RESPONSES TO CANDIDATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION ENDING 4 OCTOBER 2016

1. Crown owned land in the CBD and rates

A Candidate asked a question on whether the Government pays rates on land it has acquired in the CBD?

Council Staff Response

The Crown is charged rates in exactly the same way as any other owner. Some land is legally Non-Rateable (although it still pays for water, sewage, & rubbish collection), but this relates to the nature of the land rather than Crown ownership. The list of non-rateable land is in Schedule 1 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, and includes things like schools, DHB hospitals, reserves, public halls, airports & ports, railways, roads, churches, and some types of Maori Land. Crown-owned CBD land is rateable, so the Council charge and collect rates.

2. The Linwood Woolston Investment Strategy Update

Council staff provided the following memorandum regarding the Linwood Woolston Pool:





MEMORANDUM

To: Karleen Edwards, David Adamson, Mary Richardson, Adair Bruorton

From: Peter Vause

cc: Liam Nolan, John Filsell, Alistair Pearson

Date: 03 October 2016

Re: LINWOOD WOOLSTON POOL

The Linwood Woolston Pool project is progressing to schedule, as detailed in the project timeline below. The project is currently in its initiation phase and on schedule for delivery in accordance with this timeline.

- The Council is committed to making a significant investment in Linwood, with this project being included in the LTP with major funding in FY2018/19 and FY2019/20.
- The Council is committed to working in partnership with the Community Board and the Linwood community to ensure that our planning is community-focussed and coordinated with other organisations working in the area. The demolition of the former library, the Smith Street Nursery site clearance, closure of the former Linwood Service Centre, closure of Linwood Intermediate School and changes to secondary education provision offer unique opportunities for alignment and strong 'place making'.
- In its submission on this year's draft Annual Plan, the Hagley Ferrymead Community Board requested that a Linwood Working Group work across Council to deliver an integrated plan for Council activities in the area.
- The Hagley Ferrymead Community Board has promoted the Linwood Woolston Pool as an opportunity to strengthen community cohesion and increase participation in aquatics. The Community Board acknowledges that this project needs to be seen as a part of a wider set on initiatives, relating to housing, libraries, service centre, primary, intermediate and secondary schools.
- In April, the Acting Manager, Major Projects, Vertical Capital Delivery met with the Hagley Ferrymead Community Board and set out Council's approach to capital project delivery, and in particular the need to ensure that capital investment is:
 - i. aligned with Council strategy
 - ii. integrated with other projects
 - iii. not carried out in isolation, and
 - iv. delivers tangible benefits.
- 7 These factors are best addressed through a robust business case process.

- The Vertical Capital Delivery team has had discussions with John Filsell (Head of Recreation & Sports), Carolyn Ingles (Head of Urban Regeneration, Strategy & Transformation) and Carolyn Robertson (Head of Libraries) to discuss how the Linwood Pool Project might contribute to 'place making' and community capacity-building in Linwood.
- 9 The group concluded that the issues facing Linwood were more than just provision of a swimming pool.
- As part of the Linwood Pool Project there will be a structured consultation and business case process carried out in partnership with the local community to identify opportunities, potential social benefits and any issues, and to establish strategic priorities for the investment to support the delivery of community services.
- 11 The strategic assessment will provide guidance on the next steps which might include preparation of a Community Development Plan for Linwood. It will also provide Council with guidance on the investment in the proposed Linwood Pool and how this project fits within a Community Development Plan for Linwood.
- 12 Council staff have produced an indicative timetable showing *delivery* of the Linwood/Woolston pool commencing in April 2018, with the majority of expenditure in FY 2018/19 and completing in FY2019/20. Minor bring-backs from FY2019/20 will be required to match the proposed timing.
- 13 The timeline shows three stages on Community Consultation;

i. Strategic assessment: October - November 2016

ii. Community development planning: May to July 2017

iii. Pool Concept design: October – November 2017

Peter Vause

Development Director Vertical Capital Delivery – Major Projects

$\textbf{Linwood Woolston Investment Strategy.mpp} \\ \textbf{High Level Timeline}$

Michigan																																		
	ID	Task Name	Duration		Aug Sep	Oct No	v Dec Ja	017 in Feb M	ar Apr	May Ju	n Jul	l Aug	Sep C	Oct Nov	v Dec	2018 Jan	Feb Ma	r Apr N	May Ju	ın Jul	Aug S	Sep Oc	t Nov [20 Dec Ja	n Feb	Mar A	pr May	Jun J	ul Aug	Sep (Oct No	v Dec	2020 Jan F	Feb Mar
Wing rack adding this	1	Initiation	3 wks	Fri 02/09/16																														
Proceedings	2	Strategic case	85 days	Fri 23/09/16		tratec	gic case	1																										
Mark	3		2 wks	Fri 23/09/16		W hy	are w	e doing	this p	roject																								
Second	4	What are we hoping to	2 wks	Fri 23/09/16	\	_h Wha	at are v	e hopir	ıg to a	ichiev	e in	the L	inwo	od Ar	ea																			
May be yet the content of the con	5	what are the benefits of	2 wks	Fri 07/10/16		X wh	nat are	the ben	efits (of doir	ng th	nis																						
Control Cont	6	What are the	2 wks	Fri 07/10/16																														
Monta on the Cultural Cases 1	7		2 wks	Fri 21/10/16																														
Man or the standardines 14	8	what are our options	1 wk	Fri 04/11/16						s																								
West du trey think	9	Who are the stakeholders	1 wk	Fri 21/10/16																														
1. Modern the recommendation of the control of the	10	What do they think	4 wks	Fri 11/11/16																														
O	11		0 days			Ī																												
30 West are the risks Nat. 1494/12/6 Color	12	What are the indicative	2 wks			<u> </u>			indic	ative	Cost	\$																						
M	13		1 wk	Fri 09/12/16		-					Jose	3																						
Control Approx - Strategic Body	14	Council Report	6 wks	Fri 09/12/16																														
1906 Carrently Development Ron 180 bigs Fi 200017	15	Council Approve - Strategic	0 days	Thu																														
No. Soogle definition 1 mon				19/01/17			19/01	Coun	cil Ap	prove	- S	trate	gic As	ssessr	ment																			
No. Process 1 mon	17	Community Development Plan	140 days	Fri 20/01/17			Co	mmunit	y Dev	elopn	nent	Plan																						
20 Community Development Plan 1 mom Fo 17823/77 22 Costs Swiss For 21044/77 23 Council Approve - Indication 2 months of 16 1205/77 24 Council Approve - Indication 2 months of 16 1205/77 25 Council Approve - Indication 2 months of 16 1205/77 26 Council Approve - Indication 2 months of 16 1205/77 27 Design 190 (a) Council Approve - Indication 2 months of 16 1205/77 28 Consultation 1 mon	18	Scope definition	1 mon	Fri 20/01/17																														
27 Risks	19	Options	1 mon	Fri 17/02/17																														
2	20	Community Development Plan	1 mon	Fri 17/03/17																														
Consultation	21	Risks	1 wk	Fri 14/04/17					K																									
March Council Report Avits Fri 07/07/17	22	Costs	3 wks	Fri 21/04/17						■ ₁																								
25 Council Approve - Indicative Odays The Outwin Od/08/17 Odays Council Approve - Indicative Community Development Plan Odays Council Approve - Indicative Community Development Odays Indicative Council Approve - Indicative Community Development Odays Indicative Council Approve - Indicative Council Approve	23	Consultation	2 mons	Fri 12/05/17							—																							
Community Development Plan ISVIBN/17	24	Council Report	4 wks	Fri 07/07/17							¥																							
26 Concept design 2 mons Fri 04/06/17 27 Consultation 1 mon Fri 13/10/17 28 Council Report 4 wks Fri 05/01/18 29 Council Approve — Detailed 0 days Thu 01/02/18 Investment 60 days Fri 02/02/18 Investment 60 days Fri 02/02/18 30 Procurement 60 days Fri 02/02/18 31 Procurement 60 days Fri 02/02/18 32 Tender documents 1 mon Fri 02/02/18 33 Tender documents 1 mon Fri 02/02/18 34 Procurement 60 days Fri 02/02/18 35 Tender documents 1 mon Fri 02/02/18 36 Tender 2 mons Fri 02/03/18 37 Council Approve Tender - 0 days Thu 26/04/18 38 Construction 425 days Fri 27/04/18 39 Construction 425 days Fri 27/04/18 40 Detail Design 4 mons Fri 17/06/18 41 Construction 15 mons Fri 17/06/18 42 Commissioning 2 mons Fri 17/06/18 43 Readiness for Service 1 wk Fri 06/12/19 44 Opening 0 days Thu		Community Development Plan	0 days							03	3/08	¢c	ounci	il App	orove	- In	dicati	ve Cor	mmu	nity C	evel	opme	nt Pla	n Cas	e									
28	27	Design	130 days	Fri 04/08/17									С	Desigr	n																			
30 Preliminary design 2 mors Fri 10/11/17 31 Council Report 4 wks Fri 05/01/18 32 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 01/02 Council Approve - Detai		-	2 mons	Fri 04/08/17																														
31 Council Report 4 wks Fri 05/01/18 32 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment 33	29	Consultation	1 mon	Fri 13/10/17																														
22 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment O1/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool i	30	Preliminary design	2 mons	Fri 10/11/17									1																					
Business Case for pool investment 01/02/18 01/02/218 01/02 Council Approve - Detailed Business Case for pool investment	31	Council Report	4 wks	Fri 05/01/18																														
35 Tender documents 1 mon Fri 02/02/18		Business Case for pool	0 days												01/0	02	Cou	ncil A	ppro	∕e - [Detai	ed Bı	ısiness	s Case	e for p	oool i	nvesti	ment						
36 Tender 2 mons Fri 02/03/18 37 Council Approve Tender - 0 days Thu 26/04/18 38	34	Procurement	60 days	Fri 02/02/18												Pr	rocure	ment																
37 Council Approve Tender 0 days Thu 26/04/18 26/04/18 26/04 Council Approve Tender Investment decision 38 26/04 Council Approve Tender Investment decision 39 Construction 425 days Fri 27/04/18 Construction 4 mons Fri 27/04/18 Fri 27/04/18 41 Construction 15 mons Fri 17/08/18 42 Commissioning 2 mons Fri 11/10/19 43 Readiness for Service 1 wk Fri 06/12/19 44 Opening 0 days Thu T	35	Tender documents	1 mon	Fri 02/02/18																														
Investment decision 26/04/18 26/04 Council Approve Tender - Investment decision 38 39 Construction 425 days Fri 27/04/18 Construction 4 mons Fri 27/04/18 41 Construction 15 mons Fri 17/08/18 42 Commissioning 2 mons Fri 11/10/19 43 Readiness for Service 1 wk Fri 06/12/19 44 Opening 0 days Thu Thus	36	Tender	2 mons	Fri 02/03/18																														
38	37		0 days														26/	04	Cou	ngil A	ppro	ve Te	nder -	Inve	stmer	it dec	ision							
40 Detail Design 4 mons Fri 27/04/18 41 Construction 15 mons Fri 17/08/18 42 Commissioning 2 mons Fri 11/10/19 43 Readiness for Service 1 wk Fri 06/12/19 44 Opening 0 days Thu	38	Trivestillent decision																																
41 Construction 15 mons Fri 17/08/18 42 Commissioning 2 mons Fri 11/10/19 43 Readiness for Service 1 wk Fri 06/12/19 44 Opening 0 days Thu	39	Construction	425 days	Fri 27/04/18														-						Со	nstru	ction								
42 Commissioning 2 mons Fri 11/10/19 43 Readiness for Service 1 wk Fri 06/12/19 44 Opening 0 days Thu	40	Detail Design	4 mons	Fri 27/04/18														1			_													
43 Readiness for Service 1 wk Fri 06/12/19 44 Opening 0 days Thu	41	Construction	15 mons	Fri 17/08/18																	1										h			
44 Opening 0 days Thu	42	Commissioning	2 mons	Fri 11/10/19																											<u></u>	•		
44 Opening 0 days Thu 12/12/19	43	Readiness for Service	1 wk	Fri 06/12/19																												K		
graphic community of the property of the prop	44	Opening	0 days	Thu 12/12/19																												•	12/12	2

RESPONSES TO CANDIDATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION ENDING 23 SEPTEMBER 2016

1. Council Resolution - Organics Processing Plant

A Candidate asked for the Council resolutions from 25 August 2016 in regard to the Organics Processing Plant from the Public Excluded minutes. Staff have advised that the following can be released:

That the Council:

- 1. Receive the report.
- 2. Approve option 1 as follows:
 - a. Ensure implementation of industry best practice at the Living Earth plant and ongoing implementation of continuous improvements for organics management.
 - b. Noting that there is more than one potential source of odour in the greater Bromley area, urgently develop a joint programme with Environment Canterbury to ensure that they are all identified and investigated for mitigation.
 - c. Investigate monitoring options that would improve the Council's capacity to detect the source of odours.
 - e. Provide an interim report to the Council by mid December 2016 on all of the preceding matters.

Please note the report and resolution 2(d) is withheld under section 7(2)(i) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) which allows a local authority to withhold information to enable it to carry on negotiations without prejudice or disadvantage.

2. Council Resolution - Options for addressing 'fast food' retail outlets

At its 22 September meeting the Council resolved to release the following resolution from the Public Excluded minutes:

That the Council:

- 1. Champion a healthy city approach that supports the Food Resilience Policy 2014.
- Direct staff to report back in eight months with a draft schedule of actions that demonstrate the Council 'champions' healthy options including a draft Healthy Food Policy for all Council owned and managed facilities and events.
- Advocate to:
 - a. Central government for a national response to the identification of issues and options around managing the location and density of 'fast food' outlets. This may include first working with the Local Government Metro Mayors Forum to develop a remit on healthy food (based on Ministry of Health guidelines) for endorsement by other Councils across the country.
 - b. The Ministry of Education to consider the potential effects of locating schools near commercial centres when it determines the locations for new schools.
 - c. Residents and schools to contact Environment Canterbury's Pollution Hotline if they consider the odour from fast food outlets is objectionable beyond the boundary of the fast food outlet.
- 4. Request staff to report back on how to progress an amendment to the district plan to restrict the location and/or signage of fast food outlets within close proximity to schools.

Please note that the Council report is withheld under 7(2)(g) – to maintain legal professional privilege.

3. Update on the Council's Provisional Local Alcohol Policy (PLAP)

Council staff provided the following information on the Council's Provisional Local Alcohol Policy (PLAP):

I wanted to let you know that the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority (ARLA) released its decision today granting consent orders in relation to the Council's Provisional LAP (PLAP).

As you will recall, the Council filed a consent memorandum with ARLA and asked ARLA to direct the Council to reconsider elements of the PLAP. This would allow the Council to make the changes negotiated with the appellants in June. The Police, Medical Officer of Health and Victoria Neighbourhood Association opposed ARLA giving these directions.

I am pleased to report that ARLA agreed that it could make the directions, and has therefore directed the Council to reconsider the PLAP in terms of the 13 elements that we identified that the Council would like to change.

This allows the Council to make the three substantive changes as follows:

- 1. Changing maximum off-licence hours to 7am to 10pm. In the existing PLAP the maximum hours were from 9am to 9pm.
- 2. Changing Central Area A (which allows for a maximum 3am closing for on-licences) to include two parcels of land fronting High Street, and the section of Victoria Street south of Salisbury Street. The PLAP currently provides that these parts are in an area that has a maximum 1am closing.
- 3. Allowing for the section of Victoria Street north of Salisbury Street (and including Carlton Corner) to have a maximum 3am closing for on-licences for a 3 year transitional period. The PLAP currently provides that this land is in an area that has a maximum 1am closing.

There are also a number of cosmetic changes or clarifications which you resolved to make in June.

Process going forward:

ARLA did not make any timetabling orders except to vacate the current timetable (which provided for a hearing of the appeals in early October 2016). The Council needs to ask ARLA for a new timetable and staff are considering this at present.

After the timetable has been settled, the next step will be for the Council to notify all submitters who made submissions to the Council on the original elements of the PLAP that are being changed. The Council must tell these submitters of the terms of the proposed amended element and that these submitters can apply to ARLA to become an interested party with the right to appear and give their views on the proposed changes when any of the remaining appeals are heard before ARLA.

Because of the large number of submitters in 2013, and the number of changes being made, staff have determined that the Council will notify all 2013 submitters. These submitters can then determine whether or not they made a submission on one or more of the elements being changed and whether they wish to apply to ARLA to obtain interested party status.

Further information will follow shortly

RESPONSES TO CANDIDATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION ENDING 16 SEPTEMBER 2016

4. Kerb and Channelling for Annex Road

A Candidate asked for an update on the status of any proposed kerb and channelling for Annex Road.

Council Staff Response

- · The hospital has previously requested a footpath and kerbs on Annex Road.
- · To date there has been no funding allocated for the installation of kerbs and footpath.
- The hospital's request was considered by the area traffic engineer at the time in 2015 when he initiated a project
 to investigate provision of a footpath. Upon investigation, the pedestrian volumes along this section of the road
 did not warrant the installation of a footpath.
- If the investigation found that the footpath was warranted it would need to become a specific Capital Programme project and be included in the Long Term Plan.
- Please note that Annex Road is the preferred route for the 'Nor'west Arc' Major Cycle Route. This is programed
 for consultation February 2017 with construction 2018-19. Depending on the design of this project, kerbs may
 be provided, and facilities for pedestrians could be installed in the form of a shared path. However, the facility
 type and infrastructure associated with the major cycle route has not yet been determined.

5. QEII Asbestos Remediation

Council staff provided the following information to candidates on the issue of asbestos remediation at QEII:

Over the past three months Council staff have being working closely with engineering experts, work-safe and Ecan to achieve an innovative asbestos remediation approach.

The approach agreed by all parties proposes that asbestos contaminated materials are removed to landfills, rather than left in bunds on the park.

The contaminated materials will be processed and handled under strict controls and monitoring before being removed by an approved transport contractor. The remediated material that remains on site will be capped under a soil layer and then grassed.

This remediation approach will be a similar cost to burying the contaminated waste on the park in bunds or holes and is within the planned project budget.

ECan have been closely involved in the development of this approach with the formal consent expected this week. The MoE are currently reviewing the approach, however have indicated that they are in principle supportive.

The tender process opens today, Monday, 12 September and we expect to be able to review the submissions and award this work in October. Work to begin in Late October or early November dependant on contractor availability 2016. We aim to have the remediation work completed by December 2016/January 2017 and will then begin work on establishing the playing fields.

Addendum:

The engineering and science regarding this work is continually improving. More detailed information of the asbestos at QEII Park has also been developed over the past three months. Staff are advised that the proposed innovative approach will have no cost difference between burying and bunding on site, compared with removal of the contaminated waste to a disposal site. Discussions with ECAN regarding the approach of bunding and burying on site also presented challenges to ensure it could not be exposed to the public.

The recent planning and subsequent approach presented a better outcome for the park and community at an estimated cost at no difference to burying and bunding. It is estimated that the remediation work can occur within the allocated budget. Further work is required on the detailed costings of the grassed sports fields. This project is progressing at pace to ensure the remediation work can be completed prior to the dryer season of late summer to minimise risk.

Considering the intent of the QEII site remediation project, the outcomes of the detailed planning work, and the ability to progress this work during a season to minimise risk, staff made the judgement call to progress the work and provide an update to the Community Board and Councillors.

6. Bromley Cemetery

A candidate raised a question about the availability of plots at Bromley Cemetery for pre-purchase by the Catholic Church and in general about group plots at cemeteries.

Council Staff Response

Bromley Cemetery is only open for burial and ash interments in pre-purchased plots only. There are no burial plots available for purchase.

The Sexton's house and associated buildings were removed late 2014. However, the removal did not include 100% of site demolition material and potentially left contaminants in the soil (such as lead and asbestos). Bromley Cemetery is a contaminated site - all cemeteries are HAIL sites, it is also an old landfill site.

The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch has been offered 20 burial plots (373 - 392) at Memorial Park Cemetery. The future use of the area at Bromley Cemetery where the Sexton's House was removed is unknown. Please note the following:

Due to the extent of contamination on the site, extensive testing will be required in accordance with the NES (National Environmental Standards) Regulations, to determine the level and extent of contamination, and what potential future use of the area may have.

If deemed usable an extensive consent process would need to be followed including the preparation of a Site Management Plan. This process would be costly.

The actual usable area of this site is very limited. Council have a 10m setback requirement for burials on all boundaries. If Council found the funding available to do this work the actual number of plots that may be possible on the site would be very small potentially around 100 plots.

Any construction work on the site will be costly as Council may potentially need to dispose of unwanted spoil to appropriate facilities.

Cemetery capacity is an issue. The only cemeteries where a block of 20 plots can be provided within a city cemetery are Memorial Park Cemetery and Yaldhurst Cemetery. The Council is currently putting development funding in the extension of Belfast Cemetery. Development of these cemeteries is consented and are the priority for expenditure of the Cemeteries development budget.

It is considered that a more appropriate use of the land at Bromley Cemetery where the Sexton's house was removed would potentially be an above ground ash interment area such as a wall, which would limit the amount of ongoing ground disturbance in the area. This would require further investigation.

RESPONSES TO CANDIDATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION ENDING 9 SEPTEMBER 2016

1. Information on the Proposed Halswell Skate Park

In relation to the proposed Halswell Skate Park:

- 1. What was the Council's decision regarding the funding of the Skate Park at Knights Stream Park?
- 2. When was the rule changed for a Skate Park to be 40 meters, not 80 meters from a dwelling?
- 3. The rule just seemed to appear prior to the public being informed of a skate park. Was it changed at a meeting, or just changed to suite Halswell Domain?

Council Staff Response

- 1. The Riccarton/Wigram Community Board Recommendation around Knights Stream's funding was discussed by the Council at its 8 September 2016 meeting. The Council decided to proceed with the Skate Park at Knights Stream potentially funded from Development Contributions.
- 2. There is no Council rule around the separation between a residential dwelling and a skate facility. In the City Plan there are noise standards that the skate park needs to comply with. An initial assessment of the potential sites for a skate park in Halswell was completed by Marshall Day Acoustics. As a result of the work that they completed on the proposed Sumner Skate Park, they determined criteria suitable for the site selection process in regards to noise. These criteria reflected their detailed measurements/modelling and their high level review of other established community skate parks in Christchurch. One of their criteria is that the skate park should be located at least 40m from any residential dwelling to adhere to the noise standards in the City Plan. Council used this recommendation as part of the site selection process.
- 3. There is no rule and no change was made at a meeting or to suit Halswell Domain.
- 2. Issue Raised by the Avonhead Community Group Inc.

Avonhead Community Group Inc.



We need your help!

Do we want an industrial concrete jungle in our area? Heavy container trucks speeding down our streets? No parking spaces for our own cars?

The rural land at the corner of Memorial-Russley-Avonhead Road has been rezoned for industry. There will be a huge increase in traffic on Avonhead Road and Roydvale Avenue, and this will impact on the safety of our school-going children, pedestrians and cyclists.

We also have to go back to court; even though the Independent Panel decided that there will be no industry on the 35 ha of rural land on Hawthornden Road, some landowners have appealed this decision at the High Court.

The Mayor and Councillors are fully supporting the community. The Council is now fighting the landowners at Court to keep the Hawthornden Road land zoned rural-urban fringe.

A Public Meeting is being held When: Tuesday 13th September 2016 at 7.00 pm Where: Merrin School Hall

Mayor Lianne Dalziel, Cr. Raf Manji, other Councillors, Community Board members, and candidates for the local bodies election are all going to attend.

We need your help to let the Council know that the community is behind them.

A **full house** will show that the community still says **NO to industry** in Avonhead. Please bring your family and neighbours along.

DON'T RELY ON OTHERS BEING THERE - YOU BE THERE!



Email: avonheadcommunity@gmail.com Phone: 03 420 9999 Website: www.avonheadcommunity.com

Council Staff Information to Candidates

The following information is for any candidates so that they are reminded of the situation regarding the Equus appeal:

It has come to staff's attention that the Avonhead Community Group Inc. invited some of you to a public meeting Tuesday 13 September. The meeting concerns land on the corner of Memorial Avenue and Russley Road and an appeal against a decision in regard to its zoning. The following might be useful to remind you of the status and nature of this appeal.

- a) The independent Hearing Panel (IHP) decided that the land in question should remain as "rural" it did not decide to rezone the land to "industrial".
- b) Equus (as it is entitled to do) has appealed the IHP's decision.
- c) The appeal is to the High Court and is to be heard by the Court next Monday (19 September). The Council is defending the IHP's decision and its lawyers (Simpson Grierson) will present the case on Monday.

- d) The appeal is on "points of law" only and cannot delve into the "merits" of the IHP's decision. Equus must show an "error of law" it must show that the IHP "got the law wrong". The process involves only legal submissions (no new evidence).
- e) If the appeal is successful the most likely result would be that the question would go back to the IHP (not to the Council) for a re-hearing in light of the "proper" legal interpretation as decided by the High Court. There may be more evidence given at that stage but that will depend on the IHP.
- f) If the appeal is not successful then the "rural" zoning remains.

For your information, the Council does not have any direct decision making powers in regard to any matter before the IHP or on appeal from the IHP to the High Court.

RESPONSES TO CANDIDATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION ENDING 2 SEPTEMBER 2016

1. The Linwood Master Plan

A candidate requested a status update of the Linwood Master Plan

Council Staff Response

The Linwood Village Master Plan was adopted by Council in August 2012. Since then we have completed a number of the master plan actions and others are funded in the annual plan and LTP. We have also undertaken a number of transitional projects in the area and have others underway. An outline of the works completed and planned are set out below:-

Action C1, Community facilities and open space - The public toilet facility on the Linwood Community Arts Centre site was redeveloped with a new toilet facility, including the provision of a water drinking fountain and bike fix it stand. A landscape plan for the upgrade of Doris Lusk Park was completed and implemented. The provision of a children's interactive play art installation in Doris Lusk Park is yet to be completed and may require additional funding.

Action S1, Streetscape - the Streetscape upgrade for Stanmore Road is planned for design in FY17 and implementation in FY18 & FY19. This project will include upgrade to the street lighting. This MP action also sought to improve the bus waiting experience in the village and so far the bus stop on Gloucester Street has been improved by the addition of a Solar Charge Shelter with LED lighting and connection points for charging mobile devices.

A transitional project has been completed for temporary street improvements including street painting, planters, rose arbours, play balls and in ground solar lights.

Action R, Recovery together - is ongoing with case management and pre application meetings for property owners. The Linwood Village Working Party consisting of local residents, business owners and community board members was formed and worked with Council on the transitional street scape improvements and the design of the new toilet block.

The community applied for funding from the Transitional City Projects Fund to assist with the Linwood Village Community Café on a vacant site in the heart of the village, this is currently operating 3-4 mornings a week with volunteers from the community. Volunteers assisted by Te Whare Roimata are also currently running the Post Office to try and ensure this essential service is maintained in the village.

Staff are currently working with Greening the Rubble on a transitional project to activate some of the vacant sites in the village and have undertaken community consultation on what the community would like to see in the village. This will be implemented in FY17.

Additionally construction of the city end of the Rapanui - Shag Rock Cycleway has been approved and is expected to start in spring 2016. The section of the route extends from Fitzgerald Avenue to Linwood Avenue via Linwood Park. The section through Linwood Village is along Worcester Street.

The Suburban Master Plan Programme is reported through the biannual reporting which is attached for your information.



To: Community Boards

From: Head of Urban Design, Regeneration and Heritage

Re: Suburban Biannual Report

Background

1. The Strategic Planning and Policy Activity Management Plan requires biannual reporting of progress toward implementing each adopted suburban centre master plan.

- 2. At its 22 October 2015 meeting, the Strategy and Finance Committee accepted the staff recommendation that the detailed document of progress for each master plan area would be shared with Community Boards and the Committee would instead receive a broader overview.
- 3. The attached 'dashboard' document provides the detailed overview of progress for all master plans. A summary of key progress over the October 2015 to March 2016 timeframe for the master plan/s within the xxx ward is set out below.
- 4. If Board members have any questions related to the attached document, please contact the project leader for the master plan as indicated below.
- 5. If there is any feedback that members would like to specifically note to the Strategy and Finance Committee, Carolyn Bonis, Team Leader Urban Regeneration will collate this in May. The Committee will consider the report at its meeting on 19 May, so all comments and feedback will need to be received by <u>close of business Thursday 12 May</u> in order to be collated and distributed prior to the Committee meeting. Please forward feedback to my PA Cheryl Scanlan.
- 6. Should Community Board members wish relevant project leaders to brief the Board, this may be able to be accommodated prior to 12 May depending upon meeting schedules and availability. However, project leaders are equally able to brief the Board at an alternative suitable meeting time following 12 May.

[Lyttelton / Mt Herbert Community Board]

Lyttelton Master Plan

Key progress includes:

- Norwich Quay amenity improvements: NZTA is upgrading pedestrian access across Norwich Quay, ahead of a more comprehensive programme of works. Consultation is underway regarding location of the bus stop, bus shelter and pedestrian crossing.
- Head to Head walkway: Signage plans have been developed and two structures are being priced
 for a section of track. Track work from Maori Gardens to Governors Bay has been completed, as
 has rockfall assessment for key sections of track. Design work is underway for a section of steps
 above Urumau Reserve and for addressing damaged seawall.
- Lyttelton Recreation Centre: The repaired Centre reopened in February.

- Accommodating community and cultural activities: Retrofit of a Council-owned building in Canterbury Street for use as a community meeting space has commenced, due for completion in August.
- Naval Point amenity improvements: a development plan is in design/concept development phase and being informed by key stakeholders. A draft for consultation is expected to be approved by early 2017.
- Performance/film: The Loons Theatre Trust has partnered with the Ministry of Education to create a dual facility school hall and theatre at Lyttelton Primary School, expected to open in May 2016.
- Design and character guidance: The Independent Hearings Panel has endorsed design guidelines for the town centre, although this is subject to appeal. A design guide led by Lyttelton Port Company is in preparation for development of new buildings and public space in Dampier Bay.
- Heritage retention: Several heritage incentive grants have been allocated to support renovations to commercial and residential heritage buildings.

[Hagley/Ferrymead Community Board]

Linwood Village Master Plan

Key progress includes:

- Improve bus waiting spaces: Installation of a solar shelter adjacent to the Gloucester Street citybound bus route.
- Redevelopment of southwest corner of Worcester / Stanmore Roads: New mixed use development on part of the block has recently commenced.

Sumner Village Centre Master Plan

Key progress includes:

- Streetscape improvements (Marriner Street-west, Wakefield Avenue): The concept design scheme was recently approved by the Community Board following public consultation. Detailed design work will occur this year.
- Redevelopment of community facilities: detailed design is underway, with work due to start on site in August 2016. The Council recently acquired land in Nayland Street to create off-street parking in association with rebuilding the community facilities.

Ferry Road Master Plan

Key progress includes:

 Woolston movement and streetscape improvements: The concept design scheme was approved in December 2015. Public consultation will proceed in May. The Urban Renewal budget will be used to achieve the gateway enhancements.

- Pedestrian bridge to Cumnor Terrace: initiatives with The Tannery are being explored to improve pedestrian connection with Woolston.
- Community hub: Planning and design has occurred for a transitional project on the site of the former Woolston library building. Its April delivery will be reported in the next biannual report.

Main Road Master Plan

Key progress includes:

• Redcliffs Volunteer Library rebuild: layout and design finalised and a contract will be awarded shortly.

[Burwood - Pegasus Community Board]

New Brighton Centre Master Plan (project leader: Miranda Charles)

Transitional projects: new street furniture is being progressed following community consultation. A design competition for a series of 'Tiny Huts' is in development.

Note that due to commercial sensitivity, property related matters are not reported in this document.

[Spreydon - Heathcote Community Board]

Sydenham

Key progress includes:

- Open spaces: the recently acquired site at 441 Colombo Street has been transformed into a transitional park with seating, planters, astroturf and a loaned Llew Summers artwork. In relation to both of the actions of Remodelling Buchan Park and Relinquishing and replacing Carlyle Park, a workshop is planned with the Community Board.
- Gateway treatment: design is complete and installation likely in April.
- · Sydenham school site: Resource consent has been approved for mixed use development.

Selwyn Street

Key progress includes:

- Streetscape improvements: the project scheme is in development and consultation will occur in due course.
- Eastern shops: construction on the last section of shops is currently in progress.
- Selwyn St reserve: issues remain with low and intermittent stream flow, affecting viability of the action to daylight Jacksons Creek. Funding will therefore likely address landscaping elements of park renewal only at this stage.

[Shirley - Papanui Community Board] Edgeware

Key progress includes:

- Streetscape and movement improvements: design work has recommenced following decisions on the Papanui Parallel Cycleway and the land drainage projects. Further briefings and public consultation will occur in due course.
- Comprehensive redevelopment of northern block: resource consent was granted in February 2016 for an expansion of the supermarket, using this land for building and car parking.
