

# **PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 4 – SHORT-TERM ACCOMMODATION**

## **SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS**



## PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 4 – SHORT-TERM ACCOMMODATION

### SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

#### INTRODUCTION

The period for making submissions to Proposed Plan Change 4 to the Christchurch District Plan closed on 29 October 2020, and the period for making further submissions closed 10 December 2020.

#### THE SUMMARY

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?		
Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Sue Harrison)	<b>S1</b>	<b>S1.1</b>	Oppose	“Do not support discriminating between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals... Keep Hosted and Unhosted accommodation under the same planning framework.”		<b>Yes</b>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>			<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.1</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association			Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS4.1</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd			Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.130</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch			Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.1</b>	Ricki Jones			Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.1</b>	Bob Pringle			Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.1</b>	Jeff Peters			Oppose	Yes
		<b>S1.2</b>	Oppose	“Prefer Option 5 [remove restrictions on whole unit listings and treat home-share accommodation as a form of residential activity]... option 5 allows for better regulation by registering homes”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.2</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.131</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.2</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.2</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.2</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S1.3</b>	Oppose	“Support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests... A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform... Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of a new largely industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties... Work with the platforms (Airbnb and Bookabach are particularly proactive) to create a workable solution with buy-in from the industry at all levels. They advocate registration of owners and a code of conduct with a 3 strikes rule.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.5</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.3</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.3</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.4</b>	Bob Pringle	Support in part	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS12.3</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.4</b>	Jeff Peters	Support in part	Yes	
		<b>S1.4</b>	Oppose	“Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating ‘winners’ and ‘losers’ among local areas.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.8</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.4</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.5</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.6</b>	Bob Pringle	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS12.5</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.6</b>	Jeff Peters	Support in part	Yes	
		<b>S1.5</b>	Oppose	“Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.3</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.11</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.132</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.5</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.7</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>FS12.7</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S1.6</b>	Oppose	“Reject Nightcaps for Unhosted Accommodation... <b>and find a more workable solution.</b> ”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS4.14</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS11.133</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS15.6</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS10.8</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS12.8</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>S1.7</b>	Oppose	“Engage with local stakeholders and ChristchurchNZ for an outcome that benefits Christchurch.”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS15.7</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes				
		Centro Roydvale Limited (c/o Glen Stapley)	<b>S2</b>	<b>S2.1</b>	Support in part	[re: references to resource consent thresholds of 1-60 nights, 61-180 nights and over 180 nights]		<b>No</b>	
						“Support the Plan change, however, the following suggestion, is with reference to the above day ranges throughout the plan change. In many other countries they state the day range is AVAILABLE FOR RENT, not rented days... an activity starts where a property is available for rent not actual rented days... Change the reference to have "Available for rent" for each day range Controlled/Discretionary and Non Complying”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.15</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.1</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.9</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.9</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S2.2</b>	Support in part	"To have as a standard condition that a log book of rented days, detail of occupants and available for rent days. This can be inspected by the Council without notice. (Also have a current address of where the Logs are held)"			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.16</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS11.2</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.10</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.10</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S2.3</b>	Support in part	"It may save a lot of time by having a penalty embedded in the plan if there is non compliance. eg \$5000 instant fine if a resource consent is not applied for and a smaller fine if there are material breaches of the conditions of a resource consent"			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.17</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.3</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.11</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.11</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Dave King	<b>S3</b>	<b>S3.1</b>	Support	"In favour of the proposed limits... Please approve it in its proposed form"			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.134</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.12</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.12</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
John Ascroft	<b>S4</b>	<b>S4.1</b>	Oppose	[re: changes to the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones]  "Oppose extra regulation and compliance costs being forced on Airbnb providers... Leave things as they are"			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.74</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.135</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.13</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.13</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
	<b>S5</b>	<b>S5.1</b>	Support	"Support all of the above. All of the above approved"			<b>No</b>



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
Evgeny Fardman			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.136</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.14</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.14</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Samuel Brooks	<b>S6</b>	<b>S6.1</b>	Oppose in part	“Oppose for Akaroa only, Agree for other regions... what evidence suggests adding compliance costs to rental home owners in Akaroa will assist motelliers in the same township?”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.160</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes	
			<b>FS11.137</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.15</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.15</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Clark Kerr	<b>S7</b>	<b>S7.1</b>	Oppose	“It's a disaster for tourists and economy in Christchurch. Learn from other countries.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.16</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.16</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Graham Paul	<b>S8</b>	<b>S8.1</b>	Oppose	“Airbnb operators should not be restricted in what they do with their own properties, unless there is positive evidence that they have caused a problem such as noise disturbance or			<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				overparking.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.72</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.138</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.17</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.17</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S8.2</b>	Oppose	“They should pay tax on their rental income like every other landlord, but otherwise they should not be unfairly disadvantaged as the current proposals would do.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.73</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.18</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.18</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Catherine Webber	<b>S9</b>	<b>S9.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose having to apply for resource consent for using a residential home for visitor accommodation... Remove any and all regulations / fees surrounding private homeowners becoming accommodation providers.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.139</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.19</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.19</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?	
Inner City East Neighbourhood Group (c/o Monica Reedy)	<b>S10</b>	<b>S10.1</b>	Support	“The proposed change is necessary to restrict the proliferation of unhosted Air B&B type accommodation in the Inner City... Place limits on this type of accommodation”		<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.58</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support		Yes
			<b>FS5.31</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support		Yes
			<b>FS11.4</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		Yes
			<b>FS15.8</b>	Ricki Jones	Support		Yes
			<b>FS10.20</b>	Bob Pringle	Support		Yes
			<b>FS12.20</b>	Jeff Peters	Support		Yes
		<b>S10.2</b>	Support in part	“Ensure the suggested higher standard of consent is applied and any subsequently permitted properties pay commercial rates to the Council.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.18</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS5.32</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support		Yes
			<b>FS11.5</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		Yes
			<b>FS15.9</b>	Ricki Jones	Support		Yes
			<b>FS10.21</b>	Bob Pringle	Support		Yes
<b>FS12.21</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes				
<b>S10.3</b>	Support	“Limitations to hosted accommodation are also supported.”					

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS5.33</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.6</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.10</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.22</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.22</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
A.G. Talbot	<b>S11</b>	<b>S11.1</b>	Support	“Strongly support the proposed plan changes as outlined... no amendments at this stage, in fact... [ <i>strengthen</i> ] the provisions outlined.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS15.11</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
Marcel De Wit	<b>S12</b>	<b>S12.1</b>	Oppose	“Let the free market decide what people like to use as accommodation... oppose[d] to any changes where there's a need to apply for resource consent to provide (non) hosting accommodation.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.23</b>	Bob Pringle	Support in part	Yes	
Michele McConnochie	<b>S13</b>	<b>S13.1</b>	Oppose	[re: rural zones, unhosted visitor accommodation permitted for first 180 days]  “Rural zones should have the same protection from unhosted visitors as everyone else; the rules should be the same”			<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?			
		<b>S13.2</b>	Oppose	[re: no need for commercial parking and vehicle access requirements for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days]  “There absolutely should be the same commercial parking requirements for such accommodation to provide equity with commercial accommodation providers”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.24</b>	Bob Pringle	Support		Yes	
			<b>FS12.24</b>	Jeff Peters	Support		Yes	
		<b>S13.3</b>	Oppose in part	“If you bring people into your home and ask them to pay you, you should be subject to the same rules right across the board as a motelier, for example, including health & safety expectations.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.7</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		Yes	
			<b>FS15.12</b>	Ricki Jones	Support		Yes	
			<b>FS10.25</b>	Bob Pringle	Support		Yes	
			<b>FS12.25</b>	Jeff Peters	Support		Yes	
		Jim Coubrough	<b>S14</b>	<b>S14.1</b>	Oppose		“Firstly, Banks Peninsula and in particular the Akaroa Harbour and outer Bays area, needs to be exempt... Limiting the operation of short term, non hosted accommodation will seriously inhibit the economy and social cohesion of the area. Therefore, it should be exempt [from] any restrictive regulations.”	<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.161</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes		
			<b>FS15.13</b>	Ricki Jones	Support in part	Yes		
		<b>S14.2</b>	Oppose	“The central Christchurch city area and specifically the area defined by the “four avenues” needs to be exempt... In order to attract more visitors into the central city there is a need to provide a variety of accommodation options to suit all... Limiting the operation of short term, non hosted accommodation will seriously inhibit the economy and social cohesion of the area. Therefore, the inner city should be exempt [from] any restrictive regulations.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS4.162</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes			
		<b>FS11.140</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS15.14</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.26</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.26</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
Alan Roberts	<b>S15</b>	<b>S15.1</b>	Oppose	[re: all rules applicable to AirBNB]  “Totally oppose all of the proposal... This is an interference in private property rights... Do not go ahead with the plan change.”				<b>Yes</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
			<b>FS3.75</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS11.141</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS10.27</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS12.27</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
Steve Harris	<b>S16</b>	<b>S16.1</b>	Support	"Support the proposals within the city limits of Christchurch"				<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
			<b>FS15.15</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS10.28</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS12.28</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>S16.2</b>	Support	"Support the implementation of the proposed plan changes within the Christchurch residential area"					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
			<b>FS15.16</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS10.29</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS12.29</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>S16.3</b>	Oppose	"Oppose the proposals for the Banks Peninsula district both rural and residential... It would be counter productive to start bringing in a requirement to obtain consents... The Banks Peninsula residential and rural areas should be exempt from any rule changes."					

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
				<b>FS4.163</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes	
				<b>FS11.142</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
				<b>FS15.17</b>	Ricki Jones	Support in part	Yes	
				<b>FS10.30</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
				<b>FS12.30</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Karen Phelps	<b>S17</b>	<b>S17.1</b>	Oppose	“Keep... the current district plan rules, which allow people who live in a house to rent out rooms but do not permit unhosted short term accommodation in residential areas.”				<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS3.55</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.143</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.18</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.31</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.31</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Mount Pleasant Neighbourhood Watch Group (c/o Brent McConnochie)	<b>S18</b>	<b>S18.1</b>	Oppose	<i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]</i>				<b>No</b>
			“Oppose how lenient this provision is... Have all unhosted visitor accommodation for any number of days in residential areas					



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				requiring a resource consent that includes sign off by all immediate and near neighbours.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS14.1</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.19</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.32</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.32</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S18.2</b>	Oppose	“[Apply] rules fairly - same rates, same compliance and same resource consents for all accommodation providers.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS4.19</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS14.2</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS15.20</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS10.33</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS12.33</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		John & Rosalie Austin	<b>S19</b>	<b>S19.1</b>	Oppose	“[Oppose] the proposed plan change as it relates to Akaroa... It would merely be another compliance cost imposed upon a small group of property owners.”	
<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>			<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
<b>FS4.164</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd			Oppose in part	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.144</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.34</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.34</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Helen Louise Gallagher	<b>S20</b>	<b>S20.1</b>	Oppose in part	"Support the AirBnB submission that activities of short term rental is residential activity and should not require resource consent."			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.170</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.145</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.21</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.35</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.35</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board (c/o Emma Norrish)	<b>S21</b>	<b>S21.1</b>	Support in part	"The Board supports, in general, the proposed changes to the District Plan in relation to short term accommodation, particularly with regard to un-hosted accommodation."			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.61</b> <b>FS3.91</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.8</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.22</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.36</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.36</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S21.2</b>	Support in part	“The Board would however, recommend that the enforcement of the changes be consistent. In implementing the proposed District Plan changes, the Board requests that the Council assign appropriate resources to carry out the enforcement of the changes.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.9</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.23</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.37</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.37</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Wendy Sealey	<b>S22</b>	<b>S22.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose the provisions of plan change 4, due to its restrictive nature both with night capping and cost... lack of parity with other sectors of the industry and duplication in parameters with central government.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS14.3</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS11.146</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.24</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.38</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.38</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S22.2</b>	Oppose	“It is essential to allow central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their plan around			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				this... It would be pertinent to push pause on the process in the interim, until central government has come up with a strategy to deal with STRA through a different avenue like increasing rates, registering properties and STRA WOF's."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS14.4</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	Yes
		<b>S22.3</b>	Oppose	"Oppose using the district plan to regulate STRA and find an alternative to better regulate STRA in order to allow it to continue in Christchurch."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.147</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.39</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.39</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Martin Donnithorne	<b>S23</b>	<b>S23.1</b>	Oppose	"Allow a property to be used for unhosted short term accommodation for up to 180 days per year in a residential zone."		<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.40</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.40</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Andrew Sweet	<b>S24</b>	<b>S24.1</b>	Oppose	"In central city residential areas visitor accommodation in a house or unit should be a permitted activity."		<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
			<b>FS11.149</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS15.25</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS10.41</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS12.41</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S24.2</b>	Oppose	“In other residential areas [ <i>outside the central city</i> ] the council should provide clear rules in the Plan so everyone knows in advance where and when the activity is allowed.”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
		<b>FS4.165</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes				
		<b>FS15.26</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>S24.3</b>	Oppose	“A resource consent requirement is a cop out... the resource consent process will lead to inconsistent decisions from case to case, and impose unnecessary administrative costs on all parties.”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
		<b>FS4.166</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes				
		<b>FS15.27</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes				
		Gary Monk	<b>S25</b>	<b>S25.1</b>	Oppose	“Reject PC4 as notified. These provisions need amending with clear simple provisions in the district plan which enable Hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation as a residential activity.”			<b>Yes</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.4</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.171</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.150</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.28</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.42</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.42</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S25.2</b>	Oppose in part	“[ <i>These provisions</i> ] need to recognise the vital importance of Airbnb and other similar accommodation types to the economy and community of Christchurch.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.5</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.172</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.181</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.29</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.43</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.43</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S25.3</b>	Oppose	“Treat Airbnb home sharing simply as a residential activity with no significant restrictions. Airbnb has a strict code of conduct and review feedback system which significantly assists in this regard”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS3.6</b> <b>FS3.132</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.173</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.152</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.30</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.44</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.44</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S25.4</b>	Oppose in part	“Strongly support the “Official Airbnb submission” to the Christchurch City Council which advocates for a simple clear and reasonable planning regime that would see home sharing treated as a form of residential activity which does not require costly resource consents and overly restrictive conditions.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS3.7</b> <b>FS3.133</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS4.174</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS11.153</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.31</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.45</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.45</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Ann-Marie Smith	<b>S26</b>	<b>S26.1</b>	Oppose	“[Oppose] the need for costly resource consents for those situations where the host is not present on site. The tiered system of night caps is impractical and hard to enforce. Allowing 0-180 days to be a compliant activity and over 180 days to be non-compliant is illogical.”			<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.175</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.154</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.32</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.46</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.46</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S26.2</b>	Oppose	“[ <i>Oppose</i> ] the proposed imposition of check-in and check-out time deadlines.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.176</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.155</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.47</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.47</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S26.3</b>	Oppose	“[ <i>Oppose</i> ] a proposed requirement to get resource consent where an owner goes away for a short period in normally "hosted" accommodation.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.177</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.156</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.48</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS12.48</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S26.4</b>	Oppose	“Oppose the restrictions being placed upon Christchurch citizens who wish to share properties they own with visitors to this city, whether they reside permanently in the property as well or if they own them as an investment.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.178</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.157</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.49</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.49</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S26.5</b>	Oppose	“Residential units should be available for accommodation of all types, whether that be to the property owner or a guest on a long or short term basis.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.179</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.158</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.50</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.50</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S26.6</b>	Oppose in part	“Support the submission made by Air Bnb that proposes that home sharing be treated as a form of residential activity and should be treated as such within the definition of the Christchurch District Plan.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			<b>FS4.180</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.159</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.51</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.51</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Amy Lawson	<b>S27</b>	<b>S27.1</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]</i></p> <p>“[Don’t] change the current resource consent requirements... I oppose the above rule... The Airbnb, Bookabach etc systems encourage trust which our society really needs at the moment. Not everything has to be controlled by the council or regulations.”</p>			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.20</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.160</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS10.52</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.52</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S27.2</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: rural zones, unhosted visitor accommodation permitted for first 180 days.]</i></p> <p>“[Don’t] change the current resource consent requirements... I oppose the above rule... The Airbnb, Bookabach etc</p>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?		
				systems encourage trust which our society really needs at the moment. Not everything has to be controlled by the council or regulations.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.21</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.161</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.53</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.53</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S27.3</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: hosted visitor accommodation additional standards limiting late-night arrivals and departures and the size of functions.]</i></p> <p>“[Don’t] change the current resource consent requirements... I oppose the above rule... The Airbnb, Bookabach etc systems encourage trust which our society really needs at the moment. Not everything has to be controlled by the council or regulations.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.22</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.162</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.54</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.54</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Joan McArdle	<b>S28</b>	<b>S28.1</b>	Oppose	“Reject PC4 as notified and insert provisions into the plan to enable visitor accommodation as a permitted activity.”			<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.181</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.163</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.33</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.55</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.55</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S28.2</b>	Oppose in part	“Agree with the submission on this matter by Airbnb.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.182</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.164</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.34</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.56</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.56</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S28.3</b>	Oppose	“Understand that there is some need for regulation of short term accommodation but believe that any measures can be taken outside of the district plan through a cohesive nationwide approach.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.183</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.165</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.35</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.57</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.57</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Peter McCallum	<b>S29</b> <b>S29a</b>	<b>S29.1</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones, particularly where a host is not living there. In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, the changes would require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days]</i></p> <p>“Disagree with the above change to the resource consent and don` t think it's appropriate for the council to be limiting the ability for people to choose to have short term accommodation only when the council tells them to! Doing this kind of activity shouldn't have a resource consent to control it”</p>			<b>No</b> <b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.166</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.58</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.58</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S29.2</b>	Oppose	“Don` t want this proposed resource consent to be passed at all... want the present resource consent taken away as well.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.167</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.59</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.59</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S29.3</b>	Oppose	“If the council wants to distinguish between this type of business and ordinary households, then use the rates as the tool to do it”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.168</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.60</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.60</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		Massimo Rinaldo	<b>S30</b>	<b>S30.1</b>	Support in part	[re: <i>Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation, in particular Objective 14.2.9, Policy 14.2.9.1, Rule 14.6</i> ]  “Support the specific provisions but... would like to know in detail how the City Council plans to monitor and to police the compliance.”	
<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
<b>FS11.169</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes				
<b>FS15.36</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.61</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.61</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S30.2</b>	Support in part	“Include a clear monitoring system to guarantee that the rules are respected, especially the 60 day limit per year per host. It is not explained, at this stage how this can be achieved and what are the consequences for exceeding the allowed time intervals or for breaching the rules.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.170</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.37</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS10.62</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.62</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Denise Wedlake	<b>S31</b>	<b>S31.1</b>	Oppose	[re: Air BNB Accommodation in a residential zone]			<b>No</b>
				“Oppose the changes to the plan”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>S11.171</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.63</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS12.63</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
	<b>S31.2</b>	Oppose	[re: proposed standards for check in and check out times for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]				
			“Having a cut off time for arrivals is unrealistic”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.172</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.64</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.64</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S31.3</b>	Oppose	“Don’t feel that small – unique operators... should be penalized with resource consent charges.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.173</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.65</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.65</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S31.4</b>	Oppose	“Don’t feel that small – unique operators... should be penalized with business rates.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.174</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	yes
			<b>FS10.66</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.66</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S31.5</b>	Oppose	There should not be restrictions on the number of nights		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.175</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.67</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.67</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Viviana Zanetti	<b>S32</b>	<b>S32.1</b>	Support	[re: Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation, in particular Objective 14.2.9, Policy 14.2.9.1, Rules 14.6]  "Support the plan change."			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.10</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.38</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.68</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.68</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S32.2</b>	Support in part	"Develop a paragraph about monitor[ing] and enforcement. It is fundamental that a detailed and strict monitoring system is put in place together with dedicated staff and a clear and straight set of penalties/fines for those breaching the Plan."			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.11</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.39</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.69</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.69</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
Brian Saunders	<b>S33</b>	<b>S33.1</b>	Oppose	“Council needs to consider ‘the difference’ between highly attractive popular tourist locations like Akaroa, with high basically year round occupancy rates; in comparison to lesser but environmentally quieter nature spots like Little River / Okuti Valley / Diamond Harbour / Purau / Port Levy / Okains Bay / Little Akaloa / Wainui etc. with a far lower ‘window of occupancy’ available; holiday weekends / Easter/ Christmas . If all areas are treated under one Plan Change; Christchurch residents will be restricted in places they are able to stay particularly in these 'minor' areas.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.167</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes	
			<b>FS11.176</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.40</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.70</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.70</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Anthony Rex Anker and Judith Margaret Anker	<b>S34</b>	<b>S34.1</b>	Oppose	“Opposed to the proposed changes and strongly believe that all home sharing should be a residential activity.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.184</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.177</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.71</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.71</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S34.2</b>	Oppose in part	“Support the Airbnb submission completely”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.185</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.178</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.72</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.72</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S34.3</b>	Oppose	“A complicated day counting resource consent process... is totally unnecessary and... unfair.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.186</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.179</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.73</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.73</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Debbie Rehu	<b>S35</b>	<b>S35.1</b>	Oppose	“The residential rates here in Rapaki are very high, over \$4k per year, so if the council decided to charge commercial rates instead of residential rates for Air BnB hosts... it would be unaffordable.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS15.41</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.74</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.74</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S35.2</b>	Oppose	“[Reject] the Proposed PC4 and instead insert clear, simple provisions into the Christchurch District Plan which enable visitor accommodation and recognise the importance of Air BnB type accommodation for the continued growth recovery of the community of Christchurch.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.180</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.42</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.75</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.75</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S35.3</b>	Oppose	“A simple, clear and reasonable planning regime that would see home sharing treated as a form of residential activity not requiring costly resource consents”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.181</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.43</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.76</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.76</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Waimāero/Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood	<b>S36</b>	<b>S36.1</b>	Support in part	“The Board supports, in general, the proposed changes to the District Plan in relation to Short-term Accommodation and considers this a good start.”			<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
Community Board (c/o David Cartwright)			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS11.12</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.44</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.77</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.77</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S36.2</b>	Support	“The Board supports the proposed changes in terminology that clearly differentiates between the types of short-term accommodation e.g. hosted and unhosted.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS3.84</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.13</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.45</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.78</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.78</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S36.3</b>	Support	“The Board strongly supports the proposal to change the objectives and policies so larger-scale or commercial-type visitor accommodation is primarily directed to commercial areas and considers it extremely important that the residential nature of a street, suburb etc is not adversely affected by previously residential properties being converted into short-term unhosted visitor accommodation.”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.56</b> <b>FS3.85</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.14</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.46</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.79</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.79</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S36.4</b>	Support in part	“The Board would like to see some form of restriction relating to the number of properties being used as unhosted visitor accommodation imposed in residential suburbs.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS6.1</b>	J Daly	Support	No	
			<b>FS4.23</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.15</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.47</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.80</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.80</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S36.5</b>	Support	“The Board supports the restrictions that limit the arrival and departure times and size of events for both hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>FS11.16</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS15.48</b>	Ricki Jones	Support in part	Yes			
			<b>FS10.81</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS12.81</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>S36.6</b>	Support in part	“While the Board also supports the tiered approach to the consent requirements of unhosted visitor accommodation dependent on the number of nights per year they are let, the Board considers that the restrictions should be more closely aligned to that of larger visitor accommodation providers. It suggests that the number of nights for a ‘Controlled Activity’ consent may need to be reviewed and possibly reduced.”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS4.24</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS11.17</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS10.82</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS12.82</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes				
		<b>S36.7</b>	Support	“The Board strongly supports the requirement for improved noise protection for visitor accommodation located within the airport noise contour.”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS10.83</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS15.49</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S36.8</b>	Amend	“While outside the scope of this consultation would recommend that [ <i>improved noise protection for visitor accommodation located within the airport noise contour</i> ] be a requirement for all new residential projects within the noise contour.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS8.2</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support in part	Yes		
			<b>FS10.84</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.50</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S36.9</b>	Support in part	“Recommend that consideration be given to: the process and restrictions relating to applications for unhosted accommodation located down a private laneway.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.25</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.18</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.51</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.85</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.83</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S36.10</b>	Support in part	“Recommend that consideration be given to: whether the consent remains with the property or becomes invalid when a property is sold.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS4.26</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.52</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S36.11</b>	Support in part	“Recommend that consideration be given to: the length of time a resource consent is valid for. The Board would prefer that a resource consent be valid for a three year period for unhosted properties i.e. Airbnbs, located in residential areas.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS4.27</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS14.5</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	Yes			
		<b>FS11.182</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS15.53</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS10.86</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.84</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S36.12</b>	Support in part	“Recommend that consideration be given to: the requirements under the consent regarding the installation of safety features such as the number of fire alarms.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS4.28</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS14.6</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	Yes			
		<b>FS11.19</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS15.54</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>FS10.87</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS12.85</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>S36.13</b>	Support in part	“Noting that there are certain requirements regarding the time for processing consents the Board would like to see that the Council process any resource consents applications within a timely manner.”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
		<b>FS11.20</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS15.55</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS10.88</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS12.86</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes				
		<b>S36.14</b>	Support in part	“Recommend that the conditions of the policy be reviewed in two years to see whether the desired outcomes of the proposed policy are being achieved.”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
		<b>FS11.183</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS15.56</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS10.89</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS12.87</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes				
		Odhran McCloskey	<b>S37</b>	<b>S37.1</b>	Oppose	“[Don’t] make Christchurch an anomaly in the accommodation provider sector by closing off or severely limiting an option that is beloved by so many.”			<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
Ngaire Dixon	S38	S38.1	Oppose	"Oppose the proposed plan change 4."			No
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			FS4.187	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			FS11.185	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			FS15.57	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			FS10.91	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			FS12.89	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Ngaire Dixon	S38	S38.2	Oppose in part	"In support of AirBNB's submission... request that a simple, clear and reasonable planning regime that would see home sharing treated as a form of residential activity which does not require costly resource consent."			No
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			FS4.188	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			FS11.186	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			FS15.58	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			FS10.92	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS12.90</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Claire Baker	<b>S39</b>	<b>S39.1</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: proposed changes to the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones, particularly where a host is not living there. In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, the changes would require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days]</i></p> <p>“Oppose”</p>			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.187</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.93</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS12.91</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S39.2</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: For hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, additional standards would also apply limiting late-night arrivals and departures and the size of functions]</i></p> <p>“Oppose... Limiting late night arrivals is absurd... There is no need to have any restrictions on guests apart from the sensible ones... which are very clearly written on the website. No restrictions at all for guests who stay with a hosted family/home.”</p>			<b>No</b>
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS11.188</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.94</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.92</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S39.3</b>	Oppose	[re: In rural zones, unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling would be a permitted activity for the first 180 days.]  “Oppose... In rural zones there should be no restrictions.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.189</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.95</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.93</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
Sophie O’Sullivan	<b>S40</b>	<b>S40.1</b>	Oppose	[re: In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, the changes would require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days]  “Strongly oppose this”			<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.190</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.96</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.99</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?	
		<b>S40.2</b>	Oppose	“No rules and resource consent to have visitors/guests in... homes, for any length of time.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.191</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.97</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.95</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
Warwick Schaffer	<b>S41</b>	<b>S41.1</b>	Oppose	“Small scale (fewer than 6 people in a property) visitor accommodation should not be viewed as commercial.”		<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.48</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS11.192</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS15.59</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.98</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.96</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S41.2</b>	Oppose	“Short term visitor accommodation should be a permitted activity in residential areas in the same way that rental properties are.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.49</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS11.193</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
		<b>FS15.60</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.99</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.97</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S41.3</b>	Oppose	“Short term visitor accommodation to be a permitted activity in residential areas with a limit of 6 people per night per property.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS3.50</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS11.194</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.61</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.100</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.98</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Sandra Aldridge	<b>S42</b>	<b>S42.1</b>	Oppose	“Strongly oppose... Travelling around the world with family is so much easier with being able to use another person’s house. Don't make Christchurch a place that can't offer this because it is too difficult for people to share their homes.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.195</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.62</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.101</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.99</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S42.2</b>	Oppose	“The proposed approach by Christchurch City Council is unfair, outdated and impractical - and could damage Christchurch’s economic recovery. It Includes: 1. Costly resource consent requirements for hosts who want to share their whole home when			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				on holiday themselves, even for just one weekend, and for hosts sharing a separate minor residential unit or self-contained space in their home”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.196</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.63</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.102</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.100</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S42.3</b>	Oppose	“2. Onerous red-tape and approvals for hosts sharing their whole home for 61 days or more, which the Council can also reject if they do not meet specific conditions”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.197</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.64</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.103</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.101</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S42.4</b>	Oppose	“3. Impractical rules restricting what time your guests can arrive and depart”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.198</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS15.65</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.104</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.102</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S42.5</b>	Oppose	"4. Strict resource consents that may cost several thousands of dollars, putting hosting out of reach for everyday Cantabrians"				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.199</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.66</b>	Ricki Jones		Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.105</b>	Bob Pringle		Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.103</b>	Jeff Peters		Oppose	Yes		
Stacy Zhao	<b>S43</b>	<b>S43.1</b>	Oppose	"CBD rebuild need[s] more accommodation inside CBD... it will influence if in resident[ial] zone. Just think need separate with different zone... consider the location"			<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.200</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.106</b>	Bob Pringle		Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.104</b>	Jeff Peters		Oppose		Yes
City Escape Holiday Homes (c/o Anne Wilson)	<b>S44</b>	<b>S44.1</b>	Oppose	"Accommodation will run out in Christchurch once everything is back to normal with international travellers... SAD that the Council feels the need to control everything. Maybe they can set up some tents in Hagley Park when there is no accommodation to stay in."			<b>No</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.201</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.107</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.105</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Georgi Waddy	<b>S45</b>	<b>S45.1</b>	Oppose	“Home sharing seen as a form of residential activity rather than a hefty resource consent process for Airbnb hosts”		<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.96</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.202</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.67</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.108</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.106</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S45.2</b>	Oppose	“Abandon the need to restrict days of hosting”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.203</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.68</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.109</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.107</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S45.3</b>	Oppose	“Restricted times for arrival and leaving guests is impractical and unnecessary and stressful for both host and guest. It is		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				the host's responsibility to communicate with all guests re arrival/exit times and enforce suitable times for their neighbourhood”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.204</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.69</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.110</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.108</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Tim Elley	<b>S46</b>	<b>S46.1</b>	Oppose	[re: requirement for a resource consent in residential zones for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit]  “Oppose the proposed change.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.189</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.205</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.70</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.111</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.109</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S46.2</b>	Oppose in part	“Support the AirBnB submission.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS4.190</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.206</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.71</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.112</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.110</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S46.3</b>	Oppose	"Home sharing treated as a normal residential activity that does not require resource consent."				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS4.191</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS11.207</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS15.72</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.113</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.111</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		Mary Crowe	<b>S47</b>	<b>S47.1</b>	Support	"Support all the proposed changes and specifically as they relate to central city short term accommodation."		
	<b>Further Submission No.</b>				<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
<b>FS11.21</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch				Support	Yes		
<b>FS15.73</b>	Ricki Jones				Support	Yes		
<b>FS10.114</b>	Bob Pringle				Support	Yes		
<b>FS12.112</b>	Jeff Peters				Support	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?		
		<b>S47.2</b>	Support in part	“Support the proposed Plan Change in full, however in regard to consent fees for 60 nights or less... suggest the consent application should be waived or the fee be only a minimal amount, eg \$100 as many people renting out all or part of their home presently to not apply for a resource consent anyway.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.208</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS15.74</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.115</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.113</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
Carol Caldwell	<b>S48</b>	<b>S48.1</b>	Oppose	“Replace Plan Change 4 with Option 5 Remove restrictions on whole unit listings”		<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.209</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.116</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.114</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S48.2</b>	Oppose	“Delete it all... oppose the change”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.210</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.117</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS12.115</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S48.3</b>	Oppose	“There is a proposed nationwide investigation - suggest waiting for that to come through”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.211</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.118</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.116</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Joanne George obo George Family	<b>S49</b>	<b>S49.1</b>	Oppose	“Remain as is let market forces dictate fairness.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.212</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.119</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.117</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Clare Williams, Tom and Steph Lee	<b>S50</b>	<b>S50.1</b>	Oppose in part	“Support the submission of Air BnB and the Annexure B and Annexure A as outlined in their submission.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.192</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.213</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.120</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.118</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?			
		<b>S50.2</b>	Oppose	“The proposed plan rejected and replaced with a simple, clear planning regime which enables home share accommodation and recognises the significant role this plays on the regional economy.”						
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
			<b>FS4.193</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes				
			<b>FS11.214</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes				
			<b>FS10.121</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes				
			<b>FS12.119</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes				
			<b>S50.3</b>	Oppose	“Air BnB offer a very comprehensive set of rules for guests regarding respect for the neighbourhood and for property owners and managers regarding safety and regulatory requirements.”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>					
		<b>FS4.194</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes					
		<b>FS11.215</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS10.122</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS12.120</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes					
		Gabriella Barbara	<b>S51</b>	<b>S51.1</b>	Oppose	“Staying for 2 or more day’s and truly experiencing a location that is when holiday rental accommodation is invaluable... please don’t take away the wonderful option of being able to do this.”			<b>No</b>	
					<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.216</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.123</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.121</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S51.2</b>	Oppose	“Given... something so supportive of Christchurch and encouraging people to come and stay here and experience life and attractions here this requires a review of the former decision.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.217</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.124</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.122</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S51.3</b>	Oppose	“Would a rate adjustment not be a simpler approach?”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.218</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.125</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.123</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		MAC International Property Ltd (c/o Lisa Mcfarlane)	<b>S52</b>	<b>S52.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose that resource consents will be required for property owners wishing to home-share – unhosted”	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.29</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.219</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.75</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.126</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.124</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S52.2</b>	Oppose	“Oppose that there will be a maximum of 180 days permitted per year to share your home if desired – unhosted”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.30</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.220</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.76</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.127</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.125</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S52.3</b>	Oppose	“No resource consent”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.31</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.221</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.77</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.128</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.126</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S52.4</b>	Oppose	“No restrictions on how many nights un-hosted properties may be used”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			<b>FS4.32</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.222</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.78</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.129</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.127</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Williams Corporation Limited	<b>S53</b>	<b>S53.1</b>	Oppose	“William Corporation Limited (‘WC’) is supportive of the homeshare/ AirBNB market, and therefore in turn... oppose onerous regulation of these activities.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.51</b> <b>FS3.97</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.33</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS5.34</b>	Michelle Lomax	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS14.7</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.223</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.79</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.130</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS12.128</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
	<b>S53.2</b>	Oppose	“[Williams Corporation] specifically opposes the absence of any permitted activity status for homeshare activity in the Residential Zones in the District Plan e.g. the controlled activity status for				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				‘unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit’ in the Central City Residential zone, Residential Suburban Density Transition zone, Residential Medium Density zone, and Central City Residential zone.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.52</b> <b>FS3.98</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS4.34</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS5.35</b>	Michelle Lomax	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS14.8</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.224</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.131</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.129</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S53.3</b>	Oppose	“[ <i>Williams Corporation</i> ] opposes the specific requirement that all homeshare/AirBNB activities require resource consent.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.53</b> <b>FS3.99</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS4.35</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS5.36</b>	Michelle Lomax	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS14.9</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS11.225</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.132</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.130</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S53.4</b>	Oppose	"Amend PC4 such that it allows for permitted activity status for homeshare/AirBNB activities. This would align with Option 4: (Rely on non-District Plan methods) outlined in the section 32 evaluation to control the potential effects of these activities."				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS3.54</b> <b>FS3.100</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS4.36</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS5.37</b>	Michelle Lomax	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS14.10</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS11.226</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.133</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.131</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		Pauline Watson	<b>S54</b>	<b>S54.1</b>	Oppose	"Have decided not to continue with Airbnb. Even though... loved hosting people from overseas. Oppose the changes!"		
<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>				<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
<b>FS11.227</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch				Oppose	Yes		
<b>FS10.134</b>	Bob Pringle				Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS12.132</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Brad McLeay	<b>S55</b>	<b>S55.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose. The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.228</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.135</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.133</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S55.2</b>	Oppose	“The MBIE Working Group is coming up with a national plan that needs to be taken into account for any new rules... Dismiss this plan change and wait for some national guidelines.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.229</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.136</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.134</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Caleb Harrison	<b>S56</b>	<b>S56.1</b>	Oppose	“Don’t believe there should be red tape or costly consent [to] decide who stays in my home... strongly oppose... want to still be able to share [with] guests on Airbnb.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.230</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.137</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.135</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
David McMeekan	<b>S57</b>	<b>S57.1</b>	Oppose	[re: proposed 60 night cap]  “Short term accommodation [is] a residential activity... strongly oppose the provisions.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.8</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.231</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.80</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.138</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.136</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>S57.2</b>	Oppose	“A simple definition for ‘home sharing’ should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts.”		
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>		<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS3.9</b>		Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS11.232</b>		Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	yes	
		<b>FS15.81</b>		Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS10.139</b>		Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS12.137</b>		Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S57.3</b>	Oppose	“There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.233</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.82</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.140</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.138</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S57.4</b>	Oppose	“The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.234</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.83</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.141</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.139</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S57.5</b>	Oppose	“The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>FS3.10</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS11.235</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS15.84</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS10.142</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS12.140</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S57.6</b>	Oppose	“Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating ‘winners’ and ‘losers’ among local areas.”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.236</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS15.85</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS10.143</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS12.141</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>S57.7</b>	Oppose	“A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform. This will collect meaningful sector data and help inform sensible and easily understood policy.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>		<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS11.237</b>		Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS15.86</b>		Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.144</b>		Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.144</b>		Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S57.8</b>	Oppose	“Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.238</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.87</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.145</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.143</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S57.9</b>	Oppose	“Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.239</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.88</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.146</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.144</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S57.10</b>	Oppose	“[ <i>Oppose the</i> ] Proposed 60 night cap on short term accommodation which [ <i>is</i> ] a residential activity.”		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.11</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.240</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.89</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.147</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.145</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Philippa Ireland	<b>S58</b>	<b>S58.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose the provisions of plan change 4... we provide diversity in the accommodation sector in Christchurch... this plan will ruin us and in the event accommodation is needed in future and events happen in Christchurch there will be very little ability to house these people. The new plan makes it very limiting!”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.241</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.148</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.146</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S58.2</b>	Oppose	“Please could you reassess or pause this decision so the central government can come up with a plan for the whole country regarding warrant of fitness etc. that fits with everyone.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.242</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.149</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.147</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Jack Sew Hoy	<b>S59</b>	<b>S59.1</b>	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]  “Oppose... the above plan change provisions; specifically The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Proposed plan change 4.a.i to be removed in entirety”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.243</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.150</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.148</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S59.2</b>	Oppose	[re: amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year]  “Oppose... the above plan change provisions; specifically The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Proposed plan change 4.b to be removed in entirety”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.244</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.151</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.149</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Lin Sew Hoy	<b>S60</b>	<b>S60.1</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]</i></p> <p>“Oppose the above provisions... The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Remove the above provisions altogether”</p>			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.245</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.152</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS12.150</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S60.2</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year]</i></p> <p>“Oppose the above provisions... The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Remove the above provisions altogether”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.246</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.153</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.151</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S60.3</b>	Oppose	“The MBIE Working Group is coming up with a national plan that needs to be taken into account for any new rules”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS10.154</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.152</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Ali McQueen	<b>S61</b>	<b>S61.1</b>	Oppose in part	“Support the submission that Air BnB has made in relation to short term stays in hosted dwellings.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.195</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.247</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.155</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.153</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S61.2</b>	Oppose	“Hosted Air BnB stays should be a form of residential activity that doesn’t require a resource consent.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.196</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.248</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.156</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.154</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S61.3</b>	Oppose	"No requirement for Resource Consent for hosted stays"				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.197</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.249</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.157</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.155</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>S61.4</b>	Oppose	"Unhosted stays less regulated"			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>		<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
		<b>FS4.198</b>		Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS11.250</b>		Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.158</b>		Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.156</b>		Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Carolyn Oakley-Brown	<b>S62</b>	<b>S62.1</b>	Oppose	"Oppose the provisions being put forward and... would like a reasonable planning regimen that doesn't require a lengthy and costly resource consent... do not want a costly resource consent process for home sharing."				<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.251</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS10.159</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.157</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S62.2</b>	Oppose	"Do not want... any limits on days booked."				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS11.252</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.160</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.158</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
Mark Engelbrecht	<b>S63</b>	<b>S63.1</b>	Oppose	"Just bin the proposal for Akaroa. The town needs all the visitors it can get."			<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.168</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes		
			<b>FS11.253</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.161</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.159</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Anita Jovic)	<b>S64</b>	<b>S64.1</b>	Oppose in part	"Holiday homes are an important start for the development of tourism in new areas – utilising existing infrastructure for accommodation purposes and leading to new opportunities for local businesses that thrive on new visitors."			<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.2</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.254</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.162</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.160</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Sandra Matenga	<b>S65</b>	<b>S65.1</b>	Oppose in part	"Support the submission that Air BnB has made in relation to short term stays in hosted dwellings."			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.199</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.255</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.163</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.161</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S65.2</b>	Oppose	"Hosted Air BnB stays should be a form of residential activity that doesn't require a resource consent."			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.200</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.256</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.164</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.162</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S65.3</b>	Oppose	"Seek the following decision from the Council... to leave the status quo"			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.201</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.257</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.165</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.163</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Linda Roderique	<b>S66</b>	<b>S66.1</b>	Oppose	“Do not support the following provisions regarding the implementation of the requirement of resource consent for Airbnb type accommodation... seek the removal of the Non-complying for more than 180 days and replace it with discretionary with limited requirement e.g. nothing greater than exists for owner occupied or tenanted (Residential)”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.258</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.166</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.164</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Mike Gaudin	<b>S67</b>	<b>S67.1</b>	Oppose	“Do not support the plan change.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.259</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.167</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.165</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S67.2</b>	Oppose	“A simple definition for ‘home sharing’ should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.260</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.168</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.166</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S67.3</b>	Oppose	“There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.261</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.169</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.167</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S67.4</b>	Oppose	“The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.262</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.170</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.168</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S67.5</b>	Oppose	“The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.263</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.171</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.169</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S67.6</b>	Oppose	“With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community... support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.264</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.172</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.170</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S67.7</b>	Oppose	“Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating ‘winners’ and ‘losers’ among local areas.”		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.265</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.173</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.171</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S67.8</b>	Oppose	“A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.266</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.174</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.172</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S67.9</b>	Oppose	“Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. • The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.267</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.175</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>FS12.173</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S67.10</b>	Oppose	“Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above.”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.268</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS10.176</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS12.174</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		Wendy Fergusson	<b>S68 S68a</b>	<b>S68.1</b>	Support	“Support the proposed plan changes for 'visitor accommodation in residential zones'.”			<b>No</b>
	<b>Further Submission No.</b>				<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
	<b>FS11.269</b>				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
	<b>FS15.90</b>				Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
	<b>FS10.177</b>				Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
	<b>FS12.175</b>				Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
<b>S68.2</b>	Support in part			“Seek the following decision from the Council... To pass and implement the changes listed out in plan change 4 for 'visitor accommodation in residential zones' and for the Council to enforce these.”					
				<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.270</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.178</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.176</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Dave Mason)	<b>S69</b>	<b>S69.1</b>	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]  “Strongly oppose the plan changes put forward.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.3</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.271</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.91</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.179</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.177</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S69.2</b>	Oppose	“Encourage NZ wide approach to STRA regulation.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.272</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.92</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.180</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.178</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S69.3</b>	Oppose	“A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a STRA platform.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.6</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.181</b>	Bb Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.93</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S69.4</b>	Oppose	“Create a mandatory short term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable three strikes rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short term rental accommodation properties.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.9</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.273</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.182</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.179</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S69.5</b>	Oppose	“Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.12</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.274</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.183</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.180</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Fraser Taylor	<b>S70</b>	<b>S70.1</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]</i></p> <p>“Oppose this change but would support more control over UNHOSTED residential Air BnB dwellings in general because they unfairly compete with commercial business although... would like to see this based on "visitor capacity per property" (e.g. 10 people or 5 rooms). Sometimes a property is unhosted simply because the owner is travelling. The real intention of this change should be to limit free activity of large unhosted venues that unfairly compete with motels.”</p>			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS11.22</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS10.184</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS12.181</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S70.2</b>	Support	<p><i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in rural zones]</i></p> <p>“Support this change.”</p>			
		<b>S70.3</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling]</i></p>			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				“Oppose this change as it stands... This change should be restricted to large capacity (e.g. 10 people or 5 rooms) UNHOSTED venues ONLY.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.275</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.185</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.182</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S70.4</b>	Oppose in part	<p><i>[re: amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year]</i></p> <p>“Oppose any change that would introduce a trigger for commercial parking and vehicle access requirements on hosted residential venues when there is no impact on parking and where off street parking is available... Council should direct their attention to the university making their parking competitive with free street parking rather than concerning themselves with the occasional AirBnB visitor to my house which can only take one visitor or couple at a time. This change would be better directed towards large capacity venues.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.276</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.186</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.183</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S70.5</b>	Support in part	[re: objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres]			
				“Support this change IF "commercial-type visitor accommodation" is properly defines as large capacity venues and NOT regular hosted residential venues.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.277</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.187</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS12.184</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S70.6</b>	Oppose in part	[re: changes to the definition of ‘residential activity’]			
				“Oppose any change to this that affects low capacity hosted residential venues e.g. my home with one room for Air BnB activity.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.278</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.188</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS12.185</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S70.7</b>	Support	[re: changes to standards for visitor accommodation accessory to farming, conservation and recreation activities]			
				“Support this change.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
			<b>FS11.279</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes				
			<b>FS10.189</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes				
			<b>FS12.186</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>S70.8</b>	Support	[re: changes to provisions for visitor accommodation in heritage buildings]  "Support this change in principle."						
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>					
		<b>FS11.280</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS10.190</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS12.187</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>S70.9</b>	Oppose	"Seek the following decision from the Council... Limitations on large capacity and UNHOSTED venues ONLY."						
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>					
		<b>FS11.281</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS10.191</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS12.188</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes					
		Jocelyn Grant	<b>S71</b>	<b>S71.1</b>	Oppose	"Strongly oppose PC4 proposal... seek that the drafting proposed in PC4 as notified is rejected and replaced with a simple, clear and			<b>No</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				reasonable planning regime which enables home share accommodation and recognises the significant role which this type of accommodation plays in the local and regional economy. There is a clear need to achieve the right policy settings and remove inappropriate consenting regulation to enable the local visitor economy to grow, protect consumer choice, and empower local residents to secure their financial future through home sharing.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.282</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.192</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.189</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Arielle Atman	<b>S72</b>	<b>S72.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose the changes suggested... keep things as they are.”		<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.283</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.193</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.190</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Norm Hartwell	<b>S73</b>	<b>S73.1</b>	Oppose	[re: changing the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones; changes to the residential objectives and policies; objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres]		<b>Yes</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				"No change is necessary. Already the council has powers to control nuisances such as parking, noise, litter and offensive behaviour... don't need new rules and... certainly don't want more fees."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.77</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.284</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.194</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.191</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S73.2</b>	Oppose	"The Council should reject any idea of restricting home hosting, be it for 180 days, 60 days, or even one day."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.78</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.285</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.195</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.192</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Tracey MacArthur	<b>S74</b>	<b>S74.1</b>	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones; additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling]  "Clearly oppose the specific provisions"		<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.286</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.196</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.193</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S74.2</b>	Oppose	“Scrap the Controlled Activity Resource Consent for 1 - 60 days and scrap the discretionary Resource Consent for 61 - 180 days... If a host is providing accommodation for over 180 days their activity is more in accordance with a commercial venture and should be treated accordingly.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.287</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.197</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.194</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S74.3</b>	Oppose	“Perhaps the CCC could create a register, with the help of the associated platforms such as Book-A-Bach, NZ Holiday Homes, Bachcare, AirBnB etc. to gain an understanding of the types of accommodation offered, the specifics of guests (group sizes?, where they are coming from) the locations of accommodation, the level of occupancy if any of this would help with associated planning and infrastructure requirements.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS11.288</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.198</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.195</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S74.4</b>	Oppose	“Most people are considerate travellers and this window where check-in and check-out is not allowed seems ludicrous and forces them to check in to motel/hotel type accommodation.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.289</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.199</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.196</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S74.5</b>	Oppose	[re: activity specific standards that: “Guest shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests staying overnight.”]  “Another unnecessary restriction.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.290</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.200</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.197</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S74.6</b>	Oppose	“If [a] home is considered safe and suitable for [residents] to inhabit and host family and friends surely it is considered safe and				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				suitable to host a maximum of two guests without restrictions and conditions being imposed by our council.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.291</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.201</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.198</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S74.7</b>	Oppose	“These proposed changes may have some benefits and do acknowledge the changing accommodation market place but overall they are heavy handed and unnecessary... reject PC4 as notified.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.292</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.202</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.199</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Inner City East Revitalisation Project Working Group (c/o Jane Higgins)	<b>S75</b>	<b>S75.1</b>	Support in part	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]  “Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community.”		<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.57</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS4.37</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.23</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.94</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.203</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.200</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S75.2</b>	Support in part	<p data-bbox="1099 483 2000 563">[re: <i>additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling</i>]</p> <p data-bbox="1099 603 2000 722">“Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community.”</p>				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>			<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
		<b>FS4.38</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS11.24</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS15.95</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS10.204</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS12.201</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>S75.3</b>	Support in part	<p data-bbox="1099 1094 2000 1174">[re: <i>amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year</i>]</p> <p data-bbox="1099 1214 2000 1377">“Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community.”</p>				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.39</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.25</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.96</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.205</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.202</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S75.4</b>	Support in part	[re: <i>objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres</i> ]  “Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.40</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.26</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.97</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.206</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.203</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S75.5</b>	Support in part	[re: <i>changes to the definition of ‘residential activity’</i> ]  “Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community.”		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.41</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.27</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.98</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.207</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.204</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S75.6</b>	Support in part	[re: <i>changes to the provisions for ancillary activities in the ACF overlay</i> ]  “Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.42</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.28</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.99</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.208</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.205</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S75.7</b>	Support in part	“Support the general direction of this Plan Change in that it is moving towards recognising and regulating the commercial nature of these units which is destructive to the residential nature of our community.”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.76</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.43</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.29</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.100</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.209</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.206</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S75.8</b>	Support	“Strongly support the placement of commercial activity in commercial areas.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.87</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.44</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.30</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.101</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.210</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.207</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S75.9</b>	Support in part	“Would like to stress how vital it is that these new regulations are policed well and that the consequences for breaches are substantial enough to deter owners from breaking the rules.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.93</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.45</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.31</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.102</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.211</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.208</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S75.10</b>	Support	"Support this Plan Change... not proposing amendments to the Plan Change."			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS11.32</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS15.103</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS10.212</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS12.209</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
Hayley Hall	<b>S76</b>	<b>S76.1</b>	Oppose	"Strongly oppose the current recommendations and feel they are very difficult for people to understand and comply with and will provide a significant barrier to the majority of current Airbnb providers to the detriment of the entire community... People should have the choice as to what type of accommodation they wish to stay in... the proposed plan is at placing this at risk."			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.293</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.104</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.213</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS12.210</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S76.2</b>	Oppose	“Do not believe you need to put restrictions on late night or early morning arrivals as this also would only occur infrequently.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.294</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.105</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.214</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.211</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S76.3</b>	Oppose	“Don’t support the need for Airbnb’s to require parking spaces.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.295</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.106</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.215</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.212</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S76.4</b>	Oppose	“Seek the council to reject plan four and instead provide a platform that is clear and simple for people to follow and comply with. Something that encourages and recognises the importance of Airbnb in Christchurch and the surrounding district not just on the providers but all businesses and community as a whole.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.296</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.107</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.216</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.213</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Damian Ross-Murphy	<b>S77</b>	<b>S77.1</b>	Oppose	“Do not place restrictions on the number of nights a holiday home can be let for.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.297</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.217</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.214</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S77.2</b>	Oppose	“Do not increase any costs to the holiday home owner.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.298</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.218</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.215</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Susan Linklater	<b>S78</b>	<b>S78.1</b>	Oppose	“The proposal to differentiate between hosted and unhosted accommodation will have unintended consequences. For example property owners could build self contained accommodation on the property to house a host.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.299</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.108</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.219</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.216</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S78.2</b>	Oppose	“If the concern in about a level playing field, then there are better ways of addressing this, than putting in place a night cap... Investigate other ways of "levelling the playing field"			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.300</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.109</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.220</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.217</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S78.3</b>	Oppose	“Encourage a central government regulation of short term rental accommodation”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.300A</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.110</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.221</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.218</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S78.4</b>	Oppose	“Consider a register of short term rental accommodation”			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
Maria Jackson	S79	S79.1	Oppose	[re: <i>night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones</i> ]  “[Prefer] a simpler and more reasonable planning approach that does not incur additional costs as a property owner.”				No
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?		
			FS11.301	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			FS10.222	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		FS12.219	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
		S79.2	Oppose	[re: <i>amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year</i> ]  “[Prefer] a simpler and more reasonable planning approach that does not incur additional costs as a property owner.”				
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?		
			FS11.302	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			FS10.223	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			FS12.220	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
FS11.303	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose	Yes				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.224</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.221</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Frances Anne Phelps	<b>S80</b>	<b>S80.1</b>	Oppose	“Keep the current district plan rules, which allow people who <b>live in a house</b> , to rent out rooms in moderation but <b>do not permit unhosted</b> short term accommodation in residential areas.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.59</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.33</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.111</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.225</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.222</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
William Stanley Phelps	<b>S81</b>	<b>S81.1</b>	Oppose	“Keep the current district plan rules, which allow people who <b>live in a house</b> , to rent out rooms in moderation but <b>do not permit unhosted</b> short term accommodation in residential areas.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.60</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.34</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.226</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.223</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Carter Group Limited c/o J Phillips	<b>S82</b>	<b>S82.1</b>	Support in part	“[Carter Group]’s submission is generally supportive of the Proposal as notified”			<b>Yes</b>
		<b>S82.2</b>	Support in part	[re: underlining of ‘visitor accommodation’ as a defined term throughout the proposed change.]			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>“Where the term ‘<b><u>visitor accommodation</u></b>’ is proposed as a replacement for the operative and defined term ‘guest accommodation’, replace this with ‘<b><u>visitor accommodation</u></b>’ (i.e. green, bold and underlined) such that the term refers to the corresponding definition in Chapter 2.”</p>	
		<p><b>S82.3</b></p>	<p>Support in part</p>	<p>“[Carter Group] is concerned to ensure that the deletion of the operative definition for ‘guest accommodation’ and its replacement with a new definition for ‘visitor accommodation’ does not inadvertently reduce the scope for activities referenced in the operative definition to establish within accommodation facilities in the city’s Commercial zones or Residential Visitor Accommodation zones...</p> <p>Amend the definition of ‘visitor accommodation’ to match the operative definition of ‘guest accommodation’ as follows:</p> <p><i>Visitor accommodation</i>  <u>For all zones except the Residential Guest Accommodation zone and Commercial Central City Business zone means land and/or buildings used for accommodating visitors, subject to a tariff being paid, and includes any ancillary activities.</u>  <u>For the Residential Guest Accommodation zone and Commercial Central City Business zone, visitor accommodation means the use of land and/or buildings for transient residential accommodation offered at a tariff, which may involve the sale of alcohol and/or food to in-house guests, and the sale of food, with or without alcohol, to the public. It may include the following ancillary activities:</u>  <u>a. offices;</u>  <u>b. meeting and conference facilities;</u></p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<p><i>c. fitness facilities; and</i>  <i>d. the provision of goods and services primarily for the convenience of guests.</i></p> <p><i>Guest accommodation in the Residential Guest Accommodation zone and Commercial Central City Business zone includes hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels and camping grounds. Guest accommodation excludes bed and breakfasts and farm stays.</i></p> <p>As alternative relief to the above, the proposed definition could be retained if permitted activity standards for the RGA and CCCB zones are amended to explicitly recognise and permit the sale of alcohol and/or food and the establishment of specific ancillary activities as referred to in the operative definition of ‘guest accommodation’.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.46</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
		<b>S82.4</b>	Support in part	“Retain the proposed amendments to chapter 2 as notified (other than as addressed submission point 2 [S82.3 above]).”		
		<b>S82.5</b>	Support	[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 5 Natural Hazards; Chapter 6 General Rules and Procedures; Chapter 7 Transport; Chapter 8 Subdivision, Development and Earthworks; and Chapter 9 Natural and Cultural Heritage]		
				“Retain the proposed amendments in these chapters, as notified.”		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
		<b>S82.6</b>	Support	[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 12 Pāpakainga/ Kāinga Nohoanga Zone; Chapter 13 Specific Purpose Zones; Chapter 16 Industrial; and Chapter 17 Rural]  “Retain the proposed amendments in these chapters, as notified.”		
		<b>S82.7</b>	Support	[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 14 Residential]  “Subject to the relief sought in submission point 2 [S82.3] above, [Carter Group] seeks that the proposed amendments to these provisions be retained, as notified. In particular, [Carter Group] supports: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A tiered approach to managing visitor accommodation activity, including discouraging such activity for &gt;180 nights per year</li> <li>• Limits on ancillary activities to guest accommodation in the Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay (noting such limits currently apply to RGA zone).</li> <li>• The inclusion of an assessment matter addressing impacts on commercial centres in rule 14.15.5.</li> <li>• Retention of the status quo, in terms of provisions relating to the RGA zone (notwithstanding the change in terminology to ‘visitor accommodation’ within these provisions).”</li> </ul>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.304</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.227</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.224</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S82.8</b>	Support	[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 15 Commercial]		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>“Subject to the relief sought in submission point 2 [S82.3] above, [Carter Group] seeks that the proposed amendments to these provisions be retained, as notified.</p> <p>In particular, [Carter Group] supports the retention of the status quo, in terms of the objectives, policies and rules relating to visitor accommodation in Commercial zones (notwithstanding the change in terminology to ‘visitor accommodation’ within these provisions).”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.305</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.228</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.225</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Nicola Auld	<b>S83</b>	<b>S83.1</b>	Oppose	“People must have a choice as to the type of accommodation experience the wish.”		<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.306</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.113</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.229</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.226</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S83.2a</b>	Oppose	“Not sure how the bookings will adapt with Covid 19 ever present. The council must decline this application and wait a few years for business to bounce back. This sector needs to be helped instead of putting too many costly restrictions in place”		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.307</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.114</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.230</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.227</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S83.2b</b>	Oppose	“A simple definition for ‘home sharing’ should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.308</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.115</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.231</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.228</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S83.3</b>	Oppose	“There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.309</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.116</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.232</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.229</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S83.4</b>	Oppose	“The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.310</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.117</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.233</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			<b>FS12.230</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S83.5</b>	Oppose	“The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.12</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.311</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.118</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.234</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.231</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S83.6</b>	Oppose	“With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community... support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>F11.312</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.119</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.235</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.232</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S83.7</b>	Oppose	“Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating ‘winners’ and ‘losers’ among local areas.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.313</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.120</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.236</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.233</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S83.8</b>	Oppose	“A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform.”		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS11.314</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.121</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.237</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.234</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S83.9</b>	Oppose	“Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS11.315</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.122</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.238</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.235</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S83.10</b>	Oppose	“Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS11.316</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.123</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.239</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.236</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S83.11</b>	Oppose	“The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS11.317</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS15.123A</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.240</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.237</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Jo Greensmith)	<b>S84</b>	<b>S84.1</b>	Oppose	“A simple definition for ‘home sharing’ should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts.”			<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.4</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.318</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.124</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.241</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.238</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S84.2</b>	Oppose	“There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.319</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.125</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.242</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.239</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S84.3</b>	Oppose	“The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.7</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.320</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.126</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.243</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.240</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S84.4</b>	Oppose	“The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities.”		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.13</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.10</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.321</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.127</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.244</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.241</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S84.5</b>	Oppose	“With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community... support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.13</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.322</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.128</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.245</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.242</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S84.6</b>	Oppose	“Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating ‘winners’ and ‘losers’ among local areas.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.323</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.129</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.246</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.243</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S84.7</b>	Oppose	“A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS15.130</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.247</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.244</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S84.8</b>	Oppose	“Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.324</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.131</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.248</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.245</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S84.9</b>	Oppose	“Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.325</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.132</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.249</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.246</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board (c/o Alexandra Davids)	<b>S85</b>	<b>S85.1</b>	Oppose in part	<i>“In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent the changes require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, and is a prohibited activity for stays of more than sixty (60) days”</i>			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.88</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.47</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.1</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.35</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS15.133</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.250</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.247</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S85.2</b>	Oppose in part	“Amend the objectives and policies for residential zones so commercial type visitor accommodation is primarily directed to commercial areas; and <i>complies with commercial accommodation requirements</i> ”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS3.89</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS4.48</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS5.2</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.36</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.134</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.251</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.248</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S85.3</b>	Oppose in part	“There is a problem of safety of guests and residents when entire properties are used for unhosted accommodation. Currently entire properties are used for short-term accommodation and they do not need to [ <i>comply with</i> ] the strict regulations for fire, security and safety that commercial accommodation providers have to adhere to.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.49</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS5.3</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes		



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS14.11</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS11.37</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.135</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.252</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.249</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Lisa Plato	<b>S86</b>	<b>S86.1</b>	Oppose	"Oppose the provisions... seek the following decision from the Council... Up to 90 days requiring no resource consent."			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.326</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.253</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.250</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON) (c/o Jill Nuthall)	<b>S87</b>	<b>S87.1</b>	Support	"Urge CCC to ensure regulations reflect the importance of the distinction between hosted and unhosted accommodation... Make clear the difference between hosted and unhosted STRA in all documents."			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.62</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.50</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.22</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.38</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.136</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.254</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.251</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
			<b>FS16.1</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes
		<b>S87.2</b>	Oppose in part	"Support a 60 day limit, alternately a 30 day limit, either one non complying after that."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.51</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS5.23</b>	Michelle Lomax	Oppose in part	Yes
			<b>FS11.39</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.137</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.255</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.252</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S87.3</b>	Support in part	"Consent should be followed by an increase in rates and commercial conditions such as those imposed on motels."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.52</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS5.24</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.40</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.138</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.256</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.253</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS16.2</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes	
		<b>S87.4</b>	Support in part	“Push for national registration of all STRA, meanwhile set up one for CCC district”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.53</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.25</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.41</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.139</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.257</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.254</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS16.3</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes	
		<b>S87.5</b>	Support in part	“Once a register is in place use technology across many platforms to monitor compliance as with New York, Barcelona etc. This can work eg when a potential visitor checks the website and if after the 60 <sup>th</sup> day, they cannot place a booking... Set up monitoring systems eg using multiple social media platforms... Monitor and research the effects of registration and new regulations and report findings to CCC and the public.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.54</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.26</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS11.42</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.140</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.258</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.255</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS16.4</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes		
		<b>S87.6</b>	Support in part	“There must be adequate fines, financial and/or rating penalties for breaching the rules... (In 2019 London’s Mayor called for substantial fines for non compliance eg up to 20,000 pounds for not applying for consent... Establish penalties for breaching the rules using various financial means such as increased rates and penalty fees, stand down periods before reinstatement.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
		<b>FS3.92</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS4.55</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS5.27</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS11.43</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS15.141</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS10.259</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS12.256</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS16.5</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes			
		<b>S87.7</b>	Support in part	“Use very clear definitions and language in the regulations strictly limiting discretionary permissions.”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.56</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS5.28</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.44</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.142</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.260</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.257</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS16.6</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes		
		<b>S87.8</b>	Support in part	“Appoint specialised staff to monitor and enforce the regulations.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.57</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS5.29</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.45</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.143</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.261</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.258</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS16.7</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes		
		<b>S87.9</b>	Support in part	“Research and publish the effects of unhosted STRAs in the Central City on the supply and quality of housing for permanent/long term residents.”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.58</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS5.30</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.46</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.144</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.262</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.259</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS16.8</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	Yes		
Robert Manthei	<b>S88</b>	<b>S88.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose consent as a Controlled Activity for 60 days/year: Amend to maximum 30 days/year in Central City Residential Zone (... submission does not cover what happens outside the Central City)”				<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS3.63</b> <b>FS3.134</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS4.59</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.47</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.145</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.263</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.260</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S88.2</b>	Oppose	“Oppose consent as a Discretionary Activity for 61 - 180 days/year: Delete this provision altogether--a three-tier system is too				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				complicated and would allow too many unhosted short-term rentals to sneak in”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.64</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.146</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.264</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.261</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S88.3</b>	Oppose	“Oppose consent as Non-complying Activity for 181 or more days/year: Amend so that any days over 61 is a Prohibited Activity in Central City Residential Zones”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.65</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes
			<b>FS4.60</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.147</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.265</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.262</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S88.4</b>	Support in part	“Support amendments that make it clear that unhosted (visitor) accommodation is directed to commercial areas, provided the wording is strong enough that this includes ALL unhosted short term rentals and that they would be PROHIBITED in the RCCZs.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.66</b> <b>FS3.90</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS4.61</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.51</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.148</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.266</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.263</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S88.5</b>	Oppose in part	“Strengthen all objectives, policies and rules re short term (visitor) rental accommodation so it is clear that they are NOT likely to be approved within the Central City Residential Zones... the only way to control the proliferation of these defacto motels is to prohibit them altogether within central city residential areas.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS3.67</b> <b>FS3.91</b> <b>FS3.94</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS4.62</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS11.51</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS15.149</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS10.267</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS12.264</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		Spires Development Ltd (Brooke McKenzie and Lesley McKenzie)	<b>S89</b>	<b>S89.1</b>	Oppose	[re: the provisions for visitor accommodation within the Rural Urban Fringe Zone with respect to 602 Yaldhurst Road]  “The submitters own a parcel of land which is currently zoned as Rural Urban Fringe under the Operative Christchurch District Plan and within the 55dB Ldn Air Noise Contour... the proposed Rural	<b>Yes</b>



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<p>Urban Fringe Permitted Activities P20- Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and P21 - Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit the activity specific standards relating to guest numbers is too restrictive and opposes the four guest threshold... It is considered by the submitters, with regard to the above, that a balance can be struck in the provision of guest accommodation utilising an established resource and an arrangement that meets the needs of visitors without requiring the onerous, costly and time consuming exercise of addressing such requirements in the future...</p> <p>The submitters seek the following decisions from Council on the provisions proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• that the submitters property being; Lot 2 DP 24943 – 602 Yaldhurst Road be identified by the District Plan as permitting no more than 15 guests at any one time.</li> <li>• such further relief as may be appropriate to give effect to this submission.”</li> </ul>		
			<p><b>Further Submission No.</b></p>	<p><b>Further Submitter</b></p>	<p><b>Support or Oppose</b></p>	<p><b>Wishes to be heard?</b></p>
			<p><b>FS8.1</b></p>	<p>Christchurch International Airport Limited</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Yes</p>
			<p><b>FS11.327</b></p>	<p>Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Yes</p>
			<p><b>FS10.268</b></p>	<p>Bob Pringle</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Yes</p>
			<p><b>FS12.265</b></p>	<p>Jeff Peters</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Yes</p>
	<p><b>S90</b></p>	<p><b>S90.1</b></p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>“Support changing the District Plan so that UNHOSTED short-term (visitor) accommodation are ONLY allowed in Mixed Use or Business Zones within the CENTRAL CITY.”</p>		<p><b>Yes</b></p>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
Victoria Neighbourhood Association Inc (VNA) (c/o Marjorie Manthei)			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.63</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS5.4</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.52</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.150</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.269</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.266</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S90.2</b>	Oppose	<p><b>“Do not support a three-tiered system</b>, as proposed by the CCC (Controlled – Discretionary – Non-complying)... The VNA favours a two-tiered system—preferably <b>Controlled for the number of days specified below and Prohibited in all other instances. This relates only to the RCCZ.</b> We acknowledge that there are few Prohibited activities in the current District Plan, but are advocating this because Discretionary or Restricted Discretionary status requires (a) notification, if residents are to have any say (b) time and resources from residents if each consent application requires a response and (c) in our experience, cumulative effects and impact on residential amenity / coherence are often considered ‘minor’ or ‘less than minor’ by CCC planners. If this shortcoming can be addressed, the VNA would accept <b>Non-complying status as the second tier.</b>”</p>				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS4.64</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS5.5</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>FS11.53</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS15.151</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS10.270</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS12.267</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>S90.3</b>	Oppose	“Do not agree that a restriction on arrival & departure times is needed, provided only hosted rentals are allowed in RCCZs.”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS5.6</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS11.54</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS15.152</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS10.271</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS12.268</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes				
		<b>S90.4</b>	Support in part	“All unhosted visitor accommodation and any other commercial-type accommodation be directed to commercial areas”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS4.65</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS5.7</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS11.55</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS15.153</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS10.272</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS12.269</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S90.5</b>	Oppose	“60 days/s maximum for unhosted rentals (as controlled activity) in Residential Central City Zone 61 days onwards a Prohibited activity in RCCZ unless very strict rules are put in place, in which case Non-complying status would be acceptable”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.66</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS5.8</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.56</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.154</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.273</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.270</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S90.6</b>	Oppose	“ <b>Although we prefer a maximum of 30 days/year as a Controlled activity for unhosted STRA within the RCCZ, we can support a compromise of 45 days/year.</b> ”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.67</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS5.9</b>	Michelle Lomax	Oppose in part	Yes
			<b>FS11.57</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.155</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.274</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.271</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		S90.7	Oppose	“From 31 (or 46) days onwards, unhosted STRA should be a Prohibited activity within RCCZs... acknowledge that Non-complying status would be more appropriate for most other residential zones.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			FS4.68	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			FS5.10	Michelle Lomax	Oppose in part	Yes	
			FS11.58	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			FS15.156	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			FS10.275	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			FS12.272	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		S90.8	Oppose	“Reject any provisions that enable, encourage or allow (by default) unhosted STRA within the RCCZ; e.g. the wording in clause (c) of [the public notice for] Plan Change 4... Clause (c) proposes to ‘amend the objectives and policies for residential zones so commercial-type visitor accommodation is primarily directed to commercial areas’ (emphasis added). The clause is not strong enough—the word ‘primarily’ should be deleted.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			FS4.69	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			FS5.11	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			FS11.59	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS15.157</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.276</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.273</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S90.9</b>	Oppose in part	“Reject... the Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd submission in its entirety.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.70</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS5.12</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.60</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.158</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.277</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.274</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S90.10</b>	Support in part	“ <b>Agree there also should be some restrictions on <u>hosted and unhosted</u> accommodation in other residential zones, but...</b> have not consulted in any depth about this.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS5.13</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.61</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.159</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.278</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.275</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S90.11</b>	Oppose in part	“The VNA wants strongly worded, unambiguous objectives, policies and rules that make it clear that <u>unhosted</u> short-term		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				(visitor) rental accommodation of more than 31 (or 46) days per year are <u>not</u> to be located in the Residential Central City Zone.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.71</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS5.14</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.62</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.160</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.279</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.276</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S90.12</b>	Support	“The Plan Change <u>must</u> : differentiate between hosted and unhosted STRA.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.72</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS5.15</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.63</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.161</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.280</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.277</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
		<b>S90.13</b>	Oppose	“The Plan Change <u>must</u> : prohibit (or severely limit) unhosted STRA in Central City residential zones.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.73</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.16</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.64</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.162</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.281</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.278</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S90.14</b>	Support in part	“The Plan Change <u>must</u> : ensure that effects on residential amenity and coherence are considered when resource unhosted STRA consents are applied for—and that the negative effects are not fobbed off as ‘less than minor’”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS5.17</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.65</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.163</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.282</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.279</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S90.15</b>	Oppose	“The Plan Change <u>must</u> : ensure that <u>none</u> of the provisions in the District Plan support unhosted STRA in the Central City residential zones”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.74</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.18</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.66</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.164</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.283</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.280</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S90.16</b>	Oppose	“The Plan Change <u>must</u> : require standard health and safety provisions for all STRA units/dwellings”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.75</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.19</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.67</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.165</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.284</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.281</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S90.17</b>	Oppose	“The Plan Change <u>must</u> : <u>not</u> provide any grandparenting for existing STRAs in Central City residential zones”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.76</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS5.20</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.68</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.166</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.285</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.282</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S90.18</b>	Oppose in part	“The VNA supports the submissions made by the Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON) and the Accommodation Sector of the Hospitality Association.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS5.21</b>	Michelle Lomax	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.69</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.167</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.286</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.283</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Mark Tasker	<b>S91</b>	<b>S91.1</b>	Support in part	[re: objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres]  “Support moving "commercial-type visitor accommodation" to commercial areas, not residential areas (especially Airbnb)...”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.68</b> <b>FS3.82</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.77</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.70</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.287</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.284</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S91.2</b>	Oppose	“Seek that there is no Airbnb or similar commercial-type money-making accommodation businesses allowed in our or other			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				residential areas but are permitted in commercial zones... "conditional permission" is hard or almost impossible to police as there invariably is a slippery slope of behaviour."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.69 FS3.83</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes
			<b>FS4.78</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.71</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.288</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.285</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
Scott Nelson	<b>S92</b>	<b>S92.1</b>	Oppose	<p>“In relation to the nights per year limits for the three types of resource consent requirements that are proposed... these should be replaced with limits that are more targeted towards the number of guests staying at a property over a weekly/ monthly period rather than a collective number of nights per year. The issue with nights per year is that long term stays (28 nights or more) would be included in these limits where any impact on nearby residents would be no different than if they signed a 1-3 month lease agreement. The second issue is properties being solely listed for short term rental over the peak season (for as little as two months) and subsequently becoming a “non-complying” activity...</p> <p>An effective way of solving both issues above is to put in place limits that will control the number of bookings a property can have over any given week and month and will apply on a per property basis not per room basis for example;</p>	<b>Yes</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?			
				Controlled Activity: 1 booking per week up to 3 per month (2 and 5 during summer) Discretionary: 2 bookings per week up to 5 per month (4 and 7 during summer) Non Complying: no restrictions – deemed a commercial operation full consent needed”					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.79</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		Yes		
			<b>FS11.328</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes		
			<b>FS10.289</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes		
			<b>FS12.286</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes		
		<b>S92.2</b>	Oppose	“In addition to this, automatic resource consent (at a reduced rate) should be given to both controlled and discretionary on the basis their property is registered with the council and listed with an approved short term booking platform (where the above limits and other conditions imposed by council can be controlled).”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
		<b>FS4.80</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS11.329</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS10.290</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS12.287</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes				
		Breeze Robertson	<b>S93</b>	<b>S93.1</b>	Oppose		<i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]</i>		<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				"Do not support this amendment. Do not approve Proposed Plan Change 4, amendment a, i."		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.330</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.291</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.288</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Orion New Zealand (c/o Melanie Foote)	<b>S94</b>	<b>S94.1</b>	Support in part	<p>"Two new definitions are proposed relating to <i>"hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit"</i> and <i>"unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit"</i>. Orion support both proposed definitions on the assumption that both definitions are a subset of the definition of <i>"Visitor accommodation"</i>. These definitions link to the definition of sensitive activities which form a subset. Orion wish to ensure this is the case, as it is important to ensure the corridor protection rules across the District Plan Chapters continue to cover all sensitive activities.</p> <p>1. If the above assumption is not correct, then Orion seek that the wording of the definition of <i>"Sensitive activities"</i> be amended to include the both hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation to ensure the corridor protection rules continue to cover sensitive activities</p>		<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.81</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS8.16</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?	
		<b>S94.2</b>	Support in part	“2. Orion seek that any consequential amendments to the District Plan are also made in relation to all Corridor Protection rules contained in the District Plan given the proposed plan change proposes to amend the definitions used under the application of the existing corridor protection rules.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.82</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS8.17</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Yes	
Cassia Jackson	<b>S95</b>	<b>S95.1</b>	Oppose in part	“There should be a level of regulation for Airbnbs, particularly in the central city, but... if it is too prohibitive... visitors to Christchurch... may choose to visit another region instead”		<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.331</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.292</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.289</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S95.2</b>	Support	“Hosted visitor accommodation nights to be uncapped.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.332</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
		<b>FS10.293</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.290</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?	
		<b>S95.3</b>	Oppose	“Unhosted to be allowed outside of the Four Avenues, for over 180 nights per year, unless complaints have been made.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.333</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.294</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.291</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Sasha Stollman	<b>S96</b>	<b>S96.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose the specific provisions of the plan change and wish to have them amended.”		<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.334</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.295</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.292</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S96.2</b>	Oppose	[re: additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling]  “Delete the limitations on late-night arrivals and departures”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.335</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.296</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
<b>FS12.293</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?		
		<b>S96.3</b>	Oppose	“Delete the limitations... on number of days per year the residential dwelling can be used for visitor accommodation.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS11.336</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS10.297</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.294</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S96.4</b>	Oppose in part	[re: amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year]  “Commercial parking and vehicle access should not be an issue when already limiting the number of guests.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS11.337</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.298</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.295</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Zin South	<b>S97</b>	<b>S97.1</b>	Oppose	“Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. • The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties.”	<b>No</b>		



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.338</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.299</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.296</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Paul Crooks	<b>S98</b>	<b>S98.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose the change to controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days. A Discretionary resource consent should be required for 0-180 days... Given the high number of people on waiting lists for government and council housing, the focus should be on severely restricting conversion of homes into hotels to prevent evictions of long term city residents and stopping homelessness in Christchurch.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.72</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.168</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.300</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.297</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Jesse Holmes	<b>S99</b>	<b>S99.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose.... Should not have a say on who and when I have people in my own home... seek the following decision from the Council - withdraw submission.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.339</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.301</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.298</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Bachcare Holiday Homes (c/o Shaun Fitzmaurice)	<b>S100</b>	<b>S100.1</b>	Support in part	“Bachcare supports council in its aspiration to provide a reasonable framework in which short term rentals operate.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.202</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.340</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.169</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.302</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.299</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>S100.2</b>	Support in part	“Bachcare is in support of a clear, simple addition to the District Plan which recognises the critical role short term rental plays in the economy and community.”		
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS4.203</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS11.341</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.303</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.300</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S100.3</b>	Oppose in part	“Bachcare supports the detailed submission made by Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.204</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.342</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.304</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.301</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S100.4</b>	Oppose	“There is no justification in a distinction between hosted or non-hosted accommodation. This should be removed and replaced with a clear definition for short term rental accommodation.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.14</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.205</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.343</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.305</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.302</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S100.5</b>	Oppose	“The proposal judges the requirement for control in urban centres and rural towns to be the same. Rural towns such as Akaroa in the Banks Peninsula, an area with a reliance on tourism and a need for short term rentals, has the same controls as central Christchurch residential zones. The recommendation fails to identify the needs of the communities with a significant dependency on short term rentals to the local economy.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS2.1</b>	Fiona Temple	Support	No	
			<b>FS4.206</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.344</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.306</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.303</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S100.6</b>	Oppose	“The proposal as drafted is confusing, complex, and costly for hosts.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.207</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.345</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.307</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.304</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S100.7</b>	Oppose	“As drafted, the proposal does not recognise the important role short term rentals contribute to the local economy.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.208</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.346</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.308</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.305</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S100.8</b>	Oppose	“The night thresholds would be unique to this style of accommodation and provide competitive advantage to other forms of accommodation.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			<b>FS4.209</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.347</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.309</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.306</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	<b>S101</b>	<b>S101.1</b>	Support in part	“Overall, CIAL seeks that PC4 be approved with amendments, as set out in Appendix B, or other similar relief that would address CIAL’s concerns set out in this submission.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS11.348</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.170</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose in part	Yes	
			<b>FS10.310</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.307</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS1.1</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.2</b>	Oppose in part	“Ensure that any potential reverse sensitivity effects on the safe and efficient operation of Christchurch International Airport will be avoided. Notwithstanding this, CIAL wishes to emphasise that visitor accommodation is a key part of the Christchurch visitor economy and CIAL supports enablement of a broad range of visitor accommodation types across the district.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.91</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes		
			<b>FS11.349</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.311</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.308</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS1.2</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S101.3</b>	Oppose in part	“CIAL does not believe it is necessary to constrain choice by differentiating between particular types of visitor accommodation, imposing complicated regulation, or taking an overly directive approach in respect of certain types of guest accommodation in Christchurch.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.115</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.350</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.312</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.309</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS1.3</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S101.4</b>	Oppose in part	“CIAL is concerned that the outcome of this plan change will be that people will be discouraged from participating in the sharing economy and ultimately accommodation options in Christchurch will decrease.”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.116</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.351</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.313</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.310</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS1.4</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S101.5</b>	Oppose in part	“It is critical that proper consideration is given to how visitor accommodation activities are integrated into the Plan’s regime for managing sensitive activities... CIAL’s main concern with respect to PC4 is to ensure that the proposal is consistent with the RPS, particularly with RPS Policy 6.3.5(4) and 6.3.9(5)(a), Strategic Objective 3.3.12, and associated objectives and policies in the Christchurch District Plan.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.92</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes		
			<b>FS10.314</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.311</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS1.5</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S101.6</b>	Support	“Visitor accommodation in existing residential units is not of concern to CIAL as long as this type of land use will not create an increase in residential density under the Contours. Provided the residential unit (including any new residential unit constructed for the purpose of being used for hosted or unhosted visitor accommodation) is still required to comply with the various residential density rules which are already in the Plan, CIAL is not				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				concerned with whether a residential unit is occupied by a household or by home share guests... PC4 does not propose to remove or amend existing residential density controls or other requirements such as minimum lot sizes in the relevant residential and rural zones which lie within the Noise Contours. CIAL supports this approach.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.93</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS10.315</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.312</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS1.6</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.7</b>	Support	“CIAL also notes that, within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour in the Rural Waimakariri and Rural Urban Fringe Zone, minor residential units are only permitted in the Plan where they are used for a family flat. CIAL is pleased to note that no amendment is proposed to those rules”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.316</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.316</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS1.7</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.8</b>	Support in part	“CIAL’s position with regard to traditional visitor accommodation such as hotels, motels, hostels etc – is that, provided those activities take place in buildings that are designed, constructed and operated to a standard that mitigates the effects of aircraft noise on occupants, reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport can			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				be avoided. However if visitor accommodation does not take place in buildings which meet those acoustic standards, it is by definition a sensitive activity and must be avoided within the Noise Contours.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.317</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.314</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS1.8</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.9</b>	Oppose in part	“CIAL considers bed and breakfasts are residential in nature and should be regulated as such.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.117</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.318</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.315</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS1.9</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.10</b>	Support	“It is essential that PC4 does not inadvertently or otherwise result in a situation that enables residential activity associated with commercial film or video production activities to establish as of right, particularly not within the Noise Contours.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS1.10</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.11</b>	Support in part	[re: definition of “hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit”]		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<p>“Provided both hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit is recognised as sensitive activities, CIAL is not concerned with the inclusion of this new definition.</p> <p>CIAL supports the exclusion of camping grounds from this definition. CIAL also supports the restriction on use of a family flat for visitor accommodation, given that by definition family flats must be used by dependent members of the same household. However CIAL does note that the proposed definition and planning provisions which apply to this activity are complicated and will be difficult for hosts to understand and apply.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.94</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS10.319</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.316</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS1.11</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.12</b>	Support in part	<p>[re: definition of “unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit”]</p> <p>“As above [see S101.11]”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.95</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS10.320</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.317</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS1.12</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.13</b>	Oppose	[re: definition of “residential activity”]		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>“In CIAL’s view, use of a residential unit for home share visitor accommodation is closer in character to a residential activity and is certainly a sensitive activity. For that reason, it should be treated as a residential activity in the Plan.</p> <p>CIAL supports classification of individual bookings for rented accommodation and serviced apartments over a certain number of days as “residential”.</p> <p>Resort hotels in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone are presently occupied for up to three months at a time by the same owner / occupier. They should therefore be included in the definition of residential activities.</p> <p>Amend this definition as follows:</p> <p>means the use of land and/or buildings for the purpose of living accommodation. It includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. a residential unit, boarding house, student hostel or a family flat (including accessory buildings);</li> <li>b. emergency and refuge accommodation;</li> <li><u>c. hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit;</u></li> <li>c. use of a residential unit as a holiday home where a payment in money, goods or services is not exchanged;</li> <li>d. house-sitting and direct home exchanges where a tariff is not charged;</li> <li>e. rented accommodation and serviced apartments not covered by clause (g) and where individual bookings are for</li> </ul>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>a minimum of 28 consecutive days <del>(except in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone)</del>  <del>f. Resort hotels</del>; and            f. sheltered housing; but            excludes:            g. guest visitor accommodation, including hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels, farmstays, camping grounds, <del>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</del>;            h. the use of land and/or buildings for custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site; and            i. accommodation associated with a fire station.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.96</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS7.2</b>	Clearwater Land Holdings Limited	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS9.2</b>	Clearwater Projects Limited	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.352</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS13.1</b>	Clearwater Developers	Oppose	No
			<b>FS10.321</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.318</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS1.13</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.14</b>	Amend	<p><i>[re: definition of “residential unit”]</i></p> <p>“It is not clear what the council has in mind when it refers to “visitor accommodation accessory to a residential activity”.</p>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				<p>CIAL seeks clarification as to how this concept fits with the proposed new definitions of hosted and unhosted “visitor accommodation in a residential unit”.</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS1.14</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.15</b>	Support in part	<p>[re: definition of “sensitive activity”]</p> <p>“CIAL supports the recognition that “hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit” and “unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit” in the definition of “sensitive activities”. CIAL seeks that this classification as a sensitive activity is retained.</p> <p>However the definition as drafted (with hosted / unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit being an exception to an exception) is unnecessarily complicated and may cause confusion. CIAL seeks that the drafting of this definition be amended to provide for visitor accommodation in a residential unit in a clearer way. If this type of activity is nested under the definition of “residential activity” it would be captured by the reference at a. Alternatively, the drafting adjacent could be adopted.</p> <p>means:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. residential activities, unless specified below;</li> <li>b. care facilities;</li> <li>c. education activities and preschools, unless specified below;</li> <li>d. <del>guest</del> <u>visitor</u> accommodation, unless specified below;</li> </ul>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>e. health care facilities which include accommodation for overnight care;</p> <p>f. hospitals; and</p> <p>g. custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site;</p> <p><u>h. hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u></p> <p>but excludes in relation to airport noise:</p> <p>h. any residential activities, in conjunction with rural activities that comply with the rules in the relevant district plans as at 23 August 2008;</p> <p>i. flight training or other trade and industry training activities located on land zoned or legally used for commercial activities or industrial activities, including the Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone; and</p> <p>j. <del>guest visitor accommodation (except hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit)</del> which is designed, constructed and operated to a standard to mitigate the effects of aircraft noise on occupants.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.97</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS10.323</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.319</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose Yes	
			<b>FS1.15</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.16</b>	Support	[re: definition of “visitor accommodation”]		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				“CIAL acknowledges replacement of the definition of “guest accommodation” with this definition is required for consistency with the National Planning Standards.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS1.16</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.17</b>	Support in part	<p><i>[Noise provisions - Rule 6.1.7.2.2 Activities near Christchurch Airport]</i></p> <p>“CIAL supports the amendments which confirm that the relevant acoustic insulation standards for residential units apply to any new buildings or additions to existing buildings that will be used for visitor accommodation in a residential unit.</p> <p>In addition, CIAL seeks that a standard for other habitable spaces is inserted for other forms of visitor accommodation to align with the standards for residential activity.</p> <p>Retain proposed amendments to rule 6.1.7.2.2 and amend further.</p> <p>6.1.7.2.2 Activities near Christchurch Airport</p> <p>a. The following activity standards apply to new buildings and additions to existing buildings located within the 55 dB Ldn air noise contour or the 55 dB Ldn engine testing contour shown on the planning maps:</p> <p>i. Any new buildings and/or additions to existing buildings shall be insulated from aircraft noise and designed to comply with the following indoor design sound levels:</p>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>A. Residential units, <b>including</b> hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit:</p> <p>I. Sleeping areas – 65 dB LAE/40 dB Ldn  II. Other habitable areas – 75 dB LAE /50 dB Ldn</p> <p>B. <del>Guest</del> <u>Visitor</u> accommodation, resort hotels, hospitals and health care facilities:</p> <p>I. Relaxing or sleeping - 65 dB LAE /40 dB Ldn  II. Conference meeting rooms - 65 dB LAE / 40 dB Ldn  III. Service activities – 75 dB LAE /60 dB Ldn  <b>IV. Other habitable areas – 75 dB LAE /50 dB Ldn”</b></p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.98</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS7.3</b>	Clearwater Land Holdings Limited	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS9.3</b>	Clearwater Projects Limited	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS1.17</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.18</b>	Oppose in part	<p>[<i>Transport chapter - Rules 7.4.3.1, 7.4.3.5, 7.4.3.6, 7.5 appendices</i>]</p> <p>“CIAL is generally neutral as to the proposed amendments, however it queries the necessity for parking-related requirements for hosted and unhosted accommodation in a residential unit in excess of the usual requirements imposed on residential units.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS1.18</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.19</b>	Support	[ <i>Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone - Rule 13.3.4.1 P6</i> ]		



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				<p>“Retain. CIAL is neutral as to this amendment, noting it is confined to making the change deleting “guest accommodation” and replacing with “visitor accommodation” but otherwise does not alter the provisions in the Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.99</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.19</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.20</b>	Support	<p>[<i>Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone - Rule 13.3.7.6</i>]</p> <p>“Retain. CIAL is neutral as to this amendment for the same reasons as explained above [<i>in S101.19</i>].”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.100</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.20</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.21</b>	Amend	<p>[<i>Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone - Rules 13.9.4 and 13.9.4.1</i>]</p> <p>“CIAL strongly opposes the omission of the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone from plan change 4...</p> <p>The total number of days’ occupancy threshold determined by the Council should apply equally to this zone...</p> <p>Amend the provisions in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone to align with the regulations proposed for visitor accommodation in the rest of the district. Including the following:</p>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?			
				<p>Amend the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1108 312 1989 678"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 312 1189 678">P9</td> <td data-bbox="1196 312 1429 678">Resort hotel bedrooms and associated activities.</td> <td data-bbox="1435 312 1989 678">           a. Up to 350 bedrooms in total within the Clearwater Golf Resort, with up to 255 bedrooms within the 55 dB Ldn airport noise contour, including associated ancillary buildings.            b. The maximum period of owner occupancy of resort hotel bedrooms shall be <del>three months</del> <u>28 days</u> in total per calendar year.         </td> </tr> </table> <p>And</p> <p>Insert rules related to “hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit” and “unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit” into these zone rules. Insert rules which are consistent with the rules proposed for accommodation activities which occur in residential units in other zones and which appropriately manage those sensitive activities within the Noise Contours.”</p>			P9	Resort hotel bedrooms and associated activities.	a. Up to 350 bedrooms in total within the Clearwater Golf Resort, with up to 255 bedrooms within the 55 dB Ldn airport noise contour, including associated ancillary buildings. b. The maximum period of owner occupancy of resort hotel bedrooms shall be <del>three months</del> <u>28 days</u> in total per calendar year.	
P9	Resort hotel bedrooms and associated activities.	a. Up to 350 bedrooms in total within the Clearwater Golf Resort, with up to 255 bedrooms within the 55 dB Ldn airport noise contour, including associated ancillary buildings. b. The maximum period of owner occupancy of resort hotel bedrooms shall be <del>three months</del> <u>28 days</u> in total per calendar year.								
				<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
				<b>FS7.1</b>	Clearwater Land Holdings Limited	Oppose	Yes			
				<b>FS9.1</b>	Clearwater Projects Limited	Oppose	Yes			
				<b>FS13.2</b>	Clearwater Developers	Oppose	No			
				<b>FS1.21</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S101.22</b>	Support	[Residential chapter - Objective 14.2.9 and Policy 14.2.9.1]						

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				<p>“CIAL supports the references to protection of strategic infrastructure from reverse sensitivity effects in proposed objective 14.2.9(b)(iv) and Policy 14.2.9.1(c) and seeks that these references are retained.</p> <p>CIAL is otherwise neutral as to the proposed drafting related to supply of housing, commercial centres, and neighbourhood amenity.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.101</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.22</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.23</b>	Support	[Residential chapter - Policy 14.2.9.2 and Policy 14.2.9.3]			
				“Retain new policy 14.2.9.2... CIAL is neutral as to the new policies 14.2.9.2, and 14.2.9.3”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS1.23</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.24</b>	Support	[Residential chapter - Policy 14.2.9.4]			
				“Retain policy... CIAL is neutral as to the establishment of visitor accommodation outside of the Noise Contours. However this policy is supported to the extent that CIAL agrees any visitor accommodation not provided for via the other proposed policies (which could include accommodation likely to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects on strategic infrastructure) should be avoided in residential zones under the Noise Contours.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.102</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.24</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.25</b>	Oppose	[re: suite of rules proposed for all Residential Zones]  “Amend to provide for a more workable and simple approach which facilitates a wide range of accommodation options to promote and attract visitors to Christchurch and support the visitor economy, while giving effect to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement and Strategic Objective 3.3.12.  Delete rules applicable to “hosted” and “unhosted” “visitor accommodation in a residential unit” and replace with rules which regulate these activities in the same way as residential activities are regulated in the residential zones.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS4.118</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS1.25</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S101.26</b>	Oppose in part	[re: suite of rules proposed for all Residential Zones]  “CIAL is neutral as to the rules applicable to accommodation in a heritage item, though notes that where this takes place within the Noise Contours the same requirements regarding design, construction and operation to mitigate the effects of noise on occupants apply and a heritage building may not meet this			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				standard, resulting in that type of guest accommodation being a sensitive activity.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS1.26</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.27</b>	Support	[Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone - Rule 14.11.1 P1]  “CIAL supports the amendments to update references to “visitor accommodation” and retention of the requirement for visitor accommodation located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour to be designed and constructed in order to meet appropriate indoor design sound levels as an activity specific standard in Rule 14.11.1 P1.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.103</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.27</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.28</b>	Oppose in part	[re: Residential Suburban Zone, Residential Suburban Density Zone, and Residential New Neighbourhood Zone rules]  “With regard to residentially zoned land that falls within the Noise Contours, CIAL seeks that the rules apply the same standards to hosted / unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit as apply presently to residential activities and residential units within the Noise Contours.  Make further amendments to the zone rules as follows:			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?			
				<p>14.4.1.3 - Residential Suburban Zone, Residential Suburban Density Zone rules</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1108 352 1977 1437"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 352 1227 1437">RD34</td> <td data-bbox="1234 352 1653 1437">           a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</li> <li>ii. Education activities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P16);</li> <li>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.4.1.1 P17); or</li> <li>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P18);</li> <li><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></li> <li><u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></li> <li><u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not</u></li> </ul> </td> <td data-bbox="1659 352 1977 1437">           a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport.            b. The extent to which appropriate indoor noise insulation is provided with regard         </td> </tr> </table>	RD34	a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</li> <li>ii. Education activities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P16);</li> <li>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.4.1.1 P17); or</li> <li>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P18);</li> <li><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></li> <li><u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></li> <li><u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not</u></li> </ul>	a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport. b. The extent to which appropriate indoor noise insulation is provided with regard	
RD34	a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</li> <li>ii. Education activities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P16);</li> <li>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.4.1.1 P17); or</li> <li>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P18);</li> <li><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></li> <li><u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></li> <li><u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not</u></li> </ul>	a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport. b. The extent to which appropriate indoor noise insulation is provided with regard						

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?			
				<p><u>provided for as a permitted or controlled activity.</u></p> <p>b. Any application arising from this rule shall not be publicly notified and shall be limited notified only to Christchurch International Airport Limited (absent its written approval).</p>					
				<p>14.12.1.3 Residential New Neighbourhood Zone Rules</p>					
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1115 722 1218 1437">RD26</td> <td data-bbox="1225 722 1653 1437"> <p>a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps:</p> <p>i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</p> <p>ii. Education activities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P8);</p> <p>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.12.1.1 P9); or</p> <p>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P10);</p> <p><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is</u></p> </td> <td data-bbox="1659 722 1971 1437"> <p>a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International</p> </td> </tr> </table>		RD26	<p>a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps:</p> <p>i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</p> <p>ii. Education activities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P8);</p> <p>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.12.1.1 P9); or</p> <p>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P10);</p> <p><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is</u></p>	<p>a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International</p>	
RD26	<p>a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps:</p> <p>i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</p> <p>ii. Education activities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P8);</p> <p>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.12.1.1 P9); or</p> <p>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P10);</p> <p><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is</u></p>	<p>a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International</p>							

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				<p><u>not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u>  <u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u>  <u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity.</u></p> <p>b. Any application arising from this rule shall not be publicly notified and shall be limited notified only to Christchurch International Airport Limited (absent its written approval).</p>		<p>Airport.</p>		
				”				
				<p><b>Further Submission No.</b></p>	<p><b>Further Submitter</b></p>	<p><b>Support or Oppose</b></p>	<p><b>Wishes to be heard?</b></p>	
				<p><b>FS1.28</b></p>	<p>David Lawry</p>	<p>Oppose</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
				<p><b>FS4.104</b></p>	<p>Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd</p>	<p>Neutral</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
		<p><b>S101.29</b></p>	<p>Oppose in part</p>	<p>[Residential chapter - Appendix 14.16.4]</p> <p>“CIAL supports the amendments to the Appendix to update references to “guest accommodation” to “visitor accommodation”.</p>				



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?																													
				<p>CIAL also seeks amendment to this appendix to clarify the standards applicable to the council's proposed new categories of hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit.</p> <p>Support and amend further:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Building type and activity</th> <th colspan="2">Indoor design and sound levels</th> </tr> <tr> <th>SEL dB</th> <th>dB Ldn</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Residential units, <u>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u> and older person's housing</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sleeping areas</td> <td>65</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other habitable areas</td> <td>75</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Guest <u>visitor</u> accommodation, resort hotels, hospitals and health care facilities</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Relaxing or sleeping</td> <td>65</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Conference meeting rooms</td> <td>65</td> <td>40</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Service activities</td> <td>75</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Other habitable areas</u></td> <td><u>75</u></td> <td><u>50</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>”</p>	Building type and activity	Indoor design and sound levels		SEL dB	dB Ldn	Residential units, <u>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u> and older person's housing			Sleeping areas	65	40	Other habitable areas	75	50	Guest <u>visitor</u> accommodation, resort hotels, hospitals and health care facilities			Relaxing or sleeping	65	40	Conference meeting rooms	65	40	Service activities	75	60	<u>Other habitable areas</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>50</u>	
Building type and activity	Indoor design and sound levels																																	
	SEL dB	dB Ldn																																
Residential units, <u>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u> and older person's housing																																		
Sleeping areas	65	40																																
Other habitable areas	75	50																																
Guest <u>visitor</u> accommodation, resort hotels, hospitals and health care facilities																																		
Relaxing or sleeping	65	40																																
Conference meeting rooms	65	40																																
Service activities	75	60																																
<u>Other habitable areas</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>50</u>																																
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>																												
			<b>FS4.105</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes																												

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?		
			<b>FS1.29</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S101.30</b>	Support in part	<p><i>[re: Commercial Core Zone, Commercial Office Zone, Commercial Local Zone]</i></p> <p>“CIAL notes that, although residential activities and visitor accommodation activities are provided for in these zones, there is presently no amendments proposed to insert rules related to hosted or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit. There is some commercially zoned land within the noise contours. Should rules be inserted to provide for any specific noise sensitive activities in these zone rules, CIAL seeks that there is also corresponding standards to give effect to the RPS requirement to avoid noise sensitive activities within the noise contours.</p> <p>Should any additional activity rules be inserted into the Commercial zone rules which apply to land with commercial zoning located within the noise contours, ensure that the following standard applies (as presently applies to residential activities in these zones):</p> <p><b>“x. The activity shall not be located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps”</b>”</p>					
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
			<b>FS4.106</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes			
			<b>FS1.30</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>S101.31</b>	Support in part	<i>[Industrial General Zone (Waterloo Park) - Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P6]</i>					

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>“CIAL supports this drafting to the extent that it ensures no new sensitive activities are enabled within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour. Should new provisions be inserted into the rules for this zone, it is important they reflect the fact that home sharing is a noise sensitive activity and should be treated the same way that residential activities are treated in this zone.</p> <p>However, regarding the area outside of the noise contours, CIAL considers the regime proposed is unnecessarily complicated and will have the effect of unduly restricting home sharing to the detriment of the district’s economic and social wellbeing.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.107</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS1.31</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S101.32</b>	Support in part	<p><i>[Industrial General Zone (Waterloo Park) - Rule 16.4.3.1.2 C1]</i></p> <p>“CIAL supports this drafting to the extent that it ensures no new sensitive activities are enabled within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour. Should new provisions be inserted into the rules for this zone, it is important they reflect the fact that home sharing is a noise sensitive activity and should be treated the same way that residential activities are treated in this zone.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.108</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes
			<b>FS1.32</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
		<b>S101.33</b>	Support	<p>[<i>Industrial Park Zone (Memorial Avenue) - Rules 16.6.6.1.1, 16.6.6.2.1, 16.6.6.2.3, 16.7.3.14, 16.7.3.14.1, 16.8.15</i>]</p> <p>“CIAL supports the amendments to update references to “guest accommodation” to “visitor accommodation”.”</p>				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS1.33</b>	David Lawry		Oppose		Yes
		<b>S101.34</b>	Oppose in part	<p>[<i>Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rules 17.5.1.1 P20 and P21</i>]</p> <p>“CIAL is neutral as to the establishment of visitor accommodation or residential activities in rurally zoned areas which are outside of the Noise Contours.</p> <p>With regard to rurally zoned land that does fall within the Noise Contours, CIAL seeks that the rules apply the same standards to hosted / unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit as apply presently to residential activities and residential units within the Noise Contours. PC4 must not enable any additional development or establishment of residential units in excess of that currently permitted in the Plan.</p> <p>CIAL considers that a simpler and easier to understand suite of rules could be established if these activities were clearly classified as residential activities and regulated as such.</p> <p>Provided that these activities are only enabled as of right to the same extent that residential activity is presently enabled within</p>				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?			
				<p>the Noise Contour, CIAL is not otherwise concerned about imposing a bespoke regulatory regime.</p> <p>CIAL notes that tents, caravans etc are included in the definition of “building” and may ordinarily be used as a residential unit. To the extent that this is currently enabled within the Noise Contours through the existing rules in the Plan, CIAL is neutral as to whether a tent or caravan is utilised for a residential unit being used for hosted or unhosted visitor accommodation, provided the unit complies with the various rules applicable to residential activities and residential density in the Plan. Should buildings of this type be established for guest accommodation which is not within a residential unit, that would constitute a noise sensitive activity and must be avoided within the Noise Contours.</p> <p>The proposed text “or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contour” is unnecessary and will introduce inconsistency into the plan provisions... CIAL seeks that consistent language is kept throughout the Plan.</p> <p>Make further amendments to the drafting as follows:</p> <p>17.5.1.1</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1106 1201 1989 1444"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 1201 1205 1444">P20</td> <td data-bbox="1211 1201 1469 1444"><u>Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u></td> <td data-bbox="1476 1201 1989 1444"><u>a. No more than six guests total may be accommodated at the same time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine</u></td> </tr> </table>	P20	<u>Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u>	<u>a. No more than six guests total may be accommodated at the same time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine</u>	
P20	<u>Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u>	<u>a. No more than six guests total may be accommodated at the same time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine</u>						

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
					<p><u>Testing Contour</u> <del>or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</del>  <u>b. Guests shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests.</u>  <u>c. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour</u> <del>or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours,</del> guests shall only be accommodated in a <u>residential unit which is otherwise provided for as a permitted activity building which is not a vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat.</u></p>	
				P21	<p><u>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u>  <u>a. The total number of nights per year that guests may be accommodated on any one site is 180.</u>  <u>b. A maximum of six guests shall be accommodated at any one time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour</u> <del>or any more</del></p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
					<p><del>restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</del></p> <p>c. <u>Guests shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests.</u></p> <p>d. <u>Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour</u> <del>or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours,</del> <u>guests shall only be accommodated in a residential unit which is otherwise provided for as a permitted activity building which is not a vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat.</u></p> <p>e. <u>The owners and residents of adjoining sites must be provided with up-to-date contact information for the owner or manager of the unit.</u></p> <p>f. <u>The owner of the unit must provide the Council with a copy of the listing and any unique identification number, keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on an annual basis.</u></p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
				”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.109</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes		
			<b>FS1.34</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S101.35</b>	Oppose in part	<p>[Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.1 P22]</p> <p>“CIAL is neutral as to the establishment of visitor accommodation accessory to farming, conservation or rural tourism in rurally zoned areas which are outside of the Noise Contours.</p> <p>With regard to rurally zoned land that <i>does</i> fall within the Noise Contours, reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport as regionally significant and strategic infrastructure must be avoided. CIAL seeks that PC4 does not introduce any provisions that would have the effect of enabling increased development or intensification of sensitive activities within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour.</p> <p>However, CIAL considers these new activity classifications are confusing. It is not clear to what extent these activities will also be captured by definitions of “hosted” or “unhosted” “visitor accommodation in a residential unit” or the definition of “visitor accommodation”.</p>				
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>P22</u></td> <td><u>Visitor accommodation accessory to farming</u></td> <td><u>a. At least one permanent resident of the same site or an adjoining site must be in residence for the duration of the stay.</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>P22</u>	<u>Visitor accommodation accessory to farming</u>	<u>a. At least one permanent resident of the same site or an adjoining site must be in residence for the duration of the stay.</u>	
<u>P22</u>	<u>Visitor accommodation accessory to farming</u>	<u>a. At least one permanent resident of the same site or an adjoining site must be in residence for the duration of the stay.</u>						



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
					<p><u>b. No more than ten guests total may be accommodated on the same site at the same time. <del>No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</del></u></p> <p><u>c. Visitors must be accommodated in a residential unit, minor residential unit or other existing building (excluding any vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat or any family flat).</u></p> <p><u>d. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u></p> <p><u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Guests must be accommodated in an existing residential unit;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Visitors may <del>only</del> not be accommodated in campgrounds consisting of tents or <del>no</del> more than three heavy vehicles <del>in parts of the zone that are not within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour, the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or</del></u></p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
						<a href="#">any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</a>	
				”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.110</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.35</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.36</b>	Support	[Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.1 P22]			
				“CIAL supports activity standard P22 c. requiring that no campground associated with these visitor accommodation activities is enabled within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour. Visitor accommodation is a sensitive activity where it is not in a building that is designed and constructed to mitigate the effects of aircraft noise on occupants. Tents, caravans, etc are not so constructed and accordingly should be avoided within the Noise Contours if they are to be used for Visitor Accommodation. However, CIAL notes that the first sentence of standard c. excludes accommodation within tents, trailers, caravans etc anyhow so this does not appear to provide for campgrounds in any part of the district regardless of where they are located.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.111</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.36</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.37</b>	Support in part	[Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.1 P23]			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?			
				<p>“CIAL supports P23 f. requiring that visitor accommodation accessory to conservation activities or rural tourism is excluded within the Noise Contours if it takes place in a tent, caravan, trailer etc...</p> <p>A family flat is used specifically by occupants dependent on the main household on the site and so it is also appropriate to exclude that type of accommodation, given it cannot be used for residential accommodation associated with a rural tourism or conservation activity by definition.”</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1106 676 1989 1437"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 676 1205 1437">P23</td> <td data-bbox="1211 676 1469 1437"> <u>Visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity including tramping huts and camping in tents in association with walking and cycling tracks</u> </td> <td data-bbox="1476 676 1989 1437"> <u>e. The maximum number of guests that can be accommodated on any one site in association with a conservation activity is ten. <del>No more than four guests in association with a conservation activity may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours:</del>  <u>f. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u>  <u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u>  <u>ii. Visitor accommodation within</u> </u></td> </tr> </table>	P23	<u>Visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity including tramping huts and camping in tents in association with walking and cycling tracks</u>	<u>e. The maximum number of guests that can be accommodated on any one site in association with a conservation activity is ten. <del>No more than four guests in association with a conservation activity may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours:</del>  <u>f. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u>  <u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u>  <u>ii. Visitor accommodation within</u> </u>	
P23	<u>Visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity including tramping huts and camping in tents in association with walking and cycling tracks</u>	<u>e. The maximum number of guests that can be accommodated on any one site in association with a conservation activity is ten. <del>No more than four guests in association with a conservation activity may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours:</del>  <u>f. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u>  <u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u>  <u>ii. Visitor accommodation within</u> </u>						

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
						<u>the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours must be within an existing buildings (excluding any vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat or any family flat).:-</u>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.112</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd		Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.37</b>	David Lawry		Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.38</b>	Support	<i>[Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.5 NC5]</i>  “CIAL supports provisions that will ensure any new noise sensitive activity within the Noise Contours which cannot comply with activity-specific standards is a non-complying activity.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.113</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd		Neutral	Yes	
			<b>FS1.38</b>	David Lawry		Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S101.39</b>	Oppose in part	<i>[Rules proposed for the Rural Waimakariri Zone]</i>  “CIAL seeks the same relief as that related to the same new rules proposed in the Rural Urban Fringe Zone and discussed above.”				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			<b>FS1.39</b>	David Lawry	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.114</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	Yes	
Halswell/Hornby/ Riccarton Community Board (c/o Faye Collins)	<b>S102</b>	<b>S102.1</b>	Support	“The Board understands the distinction in the plan and the Change between hosted and unhosted accommodation and agrees that this recognises that those staying short term at a property in the company of its regular occupants, whether paying a tariff or not are likely to behave as guests and conform to the normal patterns of the household and neighbourhood.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.119</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.324</b>	Bob Pringle	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS12.320</b>	Jeff Peters	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS15.171</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S102.2</b>	Support	“The Board supports the proposal in the Change to introduce new standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit to qualify as a permitted activity including limits on late night arrivals and departures (between 10pm and 6am) and sizes of functions (up to five guests).”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS4.120</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.325</b>	Bob Pringle	Support in part	Yes		
			<b>FS12.321</b>	Jeff Peters	Support in part	Yes		
			<b>FS15.172</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S102.3</b>	Support	“The Board considers it is extremely important that residential amenity does not suffer by the intrusion of visitor accommodation and it therefore supports the proposed changes to objectives and policies aimed at directing larger-scale or commercial-type visitor accommodation to commercial areas.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.121</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.173</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S102.4</b>	Support	“The Change proposes that it be a Controlled Activity for premises to be used for visitor accommodation for up to 60 nights per year, a discretionary activity for premises to be used for visitor accommodation between 61-180 nights per year and a non-complying activity for premises to be used for visitor accommodation for more than 180 nights per year. The Board is generally supportive of this proposal and is mindful that there is an opportunity in each of these scenarios for proposals to be considered on a case by case basis and for appropriate conditions to be imposed or (in the case of more than 60 nights per year) for the necessary resource consent to be denied.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.122</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.174</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S102.5</b>	Support	“The Board reiterates that the potential for residential unit use for visitor accommodation to disrupt neighbourhood amenity is a significant concern. It is reassured therefore that consideration of proposals via the resource consent process is likely to take into account not only the effects of a single unit use but also the cumulative effects of a number of units in the same area being used for visitor accommodation.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.123</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.326</b>	Bob Pringle	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS12.322</b>	Jeff Peters	Support in part	Yes	
			<b>FS15.175</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S102.6</b>	Support	“The Board supports the different approach proposed in rural and papakāinga zones providing unhosted visitor accommodation for up to 180 nights per year would be considered a ‘Permitted activity’ with no resource consent required provided records are maintained and provided to the Council.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.124</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.176</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S102.7</b>	Support	“The Board agrees with the proposal to support the ongoing use of heritage items by enabling them to be used for visitor			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				accommodation in residential zones for a larger number of guests and a greater number of nights per year than other residential units. The Board agrees with the approach of up to 10 guests being allowed to stay hosted in heritage buildings without the requirement for a resource consent if hosted and as a controlled activity without night limits if unhosted.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.125</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.177</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
		<b>S102.8</b>	Support	“The Board supports changing the “residential activity” and “residential unit” definitions to clarify the difference between living and transient accommodation in situations like home exchanges, house-sits and serviced apartments.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.126</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.178</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
		<b>S102.9</b>	Support	“The Board also supports introducing the National Planning Standard’s definition of “visitor accommodation” into the definitions in the Plan to provide clarity and consistency.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS15.179</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
		<b>S102.10</b>	Oppose in part	“The Board understands the reasons for the proposed restrictions on the type of structures that can be used for visitor accommodation within the airport noise areas but considers that		



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>the rules proposed may be too inflexible. For example the Board thinks that there could be a future possible demand in Ruapuna and similar areas for very short term accommodation in items such as caravans and campervans, perhaps for the duration of a motorsport event.</p> <p>The Board therefore requests that the restrictions on the type of structures that can be used for visitor accommodation within the airport noise areas include allowance for the type of temporary visitor accommodation contemplated above.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS8.3</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.327</b>	Bob Pringle	Support in part	Yes
			<b>FS12.323</b>	Jeff Peters	Support in part	Yes
			<b>FS15.180</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū/ Banks Peninsula Community Board (c/o Adrianna Hess)	<b>S103</b>	<b>S103.1</b>	Support	<p>“The Board supports the following existing change:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In rural zones, un-hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling would be a permitted activity for the first 180 days.”</i></li> </ul>		<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.328</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.353</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.324</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS15.181</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S103.2</b>	Oppose	<p>“In many parts of the peninsula, motels and hotels are unavailable, and therefore home-stay type accommodation may be the only feasible option.</p> <p>The Board supports the following additional change:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>In the Banks Peninsula Ward, un-hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling would be a permitted activity for the first 180 days.”</i></li> </ul>				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS10.329</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.354</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.325</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.182</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
Gary Cross	<b>S104</b>	<b>S104.1</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: proposed additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling]</i></p> <p>“Oppose the above plan changes without further clarification on time limits on hosted accommodation. In residential areas... Clarification of likely time limits placed on hosted accommodation for residential dwellings”</p>			<b>No</b>	
Rae James	<b>S105</b>	<b>S105.1</b>	Oppose	<p>“Oppose the CCC proposal for Plan Change 4 as it relates to unhosted short term visitor accommodation in the Residential Central City Zone/s.”</p>			<b>Yes</b>	
				<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
				<b>FS10.330</b>	Bob Pringle	Support		Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS11.73</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.326</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S105.2</b>	Oppose in part	“Please refer to the submission on this matter from the Victoria Neighbourhood Association... support the amendments sought as expressed in that submission.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS10.331</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS11.74</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS12.327</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch (c/o Callum Ross)	<b>S106</b>	<b>S106.1</b>	Support in part	“The Coalition is generally supportive of PC4 where it places further controls on visitor accommodation and its effects in residential zones throughout the district. The Coalition considers that PC4 has a fundamental need as a response to issues in the district, and supports with the ‘Reasons for the Plan Change’ as outlined in the section 32 report.”			<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS10.332</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.75</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.328</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.183</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S106.2</b>	Oppose	“However, the Coalition opposes the proposed plan change in part, being the controlled activity classification for unhosted				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>visitor accommodation, and the corresponding matters of control, which will be located in the controlled activity tables in each residential zone chapter, and are summarised as follows:</p> <p>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For a total per site of 60 nights or fewer per year;</li> <li>• For a maximum of six guests at any one time;</li> </ul> <p>Where check-in and check-out times are not between the hours of 22:00pm to 06:00am; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Where guests do not hold function or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests staying overnight.</li> </ul> <p>being a <b>controlled</b> activity in the following zones:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Residential Suburban Zone and Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone;</i></li> <li>• <i>Residential Medium Density Zone;</i></li> <li>• <i>Residential Central City Zone;</i></li> <li>• <i>Residential Hills Zone;</i></li> <li>• <i>Residential Banks Peninsula Zone;</i></li> <li>• <i>Residential Large Lot Zone;</i></li> <li>• <i>Residential Small Settlement Zone; and</i></li> <li>• <i>Residential New Neighbourhood Zone.</i></li> </ul> <p>The Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch seeks the following relief:</p> <p>a) Unhosted visitor accommodation be classed as a minimum <b>restricted discretionary</b> in all of the above zones; and</p> <p>b) The proposed matters of control become matters of discretion accordingly”</p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.127</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.333</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.76</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.329</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.184</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S106.3</b>	Oppose	“Additional matters of discretion are included, as follows: • Cumulative effects on residential amenity and social cohesion; and • Cumulative effects on housing supply.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.79</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.128</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.334</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.77</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.330</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.185</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S106.4</b>	Support in part	“The Coalition requests the following relief: That PC4 is approved with amendments to further control visitor accommodation in residential zones and to discourage unhosted visitor accommodation in residential zones”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.129</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.335</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.78</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.331</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.186</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S106.5</b>	Oppose in part	“The Coalition requests the following relief: Consideration is given to a threshold as to when a residential unit is no longer a residential unit by virtue of the principle activity being visitor accommodation”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.130</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.336</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.79</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.332</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.187</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S106.6</b>	Oppose	“The Coalition requests the following relief: A minimum restricted discretionary activity status is imposed on unhosted visitor accommodation in residential units”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.131</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.337</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.80</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.333</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.188</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S106.7</b>	Oppose in part	“The Coalition requests the following relief: Any other additional or consequential relief to the CDP, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, controls/discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in this submission.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS10.338</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS11.81</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS12.334</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS15.189</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
		<b>S106.8</b>	Oppose in part	“The current objectives and policies in the District Plan seek to support the vitality and viability of commercial centres and the utilisation of existing business land. The impact on centre vitality and amenity from the loss of an offering of visitor accommodation in or near centres has not been fully assessed and there appears to be a lack of evidence in this regard.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS10.339</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.82</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.335</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.190</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S106.9</b>	Oppose in part	“It is stated on page 4 of the section 32 report that “provisions in the District Plan should not conflict with or duplicate the functions of provisions in the Building Act, Building Code or fire safety regulations that sit at the national level”. The Coalition does not seek that these documents are conflicted with or duplicated, rather it seeks that they are directed to within the District Plan provisions.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS10.340</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS11.83</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS12.336</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS15.191</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		Didi South	<b>S107</b>	<b>S107.1</b>	Oppose	“A clear and reasonable planning regime that would see holiday homes treated as a form of residential activity, which does not require costly resource consent.”	
<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>				<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
<b>FS3.15</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association				Oppose	Yes	
<b>FS10.341</b>	Bob Pringle				Oppose	Yes	
<b>FS11.355</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch				Oppose	Yes	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS12.337</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.192</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S107.2</b>	Oppose	“A simple definition for ‘home sharing’ should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.342</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.356</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.338</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.193</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S107.3</b>	Oppose	“There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.343</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.357</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.339</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.194</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S107.4</b>	Oppose	“The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS10.344</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.358</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.340</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.195</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>S107.5</b>	Oppose	“The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities.”			
			<b>FS3.16</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.345</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.359</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.341</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.196</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>S107.6</b>	Oppose	“With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				<b>FS10.346</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
				<b>FS11.360</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
				<b>FS12.342</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
				<b>FS15.197</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
Victoria Riddiford	<b>S108</b>	<b>S108.1</b>	Oppose	[re: night limits for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]			<b>No</b>
				“That there is no requirement for resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation of 1-60 days... Only require discretionary resource consent for accommodation of more than 61 days and delete the requirement for a controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.347</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.361</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.343</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
Karen Gilby	<b>S109</b>	<b>S109.1</b>	Oppose	[re: night limits for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit]			<b>Yes</b>
				“Oppose the 60 day policy recommendation, it will difficult to monitor and will mean the demand will be way out of balance from supply as the properties currently in this market would			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?			
				no longer be available as it would not be viable. Currently many properties have 1 week, 28 day, 3 month bookings with short term guest accommodation slotted in the gaps.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.348</b>	Bob Pringle		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.362</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.344</b>	Jeff Peters		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.198</b>	Ricki Jones		Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S109.2</b>	Oppose	“To allow residential guests to stay for short term purposes 365 days per year with the same type of resource consent the council is currently recommending for the 60 day term. This will mean the properties are tracked in the council system and they will have to adhere to the guidelines and requirements.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS10.349</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes		
		<b>FS11.363</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes		
		<b>FS12.345</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes		
		<b>FS15.199</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose		Yes		
		Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board (c/o Karolin Potter)	<b>S110</b>	<b>S110.1</b>		Support	“The Board supports the proposed plan change as it enables more housing to remain available for owner/renter occupiers by introducing more restrictive rules for unhosted, commercial-type visitor accommodation in residential zones and primarily directing this accommodation to commercial areas.”	<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?		
		<b>S110.2</b>	Support	“The Board also supports the retention of more permissive rules for hosted visitor accommodation in residential dwellings and the introduction of minor changes, such as restricting late check-ins, to mitigate negative impacts on neighbours.”			
Margaret Flanagan	<b>S111</b>	<b>S111.1</b>	Oppose in part	“Support the submission made by AirBNB.”		<b>No</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.210</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		Yes
			<b>FS10.350</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS11.364</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.346</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S111.2</b>	Oppose	“Owner-occupied AirBNB homes should not have restrictions on arrival and departure.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.211</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		Yes
			<b>FS10.351</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS11.365</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.347</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S111.3</b>	Oppose	“Limitations on days per year would affect my ability to pay my rates... cannot afford resource consent fees.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS4.212</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.352</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.366</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.348</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S111.4</b>	Support in part	“See no problem in regulating apartments that are not owner-occupied that compete via location with hotels etc, as they are a conscious business operation.”				
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS4.213</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS10.353</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS11.367</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS12.349</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes			
Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd (Airbnb)	<b>S112</b>	<b>S112.1</b>	Oppose	“Reject PC4 as notified”			<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS3.17</b> <b>FS3.102</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.354</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.368</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.350</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS14.12</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.200</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S112.2</b>	Oppose	<p>“Insert clear, simple provisions into the Christchurch District Plan which enable visitor accommodation and recognise the importance of Airbnb and other similar accommodation types to the economy and community of Christchurch, as per the relief set out in Annexure B; and</p> <p>Any other similar relief that would deal with Airbnb’s concerns set out in this submission... The drafting suggested in this annexure is not comprehensive, but reflects the key changes Airbnb seeks. Consequential amendment would also be necessary to other parts of the proposed PC4 amendments.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.18</b> <b>FS3.103</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.355</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.369</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.351</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS14.13</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.201</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.3</b>	Oppose in part	<p>“There is a clear need to achieve the right policy settings and remove inappropriate consenting regulation to enable the local visitor economy to grow, protect consumer choice, and empower local residents to secure their financial future through home sharing.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS3.19</b> <b>FS3.104</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.356</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.370</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.352</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS14.14</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.202</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.4</b>	Support in part	“Airbnb supports reform of the planning framework for home sharing in Christchurch to remove overly burdensome and unwarranted restrictions on whole unit listings and treat home-share accommodation as a form of residential activity... The operative rule regime in the Christchurch District Plan is not fit for purpose and would benefit greatly from improved clarity.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.20</b> <b>FS3.105</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.357</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.371</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.353</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS14.15</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.203</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.5</b>	Oppose	“The District Plan does not need to attempt to replicate the policies and standards that already apply to hosts and guests on			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				Airbnb, which are already operating effectively to manage residential amenity and character issues.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.21 FS3.106</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.358</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.372</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.354</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS14.16</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.204</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S112.6</b>	Oppose	“In the event that the relief sought in this submission is not accepted, if resource consent is to be required for any home sharing activity (whether hosted or un-hosted), notification (either public or limited) of any resource consent application should be precluded. The only exception to this approach should be for the existing specifically-defined situations where limited notification is required with respect to rules related to strategic infrastructure.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.22 FS3.107</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS8.12</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.359</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.373</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.355</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS14.17</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.205</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.7</b>	Oppose	“Airbnb seeks that PC4 is rejected and replaced with effects-based, simple, and understandable provisions which enable responsible Airbnb hosting in Christchurch and recognise the significant contribution that Airbnb and similar platforms make to the visitor economy and community.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.23</b> <b>FS3.108</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.360</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.374</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.356</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.206</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.8</b>	Oppose	[re: definition of “hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit”]  “Delete this definition... There is no justification for distinguishing between “hosted” and “unhosted” accommodation in a residential unit.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS3.24</b> <b>FS3.109</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.361</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.375</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.357</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.207</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.9</b>	Oppose	[re: definition of “unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit”]  “Delete this definition... There is no justification for distinguishing between “hosted” and “unhosted” accommodation in a residential unit.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS3.25</b> <b>FS3.110</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS10.362</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS11.376</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.358</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.208</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S112.10</b>	Oppose	“Insert a new definition as follows:  <u>Home sharing:</u> <u>means the use of a residential unit for visitor accommodation where individual bookings are for less than 21 consecutive days in length each.</u> ”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				<p>A simple definition for 'home sharing' should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts.</p> <p>Individual stays that are greater than 21 days in length should fall within the standard definition of 'residential activity'."</p>			
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS3.26</b> <b>FS3.111</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.363</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.377</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.359</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.209</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.11</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: definition of "residential activity"]</i></p> <p>"Home sharing is a form of residential activity and should be treated as such within the definitions of the plan.</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the definition of "residential activities" as follows:</p> <p>means the use of land and/or buildings for the purpose of living accommodation. It includes:</p> <p>a. a residential unit, boarding house, student hostel or a family flat (including accessory buildings);</p> <p>b. emergency and refuge accommodation;</p> <p><u>c. home sharing</u></p> <p><u>ed.</u> use of a residential unit as a holiday home where a payment in</p>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<p>money, goods or services is not exchanged;  <u>de.</u> house-sitting and direct home exchanges where a tariff is not charged;  <u>ef.</u> rented accommodation and serviced apartments not covered by clause (g) and where individual bookings are for a minimum of 28 consecutive days (except in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone); and  <u>fg.</u> sheltered housing;  but excludes:  <u>gh.</u> <del>guest visitor accommodation other than home sharing,</del> including hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels, farmstays, camping grounds, <del>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit;</del>  <u>hi.</u> the use of land and/or buildings for custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site; and  <u>ij.</u> accommodation associated with a fire station.”</p>		
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?
			FS3.27 FS3.112	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			FS8.10	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Yes
			FS10.364	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			FS11.378	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			FS12.360	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			FS15.210	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S112.12</b>	Support in part	<p><i>[re: definition of “residential unit”]</i></p> <p>“Support this drafting provided that home sharing is included within the definition of a “residential activity”... <b>Retain</b> the amendments proposed, provided Airbnb’s other relief is accepted.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.28</b> <b>FS3.113</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.365</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.379</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.361</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.211</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.13</b>	Support in part	<p><i>[re: definition of “sensitive activity”]</i></p> <p>“If home sharing is treated as a residential activity as requested above it will be captured by this definition under a) in the list adjacent.</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the definition of “sensitive activities” as follows:</p> <p>means:</p> <p>a. residential activities, unless specified below;</p> <p>b. care facilities;</p> <p>c. education activities and preschools, unless specified below;</p> <p>d. <del>guest</del> <u>visitor</u> accommodation, unless specified below;</p>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<p>e. health care facilities which include accommodation for overnight care;  f. hospitals; and  g. custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site; but excludes in relation to airport noise:  h. any residential activities, in conjunction with rural activities that comply with the rules in the relevant district plans as at 23 August 2008;  i. flight training or other trade and industry training activities located on land zoned or legally used for commercial activities or industrial activities, including the Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone; and  j. <del>guest visitor</del> accommodation <del>(except hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit)</del> which is designed, constructed and operated to a standard to mitigate the effects of aircraft noise on occupants.”</p>		
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS3.29</b> <b>FS3.114</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS8.11</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.366</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.380</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.362</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.212</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S112.14</b>	Oppose in part	<p>[Chapter 6 General Rules]</p> <p>“Home sharing of all types should be treated as a residential activity for the purposes of application of the general district-wide rules.</p> <p>Delete the proposed drafting amendments in the General chapter or amend further to treat home sharing of all scales the same way as residential activities.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.30 FS3.115</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.367</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.381</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.363</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.213</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S112.15</b>	Oppose in part	<p>[Chapter 7 Transport]</p> <p>“Home sharing of all types should be treated as a residential activity for the purposes of application of the transport rules.</p> <p>The amendments applying particular transport and parking rules to “unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit” for more than 60 days per year in a residential zone, “hosted accommodation in a residential unit” with more than 6 guests, and “visitor accommodation for up to ten guests in a rural zone” are unnecessary and should be deleted. The same rules should</p>		



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?						
				<p>apply to a residential unit regardless of whether it is being utilised for a home share or being used by the owners as their dwelling.</p> <p>Delete the proposed drafting amendments in the Transport chapter or amend further to treat home sharing of all scales the same way as residential activities.”</p>									
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?							
			<b>FS3.31</b> <b>FS3.116</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes							
			<b>FS10.368</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes							
			<b>FS11.382</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes							
			<b>FS12.364</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes							
			<b>FS15.214</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes							
		<b>S112.16</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[Chapter 12 Papakāinga / Kāinga Nohoanga Zone - Rule 12.4.1.1]</i></p> <p>“Home sharing should be permitted provided certain standards are met and, if the standards are not complied with, resource consent should be required for a controlled activity...</p> <p>Delete proposed new rules relating to “hosted” and “unhosted” “accommodation in a residential unit”. Insert the following rules:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1106 1289 1989 1455"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1106 1289 1240 1334">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1247 1289 1989 1334">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 1339 1240 1383"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1247 1339 1469 1383"><u>Home sharing</u></td> <td data-bbox="1476 1339 1989 1455"><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Activity	Activity specific standards		<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the</u>	
Activity	Activity specific standards												
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the</u>											

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
					<a href="#">dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</a>	
				Controlled activities		
				<b>Activity</b>	<b>The matters over which Council reserves its control</b>	
				<a href="#">CXX</a>	<a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</a>	<a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a> <a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a>
					”	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.32</b> <b>FS3.117</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.369</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.383</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.365</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.215</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S112.17</b>	Oppose	[Chapter 12 Papakāinga / Kāinga Nohoanga Zone Rule 12.4.1.1 new activity rules for “visitor accommodation accessory to farming” and “visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity”]		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?						
				<p>“To the extent that these new activity rules would apply to short term home share accommodation, delete and adopt the rules sought above [in S112.16].”</p>										
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>								
			<b>FS3.33</b> <b>FS3.118</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes								
			<b>FS10.370</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes								
			<b>FS11.384</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes								
			<b>FS12.366</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes								
			<b>FS15.216</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes								
		<b>S112.18</b>	Oppose	<p>[Chapter 13 Specific Purpose (Flat Land Recovery) Zone Rule 13.11.4.1]</p> <p>“Home sharing should be permitted provided certain standards are met and, if the standards are not complied with, resource consent should be required for a controlled activity...</p> <p>Delete proposed new rules relating to “hosted” and “unhosted” “accommodation in a residential unit”. Insert the following rules:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1104 1252 1986 1453"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1104 1252 1205 1294">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1205 1252 1986 1294">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1104 1294 1205 1453">PXX</td> <td data-bbox="1205 1294 1469 1453">Home sharing on a site that was privately owned</td> <td data-bbox="1469 1294 1986 1453">a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Activity	Activity specific standards		PXX	Home sharing on a site that was privately owned	a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor	
Activity	Activity specific standards													
PXX	Home sharing on a site that was privately owned	a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor												

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<a href="#">as at 12 October 2015</a>	<a href="#">accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</a>	
				Controlled activities		
				<b>Activity</b>	<b>The matters over which Council reserves its control</b>	
				<a href="#">CXX</a> <a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</a>	<a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a> <a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a>	
					”	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.34</b> <b>FS3.119</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.371</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.385</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.367</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.217</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S112.19</b>	Support in part	[Residential chapter - Objective 14.2.6] “ <b>Support</b> proposed drafting...  Provided the other relief sought by Airbnb is accepted, it is neutral as to the amendments to this objective. Airbnb considers home sharing should be provided for as a residential activity. Airbnb		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				also considers it is appropriate for this objective to provide for visitor accommodation in residential zones.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.35 FS3.120</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.372</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.386</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.368</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.218</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S112.20</b>	Support in part	[Residential chapter - Policies 14.2.6.3]  “ <b>Support</b> proposed drafting...  Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated as a residential activity and therefore that it is not captured by the policies relating to “non-residential” activities.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.36 FS3.121</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.373</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.387</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.369</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.219</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S112.21</b>	Support in part	<p>[Residential chapter - Policies 14.2.6.4]</p> <p><b>“Support</b> proposed drafting...</p> <p>Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated as a residential activity and therefore that it is not captured by the policies relating to “non-residential” activities.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS3.37</b> <b>FS3.122</b>		Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS15.220</b>		Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.22</b>	Support in part	<p>[Residential chapter – Objective 14.2.9]</p> <p>“As explained in Appendix A, home sharing is a residential activity and should be regulated as such. If a residential unit complies with the relevant restrictions for residential activities and land use then the owners/occupiers should be free to use it accordingly.</p> <p>The relevant residential zone objectives and policies should reflect this principle and recognise the importance of home sharing to the district’s economy and social fabric.</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the proposed drafting as follows:</p> <p><b><u>14.2.9 Objective – Visitor Accommodation in Residential Zones</u></b></p> <p><u>a. Visitors and other persons requiring short-term lodging have a broad choice of types and locations that meet their needs where:</u></p>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?
				<p>i. <u>this is compatible with the function and level of amenity intended for the zone; and</u></p> <p>ii. <del>the use of any residential unit is still predominantly a residential activity, and the residential character of the site is retained.</del></p> <p>b. <u>Visitor accommodation such as hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels is only established in residential zones (except for the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay) where it of a scale and character that is consistent with meeting objectives for:</u></p> <p>i. <u>a sufficient supply of housing, including affordable housing, with a choice of locations including an increase in the number of households within the Four Avenues;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>a revitalised Central City with a wide diversity and concentration of activities that enhance its role as the primary focus of the City and region;</u></p> <p>iii. <u>enabling the revitalising of commercial centres;</u></p> <p>iv. <u>protecting strategic infrastructure from incompatible activities and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on them; and</u></p> <p>v. <u>high quality residential neighbourhoods with a high level of amenity.</u></p> <p>c. <del>Home sharing is enabled in residential zones and recognised as an activity which makes a significant contribution to economic and social wellbeing in the district.</del></p> <p>d. <del>€</del>. <u>Visitor accommodation in the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and</u></p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				<u>Community Facilities Overlay can establish, operate, intensify and/or redevelop in a way that is compatible with the character and amenity of adjoining residential, rural or open space zones; and does not expand the activity outside of the existing zone or overlay area into other non-commercial zones.”</u>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.38 FS3.123</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.221</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.23</b>	Support in part	<p>[Residential chapter – Policy 14.2.9.1]</p> <p>“As explained in Appendix A, home sharing is a residential activity and should be regulated as such. If a residential unit complies with the relevant restrictions for residential activities and land use then the owners/occupiers should be free to use it accordingly.</p> <p>The relevant residential zone objectives and policies should reflect this principle and recognise the importance of home sharing to the district’s economy and social fabric.</p> <p><b>Amend</b> the proposed drafting as follows:</p> <p><b>14.2.9.1 Policy – <del>Visitor Accommodation in a Residential Unit</del> Home sharing</b></p> <p><b>a. Permit <u>Enable home sharing in residential zones and recognise the importance of this activity to economic and social wellbeing in the district.</u></b></p>			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?
				<p><u>b. Provide for home sharing as a valid and appropriate use of a residential unit. Where home sharing is carried out in a residential unit which is fit for existing residential use and complies with other residential scale and density requirements, no additional restrictions will be imposed. visitor accommodation in a residential unit where:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>i. at least one permanent resident of the site is in residence for the duration of the stay;</u></li> <li><u>ii. the number of visitors, including additional guests not spending the night, is comparable to use by a residential household; and</u></li> <li><u>iii. disturbance to neighbours is minimal.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>b. Manage visitor accommodation in a residential unit while the permanent resident(s) are not in residence to minimise adverse effects on the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings including through:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>i. restrictions on the scale, duration and frequency of use to ensure that the residential unit is still predominantly used for a residential activity; and</u></li> <li><u>ii. management of operations to minimise disturbance of neighbours, including providing contact and site management information to guests and neighbours.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>c. Avoid home sharing visitor accommodation in a residential unit at a scale, duration and/or frequency that cannot be managed in a way that minimises adverse effects on commercial centres or the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings; or that would be likely to give rise to reverse</u></p>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?												
				sensitivity effects on strategic infrastructure.”														
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>												
			<b>FS3.39 FS3.124</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes												
			<b>FS15.222</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes												
		<b>S112.24</b>	Oppose	<p>[Residential chapter - All residential activity status tables]</p> <p>“Home sharing should be permitted provided certain standards are met and, if the standards are not complied with, resource consent should be required for a controlled activity...</p> <p><b>Delete</b> proposed new rules relating to “hosted” and “unhosted” “accommodation in a residential unit” in all of the various residential zones. Insert the following rules throughout:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Activity</th> <th>Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>PXX</u></td> <td><u>Home sharing</u></td> <td><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Controlled activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Activity</th> <th>The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>CXX</u></td> <td><u>Home sharing</u></td> <td><u>a. Record keeping and provision of</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity		Activity specific standards	<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>	Activity		The matters over which Council reserves its control	<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of</u>	
Activity		Activity specific standards																
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>																
Activity		The matters over which Council reserves its control																
<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of</u>																

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?		
				<p>”</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1106 231 1993 478"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 231 1451 478"> <p><u>which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></p> </td> <td data-bbox="1458 231 1993 478"> <p><u>information to the Council b. Host’s plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></p> </td> </tr> </table>				<p><u>which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></p>	<p><u>information to the Council b. Host’s plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></p>	
<p><u>which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></p>	<p><u>information to the Council b. Host’s plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></p>									
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?				
			FS3.40 FS3.125	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes				
			FS8.13	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Yes				
			FS10.374	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes				
			FS11.388	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes				
			FS12.370	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes				
			FS15.223	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>S112.25</b>	Support in part	<p>[Chapter 15 Commercial Objective 15.2.5 and Policy 15.2.6.1]</p> <p>“Airbnb supports recognition that a range of activities, including residential activities and visitor accommodation is supported in the central city to enhance vitality. Airbnb seeks that specific mention is made of home sharing activity in this objective.</p> <p><b>Amend</b> as follows:</p>						

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				<p><b>15.2.5 Objective - Diversity and distribution of activities in the Central City</b></p> <p>a. A range of commercial activities, community activities, cultural activities, residential activities <u>(including home sharing)</u> and guest visitor accommodation are supported in the Central City to enhance its viability, vitality and the efficiency of resources, while encouraging activities in specific areas by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Defining the Commercial Central City Business Zone as the focus of retail activities and offices and limiting the height of buildings to support an intensity of commercial activity across the zone;</li> <li>ii. Limiting the extent to which retail activity and offices occur outside the Commercial Central City Business Zone;</li> <li>iii. Providing for key anchor projects within and around the Commercial Central City Business Zone;</li> <li>iv. Encouraging entertainment and hospitality activity (including late-night trading) in defined precincts and managing the extent to which these activities <u>(except for visitor accommodation)</u> occur outside the precincts.”</li> </ul>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.41 FS3.126</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.375</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.389</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.371</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.224</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.26</b>	Oppose in part	[Chapter 15 Commercial rules for the Commercial Core, Commercial Local, Commercial Banks Peninsula, Commercial Central City			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?				
				<p><i>Business, Commercial Central City Mixed Use, and Commercial Central City (South Frame) Mixed Use zones]</i></p> <p>“As discussed above, Airbnb seeks that home sharing falls within the definition of residential activities.</p> <p>Airbnb is supportive of the fact that the Council has not sought to impose complex rules related to “hosted” and “unhosted” visitor accommodation in a residential unit in the commercial zone rules.</p> <p>However, given this activity is to be singled out through PC4 and provided for in other chapters of the plan, Airbnb seeks specific recognition for home sharing in the commercial zone rules as a permitted activity, for clarity and to avoid any future unintended consequences which may arise from failure to specifically provide for home sharing.</p> <p>Alternatively, provided Airbnb’s requested relief is accepted and home sharing is included in the definition of “residential activities” then no amendment is needed as home sharing will be captured by the existing rules applying to residential activities in commercial zones.</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1104 1201 1989 1445"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1104 1201 1227 1241">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1227 1201 1473 1241">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1104 1241 1227 1445"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1227 1241 1989 1445"> <u>Home sharing</u>  <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Activity	Activity specific standards	<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide</u>	
Activity	Activity specific standards								
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide</u>								

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
						<a href="#">those records to the Council on request.</a>		
				Controlled activities				
				<b>Activity</b>		<b>The matters over which Council reserves its control</b>		
				<a href="#">CXX</a>	<a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in <a href="#">PXX</a></a>	<a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a> <a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a>		
				”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.42</b> <b>FS3.127</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.376</b>	Bob Pringle		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.390</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.372</b>	Jeff Peters		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.225</b>	Ricki Jones		Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S112.27</b>	Oppose	<i>[Chapter 16 Industrial General Zone (Waterloo Park) Rule 16.4.3.1]</i>				
				“Airbnb seeks that Home sharing is treated the same as residential activity.				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?				
				<p>Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 relates to residential activity outside the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour line should apply to home sharing in the same way that it applies to other forms of residential activity.</p> <p>No additional rules are necessary.</p> <p>In the alternative, if a separate rule is deemed necessary, it should be a simple, clear regime which relates back to the same standards as are applicable to residential activities.</p> <p><b>Delete</b> proposed new rules relating to “hosted” and “unhosted” “accommodation in a residential unit”.</p> <p><b>Alternatively</b>, insert a new permitted activity rule relating to home sharing and amend rule 16.4.3.1.5 NC1 as follows:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1108 919 1986 1366"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1108 919 1227 962">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1234 919 1469 962">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 967 1227 1366">PXX</td> <td data-bbox="1234 967 1986 1366"> <p><u>Home sharing</u></p> <p>a. <u>The residential unit in which the home sharing is carried out complies with the standards in Rule 16.4.1.1 P2. .</u></p> <p>b. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Non-complying activities</p>	Activity	Activity specific standards	PXX	<p><u>Home sharing</u></p> <p>a. <u>The residential unit in which the home sharing is carried out complies with the standards in Rule 16.4.1.1 P2. .</u></p> <p>b. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></p>	
Activity	Activity specific standards								
PXX	<p><u>Home sharing</u></p> <p>a. <u>The residential unit in which the home sharing is carried out complies with the standards in Rule 16.4.1.1 P2. .</u></p> <p>b. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></p>								

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?						
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Activity</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>NC1</td> <td>Any residential activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 <a href="#">or a home sharing activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 PXX</a> that does not meet activity specific standard a.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">”</td> </tr> </table>		<b>Activity</b>		NC1	Any residential activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 <a href="#">or a home sharing activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 PXX</a> that does not meet activity specific standard a.	”		
<b>Activity</b>												
NC1	Any residential activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 <a href="#">or a home sharing activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 PXX</a> that does not meet activity specific standard a.											
”												
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>						
			<b>FS3.43</b> <b>FS3.128</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes						
			<b>FS8.14</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Yes						
			<b>FS10.377</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes						
			<b>FS11.391</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes						
			<b>FS12.373</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes						
			<b>FS15.226</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes						
		<b>S112.28</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[Chapter 17 Rural rules for Rural Banks Peninsula Zone, Rural Port Hills Zone, and Rural Templeton Zone]</i></p> <p>“As explained in Appendix A, a number of Airbnb hosts are located in rural areas, particularly Banks Peninsula. Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated the same way as a residential activity in the plan...</p> <p><b>Delete</b> proposed new rules relating to “hosted” and “unhosted” “accommodation in a residential unit”.</p> <p>Insert the following rules:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p>								



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				<b>Activity</b> <u>PXX</u> <u>Home sharing</u>		<b>Activity specific standards</b> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>		
				Controlled activities				
		<b>Activity</b> <u>CXX</u> <u>Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u>		<b>The matters over which Council reserves its control</b> <u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>				
				”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.44</b> <b>FS3.129</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.378</b>	Bob Pringle		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.392</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.374</b>	Jeff Peters		Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.227</b>	Ricki Jones		Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?				
		S112.29	Oppose	<p data-bbox="1108 236 1910 309"><i>[Chapter 17 Rural rules for Rural Urban Fringe Zone and Rural Waimakariri Zone]</i></p> <p data-bbox="1108 359 1980 592">“Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated the same way as a residential activity in the plan, and accordingly home sharing would fall to be regulated through those existing rules. The amendments proposed seek to retain the status quo, allowing home sharing as a residential activity in existing residential units or in new residential units where those new units are permitted...</p> <p data-bbox="1108 641 1794 751"><b>Delete</b> proposed new rules relating to “hosted” and “unhosted” “accommodation in a residential unit”. Insert the following rules:</p> <p data-bbox="1108 801 1491 834"><u>17.5 Rural Urban Fringe Zone</u></p> <p data-bbox="1108 884 1368 917">Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1108 922 1984 1437"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1108 922 1227 962">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1234 922 1984 962">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1108 967 1227 1437">PXX</td> <td data-bbox="1234 967 1984 1437"> <p data-bbox="1243 970 1973 1437"><u>Home sharing</u> a. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> b. <u>where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for</u></p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Activity	Activity specific standards	PXX	<p data-bbox="1243 970 1973 1437"><u>Home sharing</u> a. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> b. <u>where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for</u></p>	
Activity	Activity specific standards								
PXX	<p data-bbox="1243 970 1973 1437"><u>Home sharing</u> a. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> b. <u>where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for</u></p>								

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?								
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><a href="#">as a permitted activity</a></td> </tr> </table>				<a href="#">as a permitted activity</a>						
		<a href="#">as a permitted activity</a>												
				<p>Controlled activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1106 357 1469 432">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1476 357 1989 432">The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 437 1469 719"> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><a href="#">CXX</a></td> <td><a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standard a. in PXX</a></td> </tr> </table> </td> <td data-bbox="1476 437 1989 719"> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a></td> <td><a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><a href="#">CXX</a></td> <td><a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standard a. in PXX</a></td> </tr> </table>	<a href="#">CXX</a>	<a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standard a. in PXX</a>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a></td> <td><a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a></td> </tr> </table>	<a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a>	<a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a>	
Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control													
<table border="1"> <tr> <td><a href="#">CXX</a></td> <td><a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standard a. in PXX</a></td> </tr> </table>	<a href="#">CXX</a>	<a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standard a. in PXX</a>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a></td> <td><a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a></td> </tr> </table>	<a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a>	<a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a>									
<a href="#">CXX</a>	<a href="#">Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standard a. in PXX</a>													
<a href="#">a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</a>	<a href="#">b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</a>													
				<p>Non-Complying activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1106 804 1469 847">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1476 804 1989 847"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 852 1469 1289"> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>NC5</td> <td>a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha;</li> <li>ii. <a href="#">any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard b.</a></li> <li>iii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and</li> <li>iv. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </table> </td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>NC5</td> <td>a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha;</li> <li>ii. <a href="#">any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard b.</a></li> <li>iii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and</li> <li>iv. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </table>	NC5	a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha;</li> <li>ii. <a href="#">any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard b.</a></li> <li>iii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and</li> <li>iv. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.</li> </ul>				
Activity														
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>NC5</td> <td>a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha;</li> <li>ii. <a href="#">any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard b.</a></li> <li>iii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and</li> <li>iv. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </table>	NC5	a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha;</li> <li>ii. <a href="#">any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard b.</a></li> <li>iii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and</li> <li>iv. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.</li> </ul>												
NC5	a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha;</li> <li>ii. <a href="#">any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard b.</a></li> <li>iii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and</li> <li>iv. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.</li> </ul>													
				<p><a href="#">17.6 Rural Waimakariri Zone</a></p>										
				<p>Permitted activities</p>										

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?				
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1106 231 1227 272">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1234 231 1989 272">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 277 1227 839"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1234 277 1989 839"> <u>Home sharing</u>             a. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>            b. <u>where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for as a permitted activity</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	Activity specific standards	<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>  a. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> b. <u>where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for as a permitted activity</u>	
Activity	Activity specific standards									
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>  a. <u>The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> b. <u>where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for as a permitted activity</u>									
				<p>Controlled activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1106 922 1227 963">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1234 922 1989 963">The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1106 1007 1227 1289"><u>CXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1234 1007 1989 1289"> <u>Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standards a. in PXX</u>             a. <u>Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u>            b. <u>Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control	<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standards a. in PXX</u>  a. <u>Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> b. <u>Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>	
Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control									
<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standards a. in PXX</u>  a. <u>Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> b. <u>Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>									
				<p>Non-Complying activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1106 1370 1989 1412">Activity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity				
Activity										



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>“To the extent that these new activity rules would apply to short term home sharing accommodation, delete and adopt the rules sought above [<i>in S112.28 or S112.29</i>].</p> <p>To the extent that these rules may capture Airbnb hosts or home sharing, Airbnb seeks that – as discussed above – a clear and simple regime applies which does not contain unnecessary restrictions and which is easy for hosts to understand and comply with.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.46 FS3.131</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.380</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.394</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.376</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.229</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
Church Property Trustees and Sister Eveleen Retreat House Board	<b>S113</b>	<b>S113.1</b>	Oppose	<p>[<i>With respect to 6 Whitewash Head Road, Sumner - Rule 14.7.1.1 P22, 14.7.1.2 C5, 14.7.1.4 D6 &amp; D7, 7.4.3 standards of carparking number of mobility parts, gradient, design, 7.5 cycle parks</i>]</p> <p>“[<i>Church Property Trustees</i>] <u>oppose</u> the specific provisions above as they relate to the continued operation of Sister Eveleen Retreat House [<i>SERH</i>] at 6 Whitewash Head Road, Sumner.</p> <p>[<i>CPT seeks that</i>] Council acknowledge the existing use right of Sister Eveleen Retreat House at 6 Whitewash Head Road, Sumner.</p>	<b>Yes</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?		
				That the Council <u>permit</u> continued operation of the retreat house without application for resource consent.  That the Council do not impose limits on use of SERH based on access, car or cycle parking.”			
Kara Unsworth	<b>S114</b>	<b>S114.1</b>	Oppose	“No change to the current District Plan Provisions for AirBnB and short term rentals... do understand that you do not want to have empty buildings in the City then... propose a limited number of Home Shares available in residential complexes? But to rule against Home Share in the Central City would add further economic stress to the CBD of Christchurch.”	<b>No</b>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.381</b>	Bob Pringle		Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.395</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.377</b>	Jeff Peters		Oppose	Yes
		<b>S114.2</b>	Oppose	“Do we need to further waste Christchurch peoples rates money on putting further strain on Council resources to ensure compliance due to your rule changes.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.382</b>	Bob Pringle		Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.396</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.378</b>	Jeff Peters		Oppose	Yes
Edward Jenkins	<b>S115</b>	<b>S115.1</b>	Oppose	“Oppose the whole proposal. Home sharing is a residential activity and should be treated as such... Reject PC4 as notified.”	<b>No</b>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS3.101</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.383</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.397</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.379</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
Phillip Dodds	<b>S116</b>	<b>S116.1</b>	Oppose	“Independent homeowners should be able to continue to offer short term accommodation in their home if it is shared without having restrictions and should not be required to undergo a resource management application so long as all health and safety requirements are met and maintained by the owner... Maintain all provisions as they currently exist.”			<b>No</b>	
				<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
				<b>FS10.384</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
				<b>FS11.398</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
				<b>FS12.380</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
Hannah Herchenbach	<b>S117</b>	<b>S117.1</b>	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]  “I rent out one room in my three-bedroom home; 99% of the time, either my flatmate or I are at home... However, sometimes due to last-minute changes, we are not home and I do not see why these instances should merit the need for a resource consent...”			<b>Yes</b>	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?					
				Please reconsider the restrictions surrounding unhosted accommodation... as the concerns surrounding these issues (sound?) could surely be addressed in more flexible ways.”						
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
			<b>FS10.385</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes			
			<b>FS11.399</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes			
			<b>FS12.381</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes			
		<b>S117.2</b>	Oppose	[re: additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling]  “Please reconsider the restrictions surrounding... late-night arrivals, as the concerns surrounding these issues (sound?) could surely be addressed in more flexible ways.”						
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>					
		<b>FS10.386</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS11.400</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes					
		<b>FS12.382</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes					
		Jacob Turnbull	<b>S118</b>	<b>S118.1</b>	Support in part		“Support a plan change that looks to correct inadequacies with the present definitions and policies that are not clear and which resulted in the environment court’s recommendation.”		<b>No</b>	
					<b>Further Submission No.</b>		<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
					<b>FS15.230</b>		Ricki Jones	Oppose		Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S118.2</b>	Support in part	“With increasing demand for this activity some controls may be required for visitor accommodation (e.g. more restrictive than a permitted activity status), but clearly there needs to be more certainty for homeowners wanting to provide for the activity and those affected by the activity.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS15.231</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S118.3</b>	Support in part	“Support all of the new definitions except... sufficient evidence has [ <i>not</i> ] been presented on why different adverse effects that would arise from hosted or un-hosted visitor accommodation. As stated in the Council report, with the current rules it is difficult to identify if someone is living on-site (hosting). This would therefore persist with the proposed rules so... using one definition for both these activities would be preferable from both a compliance and effects perspective.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.132</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.232</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S118.4</b>	Oppose in part	“This activity has the potential to cause some disturbances to neighbours beyond what could be expected with residential use because visitors may not be as caring for the surrounding environment and the District Plan noise rules do not apply to “spontaneous social activities”. Some specific rules may be necessary to account for this however the number of complaints arising from the activity (2.2.48 of the S32 report) do not warrant			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				non-complying activities and the wide subjects of discretion in the avoid policy 14.2.9.1 c”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.133</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.233</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S118.5</b>	Oppose	“Seek removal of the words “duration and frequency” in Policy 14.2.9.1 b. i. and 14.2.9.1 c. The tiered approach to the nightcap that appears to relates to these two words is not workable. The effects of someone operating a holiday home year-round vs 90-180 days will be no different. It is highly impractical to need to obtain a rental for a period of approximately 6 months each year in the wintertime.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.134</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.387</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.401</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.383</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.234</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S118.6</b>	Oppose	“Seek removal of “commercial centres” from policy 14.2.9.1 c. By including this in the avoidance policy (the implications of which Environmental Defence Society Inc v The New Zealand King Salmon Co Ltd [2014] spell out), it is unclear how anyone looking to establish this activity could truly show that adverse effects on this aspect are being minimised. It is expected that by having a strict avoidance policy whilst including commercial centres, that			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				this could lead to a number of declined resource consents. Therefore the rules as they stand effectively prohibit the activity from occurring in residential zones for more than 180 days per year.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS15.235</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S118.7</b>	Oppose	“The policies and rules fail to provide any certainty for the continuation for the activity in residential areas.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.388</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.402</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.384</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.236</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S118.8</b>	Oppose	“Seek amendment of 14.4.1.2 C7 to instead being a permitted activity”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.135</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.388A</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.402A</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.385</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.237</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S118.9</b>	Oppose	“Seek amendment of 14.4.1.4 D8 and 14.4.1.5 NC8 e. to be a controlled activity... Some conditions... would be around hours of use for certain outdoor spaces including lighting, no material available for outdoor fires, maintenance of rubbish bins, contact register for the neighbours to be able to directly call someone (ideally the owner in the first instance) 24/7 should any issues around noise arise.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.389</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.403</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.386</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.238</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S118.10</b>	Oppose in part	“More work should be done by the Council to manage the activity through education.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.136</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.390</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.404</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.387</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
		<b>FS15.239</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S118.11</b>	Support in part	“Support the Council using advocacy to support work that seeks positive outcomes by all people affected by the activity, such as that MBIE is working on in regard to the Code of Conduct for the		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?	
				Short-term Rental Accommodation Industry in NZ. This is a national issue that requires a national approach.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.391</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS11.405</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS12.388</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose		Yes
			<b>FS15.240</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose		Yes
		<b>S118.12</b>	Support in part	“More research needs to be done if minimum parking spaces should be implemented in residential zones... Removal of this minimum would be consistent with the NPS-UD.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS10.392</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS11.406</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.389</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.241</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
Bookabach (c/o Eacham Curry)	<b>S119</b>	<b>S119.1</b>	Oppose	“[Request] that Council reconsider the timing of its proposed significant changes to its regulation of STRA, until the impacts of COVID-19 are fully understood and optimal policy and regulatory decisions – including those being developed by the Central Government – can be made that will best manage STRA and support the rebuilding of the devastated tourism sector.”		<b>Yes</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.393</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.407</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.390</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.242</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S119.2</b>	Oppose	<p>“In developing a nation-wide regulatory framework, we’ve called on the Central Government to prioritise the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a nation-wide code of conduct to govern amenity issues, including the behaviour of both guests and owners/managers of STRA properties;</li> <li>• a government administered certification and enforcement mechanism to ensure compliance with the code of conduct (this could take the form of a simple register);</li> <li>• nation-wide planning rules that cater for the breadth of the STRA industry, taking account of STRA in both urban and regional centres;</li> <li>• nation-wide compliance standards for STRA properties; and</li> <li>• a data-sharing system that allows for information collection on STRA.</li> </ul> <p>... it is these components that will best address issues related to STRA – amenity, accessibility and affordability.”</p>				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.137</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.394</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.408</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.391</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.243</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S119.3</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: definitions of hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit, unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and related provisions]</i></p> <p>“Bookabach does not support a regulatory approach that discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals...seek further clarification from Council on what it wants to achieve with this approach and how it would ensure safety for guests, address amenity issues and be implemented, monitored and enforced.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.47</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS4.139</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.395</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.409</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.392</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.244</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S119.4</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: standards introducing booking night limits]</i></p> <p>“Seek further clarification from Council on what it wants to achieve with day limits and the evidence that shows the effectiveness of limiting the STRA offering... also seek information on the mechanism Council would use to determine activity for the</p>			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				three proposed thresholds for various resource consents (up to 60, 61-180 and >180 days). For example, is this day number based on the stated intent from the owner, the properties availability as advertised on online platforms, or a reported actual activity in a given year. Further, how would cancellations, paid or unpaid use of the property by relatives or friends, and bookings facilitated via offline channels by accounted for... also seek guidance on how, if implemented, day limits would be monitored and enforced.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.140</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.396</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.410</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.393</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS15.245</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes
		<b>S119.5</b>	Oppose	“Day limits are blunt and ineffective tools to address these four most commonly cited drivers for regulation; those being impact on housing stock affordability; availability; community and neighbourhood amenity and provision of local government services. By comparison, a compulsory and robust national Code of Conduct for the STRA sector has been demonstrated to be much more effective in dealing with these concerns.”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.141</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes
			<b>FS10.397</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS11.411</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.394</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.246</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S119.6</b>	Oppose	<p>“Bookabach does not support regulation that unfairly impinges on the property rights of homeowners who offer their property as STRA. Where governments or local councils believe STRA approval must exist, we believe that such schemes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o must have a low barrier of entry for homeowners (i.e. low cost, be expedient and accessible)</li> <li>o provide privacy and protection of homeowners’ personal details</li> <li>o be used as a tool for informing policy and planning to grow tourism and ensure community expectations are upheld in a reasonable manner...</li> </ul> <p>concerned at the potential for Council’s required resource consent application process to be prohibitively expensive, onerous and uncertain for Christchurch residents... any imposed costs must be set and collected with full knowledge and understanding of the operating environment for Christchurch homeowners using STRA (given the sub-scale nature of STRA as a standalone business, i.e. low yield, low occupancy, low return on capital). It must be easily administered so that homeowners, for whom STRA is a part-time and marginal activity, are not caught up in a cycle where it becomes too onerous or costly to participate in the sector.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.76</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.142</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS10.398</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.412</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.395</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.247</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S119.7</b>	Oppose in part	[re: matters of control for proposed controlled activities]  “Seek information from Council on what would guide its consideration of these controls, clarification on what it wants to achieve with these controls, and how they would be implemented, monitored and enforced.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS15.248</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>S119.8</b>	Oppose in part	“Council has not indicated how long it believes the processing time for Resource Consent applications will be or how it will resource the thousands of applications likely to be made if the propose Plan Change is implemented... seek further information from Council on these points.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS10.399</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS11.413</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS12.396</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS15.249</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S119.9</b>	Oppose	“Rules and regulations specific to the sharing economy – like STRA – should be light-touch and protect consumers and communities without creating undue regulatory burden that stifles the huge shared benefits.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.143</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.400</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.414</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.397</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.250</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>S119.10</b>	Oppose	“Seek further consideration of more appropriate regulation at the national and local level that will actually deliver against Council’s desired goals. Experience in other jurisdictions shows that issues related to STRA – amenity, accessibility and affordability – are best addressed through a nation-wide regulatory framework including a simple registration system and a mandatory and enforceable STRA code of conduct for owners, managers and guests.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.138</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.401</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.415</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.398</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS15.251</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes	
Louise Edwards	<b>S120</b>	<b>S120.1</b>	Oppose	[re: Unhosted short term rentals in residential zones]			<b>No</b>
				“Support a two tiered system rather than a three tiered system which seems to be rather complicated.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.135</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.402</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.84</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
		<b>FS12.399</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S120.2</b>	Oppose	[re: Unhosted short term rentals in residential zones]			
				“The maximum number of days should be 30 rather than 45 days.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.403</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.85</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.400</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S120.3</b>	Oppose in part	“If the Council is serious about increasing the number of people living in the central city then there needs to be restriction on unhosted short term rentals in residential zones.”			
<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.404</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.86</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.401</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Ricki Jones	<b>S121</b> <b>S121a</b>	<b>S121.1</b>	Support in part	“Support PC4 in part for the controls placed on visitor accommodation in residential zones throughout the district. However it has not gone far enough with the regulation of unhosted visitor accommodation.”			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
		<b>FS10.405</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS11.87</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS12.402</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S121.2</b>	Oppose	“There is no provision proposed in PC4 to restrict the number of properties being made available for use as Visitor Accommodation in developments... While each residential unit within a development is to be considered individually with respect to use as visitor accommodation, collectively the potential of whole blocks of apartments or developments having a high percentage of STRA could effectively turn them into tourist accommodation (quasi hotels). The risk of this happening could be considered higher in the new developments that have individual ‘freehold titles’... Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling in a development of three properties or more the activity would be non complying.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.144</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS6.2</b>	J Daly	Support	No		
			<b>FS10.406</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.88</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.403</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S121.3</b>	Support in part	"Seek relief that PC4 is approved with amendments to limiting the number of STRA within developments."				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS4.145</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.407</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.89</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.404</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S121.4</b>	Support in part	"Improved education leading to awareness of the Rules and regulations of STRA within the CCC and General Public."				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
			<b>FS10.408</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.90</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.405</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>S121.5</b>	Support in part	"Changes made to the CCC website with respect to Visitor Accommodation that is informative, clear & user friendly eg Kaikoura and Queenstown."				

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.409</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.91</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.406</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S121.6</b>	Support in part	“Council to continue to working alongside LGNZ and urge them to push for the recommendation of House 2030 and ‘Unpacking the impacts of accommodation-sharing on local housing stock in New Zealand’ December 2019.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.410</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.92</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.407</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S121.7</b>	Oppose in part	“The suggested revisions contained in this Submission do not limit the generality of the reasons for the submission.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.411</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.93</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.408</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S121.8</b>	Oppose	“Support PC4 in principal in residential zones, with an amendment requiring controlled activity resource consent for			



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling be replaced with a restricted discretionary. Therefore [it] would require a Restricted Discretionary activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.146</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.412</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.94</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.409</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S121.9</b>	Oppose in part	“That the council enforcement and compliance teams are adequately staffed and supported. That they keep up to date with the various methods used in an attempt to manipulate and avoid compliance, especially with respect to website and platforms. Harsher fines are introduced. Reverse the general perception that the CCC ‘s likelihood of enforcing rules for Visitor Accommodation is low.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.95</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.413</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.95</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.410</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S121.10</b>	Support in part	“That the council urge Central Government to establish a national register of Accommodation providers... suggest that a National			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				Register is sort in the first instance without a Regulation Framework Component.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.414</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.96</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.411</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Paula Smith	<b>S122</b>	<b>S122.1</b>	Oppose	<p>“Do not support the proposal to require a resource consent to have short term accommodation in the Diamond Harbour residential zone in Banks Peninsula, or to limit the number of nights that visitors can stay.</p> <p><b>Seek:</b></p> <p>A change to the District Plan which enables the provision of short term accommodation in the Diamond Harbour Residential Zone as a permitted activity, with no restriction on the number of nights accommodation available.”</p>			<b>Yes</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.169</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	Yes	
Canterbury Branch of Hospitality New Zealand	<b>S123</b>	<b>S123.1</b>	Support in part	<p>“Hospitality NZ is generally supportive of PC4 where it places further controls on visitor accommodation and its effects in residential zones throughout the district. Hospitality NZ considers that PC4 has a fundamental need as a response to issues in the</p>			<b>Yes</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				district, and supports the 'Reasons for the Plan Change' as outlined in the section 32 report."			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.415</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.97</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.412</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS14.21</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.252</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S123.2</b>	Oppose	<p>"Just as hotels and motels are regulated, so too should visitor accommodation within residential units. In the pure sense, an <i>accommodation provider</i> is an <i>accommodation provider</i>. The difference between a "motel" or "hotel" and an "unhosted visitor accommodation activity in a residential unit" is essentially that a motel/hotel may include an office, meeting and conference facility, fitness facility, convenience goods and services, and / or provide for the sale and supply of alcohol... In the planning sense, the difference is reflective that motels/hotels are often in commercial zones that enable the sale and supply of alcohol as a permitted activity. Unhosted accommodation has zero control or regulation in relation to the supply of alcohol which results in irresponsible consumption and can have an adverse effect on the neighbouring community."</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.80</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.147</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.416</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.98</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.413</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
			<b>FS14.22</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.253</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
		<b>S123.3</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: definitions of “visitor accommodation” and “unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit”]</i></p> <p>“It is stated on page 4 of the section 32 report that “provisions in the District Plan should not conflict with or duplicate the functions of provisions in the Building Act, Building Code or fire safety regulations that sit at the national level”. Hospitality NZ does not seek that these documents are conflicted with or duplicated, rather it seeks that they are directed to within the plan provisions...</p> <p>Hospitality NZ seeks the following amendments to the proposed definitions... (proposed text is <b>underline</b> and deleted text is <b>struckout</b>):</p> <p><b>Visitor accommodation</b>  “means land and/or buildings used for accommodating visitors <b>in compliance with the Building Act 2002</b>, subject to a tariff being paid, and includes any ancillary activities.”</p> <p><b>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</b></p>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				<p>“means a residential unit that is also used for visitor accommodation where:</p> <p>a. no permanent resident of that residential unit is in residence in the same residential unit for the duration of the stay;</p> <p>b. individual bookings by visitors are for less than 28 days each; and</p> <p>c. any family flat is not used for visitor accommodation; and</p> <p><u>d. the building and activity comply with the Building Act 2002.</u></p> <p>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit excludes hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels, farmstays and camping grounds.”</p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.417</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.99</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.414</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
			<b>FS14.23</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.254</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
		<b>S123.4</b>	Oppose	<p>[re: matters of control for proposed controlled activities]</p> <p>“Hospitality NZ seeks that the following matter of control / discretion is added to the respective rules relating to unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit:</p> <p><u>x. Evidence of compliance with the Building Act 2002.”</u></p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS4.148</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.418</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.100</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.415</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
			<b>FS14.24</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.255</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
		<b>S123.5</b>	Oppose	<p>[Residential chapter - Objective 14.2.9]</p> <p>“In respect of the objectives and policies, Hospitality NZ seeks the following changes:</p> <p><b>14.2.9 Objective – Visitor Accommodation in Residential Zones</b></p> <p><i>a. Visitors and other persons requiring short-term lodging have a broad choice of types and locations that meet their needs where:</i></p> <p><i>i. this is compatible with the function and level of amenity intended for the zone; and</i></p> <p><i>ii. the use of any residential unit is still predominantly a residential activity, and the residential character of the site is retained.</i></p> <p><i>b. Visitor accommodation is <u>avoided in only established</u> in residential zones (except for the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay) where it <u>of a scale and character that is does not consistent with meeting objectives for:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>i. demonstrate that the scale, duration and character of the activity will be commensurate with the residential amenity of the locale;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>ii. demonstrate that the use will not adversely affect the a sufficient supply of housing, including affordable housing, with a choice of</u></i></p>		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<p><i>locations including an increase in the number of households within the Four Avenues;</i></p> <p><i>iii. impact the vitality or deter the use of visitor accommodation facilities within the Central City and commercial centres a revitalised Central City with a wide diversity and concentration of activities that enhance its role as the primary focus of the City and region;</i></p> <p><i>iii. enabling the revitalising of commercial centres;</i></p> <p><i>iv. protecting strategic infrastructure from incompatible activities and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on them; and</i></p> <p><i>v. reduce the high level of amenity expected in high quality residential neighbourhoods with a high level of amenity.</i></p> <p><i>c. Visitor accommodation in the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay can establish, operate, intensify and/or redevelop in a way that is compatible with the character and amenity of adjoining residential, rural or open space zones; and does not expand the activity outside of the existing zone or overlay area into other non-commercial zones.”</i></p>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.149</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.419</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.101</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.416</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
			<b>FS14.25</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.256</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?
		S123.6	Oppose	<p>[Residential chapter - Policy 14.2.9.1]</p> <p>“In respect of the objectives and policies, Hospitality NZ seeks the following changes:</p> <p><b>14.2.9.1 Policy – Visitor Accommodation in a Residential Unit</b></p> <p>a. <u>Permit Enable</u> visitor accommodation in a residential unit <u>only</u> where:</p> <p>i. <u>at least one permanent resident of the site is in residence within the same residential unit for the duration of the stay;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>the number of visitors, including additional guests not spending the night, is comparable to use by a residential household; and</u></p> <p>iii. <u>the duration of the visitor accommodation activity is subservient to the residential use of the site, no greater than 60 days per year, and the residential use remains the dominant use of the site; and</u></p> <p>iv. <u>disturbance to neighbours is minimal.</u></p> <p>b. <u>Manage visitor accommodation in a residential unit while the permanent resident(s) are not in residence to minimise adverse effects on the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings including through:</u></p> <p>i. <u>restrictions on the scale, duration and frequency of use to ensure that the residential unit is still predominantly used for residential activity; and</u></p> <p>ii. <u>management of operations to minimise disturbance of neighbours, including providing contact and site management information to guests and neighbours.</u></p> <p>c. <u>Avoid visitor accommodation in a residential unit while the permanent resident(s) are not in residence that exceeds 60 days per year at a scale, duration and/or frequency that cannot be managed in a way that minimises adverse effects on commercial centres or</u></p>	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested		Wishes to be heard?
				<i>the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings; or that would be likely to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects on strategic infrastructure.”</i>		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS3.70</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes
			<b>FS4.150</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.420</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.102</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.417</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
			<b>FS14.26</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.257</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes
		<b>S123.7</b>	Support in part	“Hospitality NZ recommends the following: That PC4 is approved with amendments to further control visitor accommodation in residential zones and to avoid unhosted visitor accommodation in residential zones”		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.421</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.103</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.418</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
			<b>FS14.27</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes
			<b>FS15.258</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S123.8</b>	Oppose	“Non complying activity status is imposed on unhosted visitor accommodation in residential units”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.136</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.151</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.422</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.104</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.419</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS14.28</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	
		<b>FS15.259</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S123.9</b>	Oppose in part	“Consideration is given to a threshold as to when a residential unit is no longer a residential unit by virtue of the principle activity being visitor accommodation”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.152</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.423</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.105</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.420</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS14.29</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	
		<b>FS15.260</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
		<b>S123.10</b>	Support in part	“Council, alongside key stakeholders (like HNZ) lobbies central government and supports the legislative framework needed to implement a national short term rental accommodation register which would allow for (including but not limited to) greater tax, building and fire safety compliance monitoring, data analysis, disaster relief and emergency management under the Civil Defence or Public Health umbrella.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.424</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.106</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.421</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS14.30</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.261</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S123.11</b>	Oppose in part	“Any other additional or consequential relief to the CDP, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, controls/discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in this submission”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.425</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.107</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.422</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS14.31</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS15.262</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S123.12</b>	Support in part	“CCC effectively enforces PC4”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.426</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.108</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.423</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS14.32</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.263</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S123.13</b>	Oppose in part	“The suggested revisions contained in this Submission do not limit the generality of the reasons for the submission.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.427</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.109</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.424</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS14.33</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.264</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		Axel Wilke	<b>S124</b>	<b>S124.1</b>	Oppose in part	“Attached is the submission of the Victoria Neighbourhood Association... support the submission 100%.”	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?
				Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS4.153</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes		
			<b>FS10.428</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.110</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.425</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.265</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>S124.2</b>	Oppose in part	“The biggest risk for not meeting the NPS UD objectives is an ongoing proliferation of unhosted STRA. Nobody will want to live in close proximity to units where visitors create noise problems with some regularity. If unhosted STRA is not effectively curtailed, by adopting the recommendations made by our committee, densification will fail.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>			
		<b>FS4.154</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes			
		<b>FS10.429</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS11.111</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS12.426</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>FS15.266</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes			
		Robin Meier	<b>S125 S125a</b>	<b>S125.1</b>	Support	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]  “Support restrictions on unhosted short term accommodation in the Central City.”		<b>No</b>

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.71</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS10.430</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.112</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.427</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
Tony Vine	<b>S126</b>	<b>S126.1</b>	Oppose	“Allowing unhosted accommodation as a controlled activity is in effect just licensing this activity. This activity has a significant effect on residential neighbourhoods that are trying to attract inner city long term residential accommodation. Anything over 60 days should be by exception and require the level of compliance of any commercial accommodation in the city including off-street parking. It should not just be apply, pay and away you go.”			<b>No</b>
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS3.81</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS4.155</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.431</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.113</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.428</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S126.2</b>	Oppose	“Applicants should clearly demonstrate that there is no compliant accommodation available in the immediate neighbourhood.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested				Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS4.156</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes			
			<b>FS10.432</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS11.114</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes			
			<b>FS12.429</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes			
		<b>S126.3</b>	Support in part	“Applications should be notifiable to neighbours who can appeal the application. The onus should be on the applicant not the appellant.”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
		<b>FS4.157</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes				
		<b>FS10.433</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS11.115</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS12.430</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes				
		<b>S126.4</b>	Oppose in part	“How does CCC plan to police consents?”					
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>				
		<b>FS10.434</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS11.116</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes				
		<b>FS12.431</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes				
		<b>S126.5</b>	Oppose in part	“The whole situation may change in a few years so can the council revoke any consent? How will CCC ensure that where consents are given that the density is restricted, say					

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested	Wishes to be heard?	
				1 in every 50 properties and that we don't have whole blocks of short term accommodation?"		
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS4.158</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS10.435</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes
			<b>FS11.117</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes
			<b>FS12.432</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes
Mark Forsythe	<b>S127</b>	<b>S127.1</b>	Oppose	“It’s a shame that the Council is considering this particularly backward proposal in connection with these beautiful... [1-bedroom apartments in the Williams Corporation development at 466 Hagley Avenue]... or those few of them which arbitrarily fall in a “residential zone” based on the District Plan. It would be so much better if visitors to our City could stay in complete comfort in the heart of our City.”	<b>Yes</b>	
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.436</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS11.416</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes
			<b>FS12.433</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes
Ōtākaro Limited (c/o Donna Sibley)	<b>S128</b>	<b>S128.1</b>	Support	“Ōtākaro has no objection to the proposed plan change 4.”	<b>No</b>	
	<b>S129</b>	<b>S129.1</b>	Amend	“TAS submits that the proposed changes to the District Plan include policies and provisions that enable the establishment of	<b>Yes</b>	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
Temporary Accommodation Services (TAS), Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) (c/o Al Bruce)				temporary accommodation in response to an emergency, while minimising impacts on the community and environment.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS8.4</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	Yes	
			<b>FS10.437</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.417</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.434</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS14.18</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.267</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S129.2</b>	Amend	“MBIE’s submission seeks that Plan Change 4 – Short Term Accommodation includes provision for easy, flexible and streamlined placement of temporary accommodation by allowing exemptions to, or flexibility around, the District Plan rules for temporary accommodation e.g. exemption from setback provisions, site coverage/density rules, permitted activities etc.”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS8.5</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	Yes		
		<b>FS10.438</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS11.418</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.435</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS14.19</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.268</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S129.3</b>	Amend	<p>“Solutions to ensure timely delivery of temporary accommodation include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>streamlined and consistent resource and building consents processes for establishing temporary structures in an emergency across councils. This can be achieved through a shared and clear understanding of applicable regulatory requirements and approval processes</li> <li>councils to identify a number of sites suitable for a temporary village, and for those sites to have appropriate rules in their district plans to enable temporary accommodation in an emergency.”</li> </ul>			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>		
		<b>FS8.6</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	Yes		
		<b>FS10.439</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS11.419</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS12.436</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS14.20</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	Yes		
		<b>FS15.269</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S129.4</b>	Amend	“Development of a temporary accommodation policy similar to the Canterbury Earthquake Order”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.440</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.420</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.437</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.270</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S129.5</b>	Amend	“Exemptions from, or flexibility around, rules for temporary accommodation units on private land e.g. exemption from setback provisions and site coverage rules”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS8.7</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	Yes	
			<b>FS10.441</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.421</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.438</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.271</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S129.6</b>	Amend	“Sites are identified as suitable for locating temporary villages and are given an appropriate designation. The site on which a TAS village may be located needs to meet particular requirements for ease of establishment and to be user-friendly for inhabitants: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Owned by people/institutions who are willing for them to be developed</li> <li>• Sufficiently large to enable the placement of a number of dwellings</li> <li>• Connected to key utilities (wastewater, power, drinking water)</li> </ul>			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				• Close enough to the affected area to allow displaced households to continue to work, attend school and participate in community life.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS8.8</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	Yes	
			<b>FS10.442</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.422</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.439</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.272</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S129.7</b>	Amend	“Sites identified as suitable for locating temporary villages to have appropriate rules that temporarily allow it e.g. permitted activities, higher density, and flexibility in the provision of services. Different rules may apply according to the likely duration of the temporary accommodation.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS8.9</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	Yes	
			<b>FS10.443</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.423</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.440</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS15.273</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
Rebecca Lucas	<b>S130</b>	<b>S130.1</b>	Oppose	[14.4.1.2 Controlled activities C7 Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit; 14.4.1.4 Discretionary activities D8 Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit]			<b>Yes</b>
				“Oppose the above 14.4.1.2 a. because the trigger point to 60 nights as a controlled activity is too low and should be 180... I oppose 14.4.1.4 discretionary activities D8 Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit...for the same reasons as above. The maximum nights for discretionary should be over 180 and up to 180 nights should be a controlled activity.”			
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS10.444</b>	Bob Pringle	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS11.424</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS12.441</b>	Jeff Peters	Oppose	Yes	
		<b>FS15.274</b>	Ricki Jones	Oppose	Yes		
Commodore Airport Hotel Limited (c/o Jamie Robinson)	<b>S131</b>	<b>S131.1</b>	Support in part	“The Commodore is generally supportive of the objectives, policies and rules included in PPC4, and considers the proposed rules to be an improvement on the current framework.”			<b>Yes</b>
			Further Submission No.	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS10.445</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.118</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.442</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.275</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
		<b>S131.2</b>	Support	“The Commodore supports the Policy direction in 14.2.9.1(b)(ii) requiring the provision of contact information and site management information to guests and neighbours.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.446</b>	Bob Pringle		Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.119</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.443</b>	Jeff Peters		Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.276</b>	Ricki Jones		Support	Yes	
		<b>S131.3</b>	Support	“The Commodore supports the controlled activity status for renting up to 60 days a year, as this is likely to capture holiday home rental.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.447</b>	Bob Pringle		Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.120</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch		Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.444</b>	Jeff Peters		Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.277</b>	Ricki Jones		Support	Yes	
		<b>S131.4</b>	Support	“The Commodore further supports the distinction between 60 day rentals of six or less people, and longer term rentals (or more guests).”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.448</b>	Bob Pringle		Support	Yes	

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?	
			<b>FS11.121</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.445</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.278</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S131.5</b>	Oppose in part	“Include health and safety requirements as a consideration when Council is determining a resource consent for un-hosted visitor accommodation.”				
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
			<b>FS10.449</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS11.122</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS12.446</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
			<b>FS15.279</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
			<b>S131.6</b>	Support	“Retain the different activity status for activities with increasing chances for adverse effects (i.e. guest numbers and numbers of nights per year).”			
		<b>Further Submission No.</b>		<b>Further Submitter</b>		<b>Support or Oppose</b>		<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>
		<b>FS10.450</b>		Bob Pringle	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS11.123</b>		Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS12.447</b>		Jeff Peters	Support	Yes		
		<b>FS15.280</b>		Ricki Jones	Support	Yes		
		<b>S131.7</b>		Support in part	“Ensure that the rules, when introduced, are subject to rigorous compliance enforcement (both to ensure that appropriate resource consents are being obtained, and that the conditions on			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
				consents are being complied with so that adverse effects on neighbours are minimised).”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.451</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.124</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.448</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.281</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
Jennifer Nepton	<b>S132</b>	<b>S132.1</b>	Support	[re: changes related to visitor accommodation in heritage items]			<b>No</b>
				“Fully support the changes related to allowing visitor accommodation in heritage properties.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.452</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.125</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.449</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS15.282</b>	Ricki Jones	Support	Yes	
		<b>S132.2</b>	Support	[re: controlled activity status in residential zones for first 60 nights]			
				“Fully support the proposal to make unhosted accommodation up to a maximum of 60 days a controlled activity”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	



Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>FS10.453</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.126</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.450</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S132.3</b>	Oppose	<p><i>[re: all clauses in the plan change which set out day limits for unhosted visitor accommodation of 61-180 days as discretionary activities (excluding heritage properties)]</i></p> <p>“Do not support and wholly disagree with the discretionary activity status for unhosted visitor accommodation of up to 180 days... The threshold for discretionary activity status should be lowered to 61-120 days rather than 61-180 days on all clauses where this is applicable. Any use above 120 days should be a non-complying activity to allow cumulative effects to be properly considered and allow for the property to sometimes revert to normal neighbourhood use... seek that the council make any unhosted visitor accommodation of &gt; 120 nights/year be a non-complying activity.”</p>			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS4.159</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	Yes	
			<b>FS10.454</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.127</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.451</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
		<b>S132.4</b>	Support in part	“Seek that... other changes <i>[except as discussed in S132.3]</i> be approved.”			

Submitter	Submission No.	Decision No.	Request	Decision Requested			Wishes to be heard?
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.455</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.128</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.452</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	
James Dyer	<b>S133</b>	<b>S133.1</b>	Support in part	“Consider it very unfair for a Commercial venture to be established in such a residential environment... [ <i>the unit</i> ] on the top landing opposite mine... was sold and turned into an air B&B without consultation on my part.”			
			<b>Further Submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support or Oppose</b>	<b>Wishes to be heard?</b>	
			<b>FS10.456</b>	Bob Pringle	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS11.129</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	Yes	
			<b>FS12.453</b>	Jeff Peters	Support	Yes	

**SUBMITTERS TO PLAN CHANGE 4 – ADDRESSES FOR SERVICE**

<b>ID#</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Address 1</b>	<b>Address 2</b>	<b>Address 3</b>	<b>Email</b>
S1	Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Sue Harrison)	3/14 Acheron Drive		Christchurch 8041	stay@christchurchholidayhomes.co.nz
S2	Centro Roydvale Limited (c/o Glen Stapley)	1/130 Office Road		Christchurch 8014	glen@centrogroup.co.nz
S3	Dave King	38 Centaurus Road	Cashmere	Christchurch 8022	king_davey10@hotmail.com
S4	John Ascroft	12 Chatswood Place	Bishopdale	Christchurch 8051	jascroft@jadeworld.com
S5	Evgeny Fardman	6/70 Brockworth Place		Christchurch 8011	fardman@gmail.com
S6	Samuel Brooks	20 Marsden Street	Heathcote	Christchurch 8022	Samuel.Brooks@AsureQuality.com
S7	Clark Kerr	4 Rowanwood Close		Christchurch 8025	10426032@qq.com
S8	Graham Paul	68C St Martins Road		Christchurch 8022	grahamteenapaul@gmail.com
S9	Catherine Webber	68A St Johns Street	Woolston	Christchurch 8062	shihadfan@gmail.com
S10	Inner City East Neighbourhood Group (c/o Monica Reedy)	Unit 1, 393 Hereford Street		Christchurch 8011	gura@xtra.co.nz
S11	A.G. Talbot	84 Mays Road	St Albans	Christchurch 8052	talbotjones45@gmail.com
S12	Marcel De Wit	150 Eyredale Road	RD6	Rangiora 7476	marceldewit@yahoo.com
S13	Michele McConnochie	64 Belleview Terrace	Mount Pleasant	Christchurch 8081	michelemcconnochie@gmail.com
S14	Jim Coubrough	5 Newton Place		Akaroa 7520	jaykubra@gmail.com
S15	Alan Roberts	PO Box 6547	Sockburn	Christchurch 8042	westfieldholdingsltd@gmail.com
S16	Steve Harris	347 Kennedys Bush Road	Kennedys Bush	Christchurch 8025	decanter@xtra.co.nz
S17	Karen Phelps	256 Mt Pleasant Road		Christchurch 8081	karen@launchcommunication.com
S18	Mount Pleasant Neighbourhood Watch Group (c/o Brent McConnochie)	64 Belleview Terrace	Mt Pleasant	Christchurch 8081	mccconnochies@hotmail.com
S19	John & Rosalie Austin	46 Naseby Street	Merivale	Christchurch 8014	r-austin@xtra.co.nz

S20	Helen Louise Gallagher	32A Creyke Road n		Christchurch 8041	h.gallagher61@gmail.com
S21	Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board (c/o Emma Norrish)	5 Restell Street	Papanui	Christchurch 8053	elizabeth.hovell@ccc.govt.nz
S22	Wendy Sealey	46 MacMillan Avenue		Christchurch 8022	wendyjoz53@gmail.com
S23	Martin Donnithorne	19 Beveridge Street	Central City	Christchurch 8013	martin@dondon.co.nz
S24	Andrew Sweet	29B Hamilton Avenue		Christchurch 8041	Andrew.Sweet@firecone.co.nz
S25	Gary Monk	9 Driftwood Lane	Waimairi Beach	Christchurch 8083	garymonk88@gmail.com
S26	Ann-Marie Smith	20A Tish Place	Belfast	Christchurch 8051	r.a.smith@xtra.co.nz
S27	Amy Lawson	92 Roberta Drive	Somerfield	Christchurch 8024	amorilla.amy@gmail.com
S28	Joan McArdle	21a Bartlett Street	Riccarton	Christchurch 8011	joanie.inbox@gmail.com
S29 S29a	Peter McCallum	2 Crosdale Place	Burnside	Christchurch 8042	ptmccallum@hotmail.com
S30	Massimo Rinaldo	Unit 1, 28 Salisbury Street	Central City	Christchurch 8013	massimorinaldo@gmail.com
S31	Denise Wedlake	6 Eros Place	North New Brighton	Christchurch 8083	denisewedlake@gmail.com
fS32	Viviana Zanetti	1/28 Salisbury Street	Central City	Christchurch 8013	ethelwin@gmail.com
S33	Brian Saunders	21 Walkers Road	Lyttelton	Christchurch 8082	brian2015pb@gmail.com
S34	Anthony Rex Anker and Judith Margaret Anker	29 Kahu Road	Fendalton	Christchurch 8041	tony@ankermortgages.co.nz
S35	Debbie Rehu	23 Omaru Road	Lyttelton	Christchurch 8971	debbierehu@gmail.com
S36	Waimāero/Fendalton- Waimairi-Harewood Community Board (c/o David Cartwright)	CI- Fendalton Service Centre and Library Corner Jeffereys and Clyde Roads	Fendalton	Christchurch 8154	maryanne.lomax@ccc.govt.nz
S37	Odhran McCloskey	21 Bartlett Street	Riccarton	Christchurch 8011	00dhran+ccc@gmail.com
S38	Ngairé Dixon	454 Armagh Street	Linwood	Christchurch 8011	ngaire@devonrex.co.nz
S39	Claire Baker	51 Andover Street	Merivale	Christchurch 8014	claire-b@xtra.co.nz
S40	Sophie O'Sullivan	17 Leistrella Road	Hoon Hay	Christchurch 8025	soph.osullivan@gmail.com

S41	Warwick Schaffer	563 Marine Parade	Southshore	Christchurch 8062	warwick.schaffer@gmail.com
S42	Sandra Aldridge	222 Dyers Pass Road	Cashmere	Christchurch 8022	sandi.malc@gmail.com
S43	Stacy Zhao	136 Hoon Hay Road		Christchurch 8025	Stacyzhao8683@gmail.com
S44	City Escape Holiday Homes (c/o Anne Wilson)	9 Mission Lane		Christchurch 8053	anne.wilson999@btinternet.com
S45	Georgi Waddy	RB55036		Christchurch 8000	wldtam@xtra.co.nz
S46	Tim Elley	64 Hewitts Road		Woodend 7610	tim.elley@gmail.com
S47	Mary Crowe	19/7 Bangor Street	City Central	Christchurch 8013	marycrowe270@yahoo.co.nz
S48	Carl Caldwell	2 Stambridge Place		Christchurch 8022	carol@straitinc.com
S49	Joanne George obo George Family	4a Guinness Crescent	Avonhead	Christchurch 8041	geojoace@hotmail.com
S50	Clare Williams, Tom and Steph Lee	33 Holliss Avenue	Cashmere	Christchurch 8022	clare@holidayhomecare.co.nz
S51	Gabriella Barbara	47 Rowley Avenue		Christchurch 8025	gabriella.barbara@hotmail.co.nz
S52	MAC International Property Ltd (c/o Lisa Mcfarlane)	6A Ponsonby Road		Auckland 1011	Lisa@macproperty.co.nz
S53	Williams Corporation Limited	PO Box 1131		Christchurch 8140	kathryn@williamscorporation.co.nz
S54	Pauline Watson	12 Horner Street	Papanui	Christchurch 8053	Pauline.watson@xtra.co.nz
S55	Brad McLeay	30b Loop Road	Kawarau Fall	Queenstown 9300	bmcleay@propertygroup.co.nz
S56	Caleb Harrison	2 Golden Elm Lane		Christchurch 8041	gm@crbf.org.nz
S57	David McMeekan	2/97 Leinster Road		Christchurch 8014	dave_mcmeekan@hotmail.com
S58	Philippa Ireland	11 Claxton Place	St Martins	Christchurch 8022	pip.ireland@nzno.org.nz
S59	Jack Sew Hoy	22 McCrystal Avenue	Bucklands Beach	Auckland 2012	jacksewhoy@orcon.net.nz
S60	Lin Sew Hoy	22 McCrystal Avenue	Bucklands Beach	Auckland 2012	linsewhoy@orcon.net.nz
S61	Ali McQueen	54 Bay View Road	Redcliffs	Christchurch 8081	alimac51@yahoo.co.nz
S62	Carolyn Oakley-Brown	40 Rocking Horse Road	Southshore	Christchurch 8062	carolyn@oakleybrown.com
S63	Mark Engelbrecht	379 Middle Yards Road	RD2	Timaru 7972	markengelbrecht737@gmail.com

S64	Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Anita Jovic)	84A Avonhead Road		Christchurch 8042	anita.jovic1@gmail.com
S65	Sandra Matenga	82 Aorangi Road		Christchurch 8053	matengafawcett@gmail.com
S66	Linda Roderique	11 Olliviers Road	Phillipstown	Christchurch 8011	thebumpercropnz@gmail.com
S67	Mike Gaudin	85 Idris Road	Bryndwr	Christchurch 8052	mike.gaudin@mirella.biz
S68 S68a	Wendy Fergusson	45a Gracefield Avenue	Central City	Christchurch 8013	wfergusson@xtra.co.nz
S69	Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Dave Mason)	3 Broadbent Street	Riccarton	Christchurch 8041	dave_mason4774@gmail.com
S70	Fraser Taylor	14 Waiwetur Street	Fendalton	Christchurch 8052	fraserft@gmail.com
S71	Jocelyn Grant	41 Cullahill Street	Harewood	Christchurch 8051	jocelynggrant@gmail.com
S72	Arielle Atman	72 Western Valkey Road		Little River 7591	Arielle.atman@hotmail.com
S73	Norm Hartwell	1 Royal Court	Styx Mill	Christchurch 8051	hartwell@xtra.co.nz
S74	Tracey MacArthur	15 Hawkesbury Avenue	St Albans	Christchurch 8014	trixiebelle@xtra.co.nz
S75	Inner City East Revitalisation Project Working Group (c/o Jane Higgins)	144 Simeon Street	Spreydon	Christchurch 8024	jane@janehiggins.co.nz
S76	Hayley Hall	31 Parklands Drive	Huntsbury	Christchurch 8022	hails41@hotmail.com
S77	Damian Ross-Murphy	29 McDougall Avenue	St Albans	Christchurch 8014	damianrm@hotmail.com
S78	Susan Linklater	21 Railway Terrace		Doyleston 7682	lentjes@slingshot.co.nz
S79	Maria Jackson	35 Kingfisher Road	Takamatua	Banks Peninsula 7581	saudisandman@yahoo.com
S80	Frances Anne Phelps	256 Mt Pleasant Road		Christchurch 8081	bill.faye14us@gmail.com
S81	William Stanley Phelps	256 Mt Pleasant Road		Christchurch 8081	bill.faye14us@gmail.com
S82	Carter Group Limited (c/o Jeremy Phillips)	c/- Novo Group Limited (Attn: J Phillips)	PO Box 365	Christchurch 8140	jeremy@novogroup.co.nz
S83	Nicola Auld	165 Fitzpatrick Road	Brookby RD Manurewa	South Auckland 2576	m.n.auld@farmside.co.nz
S84	Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Jo Greensmith)	7 Hamel Lane		Kaiapoi 7630	jo@christchurchholidayhomes.co.n

z

S85	Waikura/Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board (c/o Alexandra Davids)	c/-Linwood Offices Christchurch City Council	PO Box 73052	Christchurch 8154	liz.beaven@ccc.govt.nz
S86	Lisa Plato	PO Box 2821		Christchurch 8140	lisa@platocreative.co.nz
S87 <b>FS16</b>	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON) (c/o Jill Nuthall)	14 Park Terrace	Central City	Christchurch 8013	jillnuthall@gmail.com
S88	Robert Manthei	50 Gracefield Avenue			bob.manthei@canterbury.ac.nz
S89	Spires Development Limited (Brooke McKenzie and Lesley McKenzie)	PO Box 589		Christchurch 8140	damienne@do.nz
S90 <b>FS3</b>	Victoria Neighbourhood Association Inc (c/o Marjorie Manthei)	50 Gracefield Avenue		Christchurch 8013	vnachristchurch@gmail.com
S91	Mark Tasker	5 Kingston Place		Christchurch 8053	mark.tasker@xtra.co.nz
S92	Scott Nelson	30 Strachan Place		Rangiora 7400	Snail021538711@gmail.com
S93	Breeze Robertson	3/41 Canterbury Street		Lyttelton 8082	breezeabout@gmail.com
S94	Orion New Zealand Limited (c/o Melanie Foote)	PO Box 13896		Christchurch 8141	melanie@rmgroup.co.nz
S95	Cassia Jackson	C/o 118d Wordsworth Street	Sydenham	Christchurch 8023	cassia.jackson@gmail.com
S96	Sasha Stollman	78a Reserve Terrace		Lyttelton 8082	blackkiwibnb@gmail.com
S97	Zin South	135 Costars Road		Christchurch 7672	Zinsouth17@gmail.com
S98	Paul Crooks	3/8 Dublin Street		Christchurch 8013	armkiwi@yahoo.ca
S99	Jesse Holmes	2/22a Sylvia Street	Parklands	Christchurch 8083	jesseholmes708@hotmail.com
S100	Bachcare Holiday Homes (c/o Shaun Fitzmaurice)	7 Falcon Street	Parnell	Auckland 1052	shaun.fitzmaurice@bachcare.co.nz
S101 <b>FS8</b>	Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	c/o Jo Appleyard/Amy Hill, Chapman Tripp	PO Box 2510	Christchurch 8140	Jo.Appleyard@chapmantripp.com; Amy.Hill@chapmantripp.com

S102	Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board (c/o Faye Collins)	Riccarton Centre, 199 Clarence Street	Riccarton	Christchurch 8041	faye.collins@ccc.govt.nz
S103	Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū/ Banks Peninsula Community Board (c/o Adrianna Hess)	Lyttelton Service Centre	PO Box 73027	Christchurch 8154	adrianna.hess@ccc.govt.nz
S104	Gary Cross	81 St Andrews Hill Road		Christchurch 8081	garycross57@hotmail.com
S105	Rae James	56 Gracefield Avenue		Christchurch 8013	raejames416@gmail.com
S106 <b>FS11</b>	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch (c/o Callum Ross)	30C Southwark Street	Christchurch Central	Christchurch 8011	callum@urbisgroup.co.nz
S107	Didi South	135 Cossars Road		Tai Tapu 7672	didisouth44@gmail.com
S108	Victoria Riddiford	240 Springfield RD	St Albans	Christchurch 8014	didisouth44@gmail.com
S109	Karen Gilby	PO Box 76016		Christchurch 8548	creativekiwichick@gmail.com
S110	Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board (c/o Karolin Potter)	Beckenham Service Centre, 66 Colombo Street	Beckenham	Christchurch 8023	amy.hart@ccc.govt.nz
S111	Margaret Flanagan	90 Rugby Street		Christchurch 8014	flanaganmargaret@hotmail.com
S112 <b>FS4</b>	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd (Airbnb)	c/o Jo Appleyard/Amy Hill, Chapman Tripp	PO Box 2510	Christchurch 8140	Jo.Appleyard@chapmantripp.com; Amy.Hill@chapmantripp.com
S113	Church Property Trustees and Sister Eveleen Retreat House Board	c/o David Plom	PO Box 4438	Christchurch 8140	ddplom@gmail.com; cptpropertymgr@anglicanlife.org.nz
S114	Kara Unsworth	67 Rossall Street	Merivale	Christchurch 8014	kunsworth@hotmail.com
S115	Edward Jenkins	329 Barbadoes Street	Christchurch Central	Christchurch 8013	eandsjenkins@gmail.com
S116	Phillip Dodds	4B Rearsby Drive	Halswell	Christchurch 8025	phil_do@xtra.co.nz
S117	Hannah Herchenbach	104 Randolph Street	Woolston	Christchurch 8062	hannah.herchenbach@gmail.com



S118	Jacob Turnbull	23 Musselburgh Rise	Musselburgh	Dunedin 9013	hacobturnbull@gmail.com
S119	Bookabach (c/o Eacham Curry)	Level 22	205 Queen Street	Auckland 1010	vfindlay@brickfielderge.com
S120	Louise Edwards	10 Peacock Street	Christchurch Central	Christchurch 8013	louise.edwards45@gmail.com
S121 S121a	Ricki Jones				rnrij@xtra.co.nz
S122	Paula Smith	1 Purau Avenue	RD2	Diamond Harbour 8972	famvanbeynen@snap.net.nz
S123	Canterbury Branch of Hospitality New Zealand	PO Box 503		Wellington 6140	anna@hospitality.org.nz
S124	Axel Wilke				axel@thecommongood.kiwi
S125 S125a	Robin Meier	5 Kilmore Street	Christchurch Central	Christchurch 8013	meierchch@gmail.com
S126	Tony Vine	3/123 Chester Street	East Christchurch Central	Christchurch 8013	tonyv@arv.net.nz
S127	Mark Forsythe				Mark.forsythe@fisglobal.com
S128	Ōtākaro Limited (c/o Donna Sibley)	Level 8, Anthony Harper Tower	62 Worcester Boulevard	Christchurch 8013	donna.sibley@otakarold.co.nz
S129	Temporary Accommodation Services, Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) (c/o Al Bruce)	PO Box 1473		Wellington 6140	Alan.Bruce@mbie.govt.nz
S130	Rebecca Lucas	PO Box 1356		Queenstown 9348	rebecca@landla.co.nz
S131	Commodore Airport Hotel Limited	c/o Duncan Cotterill	PO Box 5	Christchurch 8140	jamie.robinson@duncancotterill.com
S132	Jennifer Nepton	10 Westenra Terrace	Cashmere	Christchurch 8022	jennifer.nepton@gmail.com
S133	James Dyer	3/158 Papanui Road	St Albans	Christchurch 8014	n/a
<b>FS1</b>	David Michael Lawry	500 Yaldhurst Road	RD6	Christchurch 7676	143walk143@gmail.com

<b>FS2</b>	Fiona Temple	94 Brookside Terrace	Bryndwr	Christchurch 8053	f temple@snap.net.nz
<b>FS5</b>	Michelle Lomax	Waikura/Linwood- Central-Heathcote Community Board	PO Box 2912	Christchurch	michellel.lomax@ccc.govt.nz
<b>FS6</b>	J Daly				jennyd.metro@yahoo.co.nz
<b>FS7</b>	Clearwater Land Holdings Limited	c/- Gerard Cleary, Anthony Harper Lawyers	PO Box 2646	Christchurch	gerard.cleary@ah.co.nz
<b>FS9</b>	Clearwater Projects Limited	c/- Gerard Cleary, Anthony Harper Lawyers	PO Box 2646	Christchurch	gerard.cleary@ah.co.nz
<b>FS10</b>	Robert Gordon Pringle	280 Riccarton Road		Christchurch	bobpringle@xtra.co.nz
<b>FS12</b>	Jeff Peters	3 Dinton Street	Russley	Christchurch	jnttpeters1@gmail.com
<b>FS13</b>	Clearwater Developers	c/- M P Verry	PO Box 213, Kumeu	Auckland 0841	marty@redstag.co.nz
<b>F14</b>	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	c/- Sally Attfield, Hospitality New Zealand	PO Box 503	Wellington 6140	sally@accommodation.org.nz
<b>FS17</b>	Karin and Richard Oswin	39 Memorial Avenue	Ilam	Christchurch 8053	rko@xtra.co.nz
<b>FS18</b>	Michael Brinell	c/- Avon City Backpackers, 563 Worcester Street		Christchurch 8141	avoncbakpak@gmail.com

**Note:** Further submissions FS17 and FS18 did not include references to specific points in the original submissions and, as such, could not be included in the summary table above. It is noted here that they have been received. The hearings panel will need to determine whether or not to accept these responses as further submissions.