Christchurch City Council Point of Contact Service Satisfaction Residents Survey Results

2020/2021



Monitoring and Research Team Performance Management Unit April 2021



Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
RATIONALE FOR RESIDENTS SURVEY FRAMEWORK	3
SUMMARY OF LEVELS OF SERVICE RESULTS: POINT OF CONTACT SURVEYS 2020-2021	5
ADDITIONAL SERVICE SATISFACTION RESULTS	6
SURVEY RESULTS	
ACTIVITY: CITIZEN AND CUSTOMER SERVICES	
2.6.7.1 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 95%	
2.6.7.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 75%	
2.6.7.3 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 85%	
ACTIVITY: LIBRARIES	
ACTIVITY: RECREATION, SPORTS, COMMUNITY ARTS AND EVENTS: COUNCIL FUNDED COMMUNITY EVENTS	
2.8.5.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 80%	
ACTIVITY: RECREATION, SPORTS, COMMUNITY ARTS AND EVENTS: EVENT SECTOR SUPPORT	
2.8.6.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: 80%	
ACTIVITY: RECREATION, SPORTS, COMMUNITY ARTS AND EVENTS: RECREATION AND SPORT SUPPORT	
7.0.3.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: 80%	
ACTIVITY: RECREATION, SPORTS, COMMUNITY ARTS AND EVENTS: RANGE AND QUALITY OF RECREATION FACILITIES	22
7.0.7 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 80% (CERM Survey)	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: COMMUNITY PARKS	
6.0.3 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 80%	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: BOTANIC GARDENS AND MONA VALE	
6.2.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 95%	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: REGIONAL PARKS	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: CEMETERIES	
6.4.3 Recommended Level of Service Target: 100%	
6.4.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: 100%	
6.4.4 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥85%	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: HAGLEY PARK	
6.8.4.1 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 90%	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: RANGE AND QUALITY OF RECREATION FACILITIES	39
6.8.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥85%	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: MARINE STRUCTURES	
10.8.1.1 Recommended Level of Service Target: 90%	
10.8.1.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 50%	
ACTIVITY: PARKS AND FORESHORE: EDUCATION PROGRAMMES	
19.1.6 Recommended Level of Service Target: 95%	
9.2.7 Recommended Level of Service Target: 70%	
-	
APPENDIX 1: SATISFACTION RESULTS FOR ADDITIONAL SERVICES	50
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CAPACITY BUILDING	
Support Given to Community Groups by Council	50
COMMUNITY FACILITIES	
Satisfaction with Range and Quality of Council Operated Community Facilities	
EXTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS	
External communications are timely, relevant and accurate	
PUBLIC TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE	
Appearance, Safety and Ease of Use of Bus Interchange and Hubs	
RESIDENT UNDERSTANDING OF AND INFLUENCE ON COUNCIL DECISION MAKING: PEOPLE WHO ATTENDED HEARINGS OF	
DEPUTATIONS	
Understanding of Council Decisions	
Public Influence on Council Decision Making	64
SPORTS PARKS	66

Introduction

Rationale for Residents Survey Framework

Christchurch City Council began surveying residents on a regular basis in 1991 with the introduction of a face to face Annual Survey of Residents. The Council's Residents Survey framework assesses a total of 38 Long Term Plan (LTP) Performance Standards (levels of service) under 14 different Activities¹. It also assesses some other services for ongoing organisation performance trend monitoring. The Residents Survey includes a two part framework:

- 1. General Service Satisfaction Survey this measures resident perceptions of satisfaction with Council services that the general population of Christchurch is likely to have had experience using (such as the water supply and roads). Survey content is closely aligned with Levels of Service in Service Plans (and uses, where possible, a consistent style of satisfaction questioning across services). It also includes an overall Council service performance measure and an overall effort or ease of interaction with Council measure. The online survey is conducted in January and February each year with a sample of 770 residents aged 18 years and over (quotas are applied for age, gender and ward). The overall questionnaire length is approximately 15 minutes. The General Service Satisfaction Survey measures 18 Performance Standards under 10 Activities.
- 2. Point of Contact Service Satisfaction Surveys this is a series of surveys conducted during the year at the point of contact with Council services. Surveys cover services identified as better suited to assessment by users at the time they use a service or where there is a very specific customer base (eg. library users and resource consent applicants). A range of survey methods is used including onsite and telephone sequential mixed method surveying (onsite and online survey completions); postal/mail drop surveys and email surveys to people on Council data bases. Point of contact surveys are used to measure 20 Performance Standards under 5 Activities.

Infield: January-February

General Service Satisfaction Survey

Resident satisfaction with Council services used by a wide range of the general population; 770 sample aged 18+ years; +/- 3.5% on individual questions at 95% confidence level; mainly closed questions with response options + three open ended questions; representative online survey of 770 respondents

Services include:

eg. governance and decision making, public participation in democratic processes, waterways and stormwater management, events and festivals, rubbish and recycling, active travel, roading, water supply, parking, disaster preparedness

Results: MAY

Infield: Throughout Year

Point of Contact Service Satisfaction Surveys

Resident satisfaction with Council services used by direct service users at point of contact; sampling of a range of sites for each service with between approximately 10 and 1,500 respondents per service; short survey of closed questions with response options + two open ended questions; face to face surveying, online and postal

Services include:

eg. libraries, garden and heritage parks, public transport infrastructure, first point of contact customer services, events and festivals, resource consents, neighbourhood parks, sports parks, regional parks, cemeteries, harbour and marine structures, community facilities, recreation and sport services, external communications, public participation in democratic processes, heritage grants, education programmes

Results: MAY

Performance Framework

Resident perceptions feed into performance monitoring and reporting of Council service delivery

¹ Note that results for one level of service (2.8.5.2 Council funded events content and delivery) are not yet available due to event timings this year.

Methodology

- Survey questions based on Levels of Service in Service Plans and/or existing surveys
- Where applicable, questions use a five point satisfaction scale (very satisfied, satisfied, neither satisfied nor dissatisfied, dissatisfied, very dissatisfied, don't know / not applicable)
- Point of Contact Service Satisfaction Surveys are conducted at service sites or users are contacted by either telephone, email, post or mail drop with either a random sample or the total population of service users
- Respondent sample sizes range from approximately 10 to 1,500 per service, depending on factors such as user numbers and scale of services provided at the site
- A range of sites are selected for each service, (random selection of small, medium and larger sites) (service size is determined by factors such as user numbers and scale of services provided at the location)
- A variety of survey methods are used to gather information, with surveys taking on average 2-3 minutes to complete: Most of the surveys are administered using a sequential mixed methodology of onsite, mail drop/postal and online surveying. Respondents are asked if they would give feedback at the site about the service and if they agree, they are interviewed or given a self-complete form. Those who do not want to complete the survey onsite are asked for their email address and are then sent an online feedback form. Some surveys are completed as email collectors (using lists supplied by business units), postal/mail drop or as telephone interviews.
- Overall, 5,363 Point of Contact surveys were completed in 2020-2021²: 62.3% were completed face to face; 10.1% were completed by mail drop or post and 27.6% were completed online. The overall completion rate for the point of contact surveys was 37.4%.

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Customer services research suggests that customers want, with minimal effort on their part, to be able to interact with organisations in the easiest possible way for them, with their needs met so that they can get on with their busy lives (Corporate Executive Board 2014 Blinded by Delight: Why Service Fails and How to Fix It CEB, Arlington). A measure of ease of interaction with Council services, based on customer services principles, has been added to all point of contact feedback forms. This question tests respondent perceptions of how easy it is for them to interact with or use a Council service, based on efficient and effective processes and/or receiving respectful, prompt and efficient service by staff who understand customer/citizen needs and who provide accurate advice and effective options to address needs and resolve issues.

_

² Including 297 at school education programmes throughout the year and 1,662 at recreation and sport facilities. Events attendees have not been included in this total as the events surveys were not completed at the time of publication of this report

Summary of Levels of Service Results: Point of Contact Surveys 2020-2021

CAUTION: pre 2015-2016 results have been provided for general information only. Significant question changes were made across all measures in 2015-2016 to reflect a more detailed customer focus component in level of service measurement. Pre 2016-2017 data cannot be compared directly to later results. NOTE: some pre 2018-2019 results have been adjusted to align with current LOS performance standards (footnotes below indicate which results, see previous years' results tables

Activity Group	Activity	Performance Standard	LTP Performance Standard	2020-21 LOS Target	2020-21 LOS Target Met	Satisfaction Score Trend Since Last Year	Top and Under Performing Services in 2020-21	Survey Result 2020- 21	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2020-21		Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2019-20	Survey Result 2018- 19	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2018-19	Survey Result 2017- 18	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2017-18
Citizens and Communities	Citizen and Customer Services	2.6.7.1 Citizen and Customer expectations for service response are delivered in a timely manner – walk in	Yes	At least 95%		0		97% ^{a1}	95%	99%¹	100%	98%1	99%	97%¹	98%
		2.6.7.2 Citizen and Customer expectations for service response are delivered in a timely manner – email	Yes	At least 75%		S.		71% ^{a1}	59%	75% ¹	62%	70%¹	48%	72%¹	60%
		2.6.7.3 Citizen and Customer expectations for service response are delivered in a timely manner – telephone	Yes	At least 85%		0		92% ^{a1}	93%	89%¹	78%	85% ¹	82%	90%¹	91%
	Libraries	3.1.5 Library user satisfaction with library service at Metro, Suburban and Neighbourhood libraries	Yes	At least 90%	Ø.	0		95%	97%	95%	97%	94%	96%	95%	96%
	Recreation, Sports,	2.8.5.2 Produce and deliver engaging programme of community events	Yes	At least 80%				% ¹		79%¹	86%	81%¹	75%	84%¹	86%
	Community Arts and Events	2.8.6.2 Support community based organisations to develop, promote and deliver community events and arts in Christchurch	Yes	80%		~		92%	89%	88%	89%	90%	87%	79%	73%
		7.0.3.2 Support citizen and partner organisations to develop, promote and deliver recreation and sport in Christchurch	Yes	80%		0		88%	90%	87%	79%	76%	74%	NA	NA
		7.0.7 Deliver a high level of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of facilities	Yes	At least 80% 5.6 score (CERM Survey)		0		6.1	NA	6.0	NA	6.0	NA	NA	NA
Parks, Heritage and Coastal Environment	Parks and Foreshore	6.0.3 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (community parks)	Yes	≥ 80%		~		63%	69%	57%	69%	67%	69%	59%²	70%
Environment		6.2.2 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (Botanic Gardens and Mona Vale	Yes	≥ 95%		0		97%	98%	97%	98%	96%	98%	96%²	98%²
		6.3.5 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (regional parks)	Yes	≥ 80%				85%	91%	81%	90%	79%	85%	72%²	78%
		6.4.3 Cemeteries administration services meet customer expectations (interment application response times)	Yes	100%		→		100% ³	100%	95%	100%	60%³	60%³	100% ^{2,3}	100%³
		6.4.5 Cemeteries administration services meet customer expectations (interment application process)	Yes	100%		0		100% ³	100%	100%	100%	80%³	60%³	100%²,³	100%³
		6.4.4 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (cemeteries)	Yes	≥ 85%		~		86%	92%	65%	85%	78%	91%	80%	89%
		6.8.4.1 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (Hagley Park)	Yes	≥ 90%	lpha	∼		98%	99%	94%	93%	97%	98%	NA	NA
		6.8.5 Satisfaction with the range and quality of recreation opportunities within parks	Yes	≥ 85%		0		78%	NA	75%	NA	74%	NA	73%²	NA
		10.8.1.1 Provision of a network of publicly available marine structures that facilitate recreational and commercial access to the marine environment for citizens and visitors (marine structure facilities)	Yes	90%				80%	76%	70%	81%	55%	80%	65%	77%
		10.8.1.5 Provision of a network of publicly available marine structures that facilitate recreational and commercial access to the marine environment for citizens and visitors	Yes	≥ 50%				71%	76%	65%	81%	71%	80%	NA	NA

		(equitable access for recreational, commercial and transportation purposes)											
		19.1.6 Delivery of Environmental, Conservation, Water and Civil Defence education programmes	Yes	95%	•	 100%	99%	100%	98%	100%	98%	99%²	98%²
Regulatory and Compliance	Resource Consenting	9.2.7 % satisfaction of applicant with resource consenting process	Yes	70%	~	73%	62%	69%	63%	74%	65%	70%	55%

a In 2020-2021 three separate levels of service were added to represent each of the customer service channels

LOS target met		LOS target not met		Data still being collected or analysed by business units
Baseline result or target to be set		Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use consistent with LOS result (within 5%)	NA	Deleted Level of Service or no information available
Top performing services (85%+ satisfaction)		Moderate performing service (between 50% to 84% satisfaction)		Under performing services (less than 50% satisfaction)
Increase in satisfaction score since last year	0	Satisfaction score remained same or within 3% of last year	~	Decrease in satisfaction score by 4% or more since last year
Key performing services that other services could learn from (90%+ satisfaction)				

Additional Service Satisfaction Results

Service	Detail	2017-18 LOS Target	2017-18 LOS Target Met ⁴	Satisfaction Score Trend Since Last Year	Top and Under Performing Services in 2020-21	Survey Result 2020- 21	effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2020-21	Survey Result 2019- 20	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2019-20	Survey Result 2018- 19	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2018-19	Survey Result 2017- 18	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2017-18	Survey Result 2016- 17	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2016-17
Community Development and Capacity Building	Community development projects are provided, supported and promoted	90%		~		88%	71%	82%	73%	80%	73%	83%	72%	88%	72%
Community Facilities	Deliver a high level of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of Council operated community facilities	80%		0		84%	73%	82%	66%	76%	61%	77%	62%	81%	74%
External Communications	Provide external communications and marketing that are timely, relevant, accurate and cost effective	67%		~		82%	76%	61%	57%	59%	48%	66%	61%	54%	46%
Sports Parks	Deliver a high level of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of sports parks	90%		~		80% ¹	89%	73%¹	85%	73%¹	84%	68%	83%	64%	79%
Governance and Decision Making	Percentage of residents that understand how Council makes decisions (users of governance services)	NA	NA	<u>~</u>		36% ²	39%	42%²	36%	37%²	36%	32%²	31%	43%²	33%
	Percentage of residents that feel the public has some or a large influence on the decisions the Council makes (users of governance services)	NA	NA	<u>~</u>		24%	39%	33%	36%	28%	36%	20%	31%	33%	33%
Public Transport Infrastructure	Ensure user satisfaction with the number and quality of bus shelters	≥ 70%		~		84%	92%	71%	83%	70%	88%	73%	82%	72%	76%
	Ensure user satisfaction with appearance, safety and ease of use of transport interchange(s) and suburban hubs	≥ 90%		0		93%	98%	91%	94%	93%	98%	89%	97%	90%	94%

¹ Sample may include non-residents of Christchurch
2 This score has been adjusted to allow comparability with current LOS scoring (ie. the same aggregate measures have been used for both years) (**NB**: 6.4.3 and 6.4.5 2017-2018 scores are indicative only due to slight question wording changes between that year and current scores) 3 Caution must be taken in interpreting this result due to small sample size (n=13)

¹ This score is based on an average of range of sport support facilities, sports park condition and information provided for sports parks
2 This score is based on an aggregate measure of 'understanding of Council decision making' (a. understanding of how Council makes decisions, b. accuracy of information about decisions, and c. prompt and timely information about decisions). This aligns with the calculation of LOS 4.1.18 'understanding of Council decision making' measured through the General Service Satisfaction Survey (for residents generally). If the single 'understanding' question (a.) only is used for users of governance services, the satisfaction score would be: 57% in 2019-2020, 61% in 2018-2019, 51% in 2017-2018, 60% in 2016-2017 and 57% in 2015-2016
3 From 2016-2017 onward, this measure includes both Bus Interchange and suburban hubs
4 The 2017-2018 level of service target is the last available target set for these services (included in the 2015-2025 LTP). If the 2017-2018 level of service have passed the 2017-2018 target?

Survey Results

Activity: Citizen and Customer Services

2.6.7.1 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 95%

2.6.7 Citizen and Customer expectations for service response are delivered in a timely manner Target: At least 95% of citizens and customers are satisfied or very satisfied by the quality of the service received at the first point of contact via walk in services

Methodology

LOS score calculated as an aggregate of the four survey questions stated below:

Walk In:


- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the manner of the customer services representative/s you spoke to today? This includes things such as communicating a caring, friendly and respectful attitude toward you
- *2. To best serve you, the customer services representative/s must ask the right questions to understand your needs, have knowledge of the relevant options available and provide you with accurate information that is clear and easy to understand. Thinking about all of these things, overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the customer services representative/s' understanding of your enquiry today?
- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the customer services representative's ability to respond to your enquiry? This includes things such as serving you willingly and promptly, checking that your expectations had been met and offering to follow up on any other issues you might have had
- *4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you that the Council's walk-in customer service was professional, efficient and easy to use? This includes things such as waiting times, the user friendliness of instructions and signs and the physical presentation of the counter staff, including uniforms

Time in field: Surveying took place between November 2020 and December 2020.

Sites Surveyed: 5

Completed Surveys: 150


Service Centres	Completed Surveys
CIVIC OFFICES (HEREFORD STREET)	30
BECKENHAM SERVICE CENTRE	25
LINWOOD SERVICE CENTRE	25
RICCARTON SERVICE CENTRE	25
TE HAPUA HALSWELL	45
Total	150



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Walkinmanner	n	98	48	3	1	0	0	150
Walk in manner	%	65.3%	32.0%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Walk in understanding	n	95	51	2	0	0	0	148
of enquiry	%	64.2%	34.5%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Walk in ability to	n	98	47	0	3	1	0	149
respond	%	65.8%	31.5%	0.0%	2.0%	0.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Walk in professional,	n	98	46	1	4	1	0	150
efficient and easy to use	%	65.3%	30.7%	0.7%	2.7%	0.7%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	65.2%	32.2%	1.0%	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: And how much do you agree or disagree that the Council's walk-in customer service makes it **EASY** for you to interact with us regarding your service needs? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable Council staff who understand your needs, and who provide you with accurate advice or effective options that address your needs or resolve your issues



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	96	64.9%
Agree	45	30.4%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	3	2.0%
Disagree	2	1.4%
Strongly Disagree	1	0.7%
Don't Know	1	0.7%
Total	148	100.0%

Note: Not applicable responses have been removed from the results

2.6.7.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 75%

2.6.7.2 Citizen and Customer expectations for service response are delivered in a timely manner Target: At least 75% of citizens and customers are satisfied or very satisfied by the quality of the service received at the first point of contact via email

<u>Methodology</u>


LOS score calculated as an aggregate of the three survey questions stated below:

Email:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the time taken before you received a FIRST RESPONSE from the Council to your email? This might have been either an email thanking you for your enquiry and saying that your query will be responded to shortly or an answer to your query.
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you that the language used in the FIRST RESPONSE email you received from the Council was clear and easy to understand?
- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you that the Council's email customer service was professional, efficient and easy to use? This includes things such as saving time when communicating with the Council, and ease of communicating the purpose of your enquiry (including the information you wanted to give the Council about your enquiry)

Time in field: The email customer services survey was administered using online surveying. Between 18 October and 30 October 2020, surveys were emailed to 2,151 residents who had emailed the CCC email customer services email address in the preceding month. In total, 396 surveys were completed. 100% were completed online.


Completed Surveys: 396



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Email time taken to	n	50	52	14	12	21	0	149
respond	%	33.6%	34.9%	9.4%	8.1%	14.1%	0.0%	100.0%
Fmail language wood	n	53	62	12	11	8	0	146
Email language used	%	36.3%	42.5%	8.2%	7.5%	5.5%	0.0%	100.0%
Email professional,	n	45	52	14	23	12	2	148
efficient and easy to use	%	30.4%	35.1%	9.5%	15.5%	8.1%	1.4%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	33.4%	37.5%	9.0%	10.4%	9.3%	0.5%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: And how much do you agree or disagree that the Council's email customer service makes it **EASY** for you to interact with us regarding your service needs? This includes timely, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable staff who understand your needs, who provide you with accurate advice and effective options to address those needs and who communicate with you courteously and with respect



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	30	20.1%
Agree	58	38.9%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	12	8.1%
Disagree	30	20.1%
Strongly Disagree	19	12.8%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	149	100.0%

Note: Not applicable responses have been removed from the results

2.6.7.3 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 85%

2.6.7.3 Citizen and Customer expectations for service response are delivered in a timely manner Target: At least 85% of citizens and customers are satisfied or very satisfied by the quality of the service received at the first point of contact via phone

Methodology

LOS score calculated as an aggregate of the four survey questions stated below:


Phone:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the manner of the customer services representative/s you spoke to in your most recent call to the Council telephone customer services line? This includes things such as communicating a caring, friendly and respectful attitude toward you
- *2. To best serve you, the customer services representative/s must ask the right questions to understand your needs, have knowledge of the relevant options available and provide you with accurate information that is clear and easy to understand. Thinking about all of these things, overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the customer services representative/s' understanding of your enquiry?
- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the customer services representative's ability to respond to your enquiry? This includes things such as serving you willingly and promptly, checking that your expectations had been met and offering to follow up on any other issues you might have had

*4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you that the Council's telephone customer service was professional, efficient and easy to use? This includes things such as how long you had to wait before your call was transferred to a customer services representative and the user friendliness of telephone instructions

Time in field: The telephone customer services survey was administered using a random selection of people who had called the CCC telephone customer services line in October and November 2020. These people were telephoned in December 2020 and asked if they would give feedback about the service. If they agreed, the survey was completed through the telephone. 150 surveys were completed.


Completed Surveys: 150



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Phone manner	n	99	41	7	1	1	0	149
Phone manner	%	66.4%	27.5%	4.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Phone understanding of	n	99	42	4	3	1	1	150
enquiry	%	66.0%	28.0%	2.7%	2.0%	0.7%	0.7%	100.0%
Dhone shilitu to versend	n	94	36	10	5	3	2	150
Phone ability to respond	%	62.7%	24.0%	6.7%	3.3%	2.0%	1.3%	100.0%
Phone professional,	n	96	44	4	4	2	0	150
efficient and easy to use	%	64.0%	29.3%	2.7%	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	64.8%	27.2%	4.2%	2.2%	1.2%	0.5%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: And how much do you agree or disagree that the Council's telephone customer service line makes it **EASY** for you to **INTERACT** with us regarding your service needs? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable Council staff who understand your needs, and who provide you with accurate advice or effective options that address your needs or resolve your issues



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	97	65.1%
Agree	42	28.2%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	4	2.7%
Disagree	3	2.0%
Strongly Disagree	3	2.0%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	149	100.0%

Activity: Libraries

3.1.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 90%

3.1.5 Library user satisfaction with library service at Metro, Suburban and Neighbourhood libraries Target: At least 90% of library users satisfied with the library service

Methodology

LOS score based on the three survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that the library services such as the self-service check outs, computers and the internet, digital resources, free wifi, the library website and library catalogues are efficient and easy to understand? This includes things such as ease of access to the things you want to use, time taken for service, and user friendliness of instructions, information, services and signs
- *2. Overall how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the range of books and other items available? This includes things such as books, magazines, and DVDs available to borrow, and reference material in the libraries, and online resources such as digital books, online newspapers/magazines, etc.
- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the manner and attentiveness of any library staff you came in contact with today? This includes things such as communicating a caring, friendly and respectful attitude toward you, helping you willingly and promptly, having a good understanding of your enquiry or needs and providing you with accurate, easy to understand advice

Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying between November 2020 and December 2020.

Completed Surveys: 300


Library Site	Completed surveys
Turanga Central City Library	60
New Brighton	60
Shirley	60
Te Hapua Halswell	60
Upper Riccarton	60
Total	300



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Efficient and easy to	n	195	94	4	0	1	3	297
understand	%	65.7%	31.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.0%	100.0%
Range of books and	n	146	111	6	4	1	20	288
other items	%	50.7%	38.5%	2.1%	1.4%	0.3%	6.9%	100.0%
Staff manner and	n	213	81	2	0	0	2	298
attentiveness	%	71.5%	27.2%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	62.7%	32.4%	1.4%	0.5%	0.2%	2.8%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use the library service? This includes efficient self-service check outs, a user friendly library website, a wide range of books and digital resources available, and respectful, prompt service by knowledgeable library staff who understand your needs, and who provide you with accurate advice to address your enquiries



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	172	57.9%
Agree	117	39.4%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	4	1.3%
Disagree	1	0.3%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
Don't Know	3	1.0%
Total	297	100.0%

Activity: Recreation, Sports, Community Arts and Events: Council Funded Community Events

2.8.5.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 80%

2.8.5.2 Produce and deliver engaging programme of community events

Target: At least 80% satisfaction with the content and delivery across three delivered events

Methodology

LOS score based on the two survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the content of today's event? Content means the items included in the event and includes being engaging, enjoyable and relevant to the audience.
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the delivery of today's event? Delivery means the organisation and presentation of the event taking into account attendee needs

Time in field: Events were surveyed online over a range of dates in 2020-2021 **Completed Surveys:**

Events Surveyed:

Results to be added at a later date

Note: Not applicable responses have been removed from the results

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council made it EASY for you to enjoy this event? This includes having accurate information about the event, the content and presentation meeting your expectations, and respectful, prompt service by event organisers

Satisfaction Result	:s	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Event content	n							
Event content	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	100.0%
Event delivery	n							
Event delivery	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	100.0%

Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree		%
Agree		%
Neither Agree nor Disagree		%
Disagree		%
Strongly Disagree		%
Don't Know		%
Total		100.0%

Activity: Recreation, Sports, Community Arts and Events: Event Sector Support

2.8.6.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: 80%

2.8.6.2 Support community based organisations to develop, promote and deliver community events and arts in Christchurch

Target: 80% satisfaction with the quality of Council event support


Methodology

LOS score based on the four survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the manner of the Council Events Partnerships and Development Team staff member you dealt with? This includes things such as communicating a respectful attitude toward you
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the accuracy of the information and advice provided to you by the Events Partnerships and Development Team staff member? This includes things such as giving you correct information, being able to rely on what you have been told
- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the Events Partnerships and Development Team's ability to respond to your needs? This includes helping you willingly and promptly by understanding your needs and offering information and options to meet those needs
- *4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the information provided on the 'running an event' event support pages on the Council website? This includes things such as being user-friendly and containing accurate information that is useful to you

Time in field: Between 18 October and 30 October 2020, a link to the survey was emailed to 104 respondents who had used the Events Partnerships and Development Team's services in the preceding 12 months.


Completed Surveys: 37



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Staff manner	n	24	11	2	0	0	0	37
Stan manner	%	64.9%	29.7%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Accuracy of information	n	19	16	2	0	0	0	37
and advice	%	51.4%	43.2%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Ability to respond	n	20	14	2	1	0	0	37
Ability to respond	%	54.1%	37.8%	5.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Events support web	n	14	13	3	1	0	1	32
pages	%	43.8%	40.6%	9.4%	3.1%	0.0%	3.1%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	53.8%	37.8%	6.3%	1.4%	0.0%	0.7%	100%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Events Team makes it EASY for you to interact with it regarding your events support needs? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable Council staff who understand your needs, and who provide you with accurate advice or effective options that address your needs or resolve your issues



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	20	54.1%
Agree	13	35.1%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	3	8.1%
Disagree	1	2.7%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	37	100.0%

Activity: Recreation, Sports, Community Arts and Events: Recreation and Sport Support

7.0.3.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: 80%

7.0.3.2 Support citizen and partner organisations to develop, promote and deliver recreation and sport in Christchurch Target: 80% satisfaction with the quality of Council recreation and sport support


Methodology

LOS score based on the three survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the manner of the Council Recreation Services Team staff member/s you dealt with? This includes things such as communicating a respectful attitude toward you
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the accuracy of the information and advice provided to you by the Recreation Services Team? This includes things such as giving you correct information, being able to rely on what you have been told
- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the Recreation Service's Team's ability to respond to your needs? This includes helping you willingly and promptly by understanding your needs and offering information and options to meet those needs

Time in field: Between 18 October and 30 October 2020, surveys were emailed to 95 respondents who had used the Recreation Services Team's services in the preceding 12 months.


Completed Surveys: 32



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Staff manner	n	17	11	0	3	0	0	31
Stan manner	%	54.8%	35.5%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Accuracy of	n	15	13	1	1	1	0	31
information and advice	%	48.4%	41.9%	3.2%	3.2%	3.2%	0.0%	100.0%
Ability to respond	n	12	13	0	3	1	1	30
	%	40.0%	43.3%	0.0%	10.0%	3.3%	3.3%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	47.8%	40.2%	1.1%	7.6%	2.2%	1.1%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: And how much do you agree or disagree that the Recreation Services Team makes it EASY for you to interact with it regarding your recreation and sport support needs? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable Council staff who understand your needs, and who provide you with accurate advice or effective options that address your needs or resolve your issues



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	12	40.0%
Agree	15	50.0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	1	3.3%
Disagree	1	3.3%
Strongly Disagree	1	3.3%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	30	100.0%

Activity: Recreation, Sports, Community Arts and Events: Range and Quality of Recreation Facilities

7.0.7 Recommended Level of Service Target: At least 80% (CERM Survey)

7.0.7 Deliver a high level of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of facilities (CERM)
Target: At least 80% of customers are satisfied with the range and quality of facilities (5.6 on a 7 point scale using CERM international benchmark)

Methodology


LOS score based on the survey question stated below:

Overall, how satisfied are you as a customer of this centre?


Time in field: September - October 2020

Completed Surveys: 1,662

Centres Surveyed: Graham Condon, Jellie Park, Pioneer and Taiora: QEII



Recreation and Sport Centre	CERM Result
GRAHAM CONDON RECREATION AND SPORTS CENTRE	6.1
JELLIE PARK	6.1
PIONEER	6
TAIORA: QE11	6.2
All Centres	6.1



Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Community Parks

6.0.3 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥80%

6.0.3 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks Target: Community Parks presentation: resident satisfaction ≥ 80%

Methodology

LOS score based on the two survey questions stated below:


- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of this park? Appearance includes things such as the park layout, plants, trees and/or gardens
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of this park? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep

Time in field: Surveys were delivered to households in the vicinity of community parks from November 2020 to December 2020 by post.

Completed Surveys: 316

Sites surveyed:


Park Name	Number Completed Surveys
ARMITAGE RESERVE	10
ASHWOOD RESERVE	10
BROWNLEE RESERVE	27
CARDIGAN BAY RESERVE	7
CASHMERE VALLEY RESERVE	13
CLAREVALE RESERVE	12
CORINGA RESERVE	12
CORSAIR BAY RESERVE	7
EVERGREEN RESERVE	16
HIGHSTED RESERVE	15
JEFFREYS RESERVE	18
KUMARA RESERVE	6
PAGODA PLAYGROUND	15
REARSBY RESERVE	17
REGENCY RESERVE	15
ROSELLA RESERVE	19
RUTLAND RESERVE	12
RYDAL RESERVE	14
SANSCRIT RESERVE	13
SISSON PARK	11
SOLEARES PLAYGROUND	13
TI RAKAU RESERVE	12
VICKERYS RESERVE	5
WEST WATSON PARK	17
TOTAL	316



Satisfaction Results	:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Dark appearance	n	51	146	26	47	44	1	315
Park appearance	%	16.2%	46.3%	8.3%	14.9%	14.0%	0.3%	100.0%
Park condition	n	50	151	30	37	41	3	312
	%	16.0%	48.4%	9.6%	11.9%	13.1%	1.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	16.1%	47.4%	8.9%	13.4%	13.6%	0.6%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use this park? This includes things such as how easy it is to get around and use the park, the provision of things you need and expect to see at a local community park, the appearance of the park and having accurate information about the park



Agreement Results	Number	Percent		
Strongly Agree	53	17.8%		
Agree	152	51.2%		
Neither Agree nor Disagree	36	12.1%		
Disagree	30	10.1%		
Strongly Disagree	22	7.4%		
Don't Know	4	1.3%		
Total	297	100.0%		

Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Botanic Gardens and Mona Vale

6.2.2 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 95%

6.2.2 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks
Target: Botanic Gardens and Mona Vale presentation: resident satisfaction ≥ 95%

Methodology

LOS score based on the two survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of the Botanic Gardens? Appearance includes things such as the park layout, plants, trees and/or gardens and layout and style of facilities, such as the Visitor Centre, toilets, playgrounds, swimming pools and various houses such as Cunningham House.
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of the Botanic Gardens? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep.


OR

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of Mona Vale? Appearance includes things such as the park layout, plants, trees and/or gardens and layout and style of facilities, such as the homestead and toilets
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of Mona Vale? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep

Time in field: The survey was administered between November 2020 and December 2020 to users of the Botanic Gardens and Mona Vale.

Completed Surveys: 195

Park Name	Completed Surveys
BOTANIC GARDENS	150
MONA VALE	45
Total	195




Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Botanic Gardens and	n	109	69	5	11	0	1	195
Mona Vale information provided	%	55.9%	35.4%	2.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.5%	100.0%
Botanic Gardens and	n	144	48	2	0	0	0	194
Mona Vale accessibility	%	74.2%	24.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use the Botanic Gardens? This includes things such as how easy it is to get around and use the Gardens, the provision of things you need and expect to see at the Gardens, the appearance of the Gardens and having accurate information about the Garden

OR

And how much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use Mona Vale? This includes things such as how easy it is to get around and use Mona Vale, the provision of things you need and expect to see there, its appearance and having accurate information about it



Agreement Results	Number	Percent	
Strongly Agree	138	71.5%	
Agree	51	26.4%	
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0	0.0%	
Disagree	0	0.0%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%	
Don't Know	4	2.1%	
Total	193	100.0%	

Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Regional Parks

6.3.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 80%

6.3.5 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks Target: Regional Parks presentation: resident satisfaction ≥ 80%

Methodology

LOS score based on the two survey questions stated below:


- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the range of recreation facilities provided at this park? Range means the variety of recreation facilities available. Recreation facilities include things such as tracks, viewing areas, seats, playgrounds and picnic areas
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of this park? Appearance includes things such as park layout, plants, trees and/or gardens

Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying and mail drops between November 2020 and December 2020

Completed Surveys: 269

Sites Surveyed:


Regional Park	Completed
THE GROYNES	20
HEATHCOTE QUARRY RESERVE	8
HILLTOP RESERVE	13
SCARBOROUGH BEACH	11
SCARBOROUGH HILL RESERVE	12
TAYLORS MISTAKE BEACH	25
BOTTLE LAKE BEACH PARK	30
HALSWELL QUARRY PARK	30
NEW BRIGHTON BEACH (developed)	30
RAPAKI TRACK	30
ROTO KOHATU	30
VICTORIA PARK	30
Total	269



Satisfaction Results	i.	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Dark annoarance	n	102	129	15	9	4	4	263
Park appearance	%	38.8%	49.0%	5.7%	3.4%	1.5%	1.5%	100.0%
Park condition	n	80	138	17	17	6	5	263
Park Condition	%	30.4%	52.5%	6.5%	6.5%	2.3%	1.9%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	34.6%	50.8%	6.1%	4.9%	1.9%	1.7%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use this park? This includes things such as how easy it is to get around and use the park, the provision of things you need and expect to see at a regional park, the appearance of the park and having accurate information about the park



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	93	36.0%
Agree	141	54.7%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	9	3.5%
Disagree	7	2.7%
Strongly Disagree	6	2.3%
Don't Know	2	0.8%
Total	258	100.0%

Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Cemeteries

Methodology

Time in field: In October 2020 and November 2020, surveys were emailed to 34 funeral directors and monumental masons who had used the Christchurch City Council's cemetery services in the preceding 12 months.

Completed Surveys: 13

The small number of responses means that caution must be applied when interpreting results.

6.4.3 Recommended Level of Service Target: 100%

6.4.3 Cemeteries administration services meet customer expectations Target: Satisfaction with response time for interment applications: 100%


Question

LOS score based on the survey question stated below:

*1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the interment application response time? This includes things such as time taken for the Council to contact you, timeliness of communication from the Council and time taken for your application to be processed

Time in field: The email cemetery services survey was administered using online surveying. Between 18 October and 30 October, surveys were emailed to 34 funeral directors and monumental masons who had used the Christchurch City Council's cemetery services in the preceding 12 months.

Completed Surveys: 13



Note: Caution must be taken in interpreting this result due to relatively small sample size

Satisfaction Results	i	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Interment application response time	n	11	1	0	0	0	0	12
LOS RATING	%	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%


6.4.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: 100%

6.4.3 Cemeteries administration services meet customer expectations
Target: Funeral directors satisfaction with interment application process: 100%

Question

LOS score based on the three survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the provision of information about plot location, ownership and availability? This includes things such as the accuracy of the information and the information meeting your needs
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the manner of the Council cemetery services staff you have dealt with? This includes things such as communicating a friendly and respectful attitude toward you
- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the ease of use of the interment application process? This includes things such as serving you willingly, checking that your expectations have been met and offering to follow up on any other issues you might have




Note: Caution must be taken in interpreting this result due to relatively small sample size

N	

Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Provision of	n	11	2	0	0	0	0	13
information	%	84.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Staff mannay	n	12	1	0	0	0	0	13
Staff manner	%	92.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Use of use of interment	n	10	2	0	0	0	0	12
process	%	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	86.8%	13.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to interact with us regarding our cemetery services? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable Council staff who understand your needs, and who provide you with accurate advice or options that address your needs



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	9	69.2%
Agree	4	30.8%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	0	0.0%
Disagree	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	13	100.0%

6.4.4 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥85%

6.4.4 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks Target: Cemeteries presentation: resident satisfaction ≥ 85%

Methodology

LOS score based on the two survey questions stated below:


- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of this cemetery? Appearance includes things such as the cemetery layout and type of plantings and layout and style of facilities (excluding headstones).
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of this cemetery? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep (excluding headstones).

Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying and mail drops between the November 2020 and December 2020.

Completed Surveys: 142

Sites Surveyed:


Cemeteries	Completed Surveys
AKAROA ANGLICAN AND DISSENTERS CEMETERY	12
AVONHEAD CEMETERY	30
BELFAST CEMETERY	30
MEMORIAL PARK CEMETERY	30
RURU LAWN CEMETERY	30
Total	142



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Cemeteries appearance	n	34	85	2	8	3	0	132
	%	25.8%	64.4%	1.5%	6.1%	2.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Cemeteries condition	n	32	76	8	12	4	0	132
	%	24.2%	57.6%	6.1%	9.1%	3.0%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	25.0%	61.%	3.8%	7.6%	2.7%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use this cemetery? This includes things such as how easy it is to get around and use the cemetery, the provision of things you need and expect to see at a cemetery, the appearance of the cemetery and having accurate information about the cemetery



Agreement Results	Number	Percent	
Strongly Agree	47	35.9%	
Agree	73	55.7%	
Neither Agree nor Disagree	4	3.1%	
Disagree	4	3.1%	
Strongly Disagree	3	2.3%	
Don't Know	0	0.0%	
Total	131	100.0%	

Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Hagley Park

6.8.4.1 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 90%

6.8.4.1 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Park Target: Hagley Park presentation: resident satisfaction ≥ 90%


Methodology

LOS score based on the two survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of Hagley Park? Appearance includes things such as the park layout, plants, trees and/or gardens and layout and style of facilities, such as toilets and picnic areas
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of the park? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep

Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying at Hagley Park (excluding the Botanic Gardens and the golf course) between November 2020 and December 2020.


Completed Surveys: 150



Satisfaction Results	i	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Paul and a second	n	84	63	2	0	0	0	149
Park appearance	%	56.4%	42.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Park condition	n	82	64	1	2	0	0	149
Park Condition	%	55.0%	43.0%	0.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	55.7%	42.6%	1.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: And how much do you agree or disagree that the Council make it EASY for you to use this park? This includes things such as how easy it is to get around and use the park, the provision of things you need and expect to see there, its appearance and having accurate information about it



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	84	57.5%
Agree	60	41.1%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	1	0.7%
Disagree	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
Don't Know	1	0.7%
Total	146	100.0%

Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Range and Quality of Recreation Facilities

6.8.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥85%

6.8.5 Satisfaction with the range and quality of recreation opportunities within parks
Target: Resident satisfaction with range and quality of recreation facilities within Parks: ≥ 85%

Methodology

LOS score based on the three survey questions stated below:

<u>Community Parks:</u> *Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the range of recreation facilities provided at this park? Range means the mix or variety of recreation facilities available. Recreation facilities include things such as playgrounds

Regional Parks: *Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the range of recreation facilities provided at this park? Range means the variety of recreation facilities available. Recreation facilities include things such as tracks, viewing areas, seats, playgrounds and picnic areas

<u>Sports Parks:</u> *Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are with the range of sports support and recreation facilities available at this park? *This includes things such as toilets, changing rooms, drinking fountains and playgrounds*


Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying and mail drops at community parks, regional parks and sports parks between November 2020 and December 2020

Sites surveyed:

See the Parks and Foreshore activities above for sites surveyed: Community Parks; Regional Parks

Sports Parks:

Park Name	Completed Surveys
BARNETT PARK SPORTS GROUNDS	14
BROOMFIELD COMMON	10
CENTENNIAL PARK	10
CROSBIE PARK	12
PARKLANDS RESERVE	10
QUEENSPARK RESERVE	11
REDWOOD PARK	5
THOMSON PARK	11
BURNSIDE PARK	40
BURWOOD PARK	30
HAGLEY PARK SOUTH	30
RAWHITI DOMAIN	30
TOTAL	213



Satisfaction Results	i.	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Regional parks range	n	108	114	10	10	2	9	253
Regional parks range	%	42.7%	45.1%	4.0%	4.0%	0.8%	3.6%	100.0%
	n	79	83	17	15	6	8	208
Sports parks range	%	38.0%	39.9%	8.2%	7.2%	2.9%	3.8%	100.0%
Community parks	n	61	155	25	30	36	3	310
range	%	19.7%	50.0%	8.1%	9.7%	11.6%	1.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	32.2%	45.7%	6.7%	7.1%	5.7%	2.6%	100.0%

Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Marine Structures

10.8.1.1 Recommended Level of Service Target: 90%

10.8.1.1 Provision of a network of publicly available marine structures that facilitate recreational and commercial access to the marine environment for citizens and visitors

Target: Customer satisfaction with marine structure facilities: 90%

Methodology

Time in field: The survey was administered to Harbour and Marine Structure users from November 2020 to December 2020.

Completed Surveys: 195

Sites surveyed:


Site	Number Completed Surveys
AKAROA BOAT PARK AND RECREATION GROUND JETTY AND SLIPWAY	15
AKAROA WHARF	30
CORSAIR BAY RAMP AND JETTY	15
DALY'S WHARF	20
DIAMOND HARBOUR WHARF	30
LYTTELTON MARINA PUBLIC RAMP AND JETTY	15
MONCKS BAY PUBLIC RAMP	15
NEW BRIGHTON PIER	40
SCARBOROUGH BEACH PUBLIC RAMP	15
Total	195

Questions

LOS score based on the two survey questions stated below:

^{*1.} Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of this marine structure? Appearance includes things such as the layout, type and style of facilities.

^{*2.} Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of this marine structure? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep.



Satisfaction Results	:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Structure appearance	n	33	132	11	17	2	0	195
Structure appearance	%	16.9%	67.7%	5.6%	8.7%	1.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Structure condition	n	18	128	16	23	7	3	195
Structure condition	%	9.2%	65.6%	8.2%	11.8%	3.6%	1.5%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	13.1%	66.7%	6.9%	10.3%	2.3%	0.8%	100.0%

10.8.1.5 Recommended Level of Service Target: ≥ 50%

10.8.1.1 Provision of a network of publicly available marine structures that facilitate recreational and commercial access to the marine environment for citizens and visitors


Target: Customer satisfaction with equitable access provided to the marine environment for recreational, commercial and transportation purposes: ≥ 50%

Questions

LOS score based on the five survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the accessibility of marine structures for recreation? This includes being in the right places and easy to get to and using structure/s for things such as launching boats and walking on them
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that there are enough marine structures of various types for recreation? This includes types such as wharves, jetties, ramps, rafts and moorings, etc

- *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the accessibility of marine structures for transportation? This includes structures being in the right places and easy to get to for using transport options such as ferries
- *4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the accessibility of marine structures for commercial purposes? This includes structures being in the right places and easy to get to and using the structure/s for commercial activities such as launching boats, loading and unloading passengers and cargo and for tourism activities
- *5. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that there are enough marine structures of the various types outlined above for commercial purposes?




Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Accessibility for	n	16	122	17	24	0	3	182
recreation	%	8.8%	67.0%	9.3%	13.2%	0.0%	1.6%	100.0%
Enough for recreation	n	21	96	19	18	1	14	169
Enough for recreation	%	12.4%	56.8%	11.2%	10.7%	0.6%	8.3%	100.0%
Accessibility for	n	20	86	21	22	3	5	157
transportation	%	12.7%	54.8%	13.4%	14.0%	1.9%	3.2%	100.0%
Accessibility for	n	0	3	1	2	0	0	6
commercial purposes	%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Enough for commercial	n	0	3	1	2	0	0	6
purposes	%	0.0%	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	11.0%	59.6%	11.3%	13.1%	0.8%	4.2%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Questions:

How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use this wharf, jetty or pier? This includes things such as how easy it is to access and use the structure, the provision of things you need and expect to see at this structure, its appearance and condition and having accurate information about the structure

And overall, how much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use marine structures for commercial purposes? This includes things such as how easy it is to access and use the structure/s, the provision of things you need and expect to see at the structure/s, appearance and condition and having accurate information about the structure/s



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	21	10.5%
Agree	130	65.0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	23	11.5%
Disagree	18	9.0%
Strongly Disagree	4	2.0%
Don't Know	4	2.0%
Total	200	100.0%

Activity: Parks and Foreshore: Education Programmes

19.1.6 Recommended Level of Service Target: 95%

19.1.6 Delivery of Environmental, Conservation, Water and Civil Defence education programmes Target: Teachers satisfied with education programmes delivered: 95%

Methodology

LOS score based on the survey question stated below:


- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the **Parks** education programmes? This includes things such as the relevance of course content, its delivery, the accuracy of information and advice provided, the manner and attentiveness of the course tutor toward participants, and the programme's ability to help students learn about protecting and enhancing our natural environment
- OR: *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the Water for Life education programme? This includes things such as the relevance of course content, its delivery, the accuracy of information and advice provided, the manner and attentiveness of the course tutor toward participants, and the programme's ability to help students learn about valuing water resources
- OR: *3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the A Waste of Time education programme? This includes things such as the relevance of course content, its delivery, the accuracy of information and advice provided, the manner and attentiveness of the course tutor toward participants, and the programme's ability to help students learn about recycling and waste management/minimisation
- OR: *4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the Stan's Got a Plan civil defence emergency management education programme? This includes things such as the relevance of course content, its delivery, the accuracy of information and advice provided, the manner and attentiveness of the course tutor toward participants, and the programme's ability to help students prepare for disasters

Time in field: The surveys were administered to teachers throughout the year, after their students had participated in an education programme during 2020.

Completed Surveys: 297

Education Programme	
Coastal Management at New Brighton Beach	
Coastal Management at North New Brighton Beach	
Creative and Native in the Botanic Gardens	
Forest Explorer at Spencer Park	
Forest Explorer in Bottle Lake Forest	
Freshwater Frolicking at Styx Mill Conservation Reserve	
Freshwater Frolicking at the Groynes	
Have Your Say	
Junior Park Explorers at Ernle Clark Reserve	
Junior Park Explorers at the Groynes	
Junior Park Explorers in Spencer Park	
Junior Park Explorers in the Botanic Gardens	
Junior Park Explorers in Travis Wetland	
Native Nurturing in Victoria Park	
On the Rocks at Sumner Beach	
On The Rocks at Taylors Mistake Beach	
Otautahi, Our City	
Park Detectives Halswell Quarry	
Park Detectives in the Botanic Gardens	
Rocky Road of Discovery at Halswell Quarry	
Saving the Sand Dunes at North New Brighton Beach	
Saving the Sand Dunes at Spencer Park Beach	
Searching the Shoreline at New Brighton Beach	
Searching the Shoreline at Spencer Park Beach	
Searching the Shoreline at Sumner Beach	
Searching the Shoreline at Waimairi Beach	
Wetlands, Waders and Water Boatmen at Travis Wetland	
A Waste of Time at various sites	
Casting Magic with Worms at the Curator's House in the Botanic Gardens	
Casting Magic with Worms at the EcoDrop Metro Place, Bromley	
Fertilising for the Future (EM Bokashi) at the EcoDrop Metro Place, Bromley	


Fertilising for the Future (Worms) at the EcoDrop Metro Place, Bromley
Kidsfest Making Mini Worm Farms
Recycling Talk
Tour of OPP and EcoDrop
Watch Your Waste at Metro Place, Bromley
Water for Life at Main Water Pumping Station
Stan's Got a Plan for Earthquakes
Stan's Got a Plan for Floods
Stan's Got a Plan for Pandemics
Stan's Got a Plan for Storms
Coastal Management at New Brighton Beach
Coastal Management at North New Brighton Beach
Creative and Native in the Botanic Gardens
Forest Explorer at Spencer Park
Forest Explorer in Bottle Lake Forest
Freshwater Frolicking at Styx Mill Conservation Reserve



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Overall satisfaction	n	272	25	0	0	0	0	297
LOS RATING	%	91.6%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council made it EASY for you to interact with us regarding the education programme? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable Council staff who understood your needs, and who provided you with accurate information and service that met your needs



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	260	87.5%
Agree	33	11.1%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	4	1.3%
Disagree	0	0.0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	297	100.0%

Activity: Resource Consenting

9.2.7 Recommended Level of Service Target: 70%

9.2.7 % satisfaction of applicant with resource consenting process


Target: 70% satisfaction achieved

Methodology

LOS score based on the four survey questions stated below:

- *1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the accuracy of the information and advice provided to you by planner/s? This includes things such as giving you correct information and being able to rely on what you were told
- *2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the timeliness of the information and advice provided to you? This includes planners providing information and advice promptly
- *3. Overall how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the manner of the planner/s you dealt with? This includes things such as communicating a respectful attitude toward you
- *4. Overall how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with the time taken to process your Consent application?


Time in field: The resource consents survey was administered using online surveying. Between 18 October and 30 October 2020, surveys were emailed to 366 resource consents applicants since June 2020. **Completed Surveys:** 77



Satisfaction Results	i.	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Accuracy of	n	20	39	5	8	6	0	78
information and advice	%	25.6%	50.0%	6.4%	10.3%	7.7%	0.0%	100.0%
Timeliness of	n	24	30	13	6	6	0	79
information and advice	%	30.4%	38.0%	16.5%	7.6%	7.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Staff manner	n	37	28	5	6	2	0	78
Stail manner	%	47.4%	35.9%	6.4%	7.7%	2.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Time taken to process consents	n	19	33	14	9	4	0	79
	%	24.1%	41.8%	17.7%	11.4%	5.1%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	31.8%	41.4%	11.8%	9.2%	5.7%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: Taking into account the legal requirements of the consent process, how much do you agree or disagree that the Council made it straightforward for you to have your resource consent processed? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable planning staff who understood your consent needs, and who provided you with accurate advice and effective options to ensure your consent was processed as quickly as possible



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	13	16.7%
Agree	35	44.9%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	11	14.1%
Disagree	9	11.5%
Strongly Disagree	9	11.5%
Don't Know	1	1.3%
Total	78	100.0%

Appendix 1: Satisfaction Results for Additional Services

A range of services continue to be surveyed as part of the Residents Survey programme for organisation performance trend monitoring purposes

Community Development and Capacity Building

Support Given to Community Groups by Council


Methodology

Score based on the survey question stated below:

1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the **SUPPORT GIVEN** to your community group by the Council? Support includes things such as information, advice, guidance, networking, collaboration and accessing resources

Time in field: 169 online surveys were emailed to a range of community groups and residents associations that had contact with local Council community governance teams in the previous 12 months. Surveying took place from 18 October to 30 October, 2020.


Completed Surveys: 59



Satisfaction Results	i.	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Support given	n	25	27	5	1	1	0	59
LOS RATING	%	42.4%	45.8%	8.5%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: And how much do you agree or disagree that the Council made it EASY for you to understand and participate in our local community development and capacity building processes? This includes things such as having a good understanding of your needs, providing you with timely and useful advice and information, providing access to useful resources and being responsive to your processes



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	16	27.1%
Agree	26	44.1%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	14	23.7%
Disagree	2	3.4%
Strongly Disagree	1	1.7%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	59	100.0%

Community Facilities

Satisfaction with Range and Quality of Council Operated Community Facilities

Methodology

Score calculated as an aggregate of the eight survey questions stated below:


- 1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the CONDITION of this facility? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep of the facility.
- 2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the AVAILABILITY of this facility? Availability means the facility is available for booking when you want to use it.
- 3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the ACCESSIBILITY of this facility? Accessibility includes ease of access to the facility, such as car parking and disability access, the facility is in an accessible location and that it feels comfortable and welcoming to users.
- 4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that this facility is FIT FOR PURPOSE? Fit for purpose includes the facility's layout, and the presence of equipment and other fixtures and fittings (such as appropriate lighting, appliances and furnishings) to support your activities
- 5. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that this facility provides VALUE FOR THE MONEY you pay to use the facility?
- 6. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the accuracy of information provided to you about this facility? This includes things such as information that is correct, that is useful to your needs that you can rely on and that is clear and easy to understand.
- 7. Thinking first about Council community facilities in general IN CHRISTCHURCH, overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the RANGE of community facilities available for hire and use? Range means the variety of facilities available to meet your group's needs, including facilities of an appropriate size and type for your activities.
- 8. And thinking now about Council community facilities in general IN YOUR LOCAL AREA, overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the RANGE of community facilities available for hire and use? Range means the variety of facilities available to meet your group's needs, including facilities of an appropriate size and type for your activities.

Time in field: From 18 October to 30 October 2020, surveys were emailed to 234 people who had hired Council Community Facilities during 2020.

Completed surveys: 79

Community Facilities	Number Completed Surveys
Abberley Park Hall	4
Aranui/Wainoni Community Centre	5
Avice Hill Community Centre	1
Fendalton Community Centre	5
Harvard Community Lounge	3
Hei Hei Community Centre	10
Lyttelton/Mt Herbert Community Facility	1
Lyttelton Trinity Hall	1
Matuku Takotako Sumner Centre	5
North New Brighton Community Centre	4
Ōrauwhata Bishopdale Library and Community Centre	6
Parklands Community Centre	3
Parkview Community Lounge	2
Rarakau Riccarton Centre	4
St Martins Community Centre	3
South New Brighton Community Centre	1
Te Hāpua Halswell Centre Community Hall	6
Templeton Community Centre	7
Waimairi Community Centre	6
Woolston Community Library	2


Total



Satisfaction Results	i	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Facility condition	n	37	32	4	5	1	0	79
raciuty condition	%	46.8%	40.5%	5.1%	6.3%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Facility availability	n	42	24	6	5	2	0	79
racility availability	%	53.2%	30.4%	7.6%	6.3%	2.5%	0.0%	100.0%
Facility accessibility	n	45	28	3	1	0	0	77
racinty accessibility	%	58.4%	36.4%	3.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	n	38	33	4	2	0	0	77
Fit for purpose	%	49.4%	42.9%	5.2%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Value for manay	n	46	23	3	4	1	0	77
Value for money	%	59.7%	29.9%	3.9%	5.2%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%
Accuracy of	n	36	32	4	3	2	0	77
information	%	46.8%	41.6%	5.2%	3.9%	2.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Facility range in	n	18	30	8	7	0	8	71
Christchurch	%	25.4%	42.3%	11.3%	9.9%	0.0%	11.3%	100.0%
Facility range in local	n	17	29	13	10	1	2	72
area	%	23.6%	40.3%	18.1%	13.9%	1.4%	2.8%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	45.8%	37.9%	7.4%	6.1%	1.1%	1.6%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to interact with us regarding using community facilities? This includes respectful, prompt and efficient service by knowledgeable Council staff who understand your needs, and who provide you with accurate information and advice and facility options that meet your needs.



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	25	33.3%
Agree	30	40.0%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	9	12.0%
Disagree	9	12.0%
Strongly Disagree	2	2.7%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	75	100.0%

External Communications

External communications are timely, relevant and accurate


Methodology

Score based on the four survey questions stated below:

- 1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that Council communications are timely? *Timely means that Council information is published at an appropriate time*
- 2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that Council communications are relevant? Relevant means that Council information is relevant for you in terms of what the Council is doing and what you want to know
- 3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that Council communications are accurate? Accurate means that Council information is factually correct
- 4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you that Council communications are clear and easy to understand?

Time in field: Surveying took place at a range of public sites using sequential mixed method surveying between November 2020 and December 2020.


Completed Surveys: 300



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Timely	n	67	166	17	38	3	9	300
communications	%	22.3%	55.3%	5.7%	12.7%	1.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Relevant	n	80	163	38	14	4	1	300
communications	%	26.7%	54.3%	12.7%	4.7%	1.3%	0.3%	100.0%
Accurate	n	83	173	20	14	5	4	299
communications	%	27.8%	57.9%	6.7%	4.7%	1.7%	1.3%	100.0%
Clean and easy to	n	89	163	22	22	3	1	300
understand communications	%	29.7%	54.3%	7.3%	7.3%	1.0%	0.3%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	26.6%	55.5%	8.1%	7.3%	1.3%	1.3%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to interact with us regarding your information needs? This includes timely and accurate communications by knowledgeable Council staff who understand residents' information needs, and who provide communications that are clear and easy to understand



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	79	26.6%
Agree	148	49.8%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	19	6.4%
Disagree	36	12.1%
Strongly Disagree	6	2.0%
Don't Know	9	3.0%
Total	297	100.0%

Public Transport Infrastructure

Number and Quality of Bus Shelters

Methodology

Score based on the four survey questions stated below:

Thinking about bus shelters at bus stops. Bus shelters are on street shelters at stops that provide protection from weather while waiting for a bus.

1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the number of bus shelters available at bus stops in Christchurch?

Thinking now about the quality of the bus shelters...

- 2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the design of bus shelters? This includes things such as the layout, seating and pillars, type and style of bus shelters and protection from weather.
- 3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the information provided to bus users at bus shelters? This includes things such as bus stop signs, bus timetables and real time bus tracking that tell you when buses will arrive at your stop.
- 4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of bus shelters? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep of bus shelters (including cleanliness and absence of graffiti and vandalism).

Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying between November 2020 and

December 2020.

Completed Surveys: 250

Sites surveyed: 2


Bus Infrastructure	Completed Surveys
BUSINTERCHANGE	200
RICCARTON BUS LOUNGE	50
Total	250



Satisfaction Results	:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Bus shelter number	n	35	168	4	17	1	7	232
bus siletter fluffiber	%	15.1	72.4	1.7	7.3	0.4	3.0	100.0%
Bur deller deller	n	38	155	11	19	0	8	231
Bus shelter design	%	16.5	67.1	4.8	8.2	0.0	3.5	100.0%
Bus shelter	n	47	155	13	10	0	6	231
information	%	20.3	67.1	5.6	4.3	0.0	2.6	100.0%
Bus shelter condition	n	33	145	16	28	0	8	230
	%	14.3	63.0	7.0	12.2	0.0	3.5	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	16.6	67.4	4.8	8.0	0.1	3.1	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use bus shelters? This includes sufficient provision of shelters at bus stops and shelter facilities such as seating and protection from weather and that include accurate information about buses



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	40	17.5%
Agree	170	74.2%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	8	3.5%
Disagree	6	2.6%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
Don't Know	5	2.2%
Total	229	100.0%

Appearance, Safety and Ease of Use of Bus Interchange and Hubs

Methodology


Score based on the four survey questions stated below:

- 1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the appearance of the Bus Interchange (or suburban hub)? Appearance includes things such as the layout, type and style of the facility.
- 2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of the Interchange (or suburban hub)? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep of the Interchange (including cleanliness and absence of graffiti and vandalism).
- 3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your personal safety at the Interchange (or suburban hub) DURING THE DAY? Personal safety includes things such as safety from crime, level of lighting, and road safety issues such as separation of bus users from buses and from other road users.
- 4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your personal safety at Interchange (or suburban hub) AFTER DARK?

Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying between November 2020 and December 2020.

Completed Surveys: 250


Sites surveyed: Bus Interchange, Riccarton Bus Lounge



Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Interchange	n	89	110	0	1	0	0	200
appearance	%	44.5%	55.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Interchange condition	n	101	97	0	2	0	0	200
interchange condition	%	50.5%	48.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Interchange safety	n	69	116	8	7	0	0	200
during day	%	34.5%	58.0%	4.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Interchange safety at	n	44	82	10	9	1	11	157
night	%	28.0%	52.2%	6.4%	5.7%	0.6%	7.0%	100.0%
Suburban hub	n	7	40	0	2	0	0	49
appearance	%	14.3%	81.6%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Suburban hub	n	8	36	1	4	0	0	49
condition	%	16.3%	73.5%	2.0%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Suburban hub safety	n	5	43	1	0	0	0	49
during day	%	10.2%	87.8%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Suburban hub safety at	n	4	30	1	4	1	0	40
night	%	10.0%	75.0%	2.5%	10.0%	2.5%	0.0%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	34.6%	58.7%	2.2%	3.1%	0.2%	1.2%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council makes it EASY for you to use the Interchange? This includes things such as the Interchange layout and design, access around the facility and platforms, finding the right bus, information and signage, and comfort of waiting areas, such as seating, heating level, and shelter provided from weather



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	89	35.7%
Agree	155	62.2%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	3	1.2%
Disagree	2	0.8%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	249	100.0%

Resident Understanding of and Influence on Council Decision Making: People Who Attended Hearings of Made Deputations

Methodology

Time in field: Survey was administered using online surveying between October 18 and October 30, 2020. Surveys were emailed to 195 people who had attended a hearing or made a deputation to the Council or to a Council committee or community board between January and September 2020.


Completed Surveys: 75

Understanding of Council Decisions

Question

Score based on the survey question stated below:

1. Overall, how much do you agree or disagree that you understand how the Christchurch City Council makes decisions?




Satisfaction Results		Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Understanding of	n	12	38	17	13	3	0	83
decision making	%	14.5%	45.8%	20.5%	15.7%	3.6%	0.0%	100.0%
Accuracy of	n	1	16	23	29	14	1	84
information about decisions	%	1.2%	19.0%	27.4%	34.5%	16.7%	1.2%	100.0%
Prompt and timely	n	1	22	22	30	7	2	84
information about decisions	%	1.2%	26.2%	26.2%	35.7%	8.3%	2.4%	100.0%
LOS AVERAGE RATING	%	5.6%	30.3%	24.7%	28.7%	9.6%	1.2%	100.0%

Public Influence on Council Decision Making

 $\frac{\mbox{Question}}{\mbox{Score based on the single survey question stated below:}}$


1. Overall, how much influence do you feel the public has on the decisions the Council makes? (Large, some, small, no influence)



Influence Results		Large Influence	Some	Small Influence	No Influence	Don't Know	TOTAL
Influence on decision making	n	1	19	43	20	1	84
LOS RATING	%	1.2%	22.6%	51.2%	23.8%	1.2%	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: Overall, how much do you agree or disagree that the Council made it EASY for you to understand and participate in our decision making? This includes things such as providing a range of options for engaging with us and for influencing decision making, providing clear instructions about our processes and timelines, accessibility of Council staff, councillors and community board members to talk about or help you understand decisions and providing accurate information about our decision making processes and about the reasons for our decisions.



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	1.2%
Agree	31	37.3%
Neither Agree nor Disagree	18	21.7%
Disagree	17	20.5%
Strongly Disagree	16	19.3%
Don't Know	0	0.0%
Total	83	100.0%

Sports Parks

Methodology

Questions:

- 1. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are with the range of sports support facilities available at this park? This includes things such as toilets, changing rooms and drinking fountains.
- 2. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of this park? Condition includes things such as maintenance and upkeep.
- 3. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with information provided for this park? This includes things such as signs that are clear and easy to understand and the accuracy and availability of information about the park
- 4. Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the accessibility of this park? This includes things such as vehicle parking, and getting around and using the park.

Time in field: Surveying took place using sequential mixed method surveying and mail drops between November

2020 and December 2020 **Completed Surveys:** 212


Sites Surveyed:

Park Name	Completed Surveys		
BARNETT PARK SPORTS GROUNDS	14		
BROOMFIELD COMMON	10		
CENTENNIAL PARK	10		
CROSBIE PARK	12		
PARKLANDS RESERVE	10		
QUEENSPARK RESERVE	11		
REDWOOD PARK	5		
THOMSON PARK	10		
BURNSIDE PARK	40		
BURWOOD PARK	30		
HAGLEY PARK SOUTH	30		
RAWHITI DOMAIN	30		
TOTAL	212		

Satisfaction Results	i.	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neither	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	TOTAL
Sport support facilities	n	79	83	17	15	6	8	208
Sport support facilities	%	38.0	39.9	8.2	7.2	2.9	3.8	100.0%
Park condition	n	85	97	8	13	7	0	210
	%	40.5	46.2	3.8	6.2	3.3	0.0	100.0%
Park information	n	61	91	27	15	2	9	205
provided	%	29.8	44.4	13.2	7.3	1.0	4.4	100.0%
Banka a sa a Shiilita	n	89	99	6	11	4	0	209
Park accessibility	%	42.6	47.4	2.9	5.3	1.9	0.0	100.0%

Customer Effort: Ease of Interacting With or Using Council Services

Question: How much do you agree or disagree that the Council make it EASY for you to use this park? This includes things such as how easy it is to get around and use the park, the provision of things you need and expect to see at a sports park, the condition of the park and having accurate information about the park.



Agreement Results	Number	Percent
Strongly Agree	97	47.1
Agree	87	42.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	10	4.9
Disagree	7	3.4
Strongly Disagree	4	1.9
Don't Know	1	0.5
Total	206	100.0%