

Submissions on the new toilet and shelter at Koukourarata Port Levy

| Submission ID | Do you have any feedback on the proposed facilities? | First name | Last name |
|---------------|---|--------------------|------------|
| 40886 | I think the location should be closer to where the current toilet is located. This is an area where people launch water craft and where cars a parked and more likely to be frequented. I think the currently proposed location will interrupt the view and look of the area in front of the marae and putting it down near the current toilet will have a less impact on the aesthetics of the area. | Perrin | Jones |
| 40887 | I would like to see overall dimensions and construction materials to be used. What size and type of base. Finished heights especially and of course width and depths. | Riki | Lewis |
| 40910 | I see the point, the Marae will accept having the toilet located in front of their property in exchange for getting an extra room intended for their sole use even though the new building is public. Do the Marae understand that they should not be allowed to stop public access to the toilet during their events? Which may be likely to happen. | Leo | Adriano |
| 40916 | We support the proposal | Peter | Newton |
| 40960 | Thank you the invitation to provide feedback on the proposed Port Levy facility at Koukourarata. This is an innovative and attractive concept which we fully support. A couple of suggestions. Given the shortage of water during the summer it could be useful to have a rainwater collection tank for the building. Even if not strictly potable having water on site would be useful for cleaning etc. Related to the above is the desirability of having thin metal sheathing bands on the uprights to stop possums getting on to the roof. Possums will love those uprights. Congratulations to the Council on this concept plan. | Cathrine and Peter | Ackroyd |
| 40961 | Thank you for notifying local residence to discuss this proposal. I did not attend the meeting that the Rūnanga had organised for the Christchurch City Council Staff and locals to attend. However after hearing the feedback it felt like a foregone conclusion that the decision for the toilet site was approved. I hope this is not the case. My introduction is to give a background to the purpose of my submission; Press release March 16th 2016. “Port Levy bach on the Banks Peninsula to be removed.” The beachside bach was built on an unformed road administered by the CCC and partly owned land of Te Rūnanga o Koukourarata. Over previous years the Rūnanga had argued for the CCC to remove the bach so it could get on with planned development for the foreshore. Te Rūnanga o Koukourarata had also provided the Council with a professionally designed recreation design which included a picnic area. Priority by the Rūnanga was also to remove the existing toilet onto a more appropriate site given it would have more privacy a distance away from the beach and form part of the plan. The demolition of the bach was approved by CCC Thursday March 16th 20216.The Rūnanga started to develop the plan. The tree planting around the site is well developed. Many people visiting use the area for a boat ramp and a picnic area. Over the past year the toilet has become an obvious issue given its location, sea level rise, age and odour. Another obvious reason to implement the previous plan given the number of people who have discovered our lovely place. I am sure the Council would have data of the traffic to the picnic area on a busy day. | Elizabeth | Cunningham |

Submissions on the new toilet and shelter at Koukourarata Port Levy

| | | | |
|-------|---|----------|------------|
| | <p>The point I am making;</p> <p>there was a previous plan to remove the existing toilet in which the CCC was involved. It was a reasonable, well planned and a less expensive option. It was also acceptable to the local residence and the Rūnanga. Why has this not been used as another choice.</p> <p>I am opposed to the establishment of a toilet on the fence line of the Marae which faces out to a beautiful sea view. It close to the beachfront where many swim, fish and collect seafood.</p> | | |
| 40962 | <p>Thank you for the notification of the confirmed area for the new toilet design, and the opportunity to discuss this project.</p> <p>Please be aware the addition of other whanau members that may like to have a further consultation on this topic.</p> <p>There are many approaches to this decision, with Te Runanga o Koukourarata being the relevant consultation process to engage a legal structure of Mana Whenua.</p> <p>Personally, as a rate payer and Mana Whenua o Koukourarata, I would like to disagree of the positioning of this new facility:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - That we keep the existing toilet site. The proposal to have this facility as a room available for kaumatua to shelter when waiting to be called onto the Marae should never been promoted as being an encouraging addition, for the Mana Whenua if this was the intention or negotiation of the facility. - There are many reasons for this “new” site to be negotiated – to close to the main road, for safety... congestion in summer of an overload of visitors, the embankment concerns, to name a few. | Gina-Lee | Duncan |
| 40963 | <p>I’m writing in response to a new toilet facility that is proposed for port levy.</p> <p>I have been a rate payer in port levy for the past 14 years, my father previous of 20 years.</p> <p>My concern is the</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - LOCATIONS: This will be an eyesore for the view from our Marae - HAND SANITIZER: as opposed to running water, I feel in this day with COVID 19- it would be beneficial to have both hand sanitiser AND running water to aide standard. HAND hygiene- washing -procedure that meets regulation. This can assist in preventing spread of diseases and viruses. - EROSION: of the shoreline bank is a concern as-well | Wendy | Wetera |
| 40973 | <p>I DO NOT WANT THIS TOILET TO BE PLACE IN FRONT OF THE VIEW FROM THE KOUKOURRATA HALL AND DINING ROOM. This is where most functions are held in Port Levy, with fold always commenting about the view. Please put it where the toilet is now further North where the change room is. Living here without buildings, giving us full view of the sea and the island was the reason for coming here 50 plus years ago and I live here full time. How would you like a toilet building placed in front of your fence?</p> | Marina | Hughes |
| 40976 | <p>I strongly oppose the toilets being built in front of the Marae in Port Levy. The Council and the Marae had an agreement in 2007 to move the house in Angel’s rest a build the toilet there. The Marae, Council and Community board worked very well and honestly together to move the dwelling in Angel’s rest. It was a very public and personal process for everyone and we did it together. Please stick with the original plan that we worked so hard for.</p> | Manaia | Cunningham |
| 40977 | <p>I would like to see the Council really look into the best site for any toilets as I fully opposed of any toilets being built in front of our Marae.</p> | Karla | Gamble |
| 41042 | <p>I totally agree with this toilet proposal and attended long lengthy meetings with our Runanga of Koukourarata..and also with the local communitywe did not want this on the sacred ground of the Maori Church where the toilet is now and after as I said we had lengthy and very meaningful discussions regarding this matter ..I sincerely hope this matter is not going to be held up with negative input . I remain Mer CroftsKaumatua and longest serving member of the Runangta o Koukourarata</p> | Meri | Crofts |