><u>Kaitōrete Spit comprises the whole of Kaitōrete entire spit from where it adjoins Lake Forsyth / Wairewa and State Highway 75</u></p>								
		S18.37	Support	Requests that Table 1(b)(i) be retained as notified.								
		S18.38	Support	Requests that Table 1(b)(ii) be retained as notified.								

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?						
		S18.39	Oppose	<p>Requests that the contiguous area occupied limit in Appendix 9.1.6.6 for the vegetation described in Table 1(b)(iii) is amended to 0.05 hectares.</p> <p>The specific relief sought for Table 1(b)(iii) is as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 475 1937 847"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 475 1937 518">Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 518 1937 598">A contiguous area of over 1.0 0.05 ha</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 598 1937 641">Canopy cover (%) of:</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 641 1937 762">The contiguous area of specified species accounts for 30% or more of canopy cover</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 762 1937 805">Height (metre) of any individual plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 805 1937 847"><u>N/A</u> 0</td> </tr> </table>	Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)	A contiguous area of over 1.0 0.05 ha	Canopy cover (%) of:	The contiguous area of specified species accounts for 30% or more of canopy cover	Height (metre) of any individual plants	<u>N/A</u> 0	
Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)											
A contiguous area of over 1.0 0.05 ha											
Canopy cover (%) of:											
The contiguous area of specified species accounts for 30% or more of canopy cover											
Height (metre) of any individual plants											
<u>N/A</u> 0											
		S18.40	Oppose in part	<p>Requests that the contiguous area occupied limit in Appendix 9.1.6.6 for the vegetation described in Table 1(c) is amended to 0 for the entire Coastal Environment of Banks Peninsula.</p> <p>The specific relief sought for Table 1(c) is as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1055 1173 1937 1422"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 1173 1937 1216">Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 1216 1937 1259"><u>N/A</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 1259 1937 1342">0.1 ha within a five year period (outside Kaitorete Spit)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1055 1342 1937 1422">0 ha within Kaitorete Spit</td> </tr> </table>	Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)	<u>N/A</u>	0.1 ha within a five year period (outside Kaitorete Spit)	0 ha within Kaitorete Spit			
Occupying a contiguous area of: (hectares)											
<u>N/A</u>											
0.1 ha within a five year period (outside Kaitorete Spit)											
0 ha within Kaitorete Spit											

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
				Canopy cover (%) of:	
				<u>0</u>	
				Height (metre) of any individual plants	
				<u>N/A 0</u>	
		S18.41	Support	Requests that Table 1(d)(i) be retained as notified.	
		S18.42	Support	Requests that Table 1(d)(ii) be retained as notified.	
		S18.43	Support	Requests that Table 1(e) be retained as notified.	
		S18.44	Support	Requests that Table 1(f) be retained as notified.	
Edward Aitken	S19	S19.1	Oppose	Opposes Proposed Plan Change 7 in its entirety and seeks its withdrawal by way of supporting the submission of Federated Farmers of New Zealand.	Yes
		S19.2	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amended definition of “Improved Pasture” and requests that it remain as operative.	
		S19.3	Oppose	Oppose the revised Coastal Environment Map.	
		S19.4	Oppose	Opposes the proposed new indigenous vegetation clearance rules.	
		S19.5	Oppose	Opposes the use of the term “no net loss” where this has the effect of meaning that no clearance can occur anywhere.	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Sought	Wishes to be heard?
		S19.6	Oppose	Requests that the Schedule A and Schedule B Sites of Ecological Significance work continues to progress.	

SUBMITTERS TO PLAN CHANGE 7 – ADDRESSES FOR SERVICE

Name	Address 1	Address 2	Address 3	Email	Sub #	Wishes to be heard
Pohatu/Flea Bay c/o Shireen Helps	582 Flea Bay Road	Akaroa	7581	tours@pohatu.co.nz	S1	Yes
Jeff Hamilton	PO Box 50	Akaroa	7581	onukujeff@hotmail.com	S2	Yes
Will and Hanna Johns	PO Box 210	Akaroa	7581	johns.pauabay@gmail.com	S3	No
Helps Family Farm, Pohatu/Flea Bay c/o Francis Helps	585 Flea Bay Road	Akaroa	7581	F.D.Helps@outlook.com	S4	No
Pacific Investment Trust c/o Mark Christensen	C/- Natural Resources Law Limited	PO Box 6643	Christchurch 8442	mark@naturalresourceslaw.co.nz	S5	Yes
David and Carole Miller	388 Decanter Bay Road	RD3, Akaroa	7583	decanterbay@gmail.com	S6	Yes
Charlotte Osborne	PO Box 36	Akaroa	7542	bill.osborne@xtra.co.nz	S7	Yes
Pam Richardson	PO Box 88027	Pigeon Bay	Akaroa 7550	iprichardson@xtra.co.nz	S8	Yes
RS&LS Holloway Farming P/ship	PO Box 88021	Pigeon Bay	Akaroa 7550	r.holloway@xtra.co.nz	S9	Yes
Federated Farmers of New Zealand c/o Elisha Young-Ebert	PO Box 20448	Christchurch	8543	eyoungebert@fedfarm.org.nz	S10	Yes
Wogan Hills Limited; Te Oka Farms Limited; Brian & Helen Hutchinson; Bruce Cameron; Murray and Lessa Edge & Albert and Elizabeth Birdling	C/- Planz Consultants Limited, Attention Dean Chrystal	PO Box 1845	Christchurch 8140	dean@planzconsultants.co.nz	S11	Yes
Sage Properties	C/- Planz Consultants Limited, Attention Dean Chrystal	PO Box 1845	Christchurch 8140	dean@planzconsultants.co.nz	S12	Yes

Parish Double Family Trust	C/- Rebecca Parish & Gerrard Double	28 Governors Bay, Teddington Road	RD1, Lyttelton 8971	craterrim2020@gmail.com; Rebecca.Parish@foodstuffs-si.co.nz	S13	Yes
Philip and Jane Helps	Port Levy	Diamond Harbour	RD2, Christchurch 8972	pd_jc_helps@xtra.co.nz	S14	Yes
Canterbury Regional Council (Ecan)	PO Box 345	Christchurch	8140	Daniel.cox@ecan.govt.nz	S15	Yes
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	PO Box 2516	Christchurch	8140	n.snoyink@forestandbird.org.nz	S16	Yes
Hamish Menzies	686 Menzies Bay Road	RD 3	Akaroa 7583	PBMF@ruralinzone.net	S17	Yes
Department of Conservation	C/- Nardia Yozin RMA Shared Services	Private Bag 4715	Christchurch Mail Centre 8140	nyozin@doc.govt.nz	S18	Yes
Edward Aitken	PO Box 88029	Pigeon Bay	Akaroa 7550	ejcaitken@gmail.com	S19	Yes