

APPENDIX 3: RECOMMENDATIONS ON DECISIONS REQUESTED IN SUBMISSIONS
AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 4 – SHORT-TERM ACCOMMODATION

RECOMMENDATIONS ON DECISIONS REQUESTED IN SUBMISSIONS AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
S1 Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Sue Harrison)	S1.1	Reject	Oppose	"Do not support discriminating between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals... Keep Hosted and Unhosted accommodation under the same planning framework."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.1	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS4.1	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.130	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.1	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.1	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.1	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S1.2	Reject	Oppose	"Prefer Option 5 [<i>remove restrictions on whole unit listings and treat home-share accommodation as a form of residential activity</i>]... option 5 allows for better regulation by registering homes"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.2	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.131	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.2	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
FS10.2			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.2	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
S1.3	Reject	Oppose	"Support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests... A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform... Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of a new largely industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties... Work with the platforms (Airbnb and Bookabach are particularly			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				proactive) to create a workable solution with buy-in from the industry at all levels. They advocate registration of owners and a code of conduct with a 3 strikes rule."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.5	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS15.3	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.3	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS10.4	Bob Pringle	Support in part	
			FS12.3	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS12.4	Jeff Peters	Support in part	
	S1.4	Accept in part	Oppose	"Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating 'winners' and 'losers' among local areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.8	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS15.4	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.5	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS10.6	Bob Pringle	Support in part	
			FS12.5	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS12.6	Jeff Peters	Support in part	
	S1.5	Accept in part	Oppose	"Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.3	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS4.11	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.132	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.5	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.7	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.7	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S1.6	Reject	Oppose	"Reject Nightcaps for Unhosted Accommodation... <i>and find a more workable solution.</i> "		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.14	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.133	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S1.7	Reject	FS15.6	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.8	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.8	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			Oppose	"Engage with local stakeholders and ChristchurchNZ for an outcome that benefits Christchurch."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS15.7	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
S2 Centro Roydvale Limited (c/o Glen Stapley)	S2.1	Reject	Support in part	[re: references to resource consent thresholds of 1-60 nights, 61-180 nights and over 180 nights]			
				"Support the Plan change, however, the following suggestion, is with reference to the above day ranges throughout the plan change. In many other countries they state the day range is AVAILABLE FOR RENT, not rented days... an activity starts where a property is available for rent not actual rented days... Change the reference to have "Available for rent" for each day range Controlled/Discretionary and Non Complying"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.15	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS11.1	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS10.9	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.9	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S2.2	Reject	Support in part	"To have as a standard condition that a log book of rented days, detail of occupants and available for rent days. This can be inspected by the Council without notice. (Also have a current address of where the Logs are held)"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.16	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support in part	
				FS11.2	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS10.10	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.10	Jeff Peters	Support	
S2.3	Reject	Support in part	"It may save a lot of time by having a penalty embedded in the plan if there is non compliance. eg \$5000 instant fine if a resource consent is not applied for				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				and a smaller fine if there are material breaches of the conditions of a resource consent"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.17	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.3	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS10.11	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.11	Jeff Peters	Support	
S3 Dave King	S3.1	Accept in part	Support	"In favour of the proposed limits... Please approve it in its proposed form"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.134	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.12	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.12	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S4 John Ascroft	S4.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: changes to the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones] "Oppose extra regulation and compliance costs being forced on Airbnb providers... Leave things as they are"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.74	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.135	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.13	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.13	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S5 Evgeny Fardman	S5.1	Accept in part	Support	"Support all of the above. All of the above approved"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.136	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.14	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.14	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S6 Samuel Brooks	S6.1	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"Oppose for Akaroa only, Agree for other regions... what evidence suggests adding compliance costs to rental home owners in Akaroa will assist motelliers in the same township?"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.160	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
			FS11.137	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS10.15	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.15	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S7 Clark Kerr	S7.1	Reject	Oppose	"It's a disaster for tourists and economy in Christchurch. Learn from other countries."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS10.16	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.16	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S8 Graham Paul	S8.1	Reject	Oppose	"Airbnb operators should not be restricted in what they do with their own properties, unless there is positive evidence that they have caused a problem such as noise disturbance or overparking."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS3.72	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose			
			FS11.138	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS10.17	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
				FS12.17	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S8.2	Out of scope		Oppose	"They should pay tax on their rental income like every other landlord, but otherwise they should not be unfairly disadvantaged as the current proposals would do."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS3.73	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
				FS10.18	Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.18				Jeff Peters	Support			
S9 Catherine Webber	S9.1	Reject in part Out of scope in part	Oppose	"Oppose having to apply for resource consent for using a residential home for visitor accommodation... Remove any and all regulations / fees surrounding private homeowners becoming accommodation providers."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS11.139	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS10.19	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.19	Jeff Peters	Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
S10 Inner City East Neighbourhood Group (c/o Monica Reedy)	S10.1	Accept in part	Support	"The proposed change is necessary to restrict the proliferation of unhosted Air B&B type accommodation in the Inner City... Place limits on this type of accommodation"				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
			FS3.58	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support			
			FS5.31	Michelle Lomax	Support			
			FS11.4	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
			FS15.8	Ricki Jones	Support			
			FS10.20	Bob Pringle	Support			
			FS12.20	Jeff Peters	Support			
	S10.2	Accept in part Out of scope in part	Support in part	"Ensure the suggested higher standard of consent is applied and any subsequently permitted properties pay commercial rates to the Council."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
			FS4.18	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose			
			FS5.32	Michelle Lomax	Support			
			FS11.5	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
			FS15.9	Ricki Jones	Support			
			FS10.21	Bob Pringle	Support			
			FS12.21	Jeff Peters	Support			
			S10.3	Accept	Support	"Limitations to hosted accommodation are also supported."		
					Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
	FS5.33	Michelle Lomax			Support			
	FS11.6	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch			Support			
	FS15.10	Ricki Jones			Support			
FS10.22	Bob Pringle	Support						
S11 A.G. Talbot	S11.1	Accept in part	Support	"Strongly support the proposed plan changes as outlined... no amendments at this stage, in fact... [strengthen] the provisions outlined."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
			FS15.11	Ricki Jones	Support			
S12 Marcel De Wit	S12.1	Reject	Oppose	"Let the free market decide what people like to use as accommodation... oppose[d] to any changes where there's a need to apply for resource consent to provide (non) hosting accommodation."				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.23	Bob Pringle	Support in part		
S13 Michele McConnochie	S13.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: rural zones, unhosted visitor accommodation permitted for first 180 days] "Rural zones should have the same protection from unhosted visitors as everyone else; the rules should be the same"			
	S13.2	Accept in part	Oppose	[re: no need for commercial parking and vehicle access requirements for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days] "There absolutely should be the same commercial parking requirements for such accommodation to provide equity with commercial accommodation providers"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS10.24	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.24	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S13.3	Reject	Oppose in part	"If you bring people into your home and ask them to pay you, you should be subject to the same rules right across the board as a motelier, for example, including health & safety expectations."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.7	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.12	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.25	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.25	Jeff Peters	Support		
S14 Jim Coubrough	S14.1	Accept in part	Oppose	"Firstly, Banks Peninsula and in particular the Akaroa Harbour and outer Bays area, needs to be exempt... Limiting the operation of short term, non hosted accommodation will seriously inhibit the economy and social cohesion of the area. Therefore, it should be exempt [from] any restrictive regulations."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.161	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	
				FS15.13	Ricki Jones	Support in part	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S14.2	Reject	Oppose	"The central Christchurch city area and specifically the area defined by the "four avenues" needs to be exempt... In order to attract more visitors into the central city there is a need to provide a variety of accommodation options to suit all... Limiting the operation of short term, non hosted accommodation will seriously inhibit the economy and social cohesion of the area. Therefore, the inner city should be exempt [from] any restrictive regulations."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.162	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	
			FS11.140	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.14	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.26	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.26	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S15 Alan Roberts	S15.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: all rules applicable to AirBNB] "Totally oppose all of the proposal... This is an interference in private property rights... Do not go ahead with the plan change."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.75	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.141	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.27	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.27	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S16 Steve Harris	S16.1	Accept in part	Support	"Support the proposals within the city limits of Christchurch"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS15.15	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.28	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.28	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S16.2	Accept in part	Support	"Support the implementation of the proposed plan changes within the Christchurch residential area"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS15.16	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.29	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.29	Jeff Peters	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S16.3	Accept in part	Oppose	"Oppose the proposals for the Banks Peninsula district both rural and residential... It would be counter productive to start bringing in a requirement to obtain consents... The Banks Peninsula residential and rural areas should be exempt from any rule changes."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.163	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	
			FS11.142	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.17	Ricki Jones	Support in part	
			FS10.30	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.30	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S17 Karen Phelps	S17.1	Reject	Oppose	"Keep... the current district plan rules, which allow people who live in a house to rent out rooms but do not permit unhosted short term accommodation in residential areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.55	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS11.143	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.18	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.31	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.31	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S18 Mount Pleasant Neighbourhood Watch Group (c/o Brent McConnochie)	S18.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones] "Oppose how lenient this provision is... Have all unhosted visitor accommodation for any number of days in residential areas requiring a resource consent that includes sign off by all immediate and near neighbours."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS14.1	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.19	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.32	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.32	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S18.2	Reject	Oppose	"[Apply] rules fairly - same rates, same compliance and same resource consents for all accommodation providers."		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
		Out of scope in part	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.19	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS14.2	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.20	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.33	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.33	Jeff Peters	Support	
S19 John & Rosalie Austin	S19.1	Accept in part	Oppose	"[Oppose] the proposed plan change as it relates to Akaroa... It would merely be another compliance cost imposed upon a small group of property owners."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.164	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	
			FS11.144	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.34	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.34	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S20 Helen Louise Gallagher	S20.1	Reject	Oppose in part	"Support the AirBnB submission that activities of short term rental is residential activity and should not require resource consent."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.170	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.145	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.21	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.35	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.35	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S21 Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board (c/o Emma Norrish)	S21.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"The Board supports, in general, the proposed changes to the District Plan in relation to short term accommodation, particularly with regard to un-hosted accommodation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.61 FS3.91	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS11.8	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.22	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.36	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.36	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S21.2	Out of scope	Support in part	"The Board would however, recommend that the enforcement of the changes be consistent. In implementing the proposed District Plan changes, the Board		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				requests that the Council assign appropriate resources to carry out the enforcement of the changes."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.9	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.23	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.37	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.37	Jeff Peters	Support	
S22 Wendy Sealey	S22.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the provisions of plan change 4, due to its restrictive nature both with night capping and cost... lack of parity with other sectors of the industry and duplication in parameters with central government."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS14.3	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	
			FS11.146	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.24	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.38	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.38	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S22.2	Reject	Oppose	"It is essential to allow central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their plan around this... It would be pertinent to push pause on the process in the interim, until central government has come up with a strategy to deal with STRA through a different avenue like increasing rates, registering properties and STRA WOF's."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS14.4	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	
	S22.3	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose using the district plan to regulate STRA and find an alternative to better regulate STRA in order to allow it to continue in Christchurch."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.147	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.39	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.39	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S23	S23.1	Reject	Oppose	"Allow a property to be used for unhosted short term accommodation for up to 180 days per year in a residential zone."		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
Martin Donnithorne			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.40	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.40	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S24 Andrew Sweet	S24.1	Reject	Oppose	"In central city residential areas visitor accommodation in a house or unit should be a permitted activity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.149	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.25	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.41	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.41	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S24.2	Accept	Oppose	"In other residential areas [<i>outside the central city</i>] the council should provide clear rules in the Plan so everyone knows in advance where and when the activity is allowed."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.165	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	
			FS15.26	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S24.3	Reject	Oppose	"A resource consent requirement is a cop out... the resource consent process will lead to inconsistent decisions from case to case, and impose unnecessary administrative costs on all parties."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.166	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part	
FS15.27			Ricki Jones	Oppose		
S25 Gary Monk	S25.1	Reject	Oppose	"Reject PC4 as notified. These provisions need amending with clear simple provisions in the district plan which enable Hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation as a residential activity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.4	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS4.171	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.150	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.28	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.42	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.42	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S25.2	Reject	Oppose in part	"[These provisions] need to recognise the vital importance of Airbnb and other similar accommodation types to the economy and community of Christchurch."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS3.5	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
			FS4.172	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.181	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.29	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.43	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.43	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S25.3	Reject	Oppose	"Treat Airbnb home sharing simply as a residential activity with no significant restrictions. Airbnb has a strict code of conduct and review feedback system which significantly assists in this regard"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS3.6 FS3.132	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
			FS4.173	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.152	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.30	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.44	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.44	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S25.4	Reject	Oppose in part	"Strongly support the "Official Airbnb submission" to the Christchurch City Council which advocates for a simple clear and reasonable planning regime that would see home sharing treated as a form of residential activity which does not require costly resource consents and overly restrictive conditions."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS3.7 FS3.133	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
			FS4.174	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.153	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS15.31			Ricki Jones	Oppose			
FS10.45			Bob Pringle	Oppose			
FS12.45			Jeff Peters	Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
S26 Ann-Marie Smith	S26.1	Reject	Oppose	"[Oppose] the need for costly resource consents for those situations where the host is not present on site. The tiered system of night caps is impractical and hard to enforce. Allowing 0-180 days to be a compliant activity and over 180 days to be non-compliant is illogical."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.175	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.154	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.32	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.46	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.46	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S26.2	Reject	Oppose	"[Oppose] the proposed imposition of check-in and check-out time deadlines."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.176	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.155	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.47	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	S26.3	Reject	Oppose	"[Oppose] a proposed requirement to get resource consent where an owner goes away for a short period in normally "hosted" accommodation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.177	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.156	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.48	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	S26.4	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the restrictions being placed upon Christchurch citizens who wish to share properties they own with visitors to this city, whether they reside permanently in the property as well or if they own them as an investment."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.178	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
FS11.157			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS10.49			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
S26.5	Reject	Oppose	"Residential units should be available for accommodation of all types, whether that be to the property owner or a guest on a long or short term basis."			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
		FS4.178	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
		FS11.157	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
		FS10.49	Bob Pringle	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.179	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.158	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.50	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.50	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S26.6	Reject	Oppose in part	"Support the submission made by Air Bnb that proposes that home sharing be treated as a form of residential activity and should be treated as such within the definition of the Christchurch District Plan."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.180	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.159	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.51	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.51				Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S27 Amy Lawson	S27.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones] "[Don't] change the current resource consent requirements... I oppose the above rule... The Airbnb, Bookabach etc systems encourage trust which our society really needs at the moment. Not everything has to be controlled by the council or regulations."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.20	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.160	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.52	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
	FS12.52	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
	S27.2	Reject	Oppose	[re: rural zones, unhosted visitor accommodation permitted for first 180 days.] "[Don't] change the current resource consent requirements... I oppose the above rule... The Airbnb, Bookabach etc systems encourage trust which our society really needs at the moment. Not everything has to be controlled by the council or regulations."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS4.21	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.161	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.53	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.53	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S27.3	Accept in part	Oppose	<p><i>[re: hosted visitor accommodation additional standards limiting late-night arrivals and departures and the size of functions.]</i></p> <p>"[Don't] change the current resource consent requirements... I oppose the above rule... The Airbnb, Bookabach etc systems encourage trust which our society really needs at the moment. Not everything has to be controlled by the council or regulations."</p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.22	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.162	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.54	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.54	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S28 Joan McArdle	S28.1	Reject	Oppose	"Reject PC4 as notified and insert provisions into the plan to enable visitor accommodation as a permitted activity."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.181	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.163	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.33	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
				FS10.55	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.55	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S28.2	Reject	Oppose in part	"Agree with the submission on this matter by Airbnb."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.182	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.164	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.34	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.56	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
		FS12.56	Jeff Peters	Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S28.3	Accept in part	Oppose	"Understand that there is some need for regulation of short term accommodation but believe that any measures can be taken outside of the district plan through a cohesive nationwide approach."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.183	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.165	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.35	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.57	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.57	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S29 S29a Peter McCallum	S29.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones, particularly where a host is not living there. In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, the changes would require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days]		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.166	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.58	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
S29.2	Reject	Oppose	"Don't want this proposed resource consent to be passed at all... want the present resource consent taken away as well."			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
		FS11.167	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
		FS10.59	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
		FS12.59	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S29.3	Reject	Oppose	"If the council wants to distinguish between this type of			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
				business and ordinary households, then use the rates as the tool to do it"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.168	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.60	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.60	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S30 Massimo Rinaldo	S30.1	Accept in part	Support in part	[re: <i>Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation, in particular Objective 14.2.9, Policy 14.2.9.1, Rule 14.6</i>]			
				"Support the specific provisions but... would like to know in detail how the City Council plans to monitor and to police the compliance."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.169	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.36	Ricki Jones	Support		
		S30.2	Reject	Support in part	"Include a clear monitoring system to guarantee that the rules are respected, especially the 60 day limit per year per host. It is not explained, at this stage how this can be achieved and what are the consequences for exceeding the allowed time intervals or for breaching the rules."		
	Further Submission #			Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
	FS11.170			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
	FS15.37			Ricki Jones	Support		
	FS10.62			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.61	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S31 Denise Wedlake	S31.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: <i>Air BNB Accommodation in a residential zone</i>]			
				"Oppose the changes to the plan"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			S11.171	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.63	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.63	Jeff Peters	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S31.2	Reject	Oppose	[re: proposed standards for check in and check out times for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]			
				"Having a cut off time for arrivals is unrealistic"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.172	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.64	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.64	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S31.3	Reject	Oppose	"Don't feel that small – unique operators... should be penalized with resource consent charges."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.173	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.65	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.65	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S31.4	Out of scope	Oppose	"Don't feel that small – unique operators... should be penalized with business rates."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.174	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.66	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.66				Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S31.5	Reject	Oppose	There should not be restrictions on the number of nights				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.175	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.67	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.67	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S32 Viviana Zanetti	S32.1	Accept in part	Support	[re: Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation, in particular Objective 14.2.9, Policy 14.2.9.1, Rules 14.6]			
				"Support the plan change."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.10	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.38	Ricki Jones	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S32.2	Reject	FS10.68	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.68	Jeff Peters	Support		
			Support in part	"Develop a paragraph about monitor[ing] and enforcement. It is fundamental that a detailed and strict monitoring system is put in place together with dedicated staff and a clear and straight set of penalties/fines for those breaching the Plan."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.11	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.39	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.69	Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.69	Jeff Peters	Support					
S33 Brian Saunders	S33.1	Accept in part	Oppose	"Council needs to consider 'the difference' between highly attractive popular tourist locations like Akaroa, with high basically year round occupancy rates; in comparison to lesser but environmentally quieter nature spots like Little River / Okuti Valley / Diamond Harbour / Purau / Port Levy / Okains Bay / Little Akaloa / Wainui etc. with a far lower 'window of occupancy' available; holiday weekends / Easter/ Christmas . If all areas are treated under one Plan Change; Christchurch residents will be restricted in places they are able to stay particularly in these 'minor' areas."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.167	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part		
			FS11.176	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.40	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.70	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.70	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S34 Anthony Rex Anker and Judith Margaret Anker	S34.1	Reject	Oppose	"Opposed to the proposed changes and strongly believe that all home sharing should be a residential activity."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.184	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.177	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.71	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.71	Jeff Peters	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S34.2	Reject	Oppose in part	"Support the Airbnb submission completely"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.185	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.178	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.72	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.72	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S34.3	Reject	Oppose	"A complicated day counting resource consent process... is totally unnecessary and... unfair."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.186	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.179	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.73	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.73	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S35 Debbie Rehu	S35.1	Out of scope	Oppose	"The residential rates here in Rapaki are very high, over \$4k per year, so if the council decided to charge commercial rates instead of residential rates for Air BnB hosts... it would be unaffordable."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS15.41	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.74	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.74	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S35.2	Reject	Oppose	"[Reject] the Proposed PC4 and instead insert clear, simple provisions into the Christchurch District Plan which enable visitor accommodation and recognise the importance of Air BnB type accommodation for the continued growth recovery of the community of Christchurch."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.180	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.42	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.75	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.75	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S35.3	Reject	Oppose	"A simple, clear and reasonable planning regime that would see home sharing treated as a form of residential activity not requiring costly resource consents"		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.181	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.43	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.76	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.76	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S36 Waimāero/ Fendalton- Waimairi- Harewood Community Board (c/o David Cartwright)	S36.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"The Board supports, in general, the proposed changes to the District Plan in relation to Short-term Accommodation and considers this a good start."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.12	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.44	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.77	Bob Pringle	Support		
				FS12.77	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S36.2	Accept	Support	"The Board supports the proposed changes in terminology that clearly differentiates between the types of short-term accommodation e.g. hosted and unhosted."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS3.84	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support		
			FS11.13	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.45	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.78	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.78	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S36.3	Accept in part	Support	"The Board strongly supports the proposal to change the objectives and policies so larger-scale or commercial-type visitor accommodation is primarily directed to commercial areas and considers it extremely important that the residential nature of a street, suburb etc is not adversely affected by previously residential properties being converted into short-term unhosted visitor accommodation."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
FS3.56 FS3.85			Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support			
FS11.14			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
FS15.46			Ricki Jones	Support			
FS10.79			Bob Pringle	Support			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS12.79	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S36.4	Reject	Support in part	"The Board would like to see some form of restriction relating to the number of properties being used as unhosted visitor accommodation imposed in residential suburbs."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS6.1	J Daly	Support	
			FS4.23	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.15	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.47	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.80	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.80	Jeff Peters	Support	
			S36.5	Accept	Support	"The Board supports the restrictions that limit the arrival and departure times and size of events for both hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation."
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter			Support or Oppose	
	FS11.16	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch			Support	
	FS15.48	Ricki Jones			Support in part	
	FS10.81	Bob Pringle			Support	
	FS12.81	Jeff Peters			Support	
	S36.6	Reject	Support in part	"While the Board also supports the tiered approach to the consent requirements of unhosted visitor accommodation dependent on the number of nights per year they are let, the Board considers that the restrictions should be more closely aligned to that of larger visitor accommodation providers. It suggests that the number of nights for a 'Controlled Activity' consent may need to be reviewed and possibly reduced."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.24	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.17	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS10.82	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.82	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S36.7	Accept	Support	"The Board strongly supports the requirement for improved noise protection for visitor accommodation located within the airport noise contour."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.83	Bob Pringle	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS15.49	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S36.8	Out of scope	Amend	"While outside the scope of this consultation would recommend that [improved noise protection for visitor accommodation located within the airport noise contour] be a requirement for all new residential projects within the noise contour."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS8.2	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support in part	
			FS10.84	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS15.50	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S36.9	Reject	Support in part	"Recommend that consideration be given to: the process and restrictions relating to applications for unhosted accommodation located down a private laneway."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.25	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.18	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.51	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.85	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.83	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S36.10	Reject	Support in part	"Recommend that consideration be given to: whether the consent remains with the property or becomes invalid when a property is sold."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.26	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS15.52	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S36.11	Reject	Support in part	"Recommend that consideration be given to: the length of time a resource consent is valid for. The Board would prefer that a resource consent be valid for a three year period for unhosted properties i.e. Airbnbs, located in residential areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.27	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS14.5	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part	
			FS11.182	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.53	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.86	Bob Pringle	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S36.12	Reject	FS12.84	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			Support in part	"Recommend that consideration be given to: the requirements under the consent regarding the installation of safety features such as the number of fire alarms."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.28	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS14.6	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part		
			FS11.19	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.54	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.87	Bob Pringle	Support		
	FS12.85	Jeff Peters	Support				
	S36.13	Out of scope	Support in part	"Noting that there are certain requirements regarding the time for processing consents the Board would like to see that the Council process any resource consents applications within a timely manner."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.20	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.55	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.88	Bob Pringle	Support		
	S36.14	Reject	Support in part	"Recommend that the conditions of the policy be reviewed in two years to see whether the desired outcomes of the proposed policy are being achieved."			
Further Submission #			Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
FS11.183			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
FS15.56			Ricki Jones	Support			
FS10.89			Bob Pringle	Oppose			
S37 Odhran McCloskey	S37.1	Reject	Oppose	"[Don't] make Christchurch an anomaly in the accommodation provider sector by closing off or severely limiting an option that is beloved by so many."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.184	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.90	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
	S38.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the proposed plan change 4."			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
S38 Ngairé Dixon			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.187	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.185	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.57	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.91	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.89	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S38.2	Reject	Oppose in part	"In support of AirBNB's submission... request that a simple, clear and reasonable planning regime that would see home sharing treated as a form of residential activity which does not require costly resource consent."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.188	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.186	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.58	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.92	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.90			Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S39 Claire Baker	S39.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: proposed changes to the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones, particularly where a host is not living there. In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, the changes would require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days]		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.187	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.93	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.91	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S39.2	Accept in part	Oppose	[re: For hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, additional standards would also apply limiting late-night arrivals and departures and the size of functions]		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
				"Oppose... Limiting late night arrivals is absurd... There is no need to have any restrictions on guests apart from the sensible ones... which are very clearly written on the website. No restrictions at all for guests who stay with a hosted family/home."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.188	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.94	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.92	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S39.3	Reject	Oppose	[re: <i>In rural zones, unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling would be a permitted activity for the first 180 days.</i>] "Oppose... In rural zones there should be no restrictions."			
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or			
	FS11.189	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose				
	FS10.95	Bob Pringle	Oppose				
	FS12.93	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
S40 Sophie O'Sullivan	S40.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: <i>In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling, the changes would require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days</i>] "Strongly oppose this"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.190	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.96	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.99	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S40.2	Reject	Oppose	"No rules and resource consent to have visitors/guests in... homes, for any length of time."			
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or			
	FS11.191	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose				
	FS10.97	Bob Pringle	Oppose				
	FS12.95	Jeff Peters	Oppose				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
S41 Warwick Schaffer	S41.1	Reject	Oppose	"Small scale (fewer than 6 people in a property) visitor accommodation should not be viewed as commercial."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.48	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.192	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.59	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.98	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.96	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S41.2	Reject	Oppose	"Short term visitor accommodation should be a permitted activity in residential areas in the same way that rental properties are."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.49	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.193	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.60	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.99	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.97	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S41.3	Reject	Oppose	"Short term visitor accommodation to be a permitted activity in residential areas with a limit of 6 people per night per property."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.50	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.194	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
FS15.61			Ricki Jones	Oppose		
FS10.100			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.98			Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S42 Sandra Aldridge	S42.1	Reject	Oppose	"Strongly oppose... Travelling around the world with family is so much easier with being able to use another person's house. Don't make Christchurch a place that can't offer this because it is too difficult for people to share their homes."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.195	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.62	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.101	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.99	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S42.2	Reject	Oppose	"The proposed approach by Christchurch City Council is unfair, outdated and impractical - and could damage Christchurch's economic recovery. It Includes: 1. Costly resource consent requirements for hosts who want to share their whole home when on holiday themselves, even for just one weekend, and for hosts sharing a separate minor residential unit or self-contained space in their home"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.196	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.63	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.102	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.100	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S42.3	Reject	Oppose	"2. Onerous red-tape and approvals for hosts sharing their whole home for 61 days or more, which the Council can also reject if they do not meet specific conditions"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.197	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.64	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.103	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.101	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S42.4	Reject	Oppose	"3. Impractical rules restricting what time your guests can arrive and depart"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.198	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.65	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
FS10.104			Bob Pringle	Oppose			
FS12.102			Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S42.5	Reject	Oppose	"4. Strict resource consents that may cost several thousands of dollars, putting hosting out of reach for everyday Cantabrians"				
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
		FS11.199	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
		FS15.66	Ricki Jones	Oppose			
		FS10.105	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
		FS12.103	Jeff Peters	Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
S43 Stacy Zhao	S43.1	Accept in part	Oppose	"CBD rebuild need[s] more accommodation inside CBD... it will influence if in resident[ial] zone. Just think need separate with different zone... consider the location"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.200	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.106	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.104	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S44 City Escape Holiday Homes (c/o Anne Wilson)	S44.1	Reject	Oppose	"Accommodation will run out in Christchurch once everything is back to normal with international travellers... SAD that the Council feels the need to control everything. Maybe they can set up some tents in Hagley Park when there is no accommodation to stay in."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.201	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.107	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.105	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S45 Georgi Waddy	S45.1	Reject	Oppose	"Home sharing seen as a form of residential activity rather than a hefty resource consent process for Airbnb hosts"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.96	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.202	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.67	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.108	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.106	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S45.2	Reject	Oppose	"Abandon the need to restrict days of hosting"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.203	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.68	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.109	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	S45.3	Reject	Oppose	"Restricted times for arrival and leaving guests is impractical and unnecessary and stressful for both host and guest. It is		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				the host's responsibility to communicate with all guests re arrival/exit times and enforce suitable times for their neighbourhood"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.204	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.69	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.110	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.108	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S46 Tim Elley	S46.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: requirement for a resource consent in residential zones for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit]		
				"Oppose the proposed change."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.189	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.205	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.70	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.111	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.109	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S46.2	Reject	Oppose in part	"Support the AirBnB submission."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.190	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.206	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.71	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.112	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.110	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S46.3	Reject	Oppose	"Home sharing treated as a normal residential activity that does not require resource consent."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.191	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
FS11.207			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS15.72			Ricki Jones	Oppose		
FS10.113			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.111			Jeff Peters	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
S47 Mary Crowe	S47.1	Accept in part	Support	"Support all the proposed changes and specifically as they relate to central city short term accommodation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.21	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.73	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.114	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.112	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S47.2	Out of scope	Support in part	"Support the proposed Plan Change in full, however in regard to consent fees for 60 nights or less... suggest the consent application should be waived or the fee be only a minimal amount, eg \$100 as many people renting out all or part of their home presently to not apply for a resource consent anyway."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.208	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.74	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.115	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.113	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S48 Carol Caldwell	S48.1	Reject	Oppose	"Replace Plan Change 4 with Option 5 Remove restrictions on whole unit listings"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.209	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.116	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.114	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S48.2	Reject	Oppose	"Delete it all... oppose the change"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.210	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.117	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	S48.3	Reject	Oppose	"There is a proposed nationwide investigation - suggest waiting for that to come through"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.211	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.118	Bob Pringle	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS12.116	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S49 Joanne George obo George Family	S49.1	Reject	Oppose	"Remain as is let market forces dictate fairness."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.212	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.119	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.117	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S50 Clare Williams, Tom and Steph Lee	S50.1	Reject	Oppose in part	"Support the submission of Air BnB and the Annexure B and Annexure A as outlined in their submission."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.192	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.213	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.120	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.118	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S50.2	Reject	Oppose	"The proposed plan rejected and replaced with a simple, clear planning regime which enables home share accommodation and recognises the significant role this plays on the regional economy."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.193	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.214	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.121	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.119	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S50.3	Reject	Oppose	"Air BnB offer a very comprehensive set of rules for guests regarding respect for the neighbourhood and for property owners and managers regarding safety and regulatory requirements."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.194	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
FS11.215			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS10.122			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.120			Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S51	S51.1	Reject	Oppose	"Staying for 2 or more day's and truly experiencing a location that is when holiday rental accommodation is invaluable... please don't take away the wonderful option of being able to do this."		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
Gabriella Barbara			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.216	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.123	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.121	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S51.2	Reject	Oppose	"Given... something so supportive of Christchurch and encouraging people to come and stay here and experience life and attractions here this requires a review of the former decision."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS11.217	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.124	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.122	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S51.3	Reject	Oppose	"Would a rate adjustment not be a simpler approach?"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS11.218	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
FS10.125				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
S52 MAC International Property Ltd (c/o Lisa Mcfarlane)	S52.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose that resource consents will be required for property owners wishing to home-share – unhosted"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS4.29	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.219	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.75	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
				FS10.126	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.124	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
	S52.2	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose that there will be a maximum of 180 days permitted per year to share your home if desired – unhosted"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS4.30	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.220	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.76	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
				FS10.127	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.125	Jeff Peters	Oppose					
S52.3	Reject	Oppose	"No resource consent"				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.31	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.221	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.77	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.128	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.126	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S52.4	Reject	Oppose	"No restrictions on how many nights un-hosted properties may be used"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.32	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.222	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.78	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.129	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
S53 Williams Corporation Limited	S53.1	Reject	Oppose	"William Corporation Limited ('WC') is supportive of the homeshare/ AirBNB market, and therefore in turn... oppose onerous regulation of these activities."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.51 FS3.97	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS4.33	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS5.34	Michelle Lomax	Oppose	
			FS14.7	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS11.223	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.79	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.130	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.128	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S53.2	Reject	Oppose	"[Williams Corporation] specifically opposes the absence of any permitted activity status for homeshare activity in the Residential Zones in the District Plan e.g. the controlled activity status for 'unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit' in the Central City Residential zone, Residential Suburban Density Transition zone, Residential Medium Density zone, and Central City Residential zone."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.52 FS3.98	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS4.34	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS5.35	Michelle Lomax	Oppose		
			FS14.8	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose		
			FS11.224	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.131	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.129	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S53.3	Reject	Oppose	"[<i>Williams Corporation</i>] opposes the specific requirement that all homeshare/AirBNB activities require resource consent."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.53 FS3.99	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
				FS4.35	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS5.36	Michelle Lomax	Oppose	
				FS14.9	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
				FS11.225	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.132	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.130	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
	S53.4	Reject	Oppose	"Amend PC4 such that it allows for permitted activity status for homeshare/AirBNB activities. This would align with Option 4: (Rely on non-District Plan methods) outlined in the section 32 evaluation to control the potential effects of these activities."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.54 FS3.100	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
				FS4.36	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS5.37	Michelle Lomax	Oppose	
FS14.10				Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose		
FS11.226				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS10.133				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.131	Jeff Peters	Oppose					
S54 Pauline Watson	S54.1	Reject	Oppose	"Have decided not to continue with Airbnb. Even though... loved hosting people from overseas. Oppose the changes!"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.227	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.134	Bob Pringle	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS12.132	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S55 Brad McLeay	S55.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose. The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.228	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.135	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.133	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S55.2	Reject	Oppose	"The MBIE Working Group is coming up with a national plan that needs to be taken into account for any new rules... Dismiss this plan change and wait for some national guidelines."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.229	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.136	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.134	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S56 Caleb Harrison	S56.1	Reject	Oppose	"Don't believe there should be red tape or costly consent [to] decide who stays in my home... strongly oppose... want to still be able to share [with] guests on Airbnb."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.230	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.137	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.135	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S57 David McMeekan	S57.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: proposed 60 night cap] "Short term accommodation [is] a residential activity... strongly oppose the provisions."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.8	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.231	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.80	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.138	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.136	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S57.2	Reject	Oppose	"A simple definition for 'home sharing' should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.9	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.232	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.81	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.139	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.137	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S57.3	Reject	Oppose	"There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.233	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.82	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.140	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	S57.4	Reject	Oppose	"The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.234	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.83	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.141	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	S57.5	Reject	Oppose	"The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.10	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.235	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.84	Ricki Jones	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
			FS10.142	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.140	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S57.6	Accept in part	Oppose	"Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating 'winners' and 'losers' among local areas."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS11.236	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS15.85	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
				FS10.143	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.141	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
				S57.7	Reject	Oppose		"A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform. This will collect meaningful sector data and help inform sensible and easily understood policy."
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose					
	FS11.237	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose					
	FS15.86	Ricki Jones	Oppose					
	FS10.144	Bob Pringle	Oppose					
	FS12.144	Jeff Peters	Oppose					
	S57.8	Reject	Oppose	"Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS11.238	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS15.87	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
				FS10.145	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.143				Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S57.9	Accept in part	Oppose	"Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above."					
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS11.239	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.88	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.146	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.144	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S57.10	Reject	Oppose	"[<i>Oppose the</i>] Proposed 60 night cap on short term accommodation which [is] a residential activity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.11	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS11.240	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.89	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.147	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.145			Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S58 Philippa Ireland	S58.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the provisions of plan change 4... we provide diversity in the accommodation sector in Christchurch... this plan will ruin us and in the event accommodation is needed in future and events happen in Christchurch there will be very little ability to house these people. The new plan makes it very limiting!"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.241	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.148	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	S58.2	Reject	Oppose	"Please could you reassess or pause this decision so the central government can come up with a plan for the whole country regarding warrant of fitness etc. that fits with everyone."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.242	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.149	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.147	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			S59 Jack Sew Hoy	S59.1	Reject	Oppose
	"Oppose... the above plan change provisions; specifically The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars,					

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
				which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Proposed plan change 4.a.i to be removed in entirety"				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS11.243	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS10.150	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.148	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S59.2	Accept in part	Oppose	[re: amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year] "Oppose... the above plan change provisions; specifically The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Proposed plan change 4.b to be removed in entirety"				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS11.244	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS10.151	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.149	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S60 Lin Sew Hoy	S60.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones] "Oppose the above provisions... The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Remove the above provisions altogether"				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS11.245	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
				FS10.152	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.150	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S60.2	Accept in part	Oppose	[re: amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year]				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
				"Oppose the above provisions... The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians... Remove the above provisions altogether"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.246	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.153	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.151	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
	S60.3	Accept in part	Oppose	"The MBIE Working Group is coming up with a national plan that needs to be taken into account for any new rules"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS10.154	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.152	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S61 Ali McQueen	S61.1	Reject	Oppose in part	"Support the submission that Air BnB has made in relation to short term stays in hosted dwellings."		
Further Submission #					Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
FS4.195					Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
FS11.247					Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
FS10.155					Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.153		Jeff Peters	Oppose				
S61.2		Reject	Oppose	"Hosted Air BnB stays should be a form of residential activity that doesn't require a resource consent."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.196	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.248	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.156	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.154		Jeff Peters	Oppose				
S61.3		Reject	Oppose	"No requirement for Resource Consent for hosted stays"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
	FS4.197			Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
FS11.249	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose					

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S61.4	Accept in part	FS10.157	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.155	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			Oppose	"Unhosted stays less regulated"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.198	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.250	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.158	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.156	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S62 Carolyn Oakley-Brown	S62.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the provisions being put forward and... would like a reasonable planning regimen that doesn't require a lengthy and costly resource consent... do not want a costly resource consent process for home sharing."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.251	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.159	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.157	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S62.2	Reject	Oppose	"Do not want... any limits on days booked."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.252	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.160	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.158	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S63 Mark Engelbrecht	S63.1	Accept in part	Oppose	"Just bin the proposal for Akaroa. The town needs all the visitors it can get."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.168	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part		
			FS11.253	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.161	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.159	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S64 Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Anita Jovic)	S64.1	Reject	Oppose in part	"Holiday homes are an important start for the development of tourism in new areas – utilising existing infrastructure for accommodation purposes and leading to new opportunities for local businesses that thrive on new visitors."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.2	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.254	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.162	Bob Pringle	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
S65 Sandra Matenga	S65.1	Reject	FS12.160	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
			Oppose in part	"Support the submission that Air BnB has made in relation to short term stays in hosted dwellings."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
			FS4.199	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support			
			FS11.255	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS10.163	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
	S65.2	Reject	Oppose	FS12.161	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
				Oppose	"Hosted Air BnB stays should be a form of residential activity that doesn't require a resource consent."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS4.200	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
				FS11.256	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS10.164	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
	S65.3	Reject	Oppose	FS12.162	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
				Oppose	"Seek the following decision from the Council... to leave the status quo"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
FS4.201				Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support			
FS11.257				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
FS10.165				Bob Pringle	Oppose			
S66 Linda Roderique	S66.1	Reject	FS12.163	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
			Oppose	"Do not support the following provisions regarding the implementation of the requirement of resource consent for Airbnb type accommodation... seek the removal of the Non-complying for more than 180 days and replace it with discretionary with limited requirement e.g. nothing greater than exists for owner occupied or tenanted (Residential)"				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
			FS11.258	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS10.166	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
S67 Mike Gaudin	S67.1	Reject	FS12.164	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
			Oppose	"Do not support the plan change."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS11.259	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.167	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.165	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S67.2	Reject	Oppose	"A simple definition for 'home sharing' should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.260	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.168	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.166	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S67.3	Reject	Oppose	"There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.261	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.169	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.167	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S67.4	Reject	Oppose	"The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.262	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.170	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.168	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S67.5	Reject	Oppose	"The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.263	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.171	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.169	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S67.6	Reject	Oppose	"With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community... support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.264	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.172	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.170	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S67.7	Accept in part	Oppose	"Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating 'winners' and 'losers' among local areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.265	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.173	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.171	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S67.8	Reject	Oppose	"A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.266	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
FS10.174			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.172			Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S67.9	Reject	Oppose	"Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. • The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties."			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
		FS11.267	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
		FS10.175	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
		FS12.173	Jeff Peters	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S67.10	Accept in part	Oppose	"Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.268	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.176	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.174	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S68 S68a Wendy Fergusson	S68.1	Accept in part	Support	"Support the proposed plan changes for 'visitor accommodation in residential zones'."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.269	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.90	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.177	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
		FS12.175	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S68.2	Accept in part	Support in part	"Seek the following decision from the Council... To pass and implement the changes listed out in plan change 4 for 'visitor accommodation in residential zones' and for the Council to enforce these."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.270	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.178	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.176			Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S69 Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Dave Mason)	S69.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: <i>night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones</i>] "Strongly oppose the plan changes put forward."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS4.3	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.271	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.91	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.179	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.177	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S69.2	Accept in part	Oppose	"Encourage NZ wide approach to STRA regulation."			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS11.272	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS15.92	Ricki Jones	Oppose			
			FS10.180	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.178	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S69.3	Reject	Oppose	"A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a STRA platform."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS4.6	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
				FS10.181	Bb Pringle	Oppose		
				FS15.93	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
	S69.4	Reject	Oppose	"Create a mandatory short term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable three strikes rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short term rental accommodation properties."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS4.9	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
				FS11.273	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS10.182	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.179	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S69.5	Accept in part	Oppose	"Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS4.12	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
				FS11.274	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS10.183				Bob Pringle	Oppose			
FS12.180				Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S70	S70.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
Fraser Taylor				<p>"Oppose this change but would support more control over UNHOSTED residential Air BnB dwellings in general because they unfairly compete with commercial business although... would like to see this based on "visitor capacity per property" (e.g. 10 people or 5 rooms). Sometimes a property is unhosted simply because the owner is travelling. The real intention of this change should be to limit free activity of large unhosted venues that unfairly compete with motels."</p>		
	Further Submission #			Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
	FS11.22			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
	FS10.184			Bob Pringle	Support	
	FS12.181			Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S70.2	Accept	Support	<p>[re: <i>night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in rural zones</i>]</p> <p>"Support this change."</p>		
	S70.3	Reject	Oppose	<p>[re: <i>additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling</i>]</p> <p>"Oppose this change as it stands... This change should be restricted to large capacity (e.g. 10 people or 5 rooms) UNHOSTED venues ONLY."</p>		
	Further Submission #			Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
	FS11.275			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
	FS10.185			Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.182			Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S70.4	Accept in part	Oppose in part	<p>[re: <i>amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year</i>]</p> <p>"Oppose any change that would introduce a trigger for commercial parking and vehicle access requirements on hosted residential venues when there is no impact on parking and where off street parking is available... Council should</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				direct their attention to the university making their parking competitive with free street parking rather than concerning themselves with the occasional AirBnB visitor to my house which can only take one visitor or couple at a time. This change would be better directed towards large capacity venues."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.276	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.186	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.183	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S70.5	Reject	Support in part	[re: objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres] "Support this change IF "commercial-type visitor accommodation" is properly defines as large capacity venues and NOT regular hosted residential venues."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.277	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.187	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.184	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S70.6	Reject	Oppose in part	[re: changes to the definition of 'residential activity'] "Oppose any change to this that affects low capacity hosted residential venues e.g. my home with one room for Air BnB activity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.278	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.188	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.185	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S70.7	Accept	Support	[re: changes to standards for visitor accommodation accessory to farming, conservation and recreation activities] "Support this change."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.279	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.189	Bob Pringle	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S70.8	Accept	FS12.186	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			Support	[re: changes to provisions for visitor accommodation in heritage buildings]		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.280	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.190	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.187	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S70.9	Reject	Oppose	"Seek the following decision from the Council... Limitations on large capacity and UNHOSTED venues ONLY."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.281	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.191	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
S71 Jocelyn Grant	S71.1	Reject	Oppose	"Strongly oppose PC4 proposal... seek that the drafting proposed in PC4 as notified is rejected and replaced with a simple, clear and reasonable planning regime which enables home share accommodation and recognises the significant role which this type of accommodation plays in the local and regional economy. There is a clear need to achieve the right policy settings and remove inappropriate consenting regulation to enable the local visitor economy to grow, protect consumer choice, and empower local residents to secure their financial future through home sharing."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.282	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.192	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.189	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S72 Arielle Atman	S72.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the changes suggested... keep things as they are."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.283	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.193	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.190	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested					
S73 Norm Hartwell	S73.1	Accept in part	Oppose	<p><i>[re: changing the resource consent requirements for visitor accommodation in a house or unit in most residential, rural and papakāinga zones; changes to the residential objectives and policies; objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres]</i></p> <p>"No change is necessary. Already the council has powers to control nuisances such as parking, noise, litter and offensive behaviour... don't need new rules and... certainly don't want more fees."</p>					
							Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose
							FS3.77	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose
							FS11.284	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose
							FS10.194	Bob Pringle	Oppose
	FS12.191	Jeff Peters	Oppose						
	S73.2	Reject	Oppose	<p>"The Council should reject any idea of restricting home hosting, be it for 180 days, 60 days, or even one day."</p>					
							Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose
							FS3.78	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose
							FS11.285	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose
FS10.195							Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.192	Jeff Peters	Oppose							
S74 Tracey MacArthur	S74.1	Reject	Oppose	<p><i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones; additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling]</i></p> <p>"Clearly oppose the specific provisions"</p>					
							Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose
							FS11.286	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose
							FS10.196	Bob Pringle	Oppose
	FS12.193	Jeff Peters	Oppose						
S74.2	Reject	Oppose	"Scrap the Controlled Activity Resource Consent for 1 - 60 days and scrap the discretionary Resource Consent for 61 - 180 days... If a host is providing						

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				accommodation for over 180 days their activity is more in accordance with a commercial venture and should be treated accordingly."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.287	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.197	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.194	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S74.3	Accept in part	Oppose	"Perhaps the CCC could create a register, with the help of the associated platforms such as Book-A-Bach, NZ Holiday Homes, Bachcare, AirBnB etc. to gain an understanding of the types of accommodation offered, the specifics of guests (group sizes?, where they are coming from) the locations of accommodation, the level of occupancy if any of this would help with associated planning and infrastructure requirements."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.288	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.198	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.195	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S74.4	Reject	Oppose	"Most people are considerate travellers and this window where check-in and check-out is not allowed seems ludicrous and forces them to check in to motel/hotel type accommodation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.289	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.199	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.196	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S74.5	Accept in part	Oppose	[re: activity specific standards that: "Guest shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests staying overnight."] "Another unnecessary restriction."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.290	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.200	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.197	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S74.6	Reject	Oppose	"If [a] home is considered safe and suitable for [residents] to inhabit and host family and friends surely it is considered safe and suitable to host a maximum of two guests without restrictions and conditions being imposed by our council."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.291	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.201	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.198	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S74.7	Reject	Oppose	"These proposed changes may have some benefits and do acknowledge the changing accommodation market place but overall they are heavy handed and unnecessary... reject PC4 as notified."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.292	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.202	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.199	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S75 Inner City East Revitalisation Project Working Group (c/o Jane Higgins)	S75.1	Accept	Support in part	[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones] "Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS3.57	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support		
			FS4.37	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS11.23	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.94	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.203	Bob Pringle	Support		
	FS12.200	Jeff Peters	Support				
	S75.2	Accept	Support in part	[re: additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling]			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
				"Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS4.38	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS11.24	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.95	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.204	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.201	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S75.3	Accept in part	Support in part	[re: <i>amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year</i>] "Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS4.39	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS11.25	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.96	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.205	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.202	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S75.4	Reject	Support in part	[re: <i>objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres</i>] "Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS4.40	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS11.26	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.97	Ricki Jones	Support	
FS10.206				Bob Pringle	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS12.203	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S75.5	Accept in part	Support in part	[re: <i>changes to the definition of 'residential activity'</i>] "Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.41	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.27	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.98	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.207	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.204	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S75.6	Accept	Support in part	[re: <i>changes to the provisions for ancillary activities in the ACF overlay</i>] "Support these aspects of the proposed plan change in so far as they restrict and regulate the rapid expansion of units being built for commercial purposes (namely, AirBnB) in our community."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.42	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.28	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.99	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.208	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.205	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S75.7	Accept in part	Support in part	"Support the general direction of this Plan Change in that it is moving towards recognising and regulating the commercial nature of these units which is destructive to the residential nature of our community."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.76	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.43	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.29	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.100	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.209	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.206	Jeff Peters	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S75.8	Accept in part	Support	"Strongly support the placement of commercial activity in commercial areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.87	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.44	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.30	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.101	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.210	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.207	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S75.9	Out of scope	Support in part	"Would like to stress how vital it is that these new regulations are policed well and that the consequences for breaches are substantial enough to deter owners from breaking the rules."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.93	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.45	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.31	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.102	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.211	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.208	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S75.10	Accept in part	Support	"Support this Plan Change... not proposing amendments to the Plan Change."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.32	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.103	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.212	Bob Pringle	Support	
FS12.209			Jeff Peters	Support		
S76 Hayley Hall	S76.1	Reject	Oppose	"Strongly oppose the current recommendations and feel they are very difficult for people to understand and comply with and will provide a significant barrier to the majority of current Airbnb providers to the detriment of the entire community... People should have the choice as to what type of accommodation they wish to stay in... the proposed plan is at placing this at risk."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.293	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.104	Ricki Jones	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
			FS10.213	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.210	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S76.2	Reject	Oppose	"Do not believe you need to put restrictions on late night or early morning arrivals as this also would only occur infrequently."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS11.294	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS15.105	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
				FS10.214	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.211	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
				S76.3	Accept in part	Oppose		"Don't support the need for Airbnb's to require parking spaces."
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose					
	FS11.295	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose					
	FS15.106	Ricki Jones	Oppose					
	FS10.215	Bob Pringle	Oppose					
	FS12.212	Jeff Peters	Oppose					
	S76.4	Reject	Oppose	"Seek the council to reject plan four and instead provide a platform that is clear and simple for people to follow and comply with. Something that encourages and recognises the importance of Airbnb in Christchurch and the surrounding district not just on the providers but all businesses and community as a whole."				
Further Submission #				Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
FS11.296				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
FS15.107				Ricki Jones	Oppose			
FS10.216				Bob Pringle	Oppose			
FS12.213				Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S77 Damian Ross- Murphy	S77.1	Reject	Oppose	"Do not place restrictions on the number of nights a holiday home can be let for."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS11.297	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS10.217	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.214	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S77.2	Reject	Oppose	"Do not increase any costs to the holiday home owner."				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
S78 Susan Linklater			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.298	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.218	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.215	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S78.1	Accept in part	Oppose	"The proposal to differentiate between hosted and unhosted accommodation will have unintended consequences. For example property owners could build self contained accommodation on the property to house a host."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.299	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.108	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
				FS10.219	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.216	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S78.2	Reject	Oppose	"If the concern in about a level playing field, then there are better ways of addressing this, than putting in place a night cap... Investigate other ways of "levelling the playing field"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.300	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.109	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
				FS10.220	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.217	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S78.3	Accept in part	Oppose	"Encourage a central government regulation of short term rental accommodation"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.300A	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.110	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
FS10.221				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.218				Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S78.4	Accept in part	Oppose	"Consider a register of short term rental accommodation"				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.301	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.222	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.219	Jeff Peters	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
S79 Maria Jackson	S79.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: <i>night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones</i>]			
				"[Prefer] a simpler and more reasonable planning approach that does not incur additional costs as a property owner."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.302	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
		FS10.223	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
		FS12.220	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S79.2	Accept in part	Oppose	[re: <i>amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year</i>]			
				"[Prefer] a simpler and more reasonable planning approach that does not incur additional costs as a property owner."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.303	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
FS10.224				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
	FS12.221	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
S80 Frances Anne Phelps	S80.1	Reject	Oppose	"Keep the current district plan rules, which allow people who <u>live in a house</u> , to rent out rooms in moderation but do not permit unhosted short term accommodation in residential areas."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.59	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
				FS11.33	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.111	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.225	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.222	Jeff Peters	Support	
S81 William Stanley Phelps	S81.1	Reject	Oppose	"Keep the current district plan rules, which allow people who <u>live in a house</u> , to rent out rooms in moderation but do not permit unhosted short term accommodation in residential areas."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS3.60	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS11.34	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS10.226	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.223	Jeff Peters	Support	
S82 Carter Group Limited c/o J Phillips	S82.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"[Carter Group]'s submission is generally supportive of the Proposal as notified"		
	S82.2	Reject	Support in part	<p>[re: underlining of 'visitor accommodation' as a defined term throughout the proposed change.]</p> <p>"Where the term '<u>visitor accommodation</u>' is proposed as a replacement for the operative and defined term 'guest accommodation', replace this with '<u>visitor accommodation</u>' (i.e. green, bold and underlined) such that the term refers to the corresponding definition in Chapter 2."</p>		
	S82.3	Accept in part	Support in part	<p>"[Carter Group] is concerned to ensure that the deletion of the operative definition for 'guest accommodation' and its replacement with a new definition for 'visitor accommodation' does not inadvertently reduce the scope for activities referenced in the operative definition to establish within accommodation facilities in the city's Commercial zones or Residential Visitor Accommodation zones...</p> <p>Amend the definition of 'visitor accommodation' to match the operative definition of 'guest accommodation' as follows:</p> <p><i>Visitor accommodation</i> <u>For all zones except the Residential Guest Accommodation zone and Commercial Central City Business zone means land and/or buildings used for accommodating visitors, subject to a tariff being paid, and includes any ancillary activities.</u> <u>For the Residential Guest Accommodation zone and Commercial Central City Business zone, visitor accommodation means the use of land and/or buildings for transient residential accommodation offered at a tariff, which may involve</u></p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<p><u>the sale of alcohol and/or food to in-house guests, and the sale of food, with or without alcohol, to the public. It may include the following ancillary activities:</u></p> <p><u>a. offices;</u></p> <p><u>b. meeting and conference facilities;</u></p> <p><u>c. fitness facilities; and</u></p> <p><u>d. the provision of goods and services primarily for the convenience of guests.</u></p> <p><u>Guest accommodation in the Residential Guest Accommodation zone and Commercial Central City Business zone includes hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels and camping grounds. Guest accommodation excludes bed and breakfasts and farm stays.</u></p> <p>As alternative relief to the above, the proposed definition could be retained if permitted activity standards for the RGA and CCCB zones are amended to explicitly recognise and permit the sale of alcohol and/or food and the establishment of specific ancillary activities as referred to in the operative definition of 'guest accommodation'."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.46	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
	S82.4	Accept in part	Support in part	"Retain the proposed amendments to chapter 2 as notified (other than as addressed submission point 2 [S82.3 above])."		
	S82.5	Accept in part	Support	<p>[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 5 Natural Hazards; Chapter 6 General Rules and Procedures; Chapter 7 Transport; Chapter 8 Subdivision, Development and Earthworks; and Chapter 9 Natural and Cultural Heritage]</p> <p>"Retain the proposed amendments in these chapters, as notified."</p>		
	S82.6	Accept in part	Support	[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 12 Pāpakainga/ Kāinga Nohoanga Zone; Chapter 13 Specific Purpose Zones; Chapter 16 Industrial; and Chapter 17 Rural]		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
	S82.7	Accept in part	Support	"Retain the proposed amendments in these chapters, as notified."				
				<p>[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 14 Residential]</p> <p>"Subject to the relief sought in submission point 2 [S82.3] above, [Carter Group] seeks that the proposed amendments to these provisions be retained, as notified. In particular, [Carter Group] supports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A tiered approach to managing visitor accommodation activity, including discouraging such activity for >180 nights per year • Limits on ancillary activities to guest accommodation in the Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay (noting such limits currently apply to RGA zone). • The inclusion of an assessment matter addressing impacts on commercial centres in rule 14.15.5. • Retention of the status quo, in terms of provisions relating to the RGA zone (notwithstanding the change in terminology to 'visitor accommodation' within these provisions)." 				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS11.304	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS10.227	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.224	Jeff Peters	Oppose						
	S82.8	Accept in part	Support	[re: All proposed amendments to Chapter 15 Commercial]				
				<p>"Subject to the relief sought in submission point 2 [S82.3] above, [Carter Group] seeks that the proposed amendments to these provisions be retained, as notified.</p> <p>In particular, [Carter Group] supports the retention of the status quo, in terms of the objectives, policies and rules relating to visitor accommodation in Commercial zones (notwithstanding the change in terminology to 'visitor accommodation' within these provisions)."</p>				
Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose						

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS11.305	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.228	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.225	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S83 Nicola Auld	S83.1	Accept in part	Oppose	"People must have a choice as to the type of accommodation experience the wish."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.306	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.113	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.229	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.226	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S83.2a	Reject	Oppose	"Not sure how the bookings will adapt with Covid 19 ever present. The council must decline this application and wait a few years for business to bounce back. This sector needs to be helped instead of putting too many costly restrictions in place"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.307	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.114	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.230	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.227	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S83.2b	Reject	Oppose	"A simple definition for 'home sharing' should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.308	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS15.115			Ricki Jones	Oppose			
FS10.231			Bob Pringle	Oppose			
FS12.228			Jeff Peters	Oppose			
S83.3	Reject	Oppose	"There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making."				
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS11.309	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.116	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.232	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.229	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S83.4	Reject	Oppose	"The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.310	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.117	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
				FS10.233	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.230	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S83.5	Reject	Oppose	"The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.12	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
				FS11.311	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS15.118	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
				FS10.234	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.231	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S83.6	Reject	Oppose	"With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community... support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				F11.312	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
FS15.119				Ricki Jones	Oppose		
FS10.235				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.232				Jeff Peters	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S83.7	Accept in part	Oppose	"Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating 'winners' and 'losers' among local areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.313	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.120	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.236	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.233	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S83.8	Reject	Oppose	"A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.314	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.121	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.237	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.234	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S83.9	Reject	Oppose	"Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.315	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.122	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.238	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.235	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S83.10	Accept in part	Oppose	"Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
FS11.316			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS15.123			Ricki Jones	Oppose		
FS10.239			Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.236			Jeff Peters	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S83.11	Reject	Oppose	"The proposal is for strict and onerous resource consents costing several thousands of dollars, which puts hosting visitors out of reach for everyday Cantabrians."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.317	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.123A	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.240	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.237	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S84 Christchurch Holiday Homes (c/o Jo Greensmith)	S84.1	Reject	Oppose	"A simple definition for 'home sharing' should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS4.4	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.318	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.124	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.241	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.238	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S84.2	Reject	Oppose	"There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.319	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.125	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			FS10.242	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.239	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S84.3	Reject	Oppose	"The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle."			
Further Submission #			Further Submitter	Support	or		
FS4.7			Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support			
FS11.320			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
FS15.126			Ricki Jones	Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
			FS10.243	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.240	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S84.4	Reject	Oppose	"The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS3.13	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
				FS4.10	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
				FS11.321	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS15.127	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
				FS10.244	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
				FS12.241	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
				S84.5	Reject	Oppose		"With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community... support registration of homes, with a suitable code of conduct for owners, managers and guests."
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose					
	FS4.13	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support					
	FS11.322	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose					
	FS15.128	Ricki Jones	Oppose					
	FS10.245	Bob Pringle	Oppose					
	FS12.242	Jeff Peters	Oppose					
S84.6	Accept in part	Oppose	"Encourage a NZ-wide approach to STRA regulation so as not to geographically distort the market, creating 'winners' and 'losers' among local areas."					
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
			FS11.323	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS15.129	Ricki Jones	Oppose			
			FS10.246	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
FS12.243	Jeff Peters	Oppose						
S84.7	Reject	Oppose	"A compulsory and simple registration system for all properties listed on a short-term rental accommodation platform."					

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS15.130	Ricki Jones	Oppose			
			FS10.247	Bob Pringle	Oppose			
			FS12.244	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
	S84.8	Reject	Oppose	"Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS11.324	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS15.131	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
				FS10.248	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
	S84.9	Accept in part	Oppose	"Light touch local planning controls which are carefully calibrated to address local planning issues, not behavioural issues which are better addressed by other parts of the regulatory framework including as above."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS11.325	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS15.132	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
				FS10.249	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
	S85 Waikura/ Linwood- Central- Heathcote Community Board (c/o	S85.1	Reject	Oppose in part	<i>" In residential zones, instead of requiring a Discretionary activity resource consent the changes require a Controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days, and is a prohibited activity for stays of more than sixty (60) days"</i>			
Further Submission #				Further Submitter	Support	or		
FS3.88				Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support			
FS4.47				Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose			
FS5.1				Michelle Lomax	Support			
FS11.35				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
FS15.133				Ricki Jones	Support			
FS10.250	Bob Pringle	Support						

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
Alexandra Davids)	S85.2	Reject	FS12.247	Jeff Peters	Support		
			Oppose in part	"Amend the objectives and policies for residential zones so commercial type visitor accommodation is primarily directed to commercial areas; and <i>complies with commercial accommodation requirements</i> "			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS3.89	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support		
			FS4.48	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS5.2	Michelle Lomax	Support		
			FS11.36	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.134	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.251	Bob Pringle	Support		
	FS12.248	Jeff Peters	Support				
	S85.3	Reject	Oppose in part	"There is a problem of safety of guests and residents when entire properties are used for unhosted accommodation. Currently entire properties are used for short-term accommodation and they do not need to [<i>comply with</i>] the strict regulations for fire, security and safety that commercial accommodation providers have to adhere to."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.49	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS5.3	Michelle Lomax	Support		
FS14.11			Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support in part			
FS11.37			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
FS15.135			Ricki Jones	Support			
FS10.252	Bob Pringle	Support					
FS12.249	Jeff Peters	Support					
S86 Lisa Plato	S86.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the provisions... seek the following decision from the Council... Up to 90 days requiring no resource consent."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.326	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.253	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.250	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S87	S87.1	Accept	Support	"Urge CCC to ensure regulations reflect the importance of the distinction between hosted and unhosted accommodation... Make clear the difference between hosted and unhosted STRA in all documents."			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON) (c/o Jill Nuthall)			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or		
			FS3.62	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support			
			FS4.50	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose			
			FS5.22	Michelle Lomax	Support			
			FS11.38	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
			FS15.136	Ricki Jones	Support			
			FS10.254	Bob Pringle	Support			
			FS12.251	Jeff Peters	Support			
	FS16.1	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support					
	S87.2	Reject	Oppose in part	"Support a 60 day limit, alternately a 30 day limit, either one non complying after that."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS4.51	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
				FS5.23	Michelle Lomax	Oppose in part		
				FS11.39	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
				FS15.137	Ricki Jones	Support		
				FS10.255	Bob Pringle	Support		
				FS12.252	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S87.3	Reject Out of scope	Support in part	"Consent should be followed by an increase in rates and commercial conditions such as those imposed on motels."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS4.52	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
				FS5.24	Michelle Lomax	Support		
				FS11.40	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
				FS15.138	Ricki Jones	Support		
				FS10.256	Bob Pringle	Support		
				FS12.253	Jeff Peters	Support		
	FS16.2	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support					
	S87.4	Accept in part	Support in part	"Push for national registration of all STRA, meanwhile set up one for CCC district"				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
				FS4.53	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
				FS5.25	Michelle Lomax	Support		
				FS11.41	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
				FS15.139	Ricki Jones	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS10.257	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.254	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS16.3	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	
	S87.5	Out of scope	Support in part	"Once a register is in place use technology across many platforms to monitor compliance as with New York, Barcelona etc. This can work eg when a potential visitor checks the website and if after the 60 th day, they cannot place a booking... Set up monitoring systems eg using multiple social media platforms... Monitor and research the effects of registration and new regulations and report findings to CCC and the public."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.54	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.26	Michelle Lomax	Support	
			FS11.42	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.140	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.258	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.255	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS16.4	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support	
	S87.6	Reject	Support in part	"There must be adequate fines, financial and/or rating penalties for breaching the rules... (In 2019 London's Mayor called for substantial fines for non compliance eg up to 20,000 pounds for not applying for consent... Establish penalties for breaching the rules using various financial means such as increased rates and penalty fees, stand down periods before reinstatement."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.92	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.55	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.27	Michelle Lomax	Support	
			FS11.43	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.141	Ricki Jones	Support	
		FS10.259	Bob Pringle	Support		
		FS12.256	Jeff Peters	Support		
		FS16.5	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support		
S87.7	Accept	Support in part	"Use very clear definitions and language in the regulations strictly limiting discretionary permissions."			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS4.56	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS5.28	Michelle Lomax	Support		
			FS11.44	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.142	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.260	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.257	Jeff Peters	Support		
			FS16.6	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support		
	S87.8	Out of scope	Support in part	"Appoint specialised staff to monitor and enforce the regulations."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.57	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS5.29	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.45	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.143	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.261	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.258	Jeff Peters	Support	
	FS16.7	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support				
	S87.9	Reject	Support in part	"Research and publish the effects of unhosted STRAs in the Central City on the supply and quality of housing for permanent/long term residents."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.58	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS5.30	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.46	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
FS15.144				Ricki Jones	Support		
FS10.262				Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.259				Jeff Peters	Support		
FS16.8	Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON)	Support					
S88 Robert Manthei	S88.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose consent as a Controlled Activity for 60 days/year: Amend to maximum 30 days/year in Central City Residential Zone (... submission does not cover what happens outside the Central City)"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.63 FS3.134	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
				FS4.59	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS11.47	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS15.145	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.263	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.260	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S88.2	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose consent as a Discretionary Activity for 61 - 180 days/year: Delete this provision altogether--a three-tier system is too complicated and would allow too many unhosted short-term rentals to sneak in"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.64	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
				FS15.146	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.264	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.261	Jeff Peters	Support	
				S88.3	Reject	Oppose	
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose				
	FS3.65	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support				
	FS4.60	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose				
	FS15.147	Ricki Jones	Support				
	FS10.265	Bob Pringle	Support				
	FS12.262	Jeff Peters	Support				
	S88.4	Reject	Support in part	"Support amendments that make it clear that unhosted (visitor) accommodation is directed to commercial areas, provided the wording is strong enough that this includes ALL unhosted short term rentals and that they would be PROHIBITED in the RCCZs."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.66 FS3.90	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
FS4.61				Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
FS11.51				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
FS15.148				Ricki Jones	Support		
FS10.266				Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.263				Jeff Peters	Support		
S88.5	Reject	Oppose in part	"Strengthen all objectives, policies and rules re short term (visitor) rental accommodation so it is clear that they are NOT likely to be approved within				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				the Central City Residential Zones... the only way to control the proliferation of these defacto motels is to prohibit them altogether within central city residential areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.67 FS3.91 FS3.94	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.62	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.51	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.149	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.267	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.264	Jeff Peters	Support	
S89 Spires Development Ltd (Brooke McKenzie and Lesley McKenzie)	S89.1	Reject	Oppose	<p>[re: the provisions for visitor accommodation within the Rural Urban Fringe Zone with respect to 602 Yaldhurst Road]</p> <p>"The submitters own a parcel of land which is currently zoned as Rural Urban Fringe under the Operative Christchurch District Plan and within the 55dB Ldn Air Noise Contour... the proposed Rural Urban Fringe Permitted Activities P20- Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and P21 - Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit the activity specific standards relating to guest numbers is too restrictive and opposes the four guest threshold... It is considered by the submitters, with regard to the above, that a balance can be struck in the provision of guest accommodation utilising an established resource and an arrangement that meets the needs of visitors without requiring the onerous, costly and time consuming exercise of addressing such requirements in the future...</p> <p>The submitters seek the following decisions from Council on the provisions proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> that the submitters property being; Lot 2 DP 24943 – 602 Yaldhurst Road be identified by the District Plan as permitting no more than 15 guests at any one time. 		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> such further relief as may be appropriate to give effect to this submission." 		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS8.1	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	
			FS11.327	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.268	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.265	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S90 Victoria Neighbourhood Association Inc (VNA) (c/o Marjorie Manthei)	S90.1	Reject	Oppose	"Support changing the District Plan so that UNHOSTED short-term (visitor) accommodation are ONLY allowed in Mixed Use or Business Zones within the CENTRAL CITY."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.63	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.4	Michelle Lomax	Support	
			FS11.52	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.150	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.269	Bob Pringle	Support	
	FS12.266	Jeff Peters	Support			
	S90.2	Reject	Oppose	"Do not support a three-tiered system, as proposed by the CCC (Controlled – Discretionary – Non-complying)... The VNA favours a two-tiered system— preferably Controlled for the number of days specified below and Prohibited in all other instances. This relates only to the RCCZ. We acknowledge that there are few Prohibited activities in the current District Plan, but are advocating this because Discretionary or Restricted Discretionary status requires (a) notification, if residents are to have any say (b) time and resources from residents if each consent application requires a response and (c) in our experience, cumulative effects and impact on residential amenity / coherence are often considered 'minor' or 'less than minor' by CCC planners. If this shortcoming can be addressed, the VNA would accept Non-complying status as the second tier."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
FS4.64			Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
FS5.5			Michelle Lomax	Support		
			FS11.53	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS15.151	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.270	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.267	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S90.3	Reject		Oppose	"Do not agree that a restriction on arrival & departure times is needed, provided only hosted rentals are allowed in RCCZs."		
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS5.6	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.54	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.152	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.271	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.268	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S90.4	Reject		Support in part	"All unhosted visitor accommodation and any other commercial-type accommodation be directed to commercial areas"		
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.65	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS5.7	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.55	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.153	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.272	Bob Pringle	Support	
	FS12.269	Jeff Peters	Support				
	S90.5	Reject		Oppose	"60 days/s maximum for unhosted rentals (as controlled activity) in Residential Central City Zone 61 days onwards a Prohibited activity in RCCZ unless very strict rules are put in place, in which case Non-complying status would be acceptable"		
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.66	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
FS5.8				Michelle Lomax	Support		
FS11.56				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
FS15.154				Ricki Jones	Support		
FS10.273				Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.270	Jeff Peters	Support					
S90.6	Reject		Oppose	"Although we prefer a maximum of 30 days/year as a Controlled activity for unhosted STRA within the RCCZ, we can support a compromise of 45 days/year."			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.67	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.9	Michelle Lomax	Oppose in part	
			FS11.57	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.155	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.274	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.271	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S90.7	Reject	Oppose	"From 31 (or 46) days onwards, unhosted STRA should be a Prohibited activity within RCCZs... acknowledge that Non-complying status would be more appropriate for most other residential zones."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.68	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.10	Michelle Lomax	Oppose in part	
			FS11.58	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.156	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.275	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.272	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S90.8	Reject	Oppose	"Reject any provisions that enable, encourage or allow (by default) unhosted STRA within the RCCZ; e.g. the wording in clause (c) of [<i>the public notice for</i>] Plan Change 4... Clause (c) proposes to 'amend the objectives and policies for residential zones so commercial-type visitor accommodation is primarily directed to commercial areas' (<i>emphasis added</i>). <i>The clause is not strong enough—the word 'primarily' should be deleted.</i> "		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.69	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.11	Michelle Lomax	Support	
			FS11.59	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.157	Ricki Jones	Support	
FS10.276			Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.273			Jeff Peters	Support		
S90.9	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"Reject... the Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd submission in its entirety."			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS4.70	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS5.12	Michelle Lomax	Support		
			FS11.60	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.158	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.277	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.274	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S90.10	Accept in part	Support in part	"Agree there also should be some restrictions on <u>hosted and unhosted</u> accommodation in other residential zones, but... have not consulted in any depth about this."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS5.13	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.61	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.159	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.278	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.275	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S90.11	Reject	Oppose in part	"The VNA wants strongly worded, unambiguous objectives, policies and rules that make it clear that <u>unhosted</u> short-term (visitor) rental accommodation of more than 31 (or 46) days per year are <u>not</u> to be located in the Residential Central City Zone."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.71	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS5.14	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.62	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.160	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.279	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.276	Jeff Peters	Support	
S90.12	Accept	Support	"The Plan Change <u>must</u> : differentiate between hosted and unhosted STRA."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.72	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS5.15	Michelle Lomax	Support		
			FS11.63	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS15.161	Ricki Jones	Support		
			FS10.280	Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.277	Jeff Peters	Support					

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S90.13	Reject	Oppose	"The Plan Change <u>must</u> : prohibit (or severely limit) unhosted STRA in Central City residential zones."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.73	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.16	Michelle Lomax	Support	
			FS11.64	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.162	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.281	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.278	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S90.14	Accept	Support in part	"The Plan Change <u>must</u> : ensure that effects on residential amenity and coherence are considered when resource unhosted STRA consents are applied for—and that the negative effects are not fobbed off as 'less than minor'"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS5.17	Michelle Lomax	Support	
			FS11.65	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.163	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.282	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.279	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S90.15	Reject	Oppose	"The Plan Change <u>must</u> : ensure that <u>none</u> of the provisions in the District Plan support unhosted STRA in the Central City residential zones"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.74	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS5.18	Michelle Lomax	Support	
			FS11.66	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.164	Ricki Jones	Support	
FS10.283			Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.280			Jeff Peters	Support		
S90.16	Reject	Oppose	"The Plan Change <u>must</u> : require standard health and safety provisions for all STRA units/dwellings"			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
		FS4.75	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
		FS5.19	Michelle Lomax	Support		
		FS11.67	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
		FS15.165	Ricki Jones	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS10.284	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS12.281	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S90.17	Reject	Oppose	"The Plan Change <u>must</u> : <u>not</u> provide any grandparenting for existing STRAs in Central City residential zones"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.76	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS5.20	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.68	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.166	Ricki Jones	Support	
				FS10.285	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS12.282	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S90.18	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"The VNA supports the submissions made by the Inner City West Neighbourhood Association (ICON) and the Accommodation Sector of the Hospitality Association."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS5.21	Michelle Lomax	Support	
				FS11.69	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS15.167	Ricki Jones	Support	
FS10.286				Bob Pringle	Support		
FS12.283				Jeff Peters	Support		
S91 Mark Tasker	S91.1	Reject	Support in part	[re: objectives and policies for residential zones directing commercial activities to centres]			
				"Support moving "commercial-type visitor accommodation" to commercial areas, not residential areas (especially Airbnb)..."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS3.68 FS3.82	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
				FS4.77	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS11.70	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS10.287	Bob Pringle	Support	
	FS12.284	Jeff Peters	Support				
	S91.2	Reject	Oppose	"Seek that there is no Airbnb or similar commercial-type money-making accommodation businesses allowed in our or other residential areas but are			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				permitted in commercial zones... "conditional permission" is hard or almost impossible to police as there invariably is a slippery slope of behaviour."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.69 FS3.83	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.78	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.71	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS10.288	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.285	Jeff Peters	Support	
S92 Scott Nelson	S92.1	Reject	Oppose	<p>"In relation to the nights per year limits for the three types of resource consent requirements that are proposed... these should be replaced with limits that are more targeted towards the number of guests staying at a property over a weekly/ monthly period rather than a collective number of nights per year. The issue with nights per year is that long term stays (28 nights or more) would be included in these limits where any impact on nearby residents would be no different than if they signed a 1-3 month lease agreement. The second issue is properties being solely listed for short term rental over the peak season (for as little as two months) and subsequently becoming a "non-complying" activity...</p> <p>An effective way of solving both issues above is to put in place limits that will control the number of bookings a property can have over any given week and month and will apply on a per property basis not per room basis for example; Controlled Activity: 1 booking per week up to 3 per month (2 and 5 during summer) Discretionary: 2 bookings per week up to 5 per month (4 and 7 during summer) Non Complying: no restrictions – deemed a commercial operation full consent needed"</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.79	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS11.328	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.289	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.286	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S92.2	Reject	Oppose	"In addition to this, automatic resource consent (at a reduced rate) should be given to both controlled and discretionary on the basis their property is registered with the council and listed with an approved short term booking platform (where the above limits and other conditions imposed by council can be controlled)."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS4.80	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS11.329	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.290	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.287	Jeff Peters	Oppose					
S93 Breeze Robertson	S93.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: <i>night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones</i>] "Do not support this amendment. Do not approve Proposed Plan Change 4, amendment a, i."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS11.330	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.291	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.288	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S94 Orion New Zealand (c/o Melanie Foote)	S94.1	Accept	Support in part	"Two new definitions are proposed relating to " <i>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</i> " and " <i>unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</i> ". Orion support both proposed definitions on the assumption that both definitions are a subset of the definition of " <i>Visitor accommodation</i> ". These definitions link to the definition of sensitive activities which form a subset. Orion wish to ensure this is the case, as it is important to ensure the corridor protection rules across the District Plan Chapters continue to cover all sensitive activities. 1. If the above assumption is not correct, then Orion seek that the wording of the definition of "Sensitive activities" be amended to include the both hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation to ensure the corridor protection rules continue to cover sensitive activities			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.81	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral		
			FS8.16	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support		
	S94.2	Accept	Support in part	"2. Orion seek that any consequential amendments to the District Plan are also made in relation to all Corridor Protection rules contained in the District Plan given the proposed plan change proposes to amend the definitions used under the application of the existing corridor protection rules."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.82	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
FS8.17	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support					
S95 Cassia Jackson	S95.1	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"There should be a level of regulation for Airbnbs, particularly in the central city, but... if it is too prohibitive... visitors to Christchurch... may choose to visit another region instead"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.331	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.292	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.289	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
	S95.2	Accept	Support	"Hosted visitor accommodation nights to be uncapped."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS11.332	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.293	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
	FS12.290	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
	S95.3	Reject	Oppose	"Unhosted to be allowed outside of the Four Avenues, for over 180 nights per year, unless complaints have been made."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
FS11.333				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS10.294				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.291	Jeff Peters	Oppose					
S96 Sasha Stollman	S96.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the specific provisions of the plan change and wish to have them amended."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS11.334	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.295	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.292	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S96.2	Reject	Oppose	[re: additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling] "Delete the limitations on late-night arrivals and departures"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.335	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.296	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.293	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S96.3	Reject	Oppose	"Delete the limitations... on number of days per year the residential dwelling can be used for visitor accommodation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.336	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.297	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.294	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S96.4	Accept in part	Oppose in part	[re: amending parking and vehicle access width requirements to enable a residential dwelling to be used for visitor accommodation for a limited number of days per year] "Commercial parking and vehicle access should not be an issue when already limiting the number of guests."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
		FS11.337	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
		FS10.298	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
		FS12.295	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S97 Zin South	S97.1	Reject	Oppose	"Create a mandatory short-term rental code of conduct for owners, managers and guests which may include an enforceable 3 Strikes Rule for those who do not meet the standards. • The establishment of an industry-funded and administered body to address problems and adjudicate questions about		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				amenity, noise and overcrowding at short-term rental accommodation properties."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.338	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.299	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.296	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S98 Paul Crooks	S98.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the change to controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days. A Discretionary resource consent should be required for 0-180 days... Given the high number of people on waiting lists for government and council housing, the focus should be on severely restricting conversion of homes into hotels to prevent evictions of long term city residents and stopping homelessness in Christchurch."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.72	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS15.168	Ricki Jones	Support	
			FS10.300	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS12.297	Jeff Peters	Support	
S99 Jesse Holmes	S99.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose.... Should not have a say on who and when I have people in my own home... seek the following decision from the Council - withdraw submission."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS11.339	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.301	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.298	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S100 Bachcare Holiday Homes (c/o Shaun Fitzmaurice)	S100.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"Bachcare supports council in its aspiration to provide a reasonable framework in which short term rentals operate."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.202	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.340	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS15.169	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
			FS10.302	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.299	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S100.2	Accept in part	Support in part	"Bachcare is in support of a clear, simple addition to the District Plan which recognises the critical role short term rental plays in the economy and community."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.203	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.341	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.303	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.300	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S100.3	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"Bachcare supports the detailed submission made by Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.204	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.342	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.304	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.301	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S100.4	Reject	Oppose	"There is no justification in a distinction between hosted or non-hosted accommodation. This should be removed and replaced with a clear definition for short term rental accommodation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.14	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS4.205	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS11.343	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS10.305	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.302			Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S100.5	Accept in part	Oppose	"The proposal judges the requirement for control in urban centres and rural towns to be the same. Rural towns such as Akaroa in the Banks Peninsula, an area with a reliance on tourism and a need for short term rentals, has the same controls as central Christchurch residential zones. The recommendation fails to identify the needs of the communities with a significant dependency on short term rentals to the local economy."			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
		FS2.1	Fiona Temple	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS4.206	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS11.344	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS10.306	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.303	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S100.6	Reject	Oppose	"The proposal as drafted is confusing, complex, and costly for hosts."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.207	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.345	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.307	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.304	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S100.7	Reject	Oppose	"As drafted, the proposal does not recognise the important role short term rentals contribute to the local economy."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.208	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.346	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.308	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.305	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S100.8	Reject	Oppose	"The night thresholds would be unique to this style of accommodation and provide competitive advantage to other forms of accommodation."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.209	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
FS11.347				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS10.309				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS12.306				Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S101 Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	S101.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"Overall, CIAL seeks that PC4 be approved with amendments, as set out in Appendix B, or other similar relief that would address CIAL's concerns set out in this submission."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS11.348	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS15.170	Ricki Jones	Oppose in part		
			FS10.310	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS12.307	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS1.1	David Lawry	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S101.2	Accept	Oppose in part	"Ensure that any potential reverse sensitivity effects on the safe and efficient operation of Christchurch International Airport will be avoided. Notwithstanding this, CIAL wishes to emphasise that visitor accommodation is a key part of the Christchurch visitor economy and CIAL supports enablement of a broad range of visitor accommodation types across the district."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.91	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
				FS11.349	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.311	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.308	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
				FS1.2	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.3	Reject	Oppose in part	"CIAL does not believe it is necessary to constrain choice by differentiating between particular types of visitor accommodation, imposing complicated regulation, or taking an overly directive approach in respect of certain types of guest accommodation in Christchurch."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.115	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
				FS11.350	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS10.312	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS12.309	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
				FS1.3	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.4			Oppose in part	"CIAL is concerned that the outcome of this plan change will be that people will be discouraged from participating in the sharing economy and ultimately accommodation options in Christchurch will decrease."		
Further Submission #					Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
FS4.116					Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
FS11.351					Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
FS10.313					Bob Pringle	Oppose	
FS12.310					Jeff Peters	Oppose	
FS1.4					David Lawry	Oppose	
S101.5	Accept		Oppose in part	"It is critical that proper consideration is given to how visitor accommodation activities are integrated into the Plan's regime for managing sensitive activities... CIAL's main concern with respect to PC4 is to ensure that the			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				proposal is consistent with the RPS, particularly with RPS Policy 6.3.5(4) and 6.3.9(5)(a), Strategic Objective 3.3.12, and associated objectives and policies in the Christchurch District Plan."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.92	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS10.314	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.311	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.5	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.6	Accept	Support	"Visitor accommodation in existing residential units is not of concern to CIAL as long as this type of land use will not create an increase in residential density under the Contours. Provided the residential unit (including any new residential unit constructed for the purpose of being used for hosted or unhosted visitor accommodation) is still required to comply with the various residential density rules which are already in the Plan, CIAL is not concerned with whether a residential unit is occupied by a household or by home share guests... PC4 does not propose to remove or amend existing residential density controls or other requirements such as minimum lot sizes in the relevant residential and rural zones which lie within the Noise Contours. CIAL supports this approach."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.93	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS10.315	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.312	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.6	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.7	Accept	Support	"CIAL also notes that, within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour in the Rural Waimakariri and Rural Urban Fringe Zone, minor residential units are only permitted in the Plan where they are used for a family flat. CIAL is pleased to note that no amendment is proposed to those rules"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.316	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.316	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS1.7	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.8	Accept	Support in part	"CIAL's position with regard to traditional visitor accommodation such as hotels, motels, hostels etc – is that, provided those activities take place in buildings that are designed, constructed and operated to a standard that mitigates the effects of aircraft noise on occupants, reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport can be avoided. However if visitor accommodation does not take place in buildings which meet those acoustic standards, it is by definition a sensitive activity and must be avoided within the Noise Contours."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.317	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.314	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.8	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.9	Reject	Oppose in part	"CIAL considers bed and breakfasts are residential in nature and should be regulated as such."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.117	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.318	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.315	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.9	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.10	Accept	Support	"It is essential that PC4 does not inadvertently or otherwise result in a situation that enables residential activity associated with commercial film or video production activities to establish as of right, particularly not within the Noise Contours."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS1.10	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.11	Accept in part	Support in part	[re: definition of "hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit"] "Provided both hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit is recognised as sensitive activities, CIAL is not concerned with the inclusion of this new definition. CIAL supports the exclusion of camping grounds from this definition. CIAL also supports the restriction on use of a family flat for visitor accommodation,		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				given that by definition family flats must be used by dependent members of the same household. However CIAL does note that the proposed definition and planning provisions which apply to this activity are complicated and will be difficult for hosts to understand and apply."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.94	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS10.319	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.316	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.11	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.1 2	Accept in part	Support in part	[re: definition of "unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit"] "As above [see S101.11]"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.95	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS10.320	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.317	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.12	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.1 3	Reject Out of scope in part	Oppose	[re: definition of "residential activity"] "In CIAL's view, use of a residential unit for home share visitor accommodation is closer in character to a residential activity and is certainly a sensitive activity. For that reason, it should be treated as a residential activity in the Plan. CIAL supports classification of individual bookings for rented accommodation and serviced apartments over a certain number of days as "residential". Resort hotels in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone are presently occupied for up to three months at a time by the same owner / occupier. They should therefore be included in the definition of residential activities.		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<p>Amend this definition as follows:</p> <p>means the use of land and/or buildings for the purpose of living accommodation. It includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. a residential unit, boarding house, student hostel or a family flat (including accessory buildings); b. emergency and refuge accommodation; <u>c. hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit;</u> c. use of a residential unit as a holiday home where a payment in money, goods or services is not exchanged; d. house-sitting and direct home exchanges where a tariff is not charged; e. rented accommodation and serviced apartments not covered by clause (g) and where individual bookings are for a minimum of 28 consecutive days (except in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone) <u>f. Resort hotels</u>; and f. sheltered housing; but <p>excludes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> g. guest visitor accommodation, including hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels, farmstays, camping grounds, hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit; h. the use of land and/or buildings for custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site; and i. accommodation associated with a fire station." 		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.96	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS7.2	Clearwater Land Holdings Limited	Oppose	
			FS9.2	Clearwater Projects Limited	Oppose	
			FS11.352	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS13.1	Clearwater Developers	Oppose	
			FS10.321	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.318	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.13	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.1 4	Accept	Amend	<p>[re: definition of "residential unit"]</p> <p>"It is not clear what the council has in mind when it refers to "visitor accommodation accessory to a residential activity". CIAL seeks clarification as to how this concept fits with the proposed new definitions of hosted and unhosted "visitor accommodation in a residential unit"."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS1.14	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.1 5	Accept in part	Support in part	<p>[re: definition of "sensitive activity"]</p> <p>"CIAL supports the recognition that "hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit" and "unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit" in the definition of "sensitive activities". CIAL seeks that this classification as a sensitive activity is retained.</p> <p>However the definition as drafted (with hosted / unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit being an exception to an exception) is unnecessarily complicated and may cause confusion. CIAL seeks that the drafting of this definition be amended to provide for visitor accommodation in a residential unit in a clearer way. If this type of activity is nested under the definition of "residential activity" it would be captured by the reference at a. Alternatively, the drafting adjacent could be adopted.</p> <p>means:</p> <p>a. residential activities, unless specified below;</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			<p>b. care facilities; c. education activities and preschools, unless specified below; d. guest visitor accommodation, unless specified below; e. health care facilities which include accommodation for overnight care; f. hospitals; and g. custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site; <u>h. hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u> but excludes in relation to airport noise: h. any residential activities, in conjunction with rural activities that comply with the rules in the relevant district plans as at 23 August 2008; i. flight training or other trade and industry training activities located on land zoned or legally used for commercial activities or industrial activities, including the Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone; and j. guest visitor accommodation (except hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit) which is designed, constructed and operated to a standard to mitigate the effects of aircraft noise on occupants."</p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.97	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS10.323	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS12.319	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS1.15	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.16	Accept	Support	<p>[re: definition of "visitor accommodation"]</p> <p>"CIAL acknowledges replacement of the definition of "guest accommodation" with this definition is required for consistency with the National Planning Standards."</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS1.16	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.1 7	Accept in part Out of scope in part	Support in part	<p data-bbox="972 264 1854 306"><i>[Noise provisions - Rule 6.1.7.2.2 Activities near Christchurch Airport]</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 347 2016 504">"CIAL supports the amendments which confirm that the relevant acoustic insulation standards for residential units apply to any new buildings or additions to existing buildings that will be used for visitor accommodation in a residential unit.</p> <p data-bbox="972 545 1984 667">In addition, CIAL seeks that a standard for other habitable spaces is inserted for other forms of visitor accommodation to align with the standards for residential activity.</p> <p data-bbox="972 708 1854 750">Retain proposed amendments to rule 6.1.7.2.2 and amend further.</p> <p data-bbox="972 791 1559 833">6.1.7.2.2 Activities near Christchurch Airport</p> <p data-bbox="972 874 1993 995">a. The following activity standards apply to new buildings and additions to existing buildings located within the 55 dB Ldn air noise contour or the 55 dB Ldn engine testing contour shown on the planning maps:</p> <p data-bbox="972 995 1984 1110">i. Any new buildings and/or additions to existing buildings shall be insulated from aircraft noise and designed to comply with the following indoor design sound levels:</p> <p data-bbox="972 1152 1984 1232">A. Residential units, including hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit:</p> <p data-bbox="972 1232 1496 1273">I. Sleeping areas – 65 dB LAE/40 dB Ldn</p> <p data-bbox="972 1273 1603 1315">II. Other habitable areas – 75 dB LAE /50 dB Ldn</p> <p data-bbox="972 1356 1939 1433">B. Guest <u>Visitor</u> accommodation, resort hotels, hospitals and health care facilities:</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			I. Relaxing or sleeping - 65 dB LAE /40 dB Ldn II. Conference meeting rooms - 65 dB LAE / 40 dB Ldn III. Service activities – 75 dB LAE /60 dB Ldn <u>IV. Other habitable areas – 75 dB LAE /50 dB Ldn”</u>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.98	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS7.3	Clearwater Land Holdings Limited	Oppose	
			FS9.3	Clearwater Projects Limited	Oppose	
			FS1.17	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.18	Accept in part	Oppose in part	[Transport chapter - Rules 7.4.3.1, 7.4.3.5, 7.4.3.6, 7.5 appendices] “CIAL is generally neutral as to the proposed amendments, however it queries the necessity for parking-related requirements for hosted and unhosted accommodation in a residential unit in excess of the usual requirements imposed on residential units.”		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS1.18	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.19	Accept	Support	[Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone - Rule 13.3.4.1 P6] “Retain. CIAL is neutral as to this amendment, noting it is confined to making the change deleting “guest accommodation” and replacing with “visitor accommodation” but otherwise does not alter the provisions in the Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone.”		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.99	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.19	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.20	Accept	Support	[Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone - Rule 13.3.7.6] “Retain. CIAL is neutral as to this amendment for the same reasons as explained above [in S101.19].”		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested					
			FS4.100	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral				
			FS1.20	David Lawry	Oppose				
	S101.2 1	Out of scope	Amend	<p data-bbox="972 242 1783 274">[<i>Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone - Rules 13.9.4 and 13.9.4.1</i>]</p> <p data-bbox="972 322 2020 395">"CIAL strongly opposes the omission of the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone from plan change 4...</p> <p data-bbox="972 443 1962 517">The total number of days' occupancy threshold determined by the Council should apply equally to this zone...</p> <p data-bbox="972 564 2002 676">Amend the provisions in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone to align with the regulations proposed for visitor accommodation in the rest of the district. Including the following:</p> <p data-bbox="972 724 1742 756">Amend the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 762 1854 1126"> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 762 1057 1126">P9</td> <td data-bbox="1057 762 1303 1126">Resort hotel bedrooms and associated activities.</td> <td data-bbox="1303 762 1854 1126"> a. Up to 350 bedrooms in total within the Clearwater Golf Resort, with up to 255 bedrooms within the 55 dB Ldn airport noise contour, including associated ancillary buildings. b. The maximum period of owner occupancy of resort hotel bedrooms shall be three months <u>28 days</u> in total per calendar year. </td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="972 1171 1034 1203">And</p> <p data-bbox="972 1251 2020 1445">Insert rules related to "hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit" and "unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit" into these zone rules. Insert rules which are consistent with the rules proposed for accommodation activities which occur in residential units in other zones and which appropriately manage those sensitive activities within the Noise Contours."</p>			P9	Resort hotel bedrooms and associated activities.	a. Up to 350 bedrooms in total within the Clearwater Golf Resort, with up to 255 bedrooms within the 55 dB Ldn airport noise contour, including associated ancillary buildings. b. The maximum period of owner occupancy of resort hotel bedrooms shall be three months <u>28 days</u> in total per calendar year.
P9	Resort hotel bedrooms and associated activities.	a. Up to 350 bedrooms in total within the Clearwater Golf Resort, with up to 255 bedrooms within the 55 dB Ldn airport noise contour, including associated ancillary buildings. b. The maximum period of owner occupancy of resort hotel bedrooms shall be three months <u>28 days</u> in total per calendar year.							

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS7.1	Clearwater Land Holdings Limited	Oppose	
			FS9.1	Clearwater Projects Limited	Oppose	
			FS13.2	Clearwater Developers	Oppose	
			FS1.21	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.2 2	Accept	Support	<p><i>[Residential chapter - Objective 14.2.9 and Policy 14.2.9.1]</i></p> <p>"CIAL supports the references to protection of strategic infrastructure from reverse sensitivity effects in proposed objective 14.2.9(b)(iv) and Policy 14.2.9.1(c) and seeks that these references are retained.</p> <p>CIAL is otherwise neutral as to the proposed drafting related to supply of housing, commercial centres, and neighbourhood amenity."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.101	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.22	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.2 3	Accept	Support	<p><i>[Residential chapter - Policy 14.2.9.2 and Policy 14.2.9.3]</i></p> <p>"Retain new policy 14.2.9.2... CIAL is neutral as to the new policies 14.2.9.2, and 14.2.9.3"</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS1.23	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.2 4	Accept	Support	<p><i>[Residential chapter - Policy 14.2.9.4]</i></p> <p>"Retain policy... CIAL is neutral as to the establishment of visitor accommodation outside of the Noise Contours. However this policy is supported to the extent that CIAL agrees any visitor accommodation not provided for via the other proposed policies (which could include accommodation likely to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects on</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				strategic infrastructure) should be avoided in residential zones under the Noise Contours."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.102	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.24	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.2 5	Reject	Oppose	[re: suite of rules proposed for all Residential Zones]		
				"Amend to provide for a more workable and simple approach which facilitates a wide range of accommodation options to promote and attract visitors to Christchurch and support the visitor economy, while giving effect to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement and Strategic Objective 3.3.12.		
				Delete rules applicable to "hosted" and "unhosted" "visitor accommodation in a residential unit" and replace with rules which regulate these activities in the same way as residential activities are regulated in the residential zones."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.118	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS1.25	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.2 6	Accept	Oppose in part	[re: suite of rules proposed for all Residential Zones]		
				"CIAL is neutral as to the rules applicable to accommodation in a heritage item, though notes that where this takes place within the Noise Contours the same requirements regarding design, construction and operation to mitigate the effects of noise on occupants apply and a heritage building may not meet this standard, resulting in that type of guest accommodation being a sensitive activity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS1.26	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.2 7	Accept	Support	[Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone - Rule 14.11.1 P1]		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested					
				<p>"CIAL supports the amendments to update references to "visitor accommodation" and retention of the requirement for visitor accommodation located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour to be designed and constructed in order to meet appropriate indoor design sound levels as an activity specific standard in Rule 14.11.1 P1."</p>					
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose				
			FS4.103	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral				
			FS1.27	David Lawry	Oppose				
	S101.2 8	Reject	Oppose in part	<p>[re: Residential Suburban Zone, Residential Suburban Density Zone, and Residential New Neighbourhood Zone rules]</p> <p>"With regard to residentially zoned land that falls within the Noise Contours, CIAL seeks that the rules apply the same standards to hosted / unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit as apply presently to residential activities and residential units within the Noise Contours.</p> <p>Make further amendments to the zone rules as follows:</p> <p>14.4.1.3 - Residential Suburban Zone, Residential Suburban Density Zone rules</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="974 1026 1848 1428"> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 1026 1093 1428">RD34</td> <td data-bbox="1093 1026 1525 1428"> a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity; ii. Education activities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P16); </td> <td data-bbox="1525 1026 1848 1428"> a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be </td> </tr> </table>			RD34	a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity; ii. Education activities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P16); 	a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be
RD34	a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity; ii. Education activities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P16); 	a. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be							

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested										
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 169 1093 1225"></td> <td data-bbox="1093 169 1525 1225"> <p>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.4.1.1 P17); or</p> <p>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P18);</p> <p><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></p> <p><u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></p> <p><u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity.</u></p> <p>b. Any application arising from this rule shall not be publicly notified and shall be limited notified only to Christchurch International Airport Limited (absent its written approval).</p> </td> <td data-bbox="1525 169 1848 1225"> <p>managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport.</p> <p>b. The extent to which appropriate indoor noise insulation is provided with regard to Appendix 14.16.4.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="974 1225 1848 1342">14.12.1.3 Residential New Neighbourhood Zone Rules</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 1342 1093 1423">RD26</td> <td data-bbox="1093 1342 1525 1423">a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50</td> <td data-bbox="1525 1342 1848 1423">a. The extent to which effects, as a</td> </tr> </table>			<p>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.4.1.1 P17); or</p> <p>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P18);</p> <p><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></p> <p><u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></p> <p><u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity.</u></p> <p>b. Any application arising from this rule shall not be publicly notified and shall be limited notified only to Christchurch International Airport Limited (absent its written approval).</p>	<p>managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport.</p> <p>b. The extent to which appropriate indoor noise insulation is provided with regard to Appendix 14.16.4.</p>	14.12.1.3 Residential New Neighbourhood Zone Rules			RD26	a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50	a. The extent to which effects, as a
	<p>iii. Preschools (Rule 14.4.1.1 P17); or</p> <p>iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.4.1.1 P18);</p> <p><u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></p> <p><u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u></p> <p><u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity.</u></p> <p>b. Any application arising from this rule shall not be publicly notified and shall be limited notified only to Christchurch International Airport Limited (absent its written approval).</p>	<p>managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport.</p> <p>b. The extent to which appropriate indoor noise insulation is provided with regard to Appendix 14.16.4.</p>												
14.12.1.3 Residential New Neighbourhood Zone Rules														
RD26	a. The following activities and facilities located within the 50	a. The extent to which effects, as a												

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested	
				<p>dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Residential activities which are not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity; ii. Education activities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P8); iii. Preschools (Rule 14.12.1.1 P9); or iv. Health care facilities (Rule 14.12.1.1 P10); <u>v. Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u> <u>vi. Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity;</u> <u>vii. Visitor accommodation in a heritage item which is not provided for as a permitted or controlled activity.</u> <p>b. Any application arising from this rule shall not be</p>	<p>result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport.</p>

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested																
				publicly notified and shall be limited notified only to Christchurch International Airport Limited (absent its written approval).																
			"																	
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose															
			FS1.28	David Lawry	Oppose															
			FS4.104	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral															
	S101.2 9	Accept in part	Oppose in part	<p data-bbox="974 545 1489 582"><i>[Residential chapter - Appendix 14.16.4]</i></p> <p data-bbox="974 630 1870 699">"CIAL supports the amendments to the Appendix to update references to "guest accommodation" to "visitor accommodation".</p> <p data-bbox="974 746 2004 861">CIAL also seeks amendment to this appendix to clarify the standards applicable to the council's proposed new categories of hosted and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit.</p> <p data-bbox="974 909 1355 946">Support and amend further:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="974 986 1854 1391"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="974 986 1563 1109" rowspan="2">Building type and activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1563 986 1854 1066">Indoor design and sound levels</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="1563 1066 1713 1109">SEL dB</th> <th data-bbox="1713 1066 1854 1109">dB Ldn</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 1109 1563 1316">Residential units, <u>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u> and older person's housing</td> <td data-bbox="1563 1109 1713 1316"></td> <td data-bbox="1713 1109 1854 1316"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 1316 1563 1356">Sleeping areas</td> <td data-bbox="1563 1316 1713 1356">65</td> <td data-bbox="1713 1316 1854 1356">40</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 1356 1563 1391">Other habitable areas</td> <td data-bbox="1563 1356 1713 1391">75</td> <td data-bbox="1713 1356 1854 1391">50</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Building type and activity	Indoor design and sound levels		SEL dB	dB Ldn	Residential units, <u>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u> and older person's housing			Sleeping areas	65	40	Other habitable areas	75	50
Building type and activity	Indoor design and sound levels																			
	SEL dB	dB Ldn																		
Residential units, <u>hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u> and older person's housing																				
Sleeping areas	65	40																		
Other habitable areas	75	50																		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				Guest visitor accommodation, resort hotels, hospitals and health care facilities		
				Relaxing or sleeping	65	40
				Conference meeting rooms	65	40
				Service activities	75	60
				<u>Other habitable areas</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>50</u>
				"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.105	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.29	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.30	Reject	Support in part	<p>[re: Commercial Core Zone, Commercial Office Zone, Commercial Local Zone]</p> <p>"CIAL notes that, although residential activities and visitor accommodation activities are provided for in these zones, there is presently no amendments proposed to insert rules related to hosted or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit. There is some commercially zoned land within the noise contours. Should rules be inserted to provide for any specific noise sensitive activities in these zone rules, CIAL seeks that there is also corresponding standards to give effect to the RPS requirement to avoid noise sensitive activities within the noise contours.</p> <p>Should any additional activity rules be inserted into the Commercial zone rules which apply to land with commercial zoning located within the noise contours, ensure that the following standard applies (as presently applies to residential activities in these zones):</p> <p><u>"x. The activity shall not be located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour as shown on the planning maps"</u></p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS4.106	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.30	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.3 1	Accept in part	Support in part	[<i>Industrial General Zone (Waterloo Park) - Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P6</i>]		
				<p>"CIAL supports this drafting to the extent that it ensures no new sensitive activities are enabled within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour. Should new provisions be inserted into the rules for this zone, it is important they reflect the fact that home sharing is a noise sensitive activity and should be treated the same way that residential activities are treated in this zone.</p> <p>However, regarding the area outside of the noise contours, CIAL considers the regime proposed is unnecessarily complicated and will have the effect of unduly restricting home sharing to the detriment of the district's economic and social wellbeing."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.107	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.31	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.3 2	Accept	Support in part	[<i>Industrial General Zone (Waterloo Park) - Rule 16.4.3.1.2 C1</i>]		
				<p>"CIAL supports this drafting to the extent that it ensures no new sensitive activities are enabled within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour. Should new provisions be inserted into the rules for this zone, it is important they reflect the fact that home sharing is a noise sensitive activity and should be treated the same way that residential activities are treated in this zone."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.108	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.32	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.3 3	Accept	Support	[<i>Industrial Park Zone (Memorial Avenue) - Rules 16.6.6.1.1, 16.6.6.2.1, 16.6.6.2.3, 16.7.3.14, 16.7.3.14.1, 16.8.15</i>]		
				<p>"CIAL supports the amendments to update references to "guest accommodation" to "visitor accommodation"."</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS1.33	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.34	Accept in part	Oppose in part	<p data-bbox="972 264 1680 303"><i>[Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rules 17.5.1.1 P20 and P21]</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 347 1944 459">"CIAL is neutral as to the establishment of visitor accommodation or residential activities in rurally zoned areas which are outside of the Noise Contours.</p> <p data-bbox="972 504 2020 746">With regard to rurally zoned land that does fall within the Noise Contours, CIAL seeks that the rules apply the same standards to hosted / unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit as apply presently to residential activities and residential units within the Noise Contours. PC4 must not enable any additional development or establishment of residential units in excess of that currently permitted in the Plan.</p> <p data-bbox="972 791 2002 906">CIAL considers that a simpler and easier to understand suite of rules could be established if these activities were clearly classified as residential activities and regulated as such.</p> <p data-bbox="972 951 2002 1066">Provided that these activities are only enabled as of right to the same extent that residential activity is presently enabled within the Noise Contour, CIAL is not otherwise concerned about imposing a bespoke regulatory regime.</p> <p data-bbox="972 1110 2020 1426">CIAL notes that tents, caravans etc are included in the definition of "building" and may ordinarily be used as a residential unit. To the extent that this is currently enabled within the Noise Contours through the existing rules in the Plan, CIAL is neutral as to whether a tent or caravan is utilised for a residential unit being used for hosted or unhosted visitor accommodation, provided the unit complies with the various rules applicable to residential activities and residential density in the Plan. Should buildings of this type be established for guest accommodation which is not within a residential unit, that would</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
				<p data-bbox="969 177 1951 245">constitute a noise sensitive activity and must be avoided within the Noise Contours.</p> <p data-bbox="969 296 2024 411">The proposed text "or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contour" is unnecessary and will introduce inconsistency into the plan provisions... CIAL seeks that consistent language is kept throughout the Plan.</p> <p data-bbox="969 459 1671 491">Make further amendments to the drafting as follows:</p> <p data-bbox="969 539 1077 571">17.5.1.1</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="969 616 1852 1425"> <tr> <td data-bbox="969 616 1072 1425"><u>P20</u></td> <td data-bbox="1072 616 1339 1425"><u>Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u></td> <td data-bbox="1339 616 1852 1425"> <p data-bbox="1348 624 1839 975"><u>a. No more than six guests total may be accommodated at the same time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1348 983 1839 1139"><u>b. Guests shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1348 1147 1839 1425"><u>c. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours, guests shall only be accommodated in a residential unit which is otherwise provided for as a</u></p> </td> </tr> </table>		<u>P20</u>	<u>Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u>	<p data-bbox="1348 624 1839 975"><u>a. No more than six guests total may be accommodated at the same time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1348 983 1839 1139"><u>b. Guests shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1348 1147 1839 1425"><u>c. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours, guests shall only be accommodated in a residential unit which is otherwise provided for as a</u></p>
<u>P20</u>	<u>Hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u>	<p data-bbox="1348 624 1839 975"><u>a. No more than six guests total may be accommodated at the same time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1348 983 1839 1139"><u>b. Guests shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1348 1147 1839 1425"><u>c. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours, guests shall only be accommodated in a residential unit which is otherwise provided for as a</u></p>						

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested	
					<p><u>permitted activity building which is not a vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat.</u></p>
				<p>P21</p>	<p><u>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</u></p> <p>a. <u>The total number of nights per year that guests may be accommodated on any one site is 180.</u> b. <u>A maximum of six guests shall be accommodated at any one time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour</u><u>or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u> c. <u>Guests shall not hold functions or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests.</u> d. <u>Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour</u><u>or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours,</u> <u>guests shall only be accommodated in a residential unit which is otherwise provided for as a permitted activity building which is not a vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat.</u></p>

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
						<p>e. <u>The owners and residents of adjoining sites must be provided with up-to-date contact information for the owner or manager of the unit.</u></p> <p>f. <u>The owner of the unit must provide the Council with a copy of the listing and any unique identification number, keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on an annual basis.</u></p>
				"		
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose
				FS4.109	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral
				FS1.34	David Lawry	Oppose
	S101.35	Accept in part	Oppose in part	<p>[<i>Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.1 P22</i>]</p> <p>"CIAL is neutral as to the establishment of visitor accommodation accessory to farming, conservation or rural tourism in rurally zoned areas which are outside of the Noise Contours.</p> <p>With regard to rurally zoned land that <i>does</i> fall within the Noise Contours, reverse sensitivity effects on the Airport as regionally significant and strategic infrastructure must be avoided. CIAL seeks that PC4 does not introduce any provisions that would have the effect of enabling increased development or intensification of sensitive activities within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour.</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<p>However, CIAL considers these new activity classifications are confusing. It is not clear to what extent these activities will also be captured by definitions of "hosted" or "unhosted" "visitor accommodation in a residential unit" or the definition of "visitor accommodation".</p>		
				<p><u>P22</u></p>	<p><u>Visitor accommodation accessory to farming</u></p>	<p><u>a. At least one permanent resident of the same site or an adjoining site must be in residence for the duration of the stay.</u> <u>b. No more than ten guests total may be accommodated on the same site at the same time. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u> <u>c. Visitors must be accommodated in a residential unit, minor residential unit or other existing building (excluding any vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat or any family flat).</u> <u>d. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u> <u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u> <u>ii. Guests must be accommodated</u></p>

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
						<p><u>in an existing residential unit;</u> iii. Visitors may <u>only not</u> be accommodated in campgrounds consisting of tents or <u>no</u> more than three heavy vehicles <u>in parts of the zone that are not within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour, the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u></p>
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.110	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.35	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.36	Accept	Support	<p>[<i>Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.1 P22</i>]</p> <p>"CIAL supports activity standard P22 c. requiring that no campground associated with these visitor accommodation activities is enabled within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour. Visitor accommodation is a sensitive activity where it is not in a building that is designed and constructed to mitigate the effects of aircraft noise on occupants. Tents, caravans, etc are not so constructed and accordingly should be avoided within the Noise Contours if they are to be used for Visitor Accommodation. However, CIAL notes that the first sentence of standard c. excludes accommodation within tents, trailers, caravans etc anyhow so this does not appear to provide for campgrounds in any part of the district regardless of where they are located."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.111	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
			FS1.36	David Lawry	Oppose	
	S101.37	Accept in part	Support in part	[<i>Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.1 P23</i>]		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested					
				<p>"CIAL supports P23 f. requiring that visitor accommodation accessory to conservation activities or rural tourism is excluded within the Noise Contours if it takes place in a tent, caravan, trailer etc...</p> <p>A family flat is used specifically by occupants dependent on the main household on the site and so it is also appropriate to exclude that type of accommodation, given it cannot be used for residential accommodation associated with a rural tourism or conservation activity by definition."</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 576 1854 1425"> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 576 1070 1425">P23</td> <td data-bbox="1070 576 1339 1425"> <u>Visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity including tramping huts and camping in tents in association with walking and cycling tracks</u> </td> <td data-bbox="1339 576 1854 1425"> <u>e. The maximum number of guests that can be accommodated on any one site in association with a conservation activity is ten. No more than four guests in association with a conservation activity may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u> <u>f. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u> <u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u> <u>ii. Visitor accommodation within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing</u> </td> </tr> </table>			P23	<u>Visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity including tramping huts and camping in tents in association with walking and cycling tracks</u>	<u>e. The maximum number of guests that can be accommodated on any one site in association with a conservation activity is ten. No more than four guests in association with a conservation activity may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u> <u>f. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u> <u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u> <u>ii. Visitor accommodation within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing</u>
P23	<u>Visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity including tramping huts and camping in tents in association with walking and cycling tracks</u>	<u>e. The maximum number of guests that can be accommodated on any one site in association with a conservation activity is ten. No more than four guests in association with a conservation activity may be accommodated at the same time within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours.</u> <u>f. Within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour:</u> <u>i. No more than four guests may be accommodated at the same time;</u> <u>ii. Visitor accommodation within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing</u>							

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
						<u>Contour or any more restrictive air noise or engine testing contours must be within an existing buildings (excluding any vehicle, trailer, tent, marquee, shipping container, caravan or boat or any family flat).</u>
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
			FS4.112	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS1.37	David Lawry	Neutral	
					Oppose	
	S101.38	Accept	Support	[Rural Urban Fringe Zone - Rule 17.5.1.5 NC5]		
				"CIAL supports provisions that will ensure any new noise sensitive activity within the Noise Contours which cannot comply with activity-specific standards is a non-complying activity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
			FS4.113	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS1.38	David Lawry	Neutral	
					Oppose	
	S101.39	Accept in part	Oppose in part	[Rules proposed for the Rural Waimakariri Zone]		
				"CIAL seeks the same relief as that related to the same new rules proposed in the Rural Urban Fringe Zone and discussed above."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
			FS1.39	David Lawry	Oppose	
			FS4.114	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Neutral	
S102 Halswell/ Hornby/	S102.1	Accept	Support	"The Board understands the distinction in the plan and the Change between hosted and unhosted accommodation and agrees that this recognises that those staying short term at a property in the company of its regular occupants, whether paying a tariff or not are likely to behave as guests and conform to the normal patterns of the household and neighbourhood."		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
Riccarton Community Board (c/o Faye Collins)			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.119	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS10.324	Bob Pringle	Support in part		
			FS12.320	Jeff Peters	Support in part		
			FS15.171	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
	S102.2	Accept	Support	"The Board supports the proposal in the Change to introduce new standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit to qualify as a permitted activity including limits on late night arrivals and departures (between 10pm and 6am) and sizes of functions (up to five guests)."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.120	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS10.325	Bob Pringle	Support in part		
			FS12.321	Jeff Peters	Support in part		
			FS15.172	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S102.3	Accept in part	Support	"The Board considers it is extremely important that residential amenity does not suffer by the intrusion of visitor accommodation and it therefore supports the proposed changes to objectives and policies aimed at directing larger-scale or commercial-type visitor accommodation to commercial areas."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.121	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS15.173	Ricki Jones	Support		
S102.4	Accept	Support	"The Change proposes that it be a Controlled Activity for premises to be used for visitor accommodation for up to 60 nights per year, a discretionary activity for premises to be used for visitor accommodation between 61-180 nights per year and a non-complying activity for premises to be used for visitor accommodation for more than 180 nights per year. The Board is generally supportive of this proposal and is mindful that there is an opportunity in each of these scenarios for proposals to be considered on a case by case basis and for appropriate conditions to be imposed or (in the case of more than 60 nights per year) for the necessary resource consent to be denied."				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS4.122	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS15.174	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S102.5	Accept	Support	"The Board reiterates that the potential for residential unit use for visitor accommodation to disrupt neighbourhood amenity is a significant concern. It is reassured therefore that consideration of proposals via the resource consent process is likely to take into account not only the effects of a single unit use but also the cumulative effects of a number of units in the same area being used for visitor accommodation."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS4.123	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS10.326	Bob Pringle	Support in part	
				FS12.322	Jeff Peters	Support in part	
				FS15.175	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S102.6	Accept	Support	"The Board supports the different approach proposed in rural and papakāinga zones providing unhosted visitor accommodation for up to 180 nights per year would be considered a 'Permitted activity' with no resource consent required provided records are maintained and provided to the Council."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
				FS4.124	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS15.176	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S102.7	Accept	Support	"The Board agrees with the proposal to support the ongoing use of heritage items by enabling them to be used for visitor accommodation in residential zones for a larger number of guests and a greater number of nights per year than other residential units. The Board agrees with the approach of up to 10 guests being allowed to stay hosted in heritage buildings without the requirement for a resource consent if hosted and as a controlled activity without night limits if unhosted."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or
FS4.125				Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
FS15.177				Ricki Jones	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S102.8	Accept	Support	"The Board supports changing the "residential activity" and "residential unit" definitions to clarify the difference between living and transient accommodation in situations like home exchanges, house-sits and serviced apartments."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS4.126	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS15.178	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S102.9	Accept	Support	"The Board also supports introducing the National Planning Standard's definition of "visitor accommodation" into the definitions in the Plan to provide clarity and consistency."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS15.179	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S102.10	Reject	Oppose in part	"The Board understands the reasons for the proposed restrictions on the type of structures that can be used for visitor accommodation within the airport noise areas but considers that the rules proposed may be too inflexible. For example the Board thinks that there could be a future possible demand in Ruapuna and similar areas for very short term accommodation in items such as caravans and campervans, perhaps for the duration of a motorsport event. The Board therefore requests that the restrictions on the type of structures that can be used for visitor accommodation within the airport noise areas include allowance for the type of temporary visitor accommodation contemplated above."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS8.3	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose		
FS10.327			Bob Pringle	Support in part			
FS12.323			Jeff Peters	Support in part			
FS15.180			Ricki Jones	Support			
S103 Te Pātaka o Rākaihautū/ Banks	S103.1	Accept	Support	"The Board supports the following existing change: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In rural zones, un-hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling would be a permitted activity for the first 180 days."</i> 			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
Peninsula Community Board (c/o Adrianna Hess)			FS10.328	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.353	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.324	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS15.181	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S103.2	Accept in part	Oppose	<p>"In many parts of the peninsula, motels and hotels are unavailable, and therefore home-stay type accommodation may be the only feasible option. The Board supports the following additional change:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In the Banks Peninsula Ward, un-hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling would be a permitted activity for the first 180 days."</i> 			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.329	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
		FS11.354	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
		FS12.325	Jeff Peters	Oppose			
		FS15.182	Ricki Jones	Support			
S104 Gary Cross	S104.1	Accept	Oppose	<p>[re: <i>proposed additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling</i>]</p> <p>"Oppose the above plan changes without further clarification on time limits on hosted accommodation. In residential areas... Clarification of likely time limits placed on hosted accommodation for residential dwellings"</p>			
S105 Rae James	S105.1	Reject	Oppose	<p>"Oppose the CCC proposal for Plan Change 4 as it relates to unhosted short term visitor accommodation in the Residential Central City Zone/s."</p>			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS10.330	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS11.73	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
		FS12.326	Jeff Peters	Support			
	S105.2	Reject	Oppose in part	<p>"Please refer to the submission on this matter from the Victoria Neighbourhood Association... support the amendments sought as expressed in that submission."</p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.331	Bob Pringle	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS11.74	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.327	Jeff Peters	Support	
S106 Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch (c/o Callum Ross)	S106.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"The Coalition is generally supportive of PC4 where it places further controls on visitor accommodation and its effects in residential zones throughout the district. The Coalition considers that PC4 has a fundamental need as a response to issues in the district, and supports with the 'Reasons for the Plan Change' as outlined in the section 32 report."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.332	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.75	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.328	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.183	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S106.2	Reject	Oppose	<p>"However, the Coalition opposes the proposed plan change in part, being the controlled activity classification for unhosted visitor accommodation, and the corresponding matters of control, which will be located in the controlled activity tables in each residential zone chapter, and are summarised as follows:</p> <p>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For a total per site of 60 nights or fewer per year; • For a maximum of six guests at any one time; <p>Where check-in and check-out times are not between the hours of 22:00pm to 06:00am; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where guests do not hold function or events on the site where the number of additional attendees exceed the number of paying guests staying overnight. <p>being a controlled activity in the following zones:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential Suburban Zone and Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone; • Residential Medium Density Zone; • Residential Central City Zone; • Residential Hills Zone; 		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential Banks Peninsula Zone; • Residential Large Lot Zone; • Residential Small Settlement Zone; and • Residential New Neighbourhood Zone. <p>The Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch seeks the following relief:</p> <p>a) Unhosted visitor accommodation be classed as a minimum restricted discretionary in all of the above zones; and</p> <p>b) The proposed matters of control become matters of discretion accordingly"</p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.127	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.333	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.76	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.329	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.184	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S106.3	Reject	Oppose	<p>"Additional matters of discretion are included, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cumulative effects on residential amenity and social cohesion; and • Cumulative effects on housing supply." 		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.79	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.128	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.334	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.77	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.330	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.185	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S106.4	Reject	Support in part	<p>"The Coalition requests the following relief: That PC4 is approved with amendments to further control visitor accommodation in residential zones and to discourage unhosted visitor accommodation in residential zones"</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.129	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.335	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.78	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS12.331	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.186	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S106.5	Reject	Oppose in part	"The Coalition requests the following relief: Consideration is given to a threshold as to when a residential unit is no longer a residential unit by virtue of the principle activity being visitor accommodation"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.130	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.336	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.79	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.332	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.187	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S106.6	Reject	Oppose	"The Coalition requests the following relief: A minimum restricted discretionary activity status is imposed on unhosted visitor accommodation in residential units"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.131	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.337	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.80	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.333	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.188	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S106.7	Reject	Oppose in part	"The Coalition requests the following relief: Any other additional or consequential relief to the CDP, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, controls/discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in this submission."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.338	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.81	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.334	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.189	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S106.8	Reject	Oppose in part	"The current objectives and policies in the District Plan seek to support the vitality and viability of commercial centres and the utilisation of existing business land. The impact on centre vitality and amenity from the loss of an		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
				offering of visitor accommodation in or near centres has not been fully assessed and there appears to be a lack of evidence in this regard."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS10.339	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.82	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.335	Jeff Peters	Support		
			FS15.190	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S106.9	Reject	Oppose in part	"It is stated on page 4 of the section 32 report that "provisions in the District Plan should not conflict with or duplicate the functions of provisions in the Building Act, Building Code or fire safety regulations that sit at the national level". The Coalition does not seek that these documents are conflicted with or duplicated, rather it seeks that they are directed to within the District Plan provisions."			
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or			
	FS10.340	Bob Pringle	Support				
	FS11.83	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support				
	FS12.336	Jeff Peters	Support				
FS15.191	Ricki Jones	Support					
S107 Didi South	S107.1	Reject	Oppose	"A clear and reasonable planning regime that would see holiday homes treated as a form of residential activity, which does not require costly resource consent."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS3.15	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
			FS10.341	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.355	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.337	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS15.192	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
	S107.2	Reject	Oppose	"A simple definition for 'home sharing' should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts."			
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or			
	FS10.342	Bob Pringle	Oppose				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS11.356	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.338	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.193	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S107.3	Reject	Oppose	"There is an MBIE Working Group underway for central government to come up with a plan for STRA providers and for the council to build their local plan around this, which needs to be included in the decision Councillors are making."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.343	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.357	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.339	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.194	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S107.4	Reject	Oppose	"The 60 Night cap option offered is repeating what has not served other councils well and has significantly cost their ratepayers through having to rescind decisions and readdress issues from a different angle."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.344	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.358	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.340	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.195	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S107.5	Reject	Oppose	"The proposal discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals. Whether a host is present or not at the rented property does not form a sound basis on which to regulate the home as both are residential activities."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.16	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.345	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.359	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.341	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.196	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S107.6	Reject	Oppose	"With 10 years of experience in guest and home management Christchurch Holiday Homes and other local managers should be more included in the decision making process. We have not been invited to provide statistics and		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				look forward to working with CCC constructively to assist creating a register and code of conduct that benefits our community."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.346	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.360	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.342	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.197	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
S108 Victoria Riddiford	S108.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: night limits for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones] "That there is no requirement for resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation of 1-60 days... Only require discretionary resource consent for accommodation of more than 61 days and delete the requirement for a controlled activity resource consent for 1-60 days"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.347	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.361	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.343	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S109 Karen Gilby	S109.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: night limits for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit] "Oppose the 60 day policy recommendation, it will difficult to monitor and will mean the demand will be way out of balance from supply as the properties currently in this market would no longer be available as it would not be viable. Currently many properties have 1 week, 28 day, 3 month bookings with short term guest accommodation slotted in the gaps."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.348	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.362	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.344	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.198	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S109.2	Reject	Oppose	"To allow residential guests to stay for short term purposes 365 days per year with the same type of resource consent the council is currently recommending"		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
				for the 60 day term. This will mean the properties are tracked in the council system and they will have to adhere to the guidelines and requirements."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.349	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.363	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.345	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS15.199	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
S110 Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board (c/o Karolin Potter)	S110.1	Accept in part	Support	"The Board supports the proposed plan change as it enables more housing to remain available for owner/renter occupiers by introducing more restrictive rules for unhosted, commercial-type visitor accommodation in residential zones and primarily directing this accommodation to commercial areas."			
	S110.2	Accept	Support	"The Board also supports the retention of more permissive rules for hosted visitor accommodation in residential dwellings and the introduction of minor changes, such as restricting late check-ins, to mitigate negative impacts on neighbours."			
S111 Margaret Flanagan	S111.1	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"Support the submission made by AirBNB."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.210	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS10.350	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.364	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
				FS12.346	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S111.2	Reject	Oppose	"Owner-occupied AirBNB homes should not have restrictions on arrival and departure."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.211	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS10.351	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS11.365			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose			
			FS12.347	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S111.3	Reject	Oppose	"Limitations on days per year would affect my ability to pay my rates... cannot afford resource consent fees."				
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS4.212	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.352	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.366	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.348	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
	S111.4	Accept in part	Support in part	"See no problem in regulating apartments that are not owner-occupied that compete via location with hotels etc, as they are a conscious business operation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.213	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.353	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.367	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.349	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S112 Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd (Airbnb)	S112.1	Reject	Oppose	"Reject PC4 as notified"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.17 FS3.102	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.354	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.368	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.350	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.12	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
	FS15.200	Ricki Jones	Oppose			
	S112.2	Accept in part	Oppose	"Insert clear, simple provisions into the Christchurch District Plan which enable visitor accommodation and recognise the importance of Airbnb and other similar accommodation types to the economy and community of Christchurch, as per the relief set out in Annexure B; and Any other similar relief that would deal with Airbnb's concerns set out in this submission... The drafting suggested in this annexure is not comprehensive, but reflects the key changes Airbnb seeks. Consequential amendment would also be necessary to other parts of the proposed PC4 amendments."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
		FS3.18 FS3.103	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
		FS10.355	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
		FS11.369	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS12.351	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.13	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS15.201	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.3	Reject	Oppose in part	"There is a clear need to achieve the right policy settings and remove inappropriate consenting regulation to enable the local visitor economy to grow, protect consumer choice, and empower local residents to secure their financial future through home sharing."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.19 FS3.104	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.356	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.370	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.352	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.14	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS15.202	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.4	Reject	Support in part	"Airbnb supports reform of the planning framework for home sharing in Christchurch to remove overly burdensome and unwarranted restrictions on whole unit listings and treat home-share accommodation as a form of residential activity... The operative rule regime in the Christchurch District Plan is not fit for purpose and would benefit greatly from improved clarity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.20 FS3.105	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.357	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.371	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.353	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.15	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS15.203	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.5	Accept in part	Oppose	"The District Plan does not need to attempt to replicate the policies and standards that already apply to hosts and guests on Airbnb, which are already operating effectively to manage residential amenity and character issues."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.21 FS3.106	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS10.358	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.372	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.354	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.16	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS15.204	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.6	Accept in part	Oppose	"In the event that the relief sought in this submission is not accepted, if resource consent is to be required for any home sharing activity (whether hosted or un-hosted), notification (either public or limited) of any resource consent application should be precluded. The only exception to this approach should be for the existing specifically-defined situations where limited notification is required with respect to rules related to strategic infrastructure."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.22 FS3.107	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS8.12	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	
			FS10.359	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.373	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.355	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.17	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS15.205	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.7	Reject	Oppose	"Airbnb seeks that PC4 is rejected and replaced with effects-based, simple, and understandable provisions which enable responsible Airbnb hosting in Christchurch and recognise the significant contribution that Airbnb and similar platforms make to the visitor economy and community."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.23 FS3.108	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.360	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.374	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.356	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.206	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.8	Reject	Oppose	[re: definition of "hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit"]		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				"Delete this definition... There is no justification for distinguishing between "hosted" and "unhosted" accommodation in a residential unit."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.24 FS3.109	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.361	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.375	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.357	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.207	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.9	Reject	Oppose	[re: definition of "unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit"] "Delete this definition... There is no justification for distinguishing between "hosted" and "unhosted" accommodation in a residential unit."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.25 FS3.110	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.362	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.376	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.358	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.208	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.10	Reject	Oppose	<p>"Insert a new definition as follows:</p> <p><u>Home sharing:</u> <u>means the use of a residential unit for visitor accommodation where individual bookings are for less than 21 consecutive days in length each.</u></p> <p>A simple definition for 'home sharing' should be introduced into the plan which identifies this activity succinctly and simply, avoiding unnecessary layers of complexity for hosts.</p> <p>Individual stays that are greater than 21 days in length should fall within the standard definition of 'residential activity'."</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.26 FS3.111	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.363	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.377	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.359	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.209	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.1 1	Reject	Oppose	<p data-bbox="972 427 1464 459"><i>[re: definition of "residential activity"]</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 507 1989 577">"Home sharing is a form of residential activity and should be treated as such within the definitions of the plan.</p> <p data-bbox="972 625 1742 657">Amend the definition of "residential activities" as follows:</p> <p data-bbox="972 705 1818 775">means the use of land and/or buildings for the purpose of living accommodation. It includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="972 791 1998 861">a. a residential unit, boarding house, student hostel or a family flat (including accessory buildings); <li data-bbox="972 868 1541 900">b. emergency and refuge accommodation; <li data-bbox="972 906 1191 938">c. <u>home sharing</u> <li data-bbox="972 944 1854 1015">ed. <u>use of a residential unit as a holiday home where a payment in money, goods or services is not exchanged;</u> <li data-bbox="972 1021 1836 1091">de. <u>house-sitting and direct home exchanges where a tariff is not charged;</u> <li data-bbox="972 1098 2007 1225">ef. <u>rented accommodation and serviced apartments not covered by clause (g) and where individual bookings are for a minimum of 28 consecutive days (except in the Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone); and</u> <li data-bbox="972 1232 1263 1264">fg. <u>sheltered housing;</u> <p data-bbox="972 1276 1155 1308">but excludes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="972 1315 1756 1385">gh. <u>guest visitor accommodation other than home sharing, including hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist</u> 		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			<p>lodges, backpackers, hostels, farmstays, camping grounds, hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit; hi. the use of land and/or buildings for custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site; and hj. accommodation associated with a fire station."</p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.27 FS3.112	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS8.10	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	
			FS10.364	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.378	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.360	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.210	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.1 2	Reject	Support in part	<p>[re: definition of "residential unit"]</p> <p>"Support this drafting provided that home sharing is included within the definition of a "residential activity" ... Retain the amendments proposed, provided Airbnb's other relief is accepted."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.28 FS3.113	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.365	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.379	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.361	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.211	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.1 3	Reject	Support in part	<p>[re: definition of "sensitive activity"]</p> <p>"If home sharing is treated as a residential activity as requested above it will be captured by this definition under a) in the list adjacent.</p> <p>Amend the definition of "sensitive activities" as follows:</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			<p>means:</p> <p>a. residential activities, unless specified below;</p> <p>b. care facilities;</p> <p>c. education activities and preschools, unless specified below;</p> <p>d. <u>guest visitor</u> accommodation, unless specified below;</p> <p>e. health care facilities which include accommodation for overnight care;</p> <p>f. hospitals; and</p> <p>g. custodial and/or supervised living accommodation where the residents are detained on the site;</p> <p>but excludes in relation to airport noise:</p> <p>h. any residential activities, in conjunction with rural activities that comply with the rules in the relevant district plans as at 23 August 2008;</p> <p>i. flight training or other trade and industry training activities located on land zoned or legally used for commercial activities or industrial activities, including the Specific Purpose (Airport) Zone; and</p> <p>j. <u>guest visitor</u> accommodation (except hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit or unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit) which is designed, constructed and operated to a standard to mitigate the effects of aircraft noise on occupants."</p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.29 FS3.114	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS8.11	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	
			FS10.366	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.380	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.362	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.212	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
		Reject	Oppose in part	[Chapter 6 General Rules]		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S112.1 4			<p>"Home sharing of all types should be treated as a residential activity for the purposes of application of the general district-wide rules.</p> <p>Delete the proposed drafting amendments in the General chapter or amend further to treat home sharing of all scales the same way as residential activities."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.30 FS3.115	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.367	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.381	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.363	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.213	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.1 5	Accept in part	Oppose in part	<p>[Chapter 7 Transport]</p> <p>"Home sharing of all types should be treated as a residential activity for the purposes of application of the transport rules.</p> <p>The amendments applying particular transport and parking rules to "unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit" for more than 60 days per year in a residential zone, "hosted accommodation in a residential unit" with more than 6 guests, and "visitor accommodation for up to ten guests in a rural zone" are unnecessary and should be deleted. The same rules should apply to a residential unit regardless of whether it is being utilised for a home share or being used by the owners as their dwelling.</p> <p>Delete the proposed drafting amendments in the Transport chapter or amend further to treat home sharing of all scales the same way as residential activities."</p>		
Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose				
FS3.31 FS3.116	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested													
			FS10.368	Bob Pringle	Oppose												
			FS11.382	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose												
			FS12.364	Jeff Peters	Oppose												
			FS15.214	Ricki Jones	Oppose												
	S112.1 6	Reject	Oppose	<p data-bbox="972 300 1818 338"><i>[Chapter 12 Papakāinga / Kāinga Nohoanga Zone - Rule 12.4.1.1]</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 383 2016 497">"Home sharing should be permitted provided certain standards are met and, if the standards are not complied with, resource consent should be required for a controlled activity...</p> <p data-bbox="972 545 1836 619">Delete proposed new rules relating to "hosted" and "unhosted" "accommodation in a residential unit". Insert the following rules:</p> <p data-bbox="972 667 1236 699">Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 702 1854 989"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 702 1111 740">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1111 702 1854 740">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 740 1111 989"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1111 740 1335 989"><u>Home sharing</u></td> <td data-bbox="1335 740 1854 989"><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="972 1034 1245 1066">Controlled activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 1069 1854 1433"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 1069 1111 1107">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1111 1069 1854 1107">The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 1107 1111 1433"><u>CXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1111 1107 1335 1433"><u>Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in</u></td> <td data-bbox="1335 1107 1854 1433"><u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	Activity specific standards		<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>	Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control		<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>
Activity	Activity specific standards																
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>															
Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control																
<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>															

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				PXX		
				"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.32 FS3.117	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.369	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.383	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.365	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.215	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.1 7	Reject	Oppose	<p><i>[Chapter 12 Papakāinga / Kāinga Nohoanga Zone Rule 12.4.1.1 new activity rules for "visitor accommodation accessory to farming" and "visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation activity or rural tourism activity"]</i></p> <p>"To the extent that these new activity rules would apply to short term home share accommodation, delete and adopt the rules sought above <i>[in S112.16].</i>"</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.33 FS3.118	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.370	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.384	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.366	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.216	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.1 8	Reject	Oppose	<p><i>[Chapter 13 Specific Purpose (Flat Land Recovery) Zone Rule 13.11.4.1]</i></p> <p>"Home sharing should be permitted provided certain standards are met and, if the standards are not complied with, resource consent should be required for a controlled activity..."</p> <p>Delete proposed new rules relating to "hosted" and "unhosted" "accommodation in a residential unit". Insert the following rules:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested											
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Activity</th> <th>Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>PXX</u> Home sharing on a site that was privately owned as at 12 October 2015</td> <td><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Controlled activities</td> </tr> <tr> <th>Activity</th> <th>The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> <tr> <td><u>CXX</u> Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</td> <td><u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Activity	Activity specific standards	<u>PXX</u> Home sharing on a site that was privately owned as at 12 October 2015	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>	Controlled activities		Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control	<u>CXX</u> Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>	
Activity	Activity specific standards														
<u>PXX</u> Home sharing on a site that was privately owned as at 12 October 2015	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>														
Controlled activities															
Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control														
<u>CXX</u> Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>														
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose									
				FS3.34 FS3.119	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose									
				FS10.371	Bob Pringle	Oppose									
				FS11.385	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose									
				FS12.367	Jeff Peters	Oppose									
				FS15.217	Ricki Jones	Oppose									
	S112.19	Accept	Support in part	<p>[Residential chapter - Objective 14.2.6]</p> <p>"Support proposed drafting...</p> <p>Provided the other relief sought by Airbnb is accepted, it is neutral as to the amendments to this objective. Airbnb considers home sharing should be</p>											

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				provided for as a residential activity. Airbnb also considers it is appropriate for this objective to provide for visitor accommodation in residential zones."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.35 FS3.120	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.372	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.386	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.368	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.218	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.20	Accept	Support in part	[Residential chapter - Policies 14.2.6.3] "Support proposed drafting... Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated as a residential activity and therefore that it is not captured by the policies relating to "non-residential" activities."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.36 FS3.121	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.373	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.387	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.369	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.219	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.21	Accept	Support in part	[Residential chapter - Policies 14.2.6.4] "Support proposed drafting... Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated as a residential activity and therefore that it is not captured by the policies relating to "non-residential" activities."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.37 FS3.122	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested	
	S112.2 2	Accept in part	FS15.220 Support in part	Ricki Jones	Oppose
<p data-bbox="972 201 1480 240">[Residential chapter – Objective 14.2.9]</p> <p data-bbox="972 288 2018 564">“As explained in Appendix A, home sharing is a residential activity and should be regulated as such. If a residential unit complies with the relevant restrictions for residential activities and land use then the owners/occupiers should be free to use it accordingly. The relevant residential zone objectives and policies should reflect this principle and recognise the importance of home sharing to the district’s economy and social fabric.</p> <p data-bbox="972 612 1518 644">Amend the proposed drafting as follows:</p> <p data-bbox="972 692 1850 724"><u>14.2.9 Objective – Visitor Accommodation in Residential Zones</u></p> <p data-bbox="972 735 1742 842"><u>a. Visitors and other persons requiring short-term lodging have a broad choice of types and locations that meet their needs where:</u></p> <p data-bbox="972 855 1626 927"><u>i. this is compatible with the function and level of amenity intended for the zone; and</u></p> <p data-bbox="972 940 1563 1046"><u>ii. the use of any residential unit is still predominantly a residential activity, and the residential character of the site is retained.</u></p> <p data-bbox="972 1059 1742 1291"><u>b. Visitor accommodation such as hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels is only established in residential zones (except for the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay) where it of a scale and character that is consistent with meeting objectives for:</u></p> <p data-bbox="972 1303 1671 1450"><u>i. a sufficient supply of housing, including affordable housing, with a choice of locations including an increase in the number of households within the Four Avenues;</u></p>					

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<p><u>ii. a revitalised Central City with a wide diversity and concentration of activities that enhance its role as the primary focus of the City and region;</u> <u>iii. enabling the revitalising of commercial centres;</u> <u>iv. protecting strategic infrastructure from incompatible activities and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on them; and</u> <u>v. high quality residential neighbourhoods with a high level of amenity.</u> <u>c. Home sharing is enabled in residential zones and recognised as an activity which makes a significant contribution to economic and social wellbeing in the district.</u> <u>d. e. Visitor accommodation in the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay can establish, operate, intensify and/or redevelop in a way that is compatible with the character and amenity of adjoining residential, rural or open space zones; and does not expand the activity outside of the existing zone or overlay area into other non-commercial zones."</u></p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.38 FS3.123	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS15.221	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.2 3	Accept in part	Support in part	<p><i>[Residential chapter – Policy 14.2.9.1]</i></p> <p>"As explained in Appendix A, home sharing is a residential activity and should be regulated as such. If a residential unit complies with the relevant restrictions for residential activities and land use then the owners/occupiers should be free to use it accordingly. The relevant residential zone objectives and policies should</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested
				<p>reflect this principle and recognise the importance of home sharing to the district's economy and social fabric.</p> <p>Amend the proposed drafting as follows:</p> <p><u>14.2.9.1 Policy – Visitor Accommodation in a Residential Unit Home sharing</u></p> <p><u>a. Permit Enable home sharing in residential zones and recognise the importance of this activity to economic and social wellbeing in the district.</u></p> <p><u>b. Provide for home sharing as a valid and appropriate use of a residential unit. Where home sharing is carried out in a residential unit which is fit for existing residential use and complies with other residential scale and density requirements, no additional restrictions will be imposed.</u></p> <p><u>visitor accommodation in a residential unit where:</u></p> <p><u>i. at least one permanent resident of the site is in residence for the duration of the stay;</u></p> <p><u>ii. the number of visitors, including additional guests not spending the night, is comparable to use by a residential household; and</u></p> <p><u>iii. disturbance to neighbours is minimal.</u></p> <p><u>b. Manage visitor accommodation in a residential unit while the permanent resident(s) are not in residence to minimise adverse effects on the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings including through:</u></p> <p><u>i. restrictions on the scale, duration and frequency of use to ensure that the residential unit is still predominantly used for a residential activity; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. management of operations to minimise</u></p>

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested						
				<p><u>disturbance of neighbours, including providing contact and site management information to guests and neighbours.</u></p> <p><u>c. Avoid home sharing visitor accommodation in a residential unit at a scale, duration and/or frequency that cannot be managed in a way that minimises adverse effects on commercial centres or the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings; or that would be likely to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects on strategic infrastructure."</u></p>						
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose					
			FS3.39 FS3.124	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose					
			FS15.222	Ricki Jones	Oppose					
	S112.2 4	Reject	Oppose	<p>[Residential chapter - All residential activity status tables]</p> <p>"Home sharing should be permitted provided certain standards are met and, if the standards are not complied with, resource consent should be required for a controlled activity...</p> <p>Delete proposed new rules relating to "hosted" and "unhosted" "accommodation in a residential unit" in all of the various residential zones. Insert the following rules throughout:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 1177 1854 1380"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 1177 1072 1220">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1072 1177 1323 1220">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 1220 1072 1380"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1072 1220 1854 1380"> <u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Activity	Activity specific standards	<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and</u>
Activity	Activity specific standards									
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and</u>									

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested																										
				<p data-bbox="1330 177 1816 252"><u>provide those records to the Council on request.</u></p> <p data-bbox="972 300 1245 331">Controlled activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 336 1854 703"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 336 1317 416">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1317 336 1854 416">The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 416 1317 703"> <p data-bbox="981 424 1294 695"><u>CXX Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></p> </td> <td data-bbox="1317 416 1854 703"> <p data-bbox="1330 424 1794 576"><u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="972 708 987 724">"</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="779 743 1749 1024"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="779 743 958 807">Further Submission #</th> <th data-bbox="958 743 1525 807">Further Submitter</th> <th data-bbox="1525 743 1749 807">Support or Oppose</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="779 807 958 863">FS3.40 FS3.125</td> <td data-bbox="958 807 1525 863">Victoria Neighbourhood Association</td> <td data-bbox="1525 807 1749 863">Oppose</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="779 863 958 895">FS8.13</td> <td data-bbox="958 863 1525 895">Christchurch International Airport Limited</td> <td data-bbox="1525 863 1749 895">Support</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="779 895 958 927">FS10.374</td> <td data-bbox="958 895 1525 927">Bob Pringle</td> <td data-bbox="1525 895 1749 927">Oppose</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="779 927 958 959">FS11.388</td> <td data-bbox="958 927 1525 959">Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch</td> <td data-bbox="1525 927 1749 959">Oppose</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="779 959 958 991">FS12.370</td> <td data-bbox="958 959 1525 991">Jeff Peters</td> <td data-bbox="1525 959 1749 991">Oppose</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="779 991 958 1024">FS15.223</td> <td data-bbox="958 991 1525 1024">Ricki Jones</td> <td data-bbox="1525 991 1749 1024">Oppose</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control	<p data-bbox="981 424 1294 695"><u>CXX Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></p>	<p data-bbox="1330 424 1794 576"><u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></p>	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	FS3.40 FS3.125	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	FS8.13	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	FS10.374	Bob Pringle	Oppose	FS11.388	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	FS12.370	Jeff Peters	Oppose	FS15.223	Ricki Jones	Oppose
Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control																													
<p data-bbox="981 424 1294 695"><u>CXX Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></p>	<p data-bbox="1330 424 1794 576"><u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></p>																													
Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose																												
FS3.40 FS3.125	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose																												
FS8.13	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support																												
FS10.374	Bob Pringle	Oppose																												
FS11.388	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose																												
FS12.370	Jeff Peters	Oppose																												
FS15.223	Ricki Jones	Oppose																												
	S112.2 5	Reject	Support in part	<p data-bbox="972 1031 1756 1062"><i>[Chapter 15 Commercial Objective 15.2.5 and Policy 15.2.6.1]</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 1110 1973 1302">"Airbnb supports recognition that a range of activities, including residential activities and visitor accommodation is supported in the central city to enhance vitality. Airbnb seeks that specific mention is made of home sharing activity in this objective.</p> <p data-bbox="972 1350 1223 1382">Amend as follows:</p>																										

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			<p>15.2.5 Objective - Diversity and distribution of activities in the Central City</p> <p>a. A range of commercial activities, community activities, cultural activities, residential activities (including home sharing) and guest visitor accommodation are supported in the Central City to enhance its viability, vitality and the efficiency of resources, while encouraging activities in specific areas by:</p> <p>i. Defining the Commercial Central City Business Zone as the focus of retail activities and offices and limiting the height of buildings to support an intensity of commercial activity across the zone;</p> <p>ii. Limiting the extent to which retail activity and offices occur outside the Commercial Central City Business Zone;</p> <p>iii. Providing for key anchor projects within and around the Commercial Central City Business Zone;</p> <p>iv. Encouraging entertainment and hospitality activity (including late-night trading) in defined precincts and managing the extent to which these activities (except for visitor accommodation) occur outside the precincts."</p>	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose
				FS3.41 FS3.126	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose
				FS10.375	Bob Pringle	Oppose
				FS11.389	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose
				FS12.371	Jeff Peters	Oppose
				FS15.224	Ricki Jones	Oppose
	S112.2 6	Reject	Oppose in part	<p><i>[Chapter 15 Commercial rules for the Commercial Core, Commercial Local, Commercial Banks Peninsula, Commercial Central City Business, Commercial Central City Mixed Use, and Commercial Central City (South Frame) Mixed Use zones]</i></p> <p>"As discussed above, Airbnb seeks that home sharing falls within the definition of residential activities.</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested												
				<p>Airbnb is supportive of the fact that the Council has not sought to impose complex rules related to "hosted" and "unhosted" visitor accommodation in a residential unit in the commercial zone rules.</p> <p>However, given this activity is to be singled out through PC4 and provided for in other chapters of the plan, Airbnb seeks specific recognition for home sharing in the commercial zone rules as a permitted activity, for clarity and to avoid any future unintended consequences which may arise from failure to specifically provide for home sharing.</p> <p>Alternatively, provided Airbnb's requested relief is accepted and home sharing is included in the definition of "residential activities" then no amendment is needed as home sharing will be captured by the existing rules applying to residential activities in commercial zones.</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 815 1852 1144"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 815 1093 858">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1093 815 1339 858"></th> <th data-bbox="1339 815 1852 858">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 858 1093 1144"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1093 858 1339 1144"><u>Home sharing</u></td> <td data-bbox="1339 858 1852 1144"><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Controlled activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 1225 1852 1428"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 1225 1093 1268">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1093 1225 1339 1268"></th> <th data-bbox="1339 1225 1852 1268">The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 1268 1093 1428"><u>CXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1093 1268 1339 1428"><u>Home sharing which does not comply</u></td> <td data-bbox="1339 1268 1852 1428"><u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council b. Host's plan to manage</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Activity		Activity specific standards	<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>	Activity		The matters over which Council reserves its control	<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council b. Host's plan to manage</u>
Activity		Activity specific standards														
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>														
Activity		The matters over which Council reserves its control														
<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council b. Host's plan to manage</u>														

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested	
				<p><u>with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></p>	<p><u>outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></p>
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose
			FS3.42 FS3.127	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose
			FS10.376	Bob Pringle	Oppose
			FS11.390	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose
			FS12.372	Jeff Peters	Oppose
			FS15.225	Ricki Jones	Oppose
	S112.2 7	Reject	Oppose	<p>[Chapter 16 Industrial General Zone (Waterloo Park) Rule 16.4.3.1]</p> <p>"Airbnb seeks that Home sharing is treated the same as residential activity.</p> <p>Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 relates to residential activity outside the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour line should apply to home sharing in the same way that it applies to other forms of residential activity.</p> <p>No additional rules are necessary.</p> <p>In the alternative, if a separate rule is deemed necessary, it should be a simple, clear regime which relates back to the same standards as are applicable to residential activities.</p> <p>Delete proposed new rules relating to "hosted" and "unhosted" "accommodation in a residential unit".</p> <p>Alternatively, insert a new permitted activity rule relating to home sharing and ament rule 16.4.3.1.5 NC1 as follows:</p>	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested														
				<p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 252 1854 699"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 252 1093 296">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1093 252 1854 296">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 296 1093 699">PXX</td> <td data-bbox="1093 296 1339 699">Home sharing</td> <td data-bbox="1339 296 1854 699"> <u>a. The residential unit in which the home sharing is carried out complies with the standards in Rule 16.4.1.1 P2. .</u> <u>b. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Non-complying activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 782 1854 948"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 782 1093 826">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1093 782 1854 826"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 826 1093 948">NC1</td> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="1093 826 1854 948">Any residential activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 <u>or a home sharing activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 PXX</u> that does not meet activity specific standard a.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>"</p>			Activity	Activity specific standards		PXX	Home sharing	<u>a. The residential unit in which the home sharing is carried out complies with the standards in Rule 16.4.1.1 P2. .</u> <u>b. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>	Activity			NC1	Any residential activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 <u>or a home sharing activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 PXX</u> that does not meet activity specific standard a.	
Activity	Activity specific standards																	
PXX	Home sharing	<u>a. The residential unit in which the home sharing is carried out complies with the standards in Rule 16.4.1.1 P2. .</u> <u>b. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>																
Activity																		
NC1	Any residential activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 P2 <u>or a home sharing activity listed in Rule 16.4.3.1.1 PXX</u> that does not meet activity specific standard a.																	
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose												
				FS3.43 FS3.128	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose												
				FS8.14	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support												
				FS10.377	Bob Pringle	Oppose												
				FS11.391	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose												
				FS12.373	Jeff Peters	Oppose												
				FS15.226	Ricki Jones	Oppose												
	S112.28	Accept in Part	Oppose	[Chapter 17 Rural rules for Rural Banks Peninsula Zone, Rural Port Hills Zone, and Rural Templeton Zone]														

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested														
				<p>"As explained in Appendix A, a number of Airbnb hosts are located in rural areas, particularly Banks Peninsula. Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated the same way as a residential activity in the plan...</p> <p>Delete proposed new rules relating to "hosted" and "unhosted" "accommodation in a residential unit".</p> <p>Insert the following rules:</p> <p>Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="974 534 1854 821"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="974 534 1093 576">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1093 534 1854 576">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 576 1093 821"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1093 576 1339 821"><u>Home sharing</u></td> <td data-bbox="1339 576 1854 821"><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Controlled activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="974 901 1854 1268"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="974 901 1093 981">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1093 901 1854 981">The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="974 981 1093 1268"><u>CXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1093 981 1339 1268"><u>Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1339 981 1854 1268"><u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>"</p>			Activity	Activity specific standards		<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>	Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control		<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>
Activity	Activity specific standards																	
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u>																
Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control																	
<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with the activity specific standards in PXX</u>	<u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>																
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose													
			FS3.44 FS3.129	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose													

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested							
			FS10.378	Bob Pringle	Oppose						
			FS11.392	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose						
			FS12.374	Jeff Peters	Oppose						
			FS15.227	Ricki Jones	Oppose						
	S112.2 9	Accept in part	Oppose	<p data-bbox="972 300 2020 336">[Chapter 17 Rural rules for Rural Urban Fringe Zone and Rural Waimakariri Zone]</p> <p data-bbox="972 384 2020 619">"Airbnb seeks that home sharing is treated the same way as a residential activity in the plan, and accordingly home sharing would fall to be regulated through those existing rules. The amendments proposed seek to retain the status quo, allowing home sharing as a residential activity in existing residential units or in new residential units where those new units are permitted...</p> <p data-bbox="972 667 1662 735">Delete proposed new rules relating to "hosted" and "unhosted" "accommodation in a residential unit".</p> <p data-bbox="972 746 1312 783">Insert the following rules:</p> <p data-bbox="972 826 1361 863"><u>17.5 Rural Urban Fringe Zone</u></p> <p data-bbox="972 906 1236 943">Permitted activities</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="972 946 1850 1426"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 946 1093 986">Activity</th> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="1093 946 1850 986">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 986 1093 1426"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1093 986 1339 1426"><u>Home sharing</u></td> <td data-bbox="1339 986 1850 1426"> <p data-bbox="1352 991 1836 1225"><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1352 1230 1836 1426"><u>b. where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new</u></p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	Activity specific standards		<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<p data-bbox="1352 991 1836 1225"><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1352 1230 1836 1426"><u>b. where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new</u></p>
Activity	Activity specific standards										
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u>	<p data-bbox="1352 991 1836 1225"><u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u></p> <p data-bbox="1352 1230 1836 1426"><u>b. where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new</u></p>									

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested	
					<u>residential unit that is provided for as a permitted activity</u>
				Controlled activities	
				Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control
				CXX	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standard a. in PXX</u> <u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>
				Non-Complying activities	
				Activity	
				NC5	a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including: i. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha; ii. <u>any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard b.</u> iii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and iv. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.
				<u>17.6 Rural Waimakariri Zone</u>	
				Permitted activities	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested					
				<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 169 1093 213">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1093 169 1854 213">Activity specific standards</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 213 1093 778"><u>PXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1093 213 1854 778"> <u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> <u>b. where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for as a permitted activity</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	Activity specific standards	<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> <u>b. where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for as a permitted activity</u>
Activity	Activity specific standards								
<u>PXX</u>	<u>Home sharing</u> <u>a. The owner of the residential unit must keep records of the number of nights booked per year and the dates used for visitor accommodation and provide those records to the Council on request.</u> <u>b. where located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or 50 dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour as shown on the planning maps, must occur in an existing residential unit or a new residential unit that is provided for as a permitted activity</u>								
				<p data-bbox="972 820 1245 858">Controlled activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 858 1093 903">Activity</th> <th data-bbox="1093 858 1854 903">The matters over which Council reserves its control</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 903 1093 1228"><u>CXX</u></td> <td data-bbox="1093 903 1854 1228"> <u>Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standards a. in PXX</u> <u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control	<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standards a. in PXX</u> <u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>
Activity	The matters over which Council reserves its control								
<u>CXX</u>	<u>Home sharing which does not comply with activity specific standards a. in PXX</u> <u>a. Record keeping and provision of information to the Council</u> <u>b. Host's plan to manage outdoor recreation and entertainment</u>								
				<p data-bbox="972 1270 1312 1308">Non-Complying activities</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="972 1308 1854 1353">Activity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="972 1308 1854 1353"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Activity			
Activity									

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			NC6	<p>a. Any sensitive activities located within the 50dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the 50dB Ldn Engine Testing Contour, including:</p> <p>v. any residential unit on a site less than 4ha;</p> <p><u>vi. any home sharing activity listed in Rule 17.6.1.1 PXX that does not meet activity specific standard</u></p> <p><u>b.</u></p> <p>vii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P7 that does not meet activity specific standard d.; and</p> <p>viii. any activity listed in Rule 17.5.1.1 P11 that does not meet activity specific standard c. or d.</p>		
			"			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.45 FS3.130	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS8.15	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	
			FS10.379	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.393	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.375	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.228	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S112.30	Accept in part	Oppose	<p><i>[Chapter 17 Rural new rules for "visitor accommodation accessory to farming" and "visitor accommodation accessory to a conservation or rural tourism activity]</i></p> <p>"To the extent that these new activity rules would apply to short term home sharing accommodation, delete and adopt the rules sought above [<i>in S112.28 or S112.29</i>].</p> <p>To the extent that these rules may capture Airbnb hosts or home sharing, Airbnb seeks that – as discussed above – a clear and simple regime applies which does not contain unnecessary restrictions and which is easy for hosts to understand and comply with."</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.46 FS3.131	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS10.380	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.394	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.376	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.229	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
S113 Church Property Trustees and Sister Eveleen Retreat House Board	S113.1	Accept in part	Oppose	<p><i>[With respect to 6 Whitewash Head Road, Sumner - Rule 14.7.1.1 P22, 14.7.1.2 C5, 14.7.1.4 D6 & D7, 7.4.3 standards of carparking number of mobility parts, gradient, design, 7.5 cycle parks]</i></p> <p>"<i>[Church Property Trustees] oppose</i> the specific provisions above as they relate to the continued operation of Sister Eveleen Retreat House [<i>SERH</i>] at 6 Whitewash Head Road, Sumner.</p> <p><i>[CPT seeks that]</i> Council acknowledge the existing use right of Sister Eveleen Retreat House at 6 Whitewash Head Road, Sumner.</p> <p>That the Council <u>permit</u> continued operation of the retreat house without application for resource consent.</p> <p>That the Council do not impose limits on use of SERH based on access, car or cycle parking."</p>		
S114 Kara Unsworth	S114.1	Reject	Oppose	<p>"No change to the current District Plan Provisions for AirBnB and short term rentals... do understand that you do not want to have empty buildings in the City then... propose a limited number of Home Shares available in residential complexes? But to rule against Home Share in the Central City would add further economic stress to the CBD of Christchurch."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.381	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.395	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.377	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S114.2	Reject	Oppose	"Do we need to further waste Christchurch peoples rates money on putting further strain on Council resources to ensure compliance due to your rule changes."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS10.382	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.396	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.378	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S115 Edward Jenkins	S115.1	Reject	Oppose	"Oppose the whole proposal. Home sharing is a residential activity and should be treated as such... Reject PC4 as notified."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS3.101	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose		
			FS10.383	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.397	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
	FS12.379	Jeff Peters	Oppose				
S116 Phillip Dodds	S116.1	Reject	Oppose	"Independent homeowners should be able to continue to offer short term accommodation in their home if it is shared without having restrictions and should not be required to undergo a resource management application so long as all health and safety requirements are met and maintained by the owner... Maintain all provisions as they currently exist."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS10.384	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.398	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.380	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S117 Hannah Herchenbach	S117.1	Reject	Oppose	<p><i>[re: night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones]</i></p> <p>"I rent out one room in my three-bedroom home; 99% of the time, either my flatmate or I are at home... However, sometimes due to last-minute changes, we are not home and I do not see why these instances should merit the need for a resource consent..."</p>			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
				Please reconsider the restrictions surrounding unhosted accommodation... as the concerns surrounding these issues (sound?) could surely be addressed in more flexible ways."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS10.385	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.399	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.381	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
	S117.2	Reject	Oppose	[re: additional standards for hosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling] "Please reconsider the restrictions surrounding... late-night arrivals, as the concerns surrounding these issues (sound?) could surely be addressed in more flexible ways."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS10.386	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.400	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.382	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
S118 Jacob Turnbull	S118.1	Accept	Support in part	"Support a plan change that looks to correct inadequacies with the present definitions and policies that are not clear and which resulted in the environment court's recommendation."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS15.230	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
	S118.2	Accept	Support in part	"With increasing demand for this activity some controls may be required for visitor accommodation (e.g. more restrictive than a permitted activity status), but clearly there needs to be more certainty for homeowners wanting to provide for the activity and those affected by the activity."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support	or	
			FS15.231	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
S118.3	Accept in part	Support in part	"Support all of the new definitions except... sufficient evidence has [not] been presented on why different adverse effects that would arise from hosted or un-hosted visitor accommodation. As stated in the Council report, with the				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				current rules it is difficult to identify if someone is living on-site (hosting). This would therefore persist with the proposed rules so... using one definition for both these activities would be preferable from both a compliance and effects perspective."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.132	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS15.232	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S118.4	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"This activity has the potential to cause some disturbances to neighbours beyond what could be expected with residential use because visitors may not be as caring for the surrounding environment and the District Plan noise rules do not apply to "spontaneous social activities". Some specific rules may be necessary to account for this however the number of complaints arising from the activity (2.2.48 of the S32 report) do not warrant non-complying activities and the wide subjects of discretion in the avoid policy 14.2.9.1 c"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.133	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS15.233	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S118.5	Reject	Oppose	"Seek removal of the words "duration and frequency" in Policy 14.2.9.1 b. i. and 14.2.9.1 c. The tiered approach to the nightcap that appears to relates to these two words is not workable. The effects of someone operating a holiday home year-round vs 90-180 days will be no different. It is highly impractical to need to obtain a rental for a period of approximately 6 months each year in the wintertime."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.134	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.387	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.401	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.383	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.234	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S118.6	Accept in part	Oppose	"Seek removal of "commercial centres" from policy 14.2.9.1 c. By including this in the avoidance policy (the implications of which Environmental Defence Society Inc v The New Zealand King Salmon Co Ltd [2014] spell out), it is		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				unclear how anyone looking to establish this activity could truly show that adverse effects on this aspect are being minimised. It is expected that by having a strict avoidance policy whilst including commercial centres, that this could lead to a number of declined resource consents. Therefore the rules as they stand effectively prohibit the activity from occurring in residential zones for more than 180 days per year."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS15.235	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S118.7	Accept in part	Oppose	"The policies and rules fail to provide any certainty for the continuation for the activity in residential areas."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.388	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.402	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.384	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.236	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S118.8	Reject	Oppose	"Seek amendment of 14.4.1.2 C7 to instead being a permitted activity"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.135	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.388A	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.402A	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.385	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.237	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S118.9	Reject	Oppose	"Seek amendment of 14.4.1.4 D8 and 14.4.1.5 NC8 e. to be a controlled activity... Some conditions... would be around hours of use for certain outdoor spaces including lighting, no material available for outdoor fires, maintenance of rubbish bins, contact register for the neighbours to be able to directly call someone (ideally the owner in the first instance) 24/7 should any issues around noise arise."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.389	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.403	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.386	Jeff Peters	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S118.1 0	Out of scope	FS15.238	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
			Oppose in part	"More work should be done by the Council to manage the activity through education."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.136	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support		
			FS10.390	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.404	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.387	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS15.239	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
	S118.1 1	Accept in part	Support in part	"Support the Council using advocacy to support work that seeks positive outcomes by all people affected by the activity, such as that MBIE is working on in regard to the Code of Conduct for the Short-term Rental Accommodation Industry in NZ. This is a national issue that requires a national approach."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.391	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.405	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.388	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS15.240	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
	S118.1 2	Accept	Support in part	"More research needs to be done if minimum parking spaces should be implemented in residential zones... Removal of this minimum would be consistent with the NPS-UD."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.392	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.406	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.389	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
FS15.241			Ricki Jones	Oppose			
S119 Bookabach (c/o Eacham Curry)	S119.1	Reject	Oppose	"[Request] that Council reconsider the timing of its proposed significant changes to its regulation of STRA, until the impacts of COVID-19 are fully understood and optimal policy and regulatory decisions – including those being developed by the Central Government – can be made that will best manage STRA and support the rebuilding of the devastated tourism sector."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS10.393	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.407	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.390	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.242	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S119.2	Accept in part	Oppose	<p>"In developing a nation-wide regulatory framework, we've called on the Central Government to prioritise the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a nation-wide code of conduct to govern amenity issues, including the behaviour of both guests and owners/managers of STRA properties; • a government administered certification and enforcement mechanism to ensure compliance with the code of conduct (this could take the form of a simple register); • nation-wide planning rules that cater for the breadth of the STRA industry, taking account of STRA in both urban and regional centres; • nation-wide compliance standards for STRA properties; and • a data-sharing system that allows for information collection on STRA. <p>... it is these components that will best address issues related to STRA – amenity, accessibility and affordability."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.137	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.394	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.408	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.391	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
		FS15.243	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
S119.3	Reject	Oppose	<p><i>[re: definitions of hosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit, unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit and related provisions]</i></p> <p>"Bookabach does not support a regulatory approach that discriminates between hosted and unhosted short-term rentals...seek further clarification from Council on what it wants to achieve with this approach and how it would ensure safety for guests, address amenity issues and be implemented, monitored and enforced."</p>			
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS3.47	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose	
			FS4.139	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.395	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.409	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.392	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.244	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S119.4	Reject	Oppose	<p><i>[re: standards introducing booking night limits]</i></p> <p>"Seek further clarification from Council on what it wants to achieve with day limits and the evidence that shows the effectiveness of limiting the STRA offering... also seek information on the mechanism Council would use to determine activity for the three proposed thresholds for various resource consents (up to 60, 61-180 and >180 days). For example, is this day number based on the stated intent from the owner, the properties availability as advertised on online platforms, or a reported actual activity in a given year. Further, how would cancellations, paid or unpaid use of the property by relatives or friends, and bookings facilitated via offline channels by accounted for... also seek guidance on how, if implemented, day limits would be monitored and enforced."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.140	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.396	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.410	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.393	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.245	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S119.5	Reject	Oppose	<p>"Day limits are blunt and ineffective tools to address these four most commonly cited drivers for regulation; those being impact on housing stock affordability; availability; community and neighbourhood amenity and provision of local government services. By comparison, a compulsory and robust national Code of Conduct for the STRA sector has been demonstrated to be much more effective in dealing with these concerns."</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.141	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested	
			FS10.397	Bob Pringle	Oppose
			FS11.411	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose
			FS12.394	Jeff Peters	Oppose
			FS15.246	Ricki Jones	Oppose
	S119.6	Accept in part	Oppose	<p>"Bookabach does not support regulation that unfairly impinges on the property rights of homeowners who offer their property as STRA. Where governments or local councils believe STRA approval must exist, we believe that such schemes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o must have a low barrier of entry for homeowners (i.e. low cost, be expedient and accessible) o provide privacy and protection of homeowners' personal details o be used as a tool for informing policy and planning to grow tourism and ensure community expectations are upheld in a reasonable manner... <p>concerned at the potential for Council's required resource consent application process to be prohibitively expensive, onerous and uncertain for Christchurch residents... any imposed costs must be set and collected with full knowledge and understanding of the operating environment for Christchurch homeowners using STRA (given the sub-scale nature of STRA as a standalone business, i.e. low yield, low occupancy, low return on capital). It must be easily administered so that homeowners, for whom STRA is a part-time and marginal activity, are not caught up in a cycle where it becomes too onerous or costly to participate in the sector."</p>	
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose
			FS3.76	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Oppose
			FS4.142	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support
			FS10.398	Bob Pringle	Oppose
			FS11.412	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose
			FS12.395	Jeff Peters	Oppose
			FS15.247	Ricki Jones	Oppose
	S119.7	Reject	Oppose in part	[re: matters of control for proposed controlled activities]	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				"Seek information from Council on what would guide its consideration of these controls, clarification on what it wants to achieve with these controls, and how they would be implemented, monitored and enforced."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS15.248	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S119.8	Out of scope	Oppose in part	"Council has not indicated how long it believes the processing time for Resource Consent applications will be or how it will resource the thousands of applications likely to be made if the propose Plan Change is implemented... seek further information from Council on these points."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.399	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.413	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.396	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.249	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S119.9	Accept in part	Oppose	"Rules and regulations specific to the sharing economy – like STRA – should be light-touch and protect consumers and communities without creating undue regulatory burden that stifles the huge shared benefits."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.143	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.400	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.414	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.397	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.250	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
	S119.10	Reject	Oppose	"Seek further consideration of more appropriate regulation at the national and local level that will actually deliver against Council's desired goals. Experience in other jurisdictions shows that issues related to STRA – amenity, accessibility and affordability – are best addressed through a nation-wide regulatory framework including a simple registration system and a mandatory and enforceable STRA code of conduct for owners, managers and guests."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.138	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Support	
			FS10.401	Bob Pringle	Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS11.415	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.398	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS15.251	Ricki Jones	Oppose		
S120 Louise Edwards	S120.1	Reject	Oppose	[re: Unhosted short term rentals in residential zones]			
			"Support a two tiered system rather than a three tiered system which seems to be rather complicated."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS3.135	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support		
			FS10.402	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.84	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
				FS12.399	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S120.2	Reject	Oppose	[re: Unhosted short term rentals in residential zones]			
			"The maximum number of days should be 30 rather than 45 days."				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.403	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.85	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.400	Jeff Peters	Support		
S120.3	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"If the Council is serious about increasing the number of people living in the central city then there needs to be restriction on unhosted short term rentals in residential zones."				
		Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
		FS10.404	Bob Pringle	Support			
		FS11.86	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
		FS12.401	Jeff Peters	Support			
S121 S121a Ricki Jones	S121.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"Support PC4 in part for the controls placed on visitor accommodation in residential zones throughout the district. However it has not gone far enough with the regulation of unhosted visitor accommodation."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.405	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.87	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.402	Jeff Peters	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
	S121.2	Reject	Oppose	"There is no provision proposed in PC4 to restrict the number of properties being made available for use as Visitor Accommodation in developments... While each residential unit within a development is to be considered individually with respect to use as visitor accommodation, collectively the potential of whole blocks of apartments or developments having a high percentage of STRA could effectively turn them into tourist accommodation (quasi hotels). The risk of this happening could be considered higher in the new developments that have individual 'freehold titles'... Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling in a development of three properties or more the activity would be non complying." "		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.144	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS6.2	J Daly	Support	
			FS10.406	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.88	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.403	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S121.3	Reject	Support in part	"Seek relief that PC4 is approved with amendments to limiting the number of STRA within developments."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.145	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.407	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.89	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.404	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S121.4	Out of scope	Support in part	"Improved education leading to awareness of the Rules and regulations of STRA within the CCC and General Public."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.408	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.90	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.405	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S121.5	Out of scope	Support in part	"Changes made to the CCC website with respect to Visitor Accommodation that is informative, clear & user friendly eg Kaikoura and Queenstown."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS10.409	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.91	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.406	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S121.6	Accept in part	Support in part	"Council to continue to working alongside LGNZ and urge them to push for the recommendation of House 2030 and 'Unpacking the impacts of accommodation-sharing on local housing stock in New Zealand' December 2019."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.410	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.92	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.407	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S121.7	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"The suggested revisions contained in this Submission do not limit the generality of the reasons for the submission."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.411	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.93	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.408	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S121.8	Reject	Oppose	"Support PC4 in principal in residential zones, with an amendment requiring controlled activity resource consent for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential dwelling be replaced with a restricted discretionary. Therefore [it] would require a Restricted Discretionary activity resource consent for 1-60 days, Discretionary for 61-180 and Non-complying for more than 180 days."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.146	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.412	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.94	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.409	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S121.9	Out of scope	Oppose in part	"That the council enforcement and compliance teams are adequately staffed and supported. That they keep up to date with the various methods used in an attempt to manipulate and avoid compliance, especially with respect to website and platforms. Harsher fines are introduced. Reverse the general perception that the CCC 's likelihood of enforcing rules for Visitor Accommodation is low."		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS3.95	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support		
			FS10.413	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.95	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.410	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S121.10	Accept in part	Support in part	"That the council urge Central Government to establish a national register of Accommodation providers... suggest that a National Register is sort in the first instance without a Regulation Framework Component."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS10.414	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS11.96	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS12.411	Jeff Peters	Support	
S122 Paula Smith	S122.1	Reject	Oppose	<p>"Do not support the proposal to require a resource consent to have short term accommodation in the Diamond Harbour residential zone in Banks Peninsula, or to limit the number of nights that visitors can stay.</p> <p>Seek:</p> <p>A change to the District Plan which enables the provision of short term accommodation in the Diamond Harbour Residential Zone as a permitted activity, with no restriction on the number of nights accommodation available."</p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.169	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose in part		
S123 Canterbury Branch of Hospitality New Zealand	S123.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"Hospitality NZ is generally supportive of PC4 where it places further controls on visitor accommodation and its effects in residential zones throughout the district. Hospitality NZ considers that PC4 has a fundamental need as a response to issues in the district, and supports the 'Reasons for the Plan Change' as outlined in the section 32 report."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.415	Bob Pringle	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS11.97	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.412	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.21	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.252	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.2	Accept in part	Oppose	<p>“ Just as hotels and motels are regulated, so too should visitor accommodation within residential units. In the pure sense, an <i>accommodation provider</i> is an <i>accommodation provider</i>.</p> <p>The difference between a “motel” or “hotel” and an “unhosted visitor accommodation activity in a residential unit” is essentially that a motel/hotel may include an office, meeting and conference facility, fitness facility, convenience goods and services, and / or provide for the sale and supply of alcohol... In the planning sense, the difference is reflective that motels/hotels are often in commercial zones that enable the sale and supply of alcohol as a permitted activity. Unhosted accommodation has zero control or regulation in relation to the supply of alcohol which results in irresponsible consumption and can have an adverse effect on the neighbouring community.”</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.80	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.147	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.416	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.98	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.413	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.22	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.253	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.3	Reject	Oppose	<p>[re: definitions of “visitor accommodation” and “unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit”]</p> <p>“It is stated on page 4 of the section 32 report that “provisions in the District Plan should not conflict with or duplicate the functions of provisions in the Building Act, Building Code or fire safety regulations that sit at the national level”. Hospitality NZ does not seek that these documents are conflicted with or duplicated, rather it seeks that they are directed to within the plan provisions...”</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			<p>Hospitality NZ seeks the following amendments to the proposed definitions... (proposed text is <u>underline</u> and deleted text is <u>struckout</u>):</p> <p><i>Visitor accommodation</i> <i>"means land and/or buildings used for accommodating visitors <u>in compliance with the Building Act 2002</u>, subject to a tariff being paid, and includes any ancillary activities."</i></p> <p><i>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit</i> <i>"means a residential unit that is also used for visitor accommodation where:</i> <i>a. no permanent resident of that residential unit is in residence in the same residential unit for the duration of the stay;</i> <i>b. individual bookings by visitors are for less than 28 days each; and</i> <i>c. any family flat is not used for visitor accommodation; <u>and</u></i> <i><u>d. the building and activity comply with the Building Act 2002.</u></i> <i>Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit excludes hotels, resorts, motels, motor and tourist lodges, backpackers, hostels, farmstays and camping grounds."</i></p>			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.417	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.99	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.414	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.23	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.254	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.4	Reject	Oppose	<p>[re: matters of control for proposed controlled activities]</p> <p>"Hospitality NZ seeks that the following matter of control / discretion is added to the respective rules relating to unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit:</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<u>x. Evidence of compliance with the Building Act 2002."</u>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.148	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.418	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.100	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.415	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.24	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.255	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.5	Reject	Oppose	<p data-bbox="972 474 1473 504"><i>[Residential chapter - Objective 14.2.9]</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 552 1980 624">"In respect of the objectives and policies, Hospitality NZ seeks the following changes:</p> <p data-bbox="972 671 1823 702"><i>14.2.9 Objective – Visitor Accommodation in Residential Zones</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 711 2024 783"><i>a. Visitors and other persons requiring short-term lodging have a broad choice of types and locations that meet their needs where:</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 793 2013 865"><i>i. this is compatible with the function and level of amenity intended for the zone; and</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 874 1834 946"><i>ii. the use of any residential unit is still predominantly a residential activity, and the residential character of the site is retained.</i></p> <p data-bbox="972 956 2007 1107"><i>b. Visitor accommodation is <u>avoided in only established</u> in residential zones (except for the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay) where it <u>of a scale and character that is does not consistent with meeting objectives for:</u></i></p> <p data-bbox="972 1117 1935 1189"><i><u>i. demonstrate that the scale, duration and character of the activity will be commensurate with the residential amenity of the locale;</u></i></p> <p data-bbox="972 1198 1957 1350"><i><u>ii. demonstrate that the use will not adversely affect the a sufficient supply of housing, including affordable housing, with a choice of locations including an increase in the number of households within the Four Avenues;</u></i></p> <p data-bbox="972 1359 2002 1431"><i><u>iii. impact the vitality or deter the use of visitor accommodation facilities within the Central City and commercial centres a revitalised Central City with a wide</u></i></p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<p><i>diversity and concentration of activities that enhance its role as the primary focus of the City and region;</i></p> <p><i>iii. enabling the revitalising of commercial centres;</i></p> <p><i>iv. protecting strategic infrastructure from incompatible activities and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on them; and</i></p> <p><i>v. reduce the high level of amenity expected in high quality residential neighbourhoods with a high level of amenity.</i></p> <p><i>c. Visitor accommodation in the Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone and Accommodation and Community Facilities Overlay can establish, operate, intensify and/or redevelop in a way that is compatible with the character and amenity of adjoining residential, rural or open space zones; and does not expand the activity outside of the existing zone or overlay area into other non-commercial zones."</i></p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.149	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.419	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.101	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.416	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.25	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.256	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.6	Reject	Oppose	<p>[Residential chapter - Policy 14.2.9.1]</p> <p>"In respect of the objectives and policies, Hospitality NZ seeks the following changes:</p> <p>14.2.9.1 Policy – Visitor Accommodation in a Residential Unit</p> <p>a. Permit Enable visitor accommodation in a residential unit only where:</p> <p>i. at least one permanent resident of the site is in residence within the same residential unit for the duration of the stay;</p> <p>ii. the number of visitors, including additional guests not spending the night, is comparable to use by a residential household; and</p>		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				<p><i>iii. the duration of the visitor accommodation activity is subservient to the residential use of the site, no greater than 60 days per year, and the residential use remains the dominant use of the site; and</i></p> <p><i>iv#i. disturbance to neighbours is minimal.</i></p> <p><i>b. Manage visitor accommodation in a residential unit while the permanent resident(s) are not in residence to minimise adverse effects on the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings including through:</i></p> <p><i>i. restrictions on the scale, duration and frequency of use to ensure that the residential unit is still predominantly used for residential activity; and</i></p> <p><i>ii. management of operations to minimise disturbance of neighbours, including providing contact and site management information to guests and neighbours.</i></p> <p><i>c. Avoid visitor accommodation in a residential unit while the permanent resident(s) are not in residence that exceeds 60 days per year at a scale, duration and/or frequency that cannot be managed in a way that minimises adverse effects on commercial centres or the residential character, coherence and amenity of the site and its immediate surroundings; or that would be likely to give rise to reverse sensitivity effects on strategic infrastructure."</i></p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.70	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.150	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.420	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.102	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.417	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.26	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.257	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.7	Reject	Support in part	<p>"Hospitality NZ recommends the following: That PC4 is approved with amendments to further control visitor accommodation in residential zones and to avoid unhosted visitor accommodation in residential zones"</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.421	Bob Pringle	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS11.103	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.418	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.27	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.258	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.8	Reject	Oppose	"Non complying activity status is imposed on unhosted visitor accommodation in residential units"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS3.136	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support	
			FS4.151	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.422	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.104	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.419	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.28	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.259	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.9	Reject	Oppose in part	"Consideration is given to a threshold as to when a residential unit is no longer a residential unit by virtue of the principle activity being visitor accommodation"		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS4.152	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
			FS10.423	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.105	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.420	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS14.29	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support	
			FS15.260	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S123.10	Accept in part	Support in part	"Council, alongside key stakeholders (like HNZ) lobbies central government and supports the legislative framework needed to implement a national short term rental accommodation register which would allow for (including but not limited to) greater tax, building and fire safety compliance monitoring, data analysis, disaster relief and emergency management under the Civil Defence or Public Health umbrella."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.424	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.106	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.421	Jeff Peters	Support	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested				
			FS14.30	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support			
			FS15.261	Ricki Jones	Support			
	S123.1 1	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"Any other additional or consequential relief to the CDP, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, controls/discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in this submission"				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS10.425	Bob Pringle	Support		
				FS11.107	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
				FS12.422	Jeff Peters	Support		
				FS14.31	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support		
				FS15.262	Ricki Jones	Support		
				S123.1 2	Out of scope	Support in part		"CCC effectively enforces PC4"
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose					
	FS10.426	Bob Pringle	Support					
	FS11.108	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support					
	FS12.423	Jeff Peters	Support					
	FS14.32	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support					
	FS15.263	Ricki Jones	Support					
	S123.1 3	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"The suggested revisions contained in this Submission do not limit the generality of the reasons for the submission."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
FS10.427				Bob Pringle	Support			
FS11.109				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
FS12.424				Jeff Peters	Support			
FS14.33				Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Support			
FS15.264				Ricki Jones	Support			
S124 Axel Wilke	S124.1	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"Attached is the submission of the Victoria Neighbourhood Association... support the submission 100%."				
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
				FS4.153	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS10.428	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.110	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.425	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.265	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S124.2	Accept in part	Oppose in part	"The biggest risk for not meeting the NPS UD objectives is an ongoing proliferation of unhosted STRA. Nobody will want to live in close proximity to units where visitors create noise problems with some regularity. If unhosted STRA is not effectively curtailed, by adopting the recommendations made by our committee, densification will fail."		
	Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose			
	FS4.154	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose			
	FS10.429	Bob Pringle	Support			
	FS11.111	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support			
	FS12.426	Jeff Peters	Support			
FS15.266	Ricki Jones	Support				
S125 S125a Robin Meier	S125.1	Accept	Support	[re: <i>night caps for unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit in residential zones</i>] "Support restrictions on unhosted short term accommodation in the Central City."		
Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose				
FS3.71	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support				
FS10.430	Bob Pringle	Support				
FS11.112	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support				
FS12.427	Jeff Peters	Support				
S126 Tony Vine	S126.1	Reject	Oppose	"Allowing unhosted accommodation as a controlled activity is in effect just licensing this activity. This activity has a significant effect on residential neighbourhoods that are trying to attract inner city long term residential accommodation. Anything over 60 days should be by exception and require the level of compliance of any commercial accommodation in the city including off-street parking. It should not just be apply, pay and away you go."		
Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose				
FS3.81	Victoria Neighbourhood Association	Support				

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS4.155	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS10.431	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.113	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.428	Jeff Peters	Support		
	S126.2	Reject	Oppose	"Applicants should clearly demonstrate that there is no compliant accommodation available in the immediate neighbourhood."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.156	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS10.432	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS11.114	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS12.429	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S126.3	Accept in part	Support in part	"Applications should be notifiable to neighbours who can appeal the application. The onus should be on the applicant not the appellant."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.157	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
				FS10.433	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS11.115	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
				FS12.430	Jeff Peters	Support	
	S126.4	Reject	Oppose in part	"How does CCC plan to police consents?"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS10.434	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS11.116	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
FS12.431				Jeff Peters	Support		
S126.5	Reject	Oppose in part	"The whole situation may change in a few years so can the council revoke any consent? How will CCC ensure that where consents are given that the density is restricted, say 1 in every 50 properties and that we don't have whole blocks of short term accommodation?"				
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS4.158	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose		
			FS10.435	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.117	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.432	Jeff Peters	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
S127 Mark Forsythe	S127.1	Reject	Oppose	"It's a shame that the Council is considering this particularly backward proposal in connection with these beautiful... [<i>1-bedroom apartments in the Williams Corporation development at 466 Hagley Avenue</i>]... or those few of them which arbitrarily fall in a "residential zone" based on the District Plan. It would be so much better if visitors to our City could stay in complete comfort in the heart of our City."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.436	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.416	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.433	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
S128 Ōtākaro Limited (c/o Donna Sibley)	S128.1	Accept in part	Support	"Ōtākaro has no objection to the proposed plan change 4."		
S129 Temporary Accommodation Services (TAS), Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) (c/o Al Bruce)	S129.1	Reject	Amend	"TAS submits that the proposed changes to the District Plan include policies and provisions that enable the establishment of temporary accommodation in response to an emergency, while minimising impacts on the community and environment."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS8.4	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	
			FS10.437	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.417	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.434	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.18	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
	FS15.267	Ricki Jones	Support			
S129.2	Reject	Amend	"MBIE's submission seeks that Plan Change 4 – Short Term Accommodation includes provision for easy, flexible and streamlined placement of temporary accommodation by allowing exemptions to, or flexibility around, the District Plan rules for temporary accommodation e.g. exemption from setback provisions, site coverage/density rules, permitted activities etc."			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS8.5	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	
			FS10.438	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.418	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.435	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.19	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS15.268	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S129.3	Reject	Amend	<p>"Solutions to ensure timely delivery of temporary accommodation include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> streamlined and consistent resource and building consents processes for establishing temporary structures in an emergency across councils. This can be achieved through a shared and clear understanding of applicable regulatory requirements and approval processes councils to identify a number of sites suitable for a temporary village, and for those sites to have appropriate rules in their district plans to enable temporary accommodation in an emergency." 		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS8.6	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	
			FS10.439	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.419	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.436	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS14.20	Accommodation Association of New Zealand	Oppose	
			FS15.269	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S129.4	Reject	Amend	<p>"Development of a temporary accommodation policy similar to the Canterbury Earthquake Order"</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.440	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.420	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.437	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.270	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S129.5	Reject	Amend	<p>"Exemptions from, or flexibility around, rules for temporary accommodation units on private land e.g. exemption from setback provisions and site coverage rules"</p>		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
			FS8.7	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part		
			FS10.441	Bob Pringle	Oppose		
			FS11.421	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
			FS12.438	Jeff Peters	Oppose		
			FS15.271	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S129.6	Reject	Amend	<p>"Sites are identified as suitable for locating temporary villages and are given an appropriate designation. The site on which a TAS village may be located needs to meet particular requirements for ease of establishment and to be user-friendly for inhabitants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Owned by people/institutions who are willing for them to be developed • Sufficiently large to enable the placement of a number of dwellings • Connected to key utilities (wastewater, power, drinking water) • Close enough to the affected area to allow displaced households to continue to work, attend school and participate in community life." 			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS8.8	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part	
				FS10.442	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
				FS11.422	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
				FS12.439	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
				FS15.272	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S129.7	Reject	Amend	<p>"Sites identified as suitable for locating temporary villages to have appropriate rules that temporarily allow it e.g. permitted activities, higher density, and flexibility in the provision of services. Different rules may apply according to the likely duration of the temporary accommodation."</p>			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
FS8.9				Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose in part		
FS10.443				Bob Pringle	Oppose		
FS11.423				Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose		
FS12.440				Jeff Peters	Oppose		
FS15.273				Ricki Jones	Support		
S130 Rebecca Lucas	S130.1	Reject	Oppose	<p>[14.4.1.2 Controlled activities C7 Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit; 14.4.1.4 Discretionary activities D8 Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit]</p>			

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
				"Oppose the above 14.4.1.2 a. because the trigger point to 60 nights as a controlled activity is too low and should be 180... I oppose 14.4.1.4 discretionary activities D8 Unhosted visitor accommodation in a residential unit...for the same reasons as above. The maximum nights for discretionary should be over 180 and up to 180 nights should be a controlled activity."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.444	Bob Pringle	Oppose	
			FS11.424	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Oppose	
			FS12.441	Jeff Peters	Oppose	
			FS15.274	Ricki Jones	Oppose	
S131 Commodore Airport Hotel Limited (c/o Jamie Robinson)	S131.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"The Commodore is generally supportive of the objectives, policies and rules included in PPC4, and considers the proposed rules to be an improvement on the current framework."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.445	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.118	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.442	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.275	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S131.2	Accept	Support	"The Commodore supports the Policy direction in 14.2.9.1(b)(ii) requiring the provision of contact information and site management information to guests and neighbours."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.446	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.119	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.443	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.276	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S131.3	Accept	Support	"The Commodore supports the controlled activity status for renting up to 60 days a year, as this is likely to capture holiday home rental."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
FS10.447			Bob Pringle	Support		
FS11.120			Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested		
			FS12.444	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.277	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S131.4	Accept	Support	"The Commodore further supports the distinction between 60 day rentals of six or less people, and longer term rentals (or more guests)."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.448	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.121	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.445	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.278	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S131.5	Reject	Oppose in part	"Include health and safety requirements as a consideration when Council is determining a resource consent for un-hosted visitor accommodation."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.449	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.122	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.446	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.279	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S131.6	Accept	Support	"Retain the different activity status for activities with increasing chances for adverse effects (i.e. guest numbers and numbers of nights per year)."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.450	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.123	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.447	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.280	Ricki Jones	Support	
	S131.7	Out of scope	Support in part	"Ensure that the rules, when introduced, are subject to rigorous compliance enforcement (both to ensure that appropriate resource consents are being obtained, and that the conditions on consents are being complied with so that adverse effects on neighbours are minimised)."		
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
			FS10.451	Bob Pringle	Support	
			FS11.124	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
			FS12.448	Jeff Peters	Support	
			FS15.281	Ricki Jones	Support	
		Accept	Support	[re: changes related to visitor accommodation in heritage items]		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
S132 Jennifer Nepton	S132.1			"Fully support the changes related to allowing visitor accommodation in heritage properties."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose		
			FS10.452	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.125	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.449	Jeff Peters	Support		
			FS15.282	Ricki Jones	Support		
	S132.2	Accept	Support	[re: controlled activity status in residential zones for first 60 nights]			
				"Fully support the proposal to make unhosted accommodation up to a maximum of 60 days a controlled activity"			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS10.453	Bob Pringle	Support	
				FS11.126	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support	
	S132.3	Reject	Oppose	[re: all clauses in the plan change which set out day limits for unhosted visitor accommodation of 61-180 days as discretionary activities (excluding heritage properties)]			
				"Do not support and wholly disagree with the discretionary activity status for unhosted visitor accommodation of up to 180 days... The threshold for discretionary activity status should be lowered to 61-120 days rather than 61-180 days on all clauses where this is applicable. Any use above 120 days should be a non-complying activity to allow cumulative effects to be properly considered and allow for the property to sometimes revert to normal neighbourhood use... seek that the council make any unhosted visitor accommodation of > 120 nights/year be a non-complying activity."			
				Further Submission #	Further Submitter	Support or Oppose	
				FS4.159	Airbnb Australia Pty Ltd	Oppose	
FS10.454				Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.127	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		

Submitter	Decision #	Planner's Recommendation	Submitter's Request	Decision Requested			
	S132.4	Accept in part	FS12.451	Jeff Peters	Support		
			Support in part	"Seek that... other changes [<i>except as discussed in S132.3</i>] be approved."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter		Support or Oppose	
			FS10.455	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.128	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
FS12.452	Jeff Peters	Support					
S133 James Dyer	S133.1	Accept in part	Support in part	"Consider it very unfair for a Commercial venture to be established in such a residential environment... [<i>the unit</i>] on the top landing opposite mine... was sold and turned into an air B&B without consultation on my part."			
			Further Submission #	Further Submitter		Support or Oppose	
			FS10.456	Bob Pringle	Support		
			FS11.129	Coalition for Safe Accommodation in Christchurch	Support		
			FS12.453	Jeff Peters	Support		