

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
1	34419	Sarah Wylie		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Te Whare Baha'i o Ōtautahi, Domain Tce - religious facility • The Church in Christchurch, Mathers Rd (former Suburbs Rugby and Squash Club Rooms) - religious facility 			
2	34420	Patrick Lamborn	Connect Church Christchurch Senior leader	our facility - Connect Church at 53 Brisbane St, Sydenham , christchurch.			
3	34421	Carol Osgood	Treasurer Le Bons Bay Environmental Education Trust Treasurer	Josef Langer Trust, hut and camp ground Le Bons Bay		Our local Reserve hall requires an upgrade to establish potable water, until this happens, visitors are limited by the amount of water they can carry . . .or if they would bother at all.	I think it would be prudent to employ local cleaners for the management of the public toilets, rather than having city care staff travel from Christchurch.
4	34422	Judith Penney	Halswell Hall Incorporated Secretary/Treasurer,			Halswell Hall has had to work hard with a small committee to become "known" over the past 2-3 years. We are close neighbours to the Hub (Halswell Community Project) and utilise their FB page and Community Newsletter to get a good reach in the area. No point doing what they already do but many areas may not have such a strong networking system in place. One central marketing tool accessible to all in the local area would be very effective.	
5	34426	Sonia Bell	United Bridge Club, Treasurer			Would look at hiring council facilities such as Fendalton Community centre to play bridge but need small storage area for collapsible tables & table clothes. Council facilities do not have lockable storage space available.	No looks good
6	34428	Karen Betony	NZATD Canterbury branch Branch president and National Executive member,			Wider advertising of event spaces...we had to search quite hard across the council site to find the details of the venue. Categorising them into the type of facilities provided within the venue would have been easier	We are delighted to have found a modern and VERY affordable venue to host our branch events. The council staff have been very helpful and understanding through the Covid 19 lockdown.
7	34429	Rachael Shiels	Life in Vacant Spaces	I think it depends on your definition - due to lack of spaces/spaces that meet people's needs, temporary spaces have been created and utilised eg 'Waldheim' or 'The Old School' in NB. The regular use of cafes, shops or even people's home should also be considered (somehow?!) - these show patterns of use/gaps in the network.	Adaptability should be key here; if we have learnt anything over the last decade is that things can change really quickly; just because we don't see a specific need now/anymore or have the best business case doesn't mean that the community won't make use of the space.	Make use of a tool that CCC already funds - Life in Vacant Spaces (LIVS) is a CCC core-funded organisation that could be supporting the use and activation of these facilities. We have been around for nearly a decade and supported over 600 projects to access and activate vacant space. If CCC feels there could be more use of these facilities why not make them available to LIVS to match with creative and educational community projects - it's our charitable purpose and literally what CCC funds us to do.	Feels like a good start - we look forward to seeing the results on how the intentions will be realised.
8	34430	Simon Templeton	Age Concern Canterbury		For disestablishing : Who is using it - and how a change of venue might be a barrier to them continuing to use Council facilities. i.e for me - if one closed and i needed to go somewhere else - no problem, i would drive or bus or bike. if the person is older, has disability etc - this may not be the same for them. if a higher population of users of a facility are older people - more weight should be given to keeping it.		Council facilities are great - very reasonably priced, and well managed.
9	34434	John Reddiford	Ilam & Upper Riccarton Chairman				As Chairman of Ilam and Upper Riccarton , I know you will be making it a major priority to build an Ilam Community Centre?
10	34435	Rae Evans-Pater	Literacy Aotearoa, Christchurch	It's hard to say as the facilities on the map are unnamed.		I'm sure these things exist already to some degree, but they are often hard to find, or can be out of date - a comprehensive list of available facilities which includes all details such as, location, hours of use, parking, prices, amenities	

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
			Site Coordinator, Christchurch			within the facility, number of people the facility can contain at one time, and details of who to contact to make enquiries would be great. Maybe an online booking facility if this was realistic. If this was regularly updated, and located online somewhere, this would be very useful.	
11	34436	Jo Zervos	Coastal Community Board Deputy Chair	Just received the email about the facilities plan and have noticed several in my ward missing. There may be some you have omitted on purpose but here are a few <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waimairi Surf Life Saving Club • Spencer Park Surf Life Saving Club • He Puna Taimoana • Eastern Sports Hub - New Brighton Olympic Athletics Club, New Brighton Rugby Club, Rawhiti Golf Club, etc. • Christchurch School of Gymnastics • Spencerville Community Centre • Pleasant Point Yacht Club North Beach Surf club is shown as wrong side of road, should be on beach side like other surf clubs are Will have a more in depth look when I have spare time		To increase business, perhaps community groups/organisations could have some credit to use, worked out on the number of people they provide a service for, which would allow them one or two free facility uses (or so many hours) per year?	
13	34438	Alan McKinnon					I find this a commendable project. However, the use of the word "Network" in its description is in my view completely misleading. "Network" implies some sort of interconnectedness among these facilities. As far as I can see there is none. They are simply community facilities.
14	34443	Jillian Vincent	Christchurch Metropolitan Highland Pipe Band	McAlpine Lounge, 94 Falsgrave Street, Waltham	Number of people the facility can cater for. McAlpine Lounge can cater for 120 people.		See end of this document for attached images No. The Network Plan is well set up.
15	34444	Graeme Spinks	Secretary Christchurch Martial Arts Director		The demolition of SBHS gyms was a crime.... Sick		Privately owned facilities that house community based groups are not listed, there are heaps in Tuam Street alone, and yet no consideration to there whereabouts is noted. Just because your own fully paid recreation officers are out there ion "community facilities" dont get all high and mighty on what's commercial and what's for the good of the citizens of Christchurch, and how to go about that. Its been tough enough with earthquakes and virus times without you guys ignoring what's under your noses and thumbing it down because you think it doesn't merit mention.... weird ethics.
16	34447	Tracey Luxford	The Village Presbyterian Church Papanui Administrator	Hello, thank you for the recent email about the CCC Community Facilities Network Plan. We note that the name of our facility is wrong, and will cause some confusion (as it looks to link us to St Andrews College, which is wrong). Would it be possible to have this corrected please before the final version is signed			

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
				off? The error is found here:- 2. In the DRAFT 3 Christchurch City Council Community Facilities Network Plan Global Leisure Group Report [PDF, 2.6 MB], the Community Board area map for Papanui also has it as 'The Village Presbyterian Church St Andrews'. WE ARE IN FACT:- THE VILLAGE CHURCH & COMMUNITY CENTRE, PAPANUI (we also have a building in Ilam Rd, Bryndwr)			
18	34451	Nick Melville	Breezes Road Baptist Church Member			We have just received resource consent from the council after earthquake repairs. We are beginning a process of how best to use our facilities. 2 large halls, toilets, shower, commercial kitchen office space. Any interest or ideas from the community would be welcome.	
19	34462	Wen Ying Chen	Christchurch Chinese Ballroom Dancing group Administrators	It's ok!	It's ok.	All right!	
20	34466	Ursula Ryan	Cracroft Community Centre Chair	The Old Stone House is listed on the map as Council parks facilities. In fact the Council also owns the Old Stone House (Cracroft is misspelt on the map) which houses the Cracroft Community Centre. We are long-term tenants. The Old Stone House is not listed in the guidance document. In terms of figure 4 in the guidance document we should be listed as an Enabler. The Centennial Hall on the corner of Sparks Rd and Lyttelton St is not listed, but is CCC owned I believe?		Having greater visibility would help us increase the number and range of activities, so being listed by you would certainly help. We continue to work hard to promote the venue, including taking part in the CCC Heritage festival. Searching for the Cracroft Community Centre on the CCC website is a pretty unsatisfactory experience.	
21	34467	Kristy Havill	Squash Canterbury Chief Executive Officer		Working with tenants/partner organisations to find alternative and fit for purpose solutions.	Have a resource that allows those occupying and organising events for facilities to easily access details of community groups in a radius near the facility that we can get in touch with and work together on how to engage the community they are concerned with.	
22	34469	Kevin Collier		No except on your interactive map you have the Phillipstown Community Hub as CCC owned which it isn't - it is Central Government owned and community operated.	Demographics in both cases. City residential growth areas when establishing a new facility (although a feasibility study should look at that too) In both cases proximity of similar services i.e. there may be another facility nearby but if it is offering completely different services then perhaps both facilities are warranted.	Flexibility of spaces is the key. Commercial operations can help e.g. food premises or café or similar that attracts people in and off-sets the operation cost of the facility.	

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
					Capacity of existing facilities should be considered when disestablishing.		
					Capex and opex cost in both cases.		
23	34499	Noelene Hodder	Cashmere Tennis Club President	Yes you have actually missed our tennis club in Valley Road (only the bowling club is identified)		to ensure that clubs have a range of membership options	
24	34557	Bev Adams	Woolston Development Project Manager	Woolston Development Project, 497 Ferry Rd, Woolston.	Whether another use can be identified by local community.	Provide opportunities for promotion of community activities, assistance with promotional costs etc if the organisation is not a resident's association.	
25	34617	Emma Norrish	Waipapa/Papanui -Innes Community Board Chair	<p>Firstly - the Board would like to clarify the scope of the plan as we notice that skate parks, community gardens and basketball courts are not included on the maps but under the definition at the start of the document it states “ Community spaces are where people come together, building resilience and creating an active sense of community through engaging with each other and sharing experiences.”</p> <p>Secondly - The Board considers the tool is hard to use to find the facilities.</p> <p>Missing from the Papanui Ward</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belfast – Sheldon Park (pool, skate park, netball courts) • Papanui – Te Ora Hou • Redwood – Redwood Tennis Club <p>Missing from the Innes Ward</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • St Albans – St Albans Tennis Club, Community Focus Trust, Caledonian Hall, Skate Park, Pavilion, English Park, St Albans Pavilion • Mairehau – 280 Westminster Street <p>Extras that are no longer there:</p> <p>The religious facilities at 143 Cranford Street, 56 Petrie Street, 31 Shirley Road.</p> <p>It would be worth checking over the whole network as a number of the religious facilities no longer exist as such or have relocated.</p>	<p>The Board supports the Council's suggested policy around new facilities being community-informed, led and developed, with the focus on partnership, noting the different needs and aspirations of our local communities.</p> <p>Early engagement with the wider community is key to ensuring all voices are heard.</p>	<p>Please refer to Strengthening Communities Strategy.</p>	<p>The Board feels that the Council's definition of “community facility” is too narrow within this document and should encompass any space where community gathers, i.e. not just buildings.</p> <p>The Board does not agree with the Facilities Network Plan statement that there are no significant geographic gaps in the network.</p>
26	34618	Nigel Humphreys	Pleasant Point Yacht Club	We are in receipt of your email and have looked at the map and the categories and find some inconsistencies in the allocated description for sports clubs.			

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
				<p>Some are a Community Facility while others are a Not for Profit.</p> <p>Perhaps a separate category for sporting facilities might be worthwhile?</p> <p>Our club, Pleasant Point Yacht Club, is a Not for Profit Sports Club ... but can also be considered a Community Facility.</p> <p>Finally our Club in the South New Brighton Park is missing as are the Naval Point Club Lyttelton and the Charteris Bay Yacht Club, while Mount Pleasant Yacht Club in Scott Park is categorised under Council Parks Facility.</p>			
27	34682	Mike Stopforth	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch Bishop's Pastoral Office Director	<p>Looking at the maps and search function - there are a number of Catholic Churches in the city</p> <p>Would you like to include them?</p> <p>If so let me know</p>			
28	34704	Mark Pearson	Peninsula Harbour Cricket Club Secretary	<p>We use the Kaituna Domain(Ataahua), Diamond Harbour School, Diamond Harbour domain, Little River Domain, Akaroa Recreation ground, Duvauchelle Motor Camp ground,</p>	<p>The buildings except for the Duvauchelle Motor Camp, are used for changing rooms and for equipment storage.</p> <p>Also for providing water and shelter from hot and cold weather.</p>	<p>Most of the Facilities at the above grounds are used year round for summer and winter sports except for the Kaituna domain changing room.</p>	<p>There is a plan in the future regarding the replacement of the Akaroa cricket artificial wicket</p> <p>This wicket is not only used by our club on Saturdays, but by different community groups for cricket games , it is also used by visitors throughout the summer holidays and therefore deemed important for the community</p>
29	34718	Shelley Erskine	The Arts Centre Te Matatiki Toi Ora Engagement Manager	<p>The scope of the Community Facilities Network Plan is not broad enough to cover the full extent of community facilities within Christchurch and Canterbury. It does not align with the wider presentation of community facilities within the Council's Long-Term Plan 2018-28 document which included key council facilities such as Tūranga, Christchurch Town Hall and Christchurch Art Gallery Te Puna o Waiwhetū (Christchurch City Council Long Term Plan 2018-28 - Volume 2 of 3, pg 26). For this plan to work successfully it needs to list all community facilities – whether owned by the community or by council within the area. This will be particularly necessary when community partners and council work through implementing the plan, for example when considering the establishment or disestablishment of a facility. From our perspective, we feel The Arts Centre Te Matatiki Toi Ora should be added to your list of community-owned facilities. Owned and operated by a charitable trust, The Arts Centre was saved from</p>	<p>The list for establishing and disestablishing a community facility is well thought through and all these areas would be required to satisfactorily establish or disestablish a facility. The one area worth mentioning to enhance the process would be to introduce community consultation. The wider community should be made aware of what is proposed and given the opportunity to feed into that decision. This will ensure a higher level of success with establishing or disestablishing a facility and highlight areas of concern or ways to enhance the facility.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase financial support through grants towards programmes, events and activations to assist community facilities with increasing the range of activities offered and enable facilities to be vibrant, busy places. • Remove barriers of entry for community groups – make them cost effective, more inclusive (particularly for disabled communities), and implement simple streamlined usage processes. • When building new facilities make sure they are multi-purpose, so they can evolve and develop over time to meet the changing needs of the community. • Encourage and enable networking between community facilities so they can identify and develop ways to better work together. • Support and encourage marketing campaigns and programmes that get the community to better utilise these facilities, increasing their awareness and participation. • Further enable the promotion of programmes, events and activations through CCC channels. 	

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
				demolition by concerned Christchurch citizens in the 1970's and made available for community-based activities dedicated to fostering and celebrating arts, culture, education, and creativity. It operates under an Act of Parliament, established in 2015 to recognise its status as a significant cultural asset, and by law is required to operate as a cultural centre for the people of Christchurch and its visitors. Restoration of our Maker Workshop and Community Meeting Room were financed by a grant from the Lotteries Community Facilities Fund. The site delivers over 100 community events per year; our 2020 Matariki workshops attracted 4000 attendees, almost all of whom were locals. In addition, over 2000 school students attended educational programmes through Rutherford's Den last year.			
30	34732	Barry Eastwick	Parklands Table Tennis Controller		Making known to the local community the type of community events held in this facility		
31	34758	Mike Stopforth	Halswell Tennis Club Secretary	I am the secretary for the Halswell Tennis Club and have received your email below. Just to indicate that our club is not listed on the maps. If you would like to include us then our address is: Halswell Domain, 305 Halswell Road, Halswell			
32	34762	Wendy Butcher	Canterbury Women's Club President	The Canterbury Women's Club, a registered charity, own a building at 190 Worcester street which while it is still awaiting full earthquake repairs will become again a resource for women in Christchurch.	For maximum use of a community resource and maximum value for CCC \$ ensure the style of community resource is not duplicated. Smaller community groups may have spaces the community could use but they are not used to capacity and therefore funding of those spaces is difficult for the groups who own them. If they were marketed better and used more, the community groups would collect more funds and be more able to self fund the spaces.	More focussed marketing of what is available. Creating a better understanding of what is available. Ensuring all community groups know what is available in their area and in the city. I realise there are flyers at CCC offices and libraries, there is CINCH but I also know many people have no idea about these resources. Fund or subsidise some organisations to use some of the lesser used community resources. This will support both sides of the gathering and introduce lesser used places to new users. Support community groups to market their own spaces. Multiple spaces in a facility is good to allow for different sized groups of users.	It is a huge project but one which is worth continuing with, but it needs to ensure that duplication of resource is minimal and that organisations are not necessarily funded or helped to fund things which are not viable. Difficult, as most organisations think they are valuable and important but community funds are scarce and need may be greater somewhere else. Also important to realise that a small venue can be just as important as a large facility.
33	34763	Wendy Butcher	Canterbury Workers' Education Association Council Member	The Canterbury WEA owns a building at 59 Gloucester street which is used for some community meetings and for Adult Community Education Programmes on a wide range of topics. The rooms may be available for use by groups which are able to show that they support the Social Justice focus of the CWEA in some way.	For maximum use of a community resource and maximum value for CCC \$\$\$ ensure the style of community resource is not duplicated. Smaller community groups may have spaces the community could use but they are not used to capacity and therefore funding of those spaces is difficult for the groups who own them. If they were marketed better and used more, the community groups could collect more funds and be more able to self fund the spaces. Some spaces have special character which works better for some community groups than other spaces. Large and new is not always best. Access is also	More focussed marketing of what is available. Creating a better understanding of what is available. Ensuring all community groups know what is available in their area and in the city. I realise there are flyers at CCC offices and libraries, there is CINCH but I also know many people have no idea about these resources. Fund or subsidise some organisations to use some of the lesser used community resources. This will support both sides of the gathering and introduce lesser used places to new users. Support community groups to market their own spaces.	It is a huge project but one which is worth continuing with, but it needs to ensure that duplication of resource is minimal and that organisations are not necessarily funded or helped to fund things which are not viable. Difficult, as most organisations think they are valuable and important but community funds are scarce and need may be greater somewhere else. Also important to realise that a small venue can be just as important as a large facility. As the population ages with a lot of those older retired people still wanting to be involved in community activities, and the community needs them to be, we need to ensure that facilities are accessible and not just with ramps, but also with transport to the site.

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
35	34833	Maryanne Lomax	Waimaero/Fendalton-Waimairi-Harewood Community Board Community Governance Manage		<p>important and that includes public transport access as well as private transport. A facility could be supported and upgraded if it is in an area which has good access, whereas one which is more isolated could be looked at differently and/or maybe public transport access to it could be improved.</p> <p>The Board is very pleased to see this plan being developed. It is crucial, particularly given the current Council financial position, that decisions around the development of any new facilities are based on strong evidential need and a robust business case. • We appreciate the Council's role in ensuring that residents have access to community facilities, but we do not believe that means the Council needs to build or own the facilities. There are often a number of non-Council owned facilities which could be promoted and utilised rather than an expectation that the Council should provide one. • We strongly support the partnership model for future facilities where possible e.g. Upper Riccarton Library (partnership with Riccarton High School) and Graham Condon Sport and Recreation Centre (partnership with Papanui High School). • If the Council is to build a new community facility, we would like to see that the building be 'future proofed' and built in a way that it would be reasonably easy for the building to be repurposed if it is no longer required as a community facility in the future e.g. could be made into residential apartments etc. • If the Council is to build a new community facility, we would like to see that the building be 'future proofed' and built in a way that it would be reasonably easy for the building to be repurposed if it is no longer required as a community facility in the future e.g. could be made into residential apartments etc. • We think the Council needs to consider its processes around accepting gifts or bequests of buildings. We have an example of this in our Board area with the Avic Hill Arts and Craft Centre on Memorial Avenue. This house and land was bequeathed to the Council to be used for arts related activities and it would be fair to say that the building is under-utilised. Could there be a 'sunset clause' in these situations which means the Council isn't committed to owning and maintaining the facility forever? In terms of disposing of facilities, the Board agrees that if a Council owned facility is under-utilised or not meeting the needs of the community, then it should be disposed of. If there is a community organisation that is prepared to take ownership of the building, the Council must be clear about not setting an expectation that the Council will continue to provide ongoing funding for the group to run and maintain the building. In the case where there is no group wishing to take the facility, the Council should look to sell (some of our facilities are on valuable land). We would like to see any financial returns from the sale of community facilities going back into the budget for</p>	<p>Multiple spaces in a facility are good to allow for different sized groups of users.</p> <p>• Promotion is the key to this. How many people know where the Council facilities are that are available for hire? Could we raise the profile through our social media outlets or make it more prominent on the Council's website? • Keeping the fees affordable is also important. We understand it is a difficult balance to keep fees low but to also try to gather enough venue to support the maintenance of the facility. • It would be useful to have information on the current usage of the facilities in our Board area, so we can actively promote the under-utilised ones through our own networks.</p>	

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
					maintaining the remaining Council owned facilities. • We appreciate the desire to have, where possible, community organisations managing our facilities. However there are some concerns in terms of these facilities remaining accessible for all users. There could be the danger that the management group might only allow certain users or types of activities. The management agreement with the Council needs to be robust to ensure equitable access of the facility. • We also believe that in some instances, it is more appropriate for the facility to continue to be managed by the Council. An example in our Board area is the Ōrauwhata: Bishopdale Library and Community Centre. As the community hall is part of a bigger Council facility (library) it makes sense logistically for this to be Council managed.		
36	34838	Rebekah McCullough	Mt. Pleasant Memorial Community Centre and Residents Association President	I understand that we are one of only two community centres owned by the residents' association in this area.	I think that local ownership (like our model) could be much more developed and supported to encourage centres to become more independent.	Let them be locally owned and managed as that really promotes participation, interest, commitment, etc.	Is there a directory that identifies the various centres?
37	34842	adele geradts			expected or projected growth of an area should be considered - so facilities can be built to match the needs of a community from an early stage.	Reduce the rentals for community groups even offer free space where possible,	The provision of safe, attractive, comfortable, and welcoming community facilities that are accessible for all to use. A network of community facilities across the city that supports community activity and reflects the character of local areas is important. Comparing the number of facilities in other areas to HALSWELL we have fewer community spaces than other areas, what new spaces are being planned for halswell? With the expected growth of halswell over the next 10 years, where will the new community spaces be and will there be a larger library and a covered area for the pool to protect swimmers from the sun?
38	34850	Karen White	Halswell Playcentre Past president and New Premises/Building Officer	Halswell Plunket Rooms on Fern Drive, Halswell is a community built building on council owned land.	The projected growth of a community expected in an area would be a good indication for the potential establishment of a facility. The use of an already existing one would be a factor in disestablishment.		Whilst the plan says that there are no obvious gaps in the city in terms of facilities, there is a massive hole in the Halswell area. There are no community facilities planned for the area from Halswell Junction Rd, Sparks Rd intersection down towards the southern motorway. However there are multiple subdivisions including Longhurst, Knights Stream Park, Cloverden, Stage 2 of Country Palms, Copper Ridge and others in the process of being started with land works now. Therefore there will be hundreds more houses in the area with people wanting to use local facilities. There are community groups already asking for more space to use on a long term basis as rooms at Te Hapua get booked up quickly and are often not accessible. These community groups also have money which they could put into a shared facility combined with the CCC, as has happened at the West Melton Community Centre (Selwyn District Council). These groups individually couldn't purchase land at the current prices involved in this area but a shared space could have amazing possibilities for a fast growing community. We urge the Council to consider the land at 66 Quaifes Road for this possibility as currently it is already owned by the Council so there would be no financial cost to purchasing space for a facility. The Waipuna, Halswell, Hornby, Riccarton Community Board are in favour for this to happen. There is a fantastic opportunity in this suburb for the CCC to create something amazing for residents and it would be a

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
							shame for this to be squandered as once this land is gone it is gone forever.
39	34851	Jason Pemberton	Sport Canterbury Systems Innovator		Yes! Sport Canterbury (Healthy Families Ōtautahi team) are undertaking a piece of work looking at the features of truly exceptional public places, spaces, and facilities. The objective is to provide a 'warrant of fitness' of the kinds of things that support public interaction and more healthy lifestyles through the design/provision/use of spaces. Would love to engage more deeply on this with you.	As above, yes.	
40	34874	Nikki Wallace-Bell	Sumner Community Residents Association Committee member	Yes - The Sumner Community Hub which is centrally located in the heart of the community at 57 Nayland Street. This hub is a place where residents and visitors to Sumner come to obtain local information. It operates a service for lost and found, management of community noticeboards (information and local accommodation). The Hub is also home to workshops: Art at Sumner hub creative well being classes for general art, printmaking and pottery, The Bikery (local repair and education and upcycling of bicycles), Plant Powered (making body and home care products from natural ingredients) and pop up workshops in support of CCC celebratory events (Matariki, Biketober, Christmas etc)	Need, location and on going success in the way it evolves and continues to support community, has to be important. The Sumner Hub emerged during the earthquakes originally and continues to respond to other unfortunate events. It has grown community since it was established. It's central location is just perfect and running costs for those that visit or attend a workshop or call it their home are affordable. It would be good for Council and community partners to evaluate the success of these venues and be able to support them under their umbrella. The Sumner Hub art and clay workshops are oversubscribed and need to expand to support the interest and need of locals. - Where community run and established facilities have emerged it is often the suitability of the site that has made them successful (location, size, affordability, access) It would be good for Council and community partners to evaluate the success of these venues and be able to support them under their umbrella - This could create a network of local enterprises that support what Council and community partners are offering.- Value to community	Create an online directory within each community with a common format across communities. Links on the CCC website to see these would be amazing. A map is great but people require other information to really see what is on offer in a friendly looking format without adverts and aim to sell. I believe Heathcote Valley is trialling something which is amazing! An on line format would show someone where workshops/talks are taking place and interests could be promoted to those participating to let them know what else is available in their field of interest even out of their local communities. Share the skills of the people in the community across communities. - Sharing of information between facilities in close proximity to enable supporting communication. Similar workshops and interests could be promoted to those participating to let them know what else is available in their field of interest. - Funding to advertise workshops	Just pleased that you are looking into this and consulting . Hoping that in the future council will support the findings of this doc across communities and that we will have a place on line that promotes and supports community interests and needs.
41	34888	Kirsty Peel	Canterbury District Health Board Health in All Policies Advisor		The Canterbury District Health Board commends the Council for developing a city-wide planning framework for community facilities and agrees with the importance of community facilities to the health and wellbeing of Christchurch residents. We agree with many of the criteria outlined for establishing or disestablishing a facility. The CDHB is concerned about the absence of mention within the plan of the role of community facilities in emergency management. Safe, accessible emergency evacuation sites and welfare centres are part of effective civil defence planning. The current and potential role of existing facilities should be considered when making decisions about the future of any current community facilities – as one of the priority setting criteria. The CDHB also has concern about the statement on page 12 about facilities surplus to need – ‘facilities or land no longer fit for purpose can be disposed with the revenue assisting to offset wider financial challenges experienced by Council.’ There may be situations where an existing community facility is sold and the proceeds may be needed for a related purpose – for instance in the same Community Board area – and this statement seems to		See end of this document for attachment A key aspect of the current facility asset condition is accessibility. The CDHB is concerned to note that this plan does not take a strong position on the accessibility of facilities. We suggest that any facilities not currently meeting accessibility standards should be flagged for upgrading as resources allow. The CDHB also suggests that specifications for any new community facilities should include best practice accessibility standards, and include technical accessibility auditing throughout the design and build. This is consistent with the Accessibility Charter signed by the Council in Nov 2017 (attached). This should be a required part of the budget for any new or remediated project, just like fire engineering expertise is required throughout.

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
					preclude the flexibility to take this approach.		
42	34898	Rachel Bayliss	Addington Haven				<p>Is there someone who buys facilities for the council? The building I lease would be an excellent prospect and I am giving up the lease end of October 2020. I would love to think it could remain a community facility.</p> <p>The building is called The Addington Haven and it's situated at 19 Church Square / 2 Grove Rd in Addington. It has been widely used by community groups, small business and educators. Look forward to hearing from you</p> <p>Rachel Bayliss</p> <p>Addington Haven</p>
43	34918	Pam Richardson	PIGEON HALL COMMITTEE CHAIR	No other community owned facilities but wondered where Kukupa Hostel fits . The Hall Committee has discussed the matter but consider that we do not have the capacity to oversee be involved with . We will support any community member or group wanting to look at an opportunity .	We believe that wider community not just the partners should be part of the decision making process particularly in our smaller Banks Peninsula Communities when disestablishment is being suggested . Our Pigeon Bay Hall has special significance to our community - it is our hub for a host of activities and events . It should not always be just based on base populations.	In our small community we understand -use it or loose it . We understand that we have to make good use of the building and encourage greater use . Unfortunately Covid 19 prevented a number of events occurring and we now need to get out and promote the use of the facility. Our thoughts are that Covid is going to be around along time and we have to find a way to continue using the facilities with contract tracing good hygiene and safe distancing and stay home and isolate if feeling unwell. This is quiet easily achievable and we just need to be always focused on being safe	<p>See end of this document for attached images</p> <p>When we note that we are stated as being in poor condition we get the feel that we might be a facility because we are not up to earthquake code might suffer the threat of a closure . We want to get on the with the job looking for funding to assist with the strengthening etc. Our group is looking to work with the council to find a suitable solution. We would like to understand what will be necessary to bring up to code now so as we can put in our own planning and visioning documents .</p> <p>I have attached some photographs of a working bee earlier in the year .</p>
44	34929	Hayley Guglietta		Yes there is a huge gap In Dallington and Burwood		Support the community facilities that are already showing good outputs to help them build capacity and be more sustainable	Don't build more when you have perfectly good community based facilities already put the money and energy in to the ones we have except there there is an obvious gap of course. Work with other providers better such as MOE and private businesses to build the network
47	34959	Mike Gibbs	Avondale Neighbours Group Chairperson / Spokesperson	<p>Yes; - A Community Centre for Avondale Burwood and Dallington.</p> <p>These Community were serviced by a Community Centre at the Burwood School (I believe the Burwood School used it as the school hall, but it was build for the community first and foremost,</p> <p>When the School was demolished all the building on site were demolished and the Community Centre was not retained.</p> <p>With the changes in our 3 Surburbs - a facility back on this site, boarding all 3 suburbs would service over 3,500 homes in the local area and in the wider area of the Burwood/Coastal Community Board, of those coming into the area for work recreation and family</p>	Yes; Our Organisation has had a number of residents ask for a facility like this to help our communities grow, return to a neighbourhood of pride and personal responsibility, There are those who'd like to see a Community Garden attached to such a facility, and other than our local school, our 1000 homes have no where to go locally to groups such as Brownies or Coffee and Colour for our older members, who need somewhere they can just walk to to get exercise, and other groups have indicated a need, such as fitness/zumba housie or walking groups. We see this facility being able to attract alot of volunteers and becoming a HUB for where idea's are shared and generations start to talk to each other.	The CCC needs to talk to not only the Residents Associations but the smaller informal Groups like ours in each of the 3 suburbs (Dallington Cottage Trust, Avondale Neighbours Group, WACCT, Guiding NZ, Scouting NZ (currently has it's own site, but would use a facility like this for different groups) - Create a Working Group of representatives and work with CCC so its in Partnership (even thru Comm Board) to gather idea's and then once build run with independent staff and a Trust of its own. This Community needs again a local facility, and with the CCC's help we can again achieve it.	Some Comment we have heard have suggested that Community Centres in Aranui and even New Brighton have facilities our suburbs can use; however these are not our own facilities we once had and used, or just a 15 min walk away, for an older person to get in a car and travel, this could take much more effort and cause more issues, esp when alot of them walk around our suburbs rather than drive far. Our own little area has been deversated, lost bus services and and because of its borders is very closed off to many other areas, yet with the opening up of the river corridor and walkways in and around the red zone, and new facility on New Brighton Rd would be a place everyone will gather and utalise, You will not see we believe the non use of such other local facilities
48	34980	Karen Colyer	Diamond Harbour Community Association Committee Member and Community Hall Manager		The need within the community is the most important for CCC to respond to	<p>We seem to be managing to keep bookings for our hall at a very high level.</p> <p>There would be nothing wrong with publicity about the most popular facilities on the Peninsula say - Bay Harbour News could run that. It is surprising how many people still don't</p>	It is very thorough, easy to follow and provides a great plan for the future of the city's community needs.

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
49	34981	Clare Simpson	Canterbury Branch of New Zealand Society of Genealogists Member of Branch Committee			<p>know our hall exists and who live in our community!!!</p> <p>We have attached a document outlining our comments and ideas. Our Branch Convenor, Fiona Lees, recently (12 October 2020) made a submission on the Shirley Community and attaching documents detailing the nature of our society and our facility needs. Centre. I refer you to those documents for more detailed information (https://www.ccc.govt.nz/thecouncil/consultations-and-submissions/haveyoursay/show/334) Our comments on our experience of using the Parklands Community Centre are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The facility appears to be well-maintained; it would probably benefit from a new coat of paint in the near future, since it appears rather 'tired'. 2. The facility is consistently clean and well looked after by the groups that use it; an annually 'deep clean' by the Council, if that is not already something you do, would give it a bit of a lift. 3. We do strongly urge you to install stronger light bulbs in the hall because it is difficult to see well at evening meetings or on dull days. Our group members are frequently reading and writing during meetings as they take notes or fill in various forms that we send around each meeting. The lighting in the three rooms we use is adequate. 4. We think the Council could raise the profile of the Parkview Community Lounge by more advertising of its presence. Although it is advertised on the CCC website, not everyone finds information on what is available for hiring online. We suggest that other means - such as notices in local newspapers, libraries, shops, churches, schools - will result in more local venues being hired. 6. We believe that social media has an important role to advertise 'affordable' venues for parties and weddings to younger set as well; on one of our recent regular library days, we witnessed a wedding reception being held at the Parkview Lounge. The Lounge is ideally suited for weddings and other 'parties' at a very affordable price. 7. The presence of the Community Lounge is not obvious from street. There is a lack of signage and booking details as to who to contact if wanting to hire a venue within this complex. Locals are likely to hire rooms locally and walk-bys, drive-bys and walk-ins are likely, if well signed, and if they know what is there, and how to contact someone to make a booking. For example, there could be a sign saying "Christchurch City Council" and some rooms available for hire with a phone number and website, and directions to the rooms up the path. As it is, from the street front, it appears that the sports club is the only occupier and that there is not much else going on there. 8. We also advise providing good lighting as it is not pleasant to walk along the side path to the back of the Community Centre at night. It doesn't feel safe at all. In addition, the surface of path is very uneven, mainly due to tree roots, and are a trip hazard. 9. We have to say that Parklands Community Day hasn't brought us much interest in the past; the day seems more focussed on sports activities. Ironically, we are now starting to get more foot traffic and walk-ins, often while sport being played; we have our 'Family History' sign and flag out to attract attention when we are using the facility. 	<p>See end of document for attachments</p> <p>Thank you for inviting us to make a submission on the Community Facilities Network Plan</p> <p>We commend the Council for establishing a model and procedures for gauging community facility needs and agree in principle that responsible stewardship of existing community resources is an important mandate. As you can see from the attached documents¹, we make extensive use of the Parklands Community Centre, occupying three rooms and using the adjacent Parkview Community Lounge, many times per month. Our 2020 branch calendar shows a list of events we organise for our members and the wider community; our 2021 branch calendar graphically illustrates the varied nature of those events. You can see that our use of the facility is frequent and varied. We also keep attendance records for each event and we can testify that our Branch is very active indeed. We very much appreciate the opportunity to occupy these spaces and regard them as vital for the smooth and stable functioning of our group. I also attach a document showing photographs of our Branch members using this facility.</p>
50	34983	David Hawke	Halswell Residents Association (Inc.) Secretary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Community Facilities in our area that we think have been omitted: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o The rugby league and cricket club facilities in Halswell Domain; o Craythornes Hotel (corner SH75 and Nicholls Road) has a meeting room facility available for community use; o There are commercial gyms on the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Establishing a facility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o There needs to be some sort of comparator across the city, so that no area misses out. o Similarly, a "demonstrated need" assumes some way of demonstrating the need. o Commentary on "community-led" as a criterion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> § Our recent experience in helping Halswell Community Project establish itself in Halswell Hub (the former Halswell Library) is of the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The spaces that are under-utilised in Halswell generally lack modern technology or are cold and uninviting for "sit-down" activities. · Other spaces could have their utilisation boosted by easier access; this includes Te Hapua, for which evening access is difficult. 	<p>Preamble</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · We appreciate City Council's acknowledgement of the importance of community facilities in boosting community cohesiveness and connectedness (p3, consultation document). · As the consultation document notes (p3), establishment of community facilities is sporadic and involves a wide range of organisations and motivations. o In Halswell, these organisations include

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
				<p>corner of Hamill Road and Caulfield Avenue, and in the shopping centre at 29 Ensign Street.</p> <p>· Finally, reference to the playing fields, playground, skate park and learn-to-ride facility opposite Knights Stream School in Richmond Avenue have been omitted.</p>	<p>enormous amount of work required by the two community organisations and by Community Board.</p> <p>§ Consequently, even though "community led" is ideal in theory, expecting a "community led" process assumes equal capacity across the city. This is clearly not the case. We propose that "changing needs" be added to the criteria for establishing a facility. For example, facilities can be outgrown by a growth in demand, with the existing space too small but no easy way of enlarging it.</p> <p>§ A good example in Halswell might be Te Hapua, which is a modern space but heavily used.</p> <p>Disestablishing a facility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o This will always be a challenge, because any community will be reluctant to lose facilities. o This reiterates the point made above, that there needs to be some sort of comparator across the city. o The two most obvious reasons for disestablishment are changing needs (which is included in the consultation document) and lack of technology to (for example) run or live-stream meetings. <p>§ In our area, Halswell Hall and St Mary's Hall are both particularly affected by the technology issue.</p>	<p>religious organisations (e.g., St Mary's Church), City Council (e.g., Te Hapua), previous local authorities (e.g., Halswell Hub, formerly Halswell Library), and community fund raising (e.g., Halswell Pool). o Community organisations are nowadays less a part of our society than they were in former times. This is an issue found in many western countries – Robert Putnam's famous "bowling alone" metaphor – and Christchurch is most definitely affected.</p> <p>· For us, the question of establishment of community facilities has pressing importance because our area is growing rapidly and it is not at all clear how the necessary facilities will turn up and meet community needs. We take issue with the comment on p6 of the Draft Plan: "there are currently no significant major geographical gaps in the network if all Council and non-Council owned facilities are considered" Notwithstanding the missed facilities noted above, a count-up of the dots in the map shows Halswell (defined here as the area in Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board that is west of the motorway) to be especially underserved. o This sparseness of community facilities in Halswell is especially relevant given that Halswell is perhaps the main area of Christchurch that is currently growing the most.</p> <p>o Furthermore, new residential development in Halswell is occurring at 15 HUE / ha, which results in a higher population density than older areas of Christchurch. On top of this are major internal barriers to accessing Halswell's community facilities, being SH75 and Halswell Junction Road.</p> <p>o These roadways make it much harder for people to access facilities that distance-wise might be quite close by.</p> <p>o This deficiency is one of the many consequences of City Council ignoring the provisions of its Urban Development Strategy in implementing Halswell's development. City Council seems currently determined to (literally) cement in these inequalities by ramming through the sale of land it owns at 66 Quaifes Road.</p> <p>Halswell Residents Association (Inc.) is an incorporated society and a registered charity, and advocates for the interests of people in Halswell. Activities are largely carried out by a Committee of 6-8 members, which holds monthly meetings open to the public. For this submission, a draft was circulated to our committee and amendments included before final submission. The final version will be included in the Agenda for our October meeting</p>	
51	34985	Paula Smith	Stoddart Cottage Trust Chairperson	<p>Stoddart Cottage does not appear on the maps or in the Schedule in Appendix 2 even though CCC owns it. This may be because it is a heritage asset and is managed by a different part of Council. It is leased to a community group, the Stoddart Cottage Trust. It functions as a community facility for local people and visitors with an interest in arts and craft and provides opportunity for contact between people</p>	<p>If a community facility is housed in a heritage building which is leased to and managed by a local community organisation it is important that consideration is given to the value which regular activity in a heritage building has for the long term preservation of the asset and appreciation of its heritage values, accompanied by a "sense of ownership" and kaitakitanga.</p>	<p>Stoddart Cottage is open to the public every Friday, Saturday and Sunday and most public holidays. Opening times are limited by the capacity of volunteers to be in attendance at the facility. The Trust is only able to open Stoddart Cottage to the public on all these days because of a social enterprise at the facility which engages and motivated a large number of volunteers to be in attendance when the cottage is open.</p>	<p>Good work team.</p>
52	34986	Jeanette Stanley	Allandale Hall and Governors Bay Community Centre				<p>I just wanted to comment on how much the Hall and community centre in Governors Bay and Allandale are appreciated by the wider community. Both buildings are well used by the</p>

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?	
			Administrator - Booking				community for Pilates, Yoga, meetings, school assemblies etc. and by the Christchurch community for weddings , engagement parties, birthday parties etc. Both venues receive favourable feedback from people using them and it enables people in our community to get together for classes, meetings etc. that would be much more difficult without the availability of these two buildings. This weekend our annual Governors Bay Fete will take place and the Allandale Hall will be central to this, with displays, devonshire teas etc. Just wanted to let you now that these facilities are well used and much appreciated by our community. Cheers	
53	34987	Karen Banwell	Governors Bay Community Association Chair		you should work closely with the affected community, we had tremendous difficulties dealing with staff over the rebuild of our community facility, their focus was not how the facility would best be or most effectively use. we were informed we didnt need a storage room, and now have one as what was the meeting room is now the storage room. so no meeting room.	Yes, by making it easier for local communities to use. we decided to take over the management of our community facility because staff were insisting we go 10km to Lyttelton to pick up the key every time we used it.	we manage the Allandale Hall and the Governors Bay Community Centre on behalf of the local community. I would like to emphasise how important both these facilities are for the local community for children's activities, for weddings and birthdays and community meetings. Added to this residents of Christchurch are increasingly using these facilities for similar events and at an affordable rate in great surroundings. although they are council owned they are managed by us and we have a good relationship with staff who do their best to support us. We also run our local pool and do know that this is a very cost effective way for Council to provide facilities without the cost and burden of having to also manage them. These are important to us and we appreciate having them.	
54	34988	Gordon Stamper		Elmwood Bowling Club	They should be providing environmental impact statements on the effects on neighbours for each individual facility the council are considering making substantial usage changes to. What is of concern since the earthquakes in relation to Elmwood Bowling Club is now we have and unacceptable increases in noise pollution, increase congested traffic and parking, alcohol, loitering and litter issues and all related to the change in use of the current dilapidated bowling club facilities which has been exasperated by the amalgamation of cricket clubs. And this is even before any rebuilding has started. eg. Elmwood Bowling club have now incorporated clubs as far afield as Lincoln and have gone from a local Bowling Club of a few hundred members to an amalgamated facility with over 75,000 users - is this type of amalgamation acceptable for a local community park? Is this fair on neighbors who do not get properly considered by the process?	Yes - engage properly with the community and neighbours surrounding facilities. At the last Council meeting Jamie Gough agreed to the cricket net application on Elmwood park stating the overall majority of support "that's democracy" . However, the whole process was completely flawed from the start with miss information given to the public and the unfortunate timing of releasing public notifications that include the new lease to the cricket pavillion, and biased to Cricket Club supporters. To many local neighbours and non-cricket community do not know the full impact due to the flawed process. The fact is the council agreed to give away greenspace when there where solutions on the table that would have suited everyone. Flawed process is not democracy. Elmwood Public Park is now going to be Elmwood Cricket Ground. There is now grave fear in the community of what will happen to Elmwood Bowling club.	Please consider the entire local community (applicable to the facility) when making drastic changes to facilities. Smaller local clubs distributed around the city have a lower carbon footprint as the community will tend to walk or ride their bikes to these facilities and require less parking. Anyone brought up with a local sporting club will agree they have a personal connection, rather than a number in a big conglomerate. Large facilities may attract larger investors, though at what cost to immediate community and neighbors if there is an impact on the greenspace, noise, parking, traffic congestion, litter, alcohol, light pollution, security and well being of neighbouring properties.	
55	34993	Jane Hopkins			Yes - the Shirley Community Centre! The Shirley Community Centre was a very popular and thriving centre before the 2010/2011 earthquakes but was sadly demolished due to earthquake damage in 2012. We are still waiting for the rebuild - it needs to be urgently reinstated.	The council believes that Shirley residents don't need a community centre as there are churches and schools that can provide similar facilities. I strongly disagree with this. Schools are only available in the evenings which doesn't suit groups such as seniors who would prefer to meet during the daytime. And religious institutes are definitely not appropriate as a community centre substitute. It is important that a community centre is secular and welcoming to people of all backgrounds, cultures, religions and beliefs.	Engage better with the community. Join local social media pages and engage via posts and comments with members. Post articles in local fliers and school or community newsletters. Go to local events - have a stand at markets etc to engage with the community and let them know about the facilities and activities available. Drop leaflets in letterboxes.	A community centre is the heart of a community and is important for the well being of residents. We have been missing our Shirley Community Centre for 10 years now - it is well overdue to have it reinstated! Other communities all over Christchurch have had their community centres rebuilt and were not expected to outsource their community centre to churches and schools - why are we treated differently?
56	34994	Jennifer Dalziel		Avebury House in Richmond	The wishes and opinions of the residents .. not the wishes of staff at the local community Board or	by stopping partnering with religious organisations. Usually religious organisations have a holier than thou attitude towards the	The area with the lowest number of community facilities is Fendalton wairekei harewood with 14 .This is a	

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
					the staff of community Groups working in the area. Usually these staff do not live in the area and have their own agendas, biases and prejudices. their opinions shouldnt count at all. The residents are the only people who should have any part in discussions with Council about Community facilities in their area.	people they profess to assist .they put their needs to be christian do gooders above the needs of the residents.... their facilities are often miserable establishments masquerading as happy places....everything about them has the sour smell of charity.. its no wonder people dont want to go there... Crazy that the council finances these megalomaniac religious empire builders. look at the buildings they own financed by Council and other grants.	wealthy area with many privately owned enterprises and other options forsocilaising, sport etc. Peoplehere are also very mobile so can travel. the Papanui Innes area has the second lowest number of community facilities with 15. 10 of the community facilities are church based or affiliated. Shame on you CCC .Shirley is the forgotten suburb....half the population are lower Socio economic .. these people have a lack of mobility....the other half of the population is very diverse ...6 schools have been closed... the community centre was destroyed in the Earthquake it has never been replaced..... This is the area that needs more Community Facilities. This is the gap in the Community Facilities network plan .
57	34996	Joanne Byrne		The Communities East of Cranford Street need their community centre back at 10 Shirley Rd. We do not have a modern fit for purpose facility in our area. Our library is too small, we have no public meeting spaces like other communities, we have not had any investment in our communities post earthquake.	Council should provide a new centre at 10 Shirley Rd urgently. There was a strong group running this centre prior to the earthquakes. Instead of supporting them to relocate while their centre was rebuilt council destroyed the group with poor decision making. Ten years post earthquake we have lost the community centre and council has diminished community capacity completely with the group gone too. The Council is having to deal with the CNC coming down Cranford St - this is going to cause further community severance for the communities East of Cranford. We need facilities in our community so that residents can safely access an accessible fit for purpose community facility.	Provide what the community wants - a modern community hub, rather than what staff think they need. Community hubs with multiple activities on a single site are well used. We would like a combined library community centre on this site - having council staff on site means that we do not have to have another community group running the space. Relying on community groups to run community facilities is concerning. Groups are not required to be equitable and non discriminatory. Council staff will be transparent and honest and inclusive.	Insufficient community consultation was held regarding 10 Shirley Rd. Previous community conversations have been held and disregarded. the plan seems to be to wear out the public with requirements for submissions. We should not be ten years post earthquake still awaiting the rebuild of our centre.
58	34998	Joanne Byrne	Amazing Mairehau Facebook Group Organiser	a replacement facility at 10 Shirley Rd.	The communities East of Cranford are still suffering post earthquake. Their well used community centre was demolished due to devastating earthquake damage. Poor decisions from council have crushed community capacity. It is time for council to invest in modern fit for purpose and for the future community facilities.	You actually need to work with the wider community not just the select few staff choose to talk to.	
59	34999	Joanne Byrne	Where is our community centre? Facebook Group Organiser	a replacement facility at 10 Shirley Rd - ten years since the earthquake and still nothing.	see below	Provide what the wider community wants - around the city hubs with multiple facilities on site remain popular	

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
60	35001	Joanna Gould		Avebury House in Avebury Park, 9 Eveleyn Couzins Avenue, Richmond. Council Owned, Community Leased/Managed (blue circle) in the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board area.	Ask residents to vote. Residents should get to vote on where funding goes based on their perceived value of activities provided. Like 'Z' petrol station do, put your coin in the container you want 'Z' to donate to. There should be a list for each Community Board that residents get to rank/vote on to help determine where funding should be spent.	<p>- Ask residents what they would participate in: type of activity/preferred day/time (morning/afternoon/night).</p> <p>- Provide opportunities/support for residents to create their own activities at their own local community facility.</p> <p>- Marketing: Community Facility 'Open Days', Share Posts/Events to local Facebook community groups, Outreach (presentation of activities available) at local Christchurch City Libraries, Advertise in local school newsletters.</p> <p>- Create a directory/location map/inform community of facilities, the facilities data needs to be incorporated online through the CCC website, with a Community Facilities page here: https://www.ccc.govt.nz/rec-and-sport.</p> <p>With layers for each type of facility/operated by/capacity/activities, the Community groups would be visually able to see at a glance where the duplicates/gaps are in the network plan, and residents would have an online directory for activities/contact details.</p> <p>- Community Facilities rely on CCC/Community Board funding. Fund raise to engage/promote their community facility in the community & connect with residents. Why doesn't each Community Facility have more fundraising activities/events where the residents go: at their local Christchurch City Library, outside local shops, at local sports events?</p>	See attachment below for further comments and images
61	34445	Viviana Zanetti	Phillipstown Community Centre Charitable Trust Manager	<p>1.1 We note that in the list of facilities that is listed in Appendix Two as being one of the Council's 80 Community Facilities. This is not the case. The Phillipstown Community Centre/Hub has not been based in a Council owned facility since March 2015. The Phillipstown Hub is located in the former Phillipstown Primary School site.</p> <p>1.2 The Council Owned Facility where the Centre was based before moving has been allocated to the Technology Centre (Previously run by Te Waka Unua, now managed by Linwood College). A lease agreement was signed between the School and the Council back in 2015.</p> <p>1.3 We also note that in the maps within the plan, there appears to be omissions of some facilities that are owned and/or operated by the community and have scope for use by the community. The ones that we are aware in the east of the city centre include:</p> <p>1.2</p>	<p>2.1 PCCCT supports a preference for community groups to activate, operate, and where appropriate, own facilities.</p> <p>2.2 PCCCT supports facilities that Community-led and community driven.</p> <p>2.3 We support the consideration of community need and in particular the social benefits of facilities in vulnerable communities. Evidence suggests that these 'third spaces' or 'bumping spaces' are integral to developing connected and collaborative communities.<</p> <p>2.4 PCCCT encourages Council to acknowledge the other facility providers there are in the local community, not just Council. For example establishing a new facility in an area where there is already a similar community-led facility that has capacity, has the potential to undermine the sustainability and viability not only of the existing facility, but also the one being developed.</p>	<p>3.1 PCCCT supports community asset mapping practices to connecting people in the community, support the "leaders" in the community and increase the number of grassroots projects/events/activities.</p> <p>3.2 We advocate for the bottom-up design of projects which are given back to the communities to implement.</p> <p>3.3 PCCCT encourages facilities to be decorated in a welcoming and not intimidating way. It is important that people from all walks of life can feel comfortable to go, enter the venue and stay. Plants, green spaces and accessible places (accessible in many ways, not just related to physical disabilities) help create this welcoming atmosphere.</p> <p>3.4 We encourage the opportunity for the development of community facilities, such as the Lancaster Park spatial plan. We strongly support the provision of community space/facilities within this site.</p>	<p>4.1 The Phillipstown Community Centre Charitable Trust (PCCCT) acknowledges the Draft Community Facilities Network Plan and appreciates the opportunity to provide feedback to it.</p> <p>4.2 The PCCCT is a charitable trust that provides community facilities in the Phillipstown area and has done so for some years. We currently provide the Phillipstown Community Hub; a facility with multiple venues and spaces for community use.</p> <p>4.3 We strongly support the strategic context for the plan and we note that our draft strategic plan for the provision of facilities in the Phillipstown and wider area mirrors this perspective.</p> <p>4.4 We support local community board decisions and input into community facility provision, as we are of the view that local communities have a greater insight as to what is best for their communities.</p> <p>4.5 We note the range of roles that the council plays in supporting community provision of facilities. We feel that there is scope for council support in a non-financial capacity through the advocacy and support for the provision of non-council owned and operated community facilities when such opportunity arises. Such a situation exists currently to assist the PCCCT through advocacy to the Crown in permanently securing our current site for community facility provision</p> <p>4.6 We are encouraged by the possibility of an interactive facility map to promote Council owned community facilities. However we would like to suggest investigation be undertaken to extend this to non-Council owned facilities that can be implemented by facility user input.</p>

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revolution Church on the corner of Phillips Street and Tuam Street • Deaf Society of Christchurch at 80 Fitzgerald Avenue • Catholic Cathedral College at 66 Ferry Road • Te Rangimarie Centre at 358 Gloucester Street • Canterbury Womens Club at 190 Worcester Street <p>1.3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Canterbury Darts Association at 369 Tuam Street< Elim Church Christchurch at 285 Cashel Street • Hui Amorangi o Te Waipounamu at 290 Ferry Road • Linwood Congregational Church on the Corner of Ferry Road and Leyden Street• XCHC (Exchange Christchurch) at 376 Wilsons Road • Otautahi Sports Club in Tuam Street <p>1.4 We also question where sporting facilities that are on land/facilities that are leased from Council fit. These facilities often have capacity for community use which assist the leasing organisation financially.</p>			
62	35009	Faye Collins	Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board Community Board Advisor	<p>The Board notes that the following community facilities have been omitted from the schedule in the plan: · Wharenui Pool Community Space · Upper Riccarton Library · Halswell Hub</p> <p>· The Rollodrome, Main South Road · Halswell Community Hall Rhodes Memorial Hall (The Rhodes memorial Hall) · Ruapuna Community space.</p> <p>The Board further notes that a number of schools in its Board area have not been included in the schedule of facilities in the plan including Hornby High School and Primary Schools.</p>			<p>The Board supports the acknowledgement in the Plan of the value of supporting the provision of community facilities, and the part they play in building community resilience, social capital and community capacity. It recognises that the purpose of the Plan is to provide a framework to inform and guide the Council's and Community Board's decision making processes over the provision of community facilities so that local issues can be addressed on the basis of a robust city-wide planning framework.</p> <p>The Board notes that the Plan covers community facilities within Christchurch City and Banks Peninsula with "Community facilities" are defined as a building and/or space, public or privately owned, that is available primarily or extensively for community-based activities but that the focus of the Plan is a portfolio of 80 community facilities that are provided by the Council.</p> <p>The Board shares the Council's goal of "Enabling active, connected and resilient communities to own their future" and to provision of community facilities that contribute to: a strong sense of community: active participation in civic life: safe and healthy Communities: celebration of identity through arts, culture, heritage sports and recreation; valuing the voices of all cultures and ages; and modern and robust city infrastructure and community facilities.</p> <p>2.2 COMMENTS 2.2.1 Lifespan of the Plan</p> <p>The Board notes that the plan is intended to have a lifespan of 10 years and to be reviewed after three years but given this it does not understand the statement that "The plan will not cover any specific</p>

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they <u>host</u> ?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
							<p>future community facility developments not already approved by the Council.” Clarification is required.</p> <p>2.2.2. Community Facilities The Board accepts that there is a diverse range of community facility providers across Christchurch including the Council, community groups, churches and trusts etc. The Board disputes, however, the claim in the plan that there are currently no significant major geographical gaps in the network if all Council and non-Council owned facilities are considered. The Board considers that there is very apparent gap in facilities in the South west of Christchurch, one of the city’s major growth areas. In addition to this geographical gap the Board is concerned that the plan does not include any provision for future change and growth of communities.</p> <p>In addition the Board considers that the plan does not give significant recognition to the variance in the type, size and usability of facilities across the network and from ward to ward i.e. while an area may have a sufficient or even surplus number of facilities overall they may not be of the type, size, quality etc. to meet the needs of that community.</p> <p>The Board acknowledges that the Council plays a number of different roles in supporting the city-wide level of community facility provision ranging from being a direct provider and operator, to providing support to a community partner to develop, own and operate their own facility sustainably to meet identified community need and that the Council and community boards need to consider which, if any role is appropriate before future decisions on the provision and operation of community facilities are made. It considers that part of this consideration will need to be having cognisance that the ability of a community and its resources to provide or operate a facility or a facility of a particular type will not necessarily match that community’s need for the facility. In other words a community may well need a facility of a particular type although it does not have the resources to provide or run one.</p> <p>2.2.3 Facility asset condition The Board recognises the extensive damage that community facilities sustained during the Canterbury earthquakes the portfolio suffered extensive damage and that the Council has prioritised the rebuild and repair of facilities. It acknowledges also that current and historical asset investment levels will not allow the network to be maintained and operated in its current condition, which is deteriorating and accepts that this is a matter that needs to be carefully considered as part of the Council’s 2021/2031 Long Term Plan process.</p> <p>2.2.4 Future Council provision and support for community facilities The Board notes that the aim of the plan is to allow the Council and community boards to make decisions on the future support for the city-wide provision of community facilities on a facility-by-facility basis but based on an informed understanding of the wider network and decision making considerations. As indicated at 2.2.2 the Board does not accept the emphasis in the plan on the requirement that to realise a community facility opportunity there must always be a willing and able community partner in a position to lead and drive the project. The Board believes that in some communities despite a clear need and demonstrated desire for a facility there will not be the resources to put the community in a</p>

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
							position to lead and drive the project at its outset. In such situations the Board considers the Council should be prepared to lead a project and work with the community to develop its strengths so that it will become a willing and able community partner in operation of the facility down the track.
							3. CONCLUSION The Board requests that the council considers the matters set out above in relation to the Draft Community Facilities Network Plan.
63	35010	Kristine Spoor	Avebury House Community Trust	Avebury House was not included in the list of council owned facilities despite being on the same level as Risingholme. Richmond Community Garden was also not included in the map.	The amount of community support a facility has/had prior to the quakes.	The restrictive parameters around the use of the North Lawn at Avebury is hindering our ability to serve as a wedding/large event venue which is sorely lacking in the Eastern suburbs. Our Heritage 2 listed house is very sought after as a venue but due to council guidelines regarding the use of the lawn, we cannot accommodate them and therefore lose business.	We think prioritising existing facilities is important. We can endorsing that community group have autonomy to run the facilities.
64	35011	Chrys Horn	Halswell Community Project Co-chair	Community Facilities we think have been omitted: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The rugby league, cricket club and scouting facilities in Halswell Domain; Craythornes Hotel (corner SH75 and Nicholls Road) has a meeting room facility available for community use; There are commercial gyms on the corner of Hamill Road and Caulfield Avenue, and in the shopping centre at 29 Ensign Street. The Bowling club in Halswell Domain is situated next to Halswell Road (rather than on William Brittan Drive. The Plunket rooms in Fern Drive are another community owned and operated facility that is offered as a venue for small community meetings. 	It would be good to see the CCC offering some systematic way of comparing resources across different communities across the city. As part of this there needs to be some clear way to “demonstrate a need” for new community facilities. We note the inclusion of the term “community-led” as a criterion for establishing a facility. However, as a group who have set up in the last 7 years, and then taken on the development and running of the Halswell Hub as part of that, we note that it took a lot of work from a few of us who were luckily in the right place together at the right time. We had to develop of a lot of capacity to learn to run what is now known as the Halswell Community Hub. A community group with this capability is something that has not yet appeared in Wigram (for example). We would argue that this does not mean that Wigram does not need community facilities. It may be that having community facilities may be necessary for helping to develop a community group capable of leading such a project. Changing needs or rate of growth might be added as a criterion for establishing a new facility. In Halswell and Wigram, for example we have some new immigrant women in particular who cannot drive and may not have access to a car or to good bus services. When community facilities are bunched together in older areas and non-existent in newer ones, it can be very hard for these people to get to community activities. Room sizes and storage are worth considering – in many cases a relatively small facility may be all that is needed for meetings and small group activities. Having areas that groups can leave materials in is useful particularly in places where there is a lot of small housing with little space for storing communal materials. Meeting space is not all that is needed for building strong communities. Office space and drop in space where people can get information about how to connect into local activities is important too. Disestablishing a facility This will be a challenging proposition for most communities which indicates the need for some very clear criteria that take account of local mobility, and changing needs or population levels and potential use of these facilities as	The spaces that are under-utilised in Halswell generally lack modern technology or are cold and uninviting for “sit-down” activities. They may not be suited for the range of activities that community groups are involved in. Having a range of different spaces seems important for communities. Each has their strengths and this too is something to take into account. Our local halls, for example are good for local concerts and for exercise groups and dances because of their uncovered wooden floor. They are horrible for running meetings in! The Halswell Community Hub provides office space for community groups – something most other community facilities don’t do and which is generally well occupied. Other spaces could have their utilisation boosted by easier access; this includes Te Hāpua, for which booking a room can be difficult and complex, and evening access is also difficult. Groups sometimes also need some lead in time at lower cost while they form but as they grow they can afford to pay more. We have found with activating the Halswell Hub that allowing groups to pay what they could in the beginning was a good way to help the groups to get started. A number of different fee paying activities have developed as a direct result of this starting point.	Wish to be heard: YES The Halswell Community Project is an incorporated society that runs a number of initiatives in the Halswell Area aimed at connecting and developing our community and supporting other community groups to do the same. We agree with the CCC’s view (on P3) on the importance of community facilities in boosting community cohesiveness and connectedness. As the consultation document notes, the development of community facilities is sporadic and involves a wide range of organisations and motivations – or not, as we see from recent subdivisions around Halswell where there are few new community facilities beyond schools. As a group watching subdivisions multiply around our community, we feel strongly that there should be more method around the building of community facilities in new subdivisions. New communities need local meeting places as much as older communities. Council seems able to require the provision of green space but perhaps some thought should be given to requiring local meeting space. Waiting for it to “just turn up” accidentally seems short sighted and impacts our potential resilience as a community. In Halswell, we have a number of facilities concentrated around the original township and close to SH 75. New subdivisions west of Junction Road and in the Westlake, Wigram and Aidanfield areas have very few community facilities of any description, and yet these areas have many new immigrant families. Women in these groups, in particular, can find it hard to get around with their children to participate in local activities. Other comments We wonder about the statement on p6 of the Draft Plan: there are currently no significant major geographical gaps in the network if all Council and non-Council owned facilities are considered”. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Notwithstanding the missed facilities noted above, a count-up of the dots in the map shows areas with new (and not so new) subdivisions such as Northwood, Longhurst, Aidanfield, Westlake to be underserved. This sparseness of community facilities in Halswell is especially relevant given that Halswell is currently growing quickly and more subdivisions are already planned and in the process of development. In addition, new residential developments in Halswell are 50% more dense at 15 houses per hectare than older areas of Christchurch. Council must think about how to provide even small community facilities in new areas when few community groups are in a position to

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
					well as current use of facilities.		do this themselves given the high price of real estate at this time.
65	35012	Tanya Didham		Avebury House in Richmond is not on the list.	The 'emerging conclusions' regarding opening or closing a facility are a good beginning. It is crucial to work with communities on this.	Community engagement is hard. As manager of Avebury House I am always trying to find out what the community wants. Sometimes we get it right, sometimes we need to go back to the drawing board. I would be interested in working with Council on a draft engagement document for community facilities to use, maybe even for face-to-face workshops. When you ask people to email or phone or fill in a form off their own bat, you get a small vocal segment of the community that is not necessarily representative. Workshops can suffer from this too, though are probably better. However there is nothing like one-on-one. We had an intern go door-knocking for us last year and he had some great responses (it was regarding noise levels for a wedding) - something like that could work well in terms of asking what people want from facilities, on a local scale.	The Board is disappointed that the Plan does not provide direction for how to deliver an equitable, affordable and genuinely usable, fit-for-purpose network of community facilities. We recommend that the Council does not approve the Plan without incorporating the amendments outlined below.
67	35030	Karolin Potter	Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board Chairperson			Please refer to attachment.	<p>The Waihoru / Spreydon-Cashmere Community Board appreciates the opportunity to provide feedback on the Council's Draft Facilities Network Plan (the Plan). The Board's statutory role is, "to represent, and act as an advocate for, the interests of its community" (Local Government Act 2002, section 52). The Board provides this feedback in its capacity as a representative of the communities in the Spreydon-Cashmere area. Community facilities are crucial for bringing communities together and strengthening their connectedness. A Community Facilities Network Plan should prioritise facilities in areas where communities are underresourced and lack connection in order to equitably support strong communities across Christchurch. The Board is disappointed that the Plan does not provide direction for how to deliver an equitable, affordable and genuinely usable, fit-for-purpose network of community facilities. We recommend that the Council does not approve the Plan without incorporating the amendments outlined below</p> <p>.Additional Data The Plan notes that there are no significant geographic gaps in the network, but does not include data for each Council-owned and community-owned facility such as hire cost, number and size of rooms for hire, usage (for community-owned facilities), opening hours, accessibility, and asset condition. Without this data, it is impossible to confirm whether there are gaps in the network. For example, a facility might have a high hire cost that is unaffordable and excludes many community groups and residents. A facility might only have small rooms for hire and not provide space for larger community meetings and events. A facility might be in such poor condition that it does not meet the community's needs.</p> <p>Criteria for Repair and Renewal of Facilities The Plan notes that the average condition of Council-owned community facilities is 2.7 out of 5 (with 1 being "very good" and 5 being "very poor with major work required now"). It also notes that there is a current operational expenditure shortfall of between \$66,684 and \$243,256 to keep the portfolio in a stable, fit-for-purpose condition. However, the Plan is silent on criteria for prioritising the repair and renewal of facilities that no longer serve the needs of local communities. Without this criteria to guide decision-making, facilities will be repaired in a piecemeal manner and many will continue to deteriorate, perhaps in areas where they would be most</p>

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
							valuable. Criteria for Establishing Facilities The Plan proposes that one of the criteria for deciding whether to establish a new facility is that a willing and able community partner must be in a position to lead and drive the project end to end. However, this would privilege well-resourced communities and disadvantage those with more limited capacity. Instead, new community facilities should be prioritised in areas where there is a demonstrated need and the community does not have the capacity to build, activate and manage them on their own. Once established and activated, the facility would help build community connectedness and capacity by providing a place for people to gather and engage with each other. In summary, the Board urges the Council to not approve the Draft Facilities Network Plan until it is amended to provide an accurate snapshot of the gaps in the network and a decision-making framework that prioritises an equitable, affordable and genuinely usable network of facilities across Christchurch. The Board would like to speak to its feedback.
69	35036	Lynda Megson	Risingholme Community Centre Incorporated Risingholme Community Centre Incorporated			We would recommend a small Facilities Investment Fund/Allocation be setup. This fund/allocation would be allocated annually to Facilities for the purpose of purchasing small capex items that would in turn improve hire ability and increase occupancy of the facilities. From our recent experience the feedback from potential hirers is that while the physical space may be suitable a lack of technology, ie audio/visual equipment, smart board or whiteboard, data projector or suitable furniture prevents users from hiring our facilities.	
70	35037	Sue Cowper		What is your definition of a community partner? If someone is affected by your changes are they a community partner? Is the Elmwood Club your community partner?		As a resident I want to know how you want to make the Elmwood Bowling Club busier. Fine if it's for sporting events and the like but social functions is a change of use. Great to see Elmwood so busy with cricket players all practising etc and using the space. That is what the space was intended for. Your report leaves me wondering what your vision is for this club. Hard to comment when you are so vague.	We have a house in Pigeon Bay and I was not notified about the hall or the bowling club and my concern is reading your info leaves me with questions about what all this actually means. I have no idea so it's hard to make submissions and I only found out about all this by pure chance.
71	35038	Robin Arnold		Heritage value, remoteness of community, strengthening communities outcomes the facility can support. Sustainable operation of a community facility is likely to be challenging given the nature and purpose of the facility is generally not to generate income, but to provide accessible and inclusive places for communities of place and interest to gather. They tend to build social capital rather than financial capital.		Marketing and Promotion	
72	35040	Linda Stewart	Marshlands Hall Trust Chairperson	Not missed but included when it is the process of disposal as a community facility. This is the Marshland Hall. Its old, cold and has extremely limited parking and currently business zoned so it is on the market for sale.	The Marshland Hall is not able to meet community provision in its current location. Located on busy Marshland / Prestons Road intersection, it has no parking and unable to provide as a place for community activities, social isolation and health and wellbeing activities.	Yes. We are proposing to finance a replacement facility which we feel will meet the fast growing needs of Prestons - 900 homes, Prestons Park - 1150 homes, Waitikiri, Tumara Park, Burwood Ward north of QE11 Drive and Travis Country. We already know there is needs under-provision for these communities. We need to have good coordination, a proactive mindset with various council departments and most importantly - timely processes to see this project through.	This project needs council community facilities managers to work constructively with the Trust and we in turn are providing all the required independent proposals to see this through to realisation.
73	35041	Linda Stewart	WACST @ Burwood (formerly Wainoni Avonside Community Services Trust) trustee		Establishing need for a facility to meet the decimated suburbs of Dallington Avondale and Burwood we feel strongly there is a need for an "area-wide" community facility to be located where these 3 suburbs can connect. The issues of social isolation, higher increase of rental properties means having a facility that is "their community of belonging" is needed.	Land ownership is one challenge. Communities that have been through so much earthquake-related change is another challenge. These folk are tired and still feeling loss particularly when another for sale sign goes up. Their immediate neighbors choose to leave is a consistent issue. They need a community place to be connected.	Its a good and useful document but I do question whether the input was accurate particularly in relationship to the area we are concerned about.

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
74	35042	Linda Stewart	Dallington Community Trust Secretary		Community needs in post-earthquake red zoned suburbs of Burwood Ward (south) where there is no facilities for meetings.	Community wish to source a sound relocatable building and just needs land in an appropriate area for placement. Council should fund this but that is unlikely but ratepaying community do not accept feeling abandoned when they have needs for a meeting facility. They support an area-wide facility along with Avondale and Burwood (south of QE11Drive communities) but the challenges feel insurmountable if council don't offer constructive support.	Most feel a facility located on the Burwood Primary School site would meet the needs of an area-wide facility. Who owns the land is the issue and will council facilitate a land exchange or gifting process that would eventuate in a facility for this area of neglect when it comes to supporting recovery of hard-hit suburbs due to red zoning.
75	35045	Frank Pipe	Elmwood Bowling Club now under the umbrella name of The Elmwood Club		The impact on neighbours be it noise, traffic, Parking, rented use of facilities, zoning of the facility Whether the use of the facility is fit for purpose.- size.	The question should be " is it in the local community interest / spirit to make the facility busier or is there a hidden agenda to meet only the interests of those running the facility.	Local facilities were started to service the local community - large complexes should be built on the much baron land that is now available after the earthquakes. This red zone land offers a blank canvas for any new build multi complex facility to be purpose built without impacting on the environment and enjoyment of space that residence currently have.
76	35047	Sonya Hodder	Spreydon Neighbourhood Network Secretary		No, you appear to have everything covered.		During discussions at our October meeting the issue was raised that Spreydon and Hoon Hay are poorly served as far as Council owned buildings suitable for large groups to meet at a reasonable cost. Beckenham has the South Library with two rooms available. Halswell has their Community building. SNN is fortunate to be able to meet at Whareora House of Life Trusts building for our monthly meetings, but we have trouble finding a suitable venue for larger public meetings within our area.
77	35048	Jenny Healey	Cass Bay Residents Association Chairperson	Steadfast in Cass Bay is shown as a Council Park facility but there are also Council owned buildings there which could be used for much greater Community benefit if they were available. At present the Navy Cadets use most buildings for occasional weekend camps and the Cass Bay Reserves Management Committee have by-monthly meetings there. Cass Bay is a growing area with 2 subdivisions currently being built in and another two potential developments. At present the Residents Association hold a number of community functions in the open or at committee members homes eg mid winter pot luck dinner, morning tea for older residents due to a lack of community hall/ space. There are also a number of other groups who meet in each other's homes (craft group, book club). Both these types of activities have to remain small in size due to limited space. In the past Cass Bay had a very popular playgroup which current parents of preschoolers would like to start up again but have no facilities to use. As Cass Bay continues to develop these problems will reduce to community ability to encourage connectedness. This would be a great place for an additional Council community facility.	No. The listed suggestions seem very sound.	Keeping facilities affordable for use is very important especially for parents and retired people. Having facilities that are warm and welcoming. Getting the community to drive the activities depending on their needs and interests and working through such organisations as Residents Associations to organise the space and groups	Having community connectivity is vitally important in developing a safe and happy environment to live in. Having facilities that provide a place for this to happen can only improve Residents wellbeing.
78	35061	Denise Weir	Metropolitan Highland Pipe Band	This are the details of the hall I spoke about to you this morning. The hall is 18 months old and is at 94 Falsgrave Street off Moorhouse Ave. It is licensed to hold 100			

#	ID	Name	Name of organisation and role	Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?	Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?	Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?	Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?
				<p>people ,has a full kitchen, toilets include a disabled toilet and shower ,it is owned and managed by the Metropolitan Highland Pipe Band. We are a registered charitable organisation. We are looking to hire the hall ,it would be a lovely hall for weddings ,meetings etc. we are looking at charging \$25 an hour which would be negotiable, my contact details are Denise Weir ph. 03 3820039 or 0272882345. I would willingly show anybody through the premises. Thankyou hope you can help us</p>			
79	35062	Sherwyn Veldhuizen	Elmwood Bowling Club	<p>Elmwood Bowling Club</p>	<p>They should be providing environmental impact statements on the effects on neighbours for each individual facility the council are considering making substantial usage changes to.</p> <p>What is of concern since the earthquakes in relation to Elmwood Bowling Club is now we have and unacceptable increases in noise pollution, increase congested traffic and parking, alcohol, loitering and litter issues and all related to the change in use of the current dilapidated bowling club facilities which has been exasperated by the amalgamation of cricket clubs. And this is even before any rebuilding has started e.g. Elmwood Bowling club have now incorporated clubs as far afield as Lincoln and have gone from a local Bowling Club of a few hundred members to an amalgamated facility with over 75,000 users - is this type of amalgamation acceptable for a local community park? Is this fair on neighbours who do not get properly considered by the process?</p>	<p>Yes - engage properly and in a timely fashion with the community and neighbours surrounding the facilities.</p> <p>At the last Council meeting Jamie Gough agreed to the cricket net application on Elmwood park stating the overall majority of support "that's democracy" . However, the whole process was completely flawed from the start with miss information given to the public and the unfortunate timing of releasing public notifications that include the new lease to the cricket pavilion, and biased to Cricket Club supporters. Many local neighbours and non-cricket community do not know the full current and pending impact due to the flawed process. The fact is the council agreed to give away greenspace when there where solutions on the table that would have suited everyone. Flawed process is not democracy. Elmwood Public Park is now going to be Elmwood Cricket Ground. There is now grave fear in the community of what will happen to Elmwood Bowling club.</p>	<p>Please consider the entire local community when making drastic changes to facilities. Smaller local clubs distributed around the city have a lower carbon footprint as the community will tend to walk or ride their bikes to these facilities and require less parking. Large facilities will attract larger investors, though at what cost to immediate neighbours if there is an impact on the greenspace, noise, parking, traffic congestion, litter, alcohol, light pollution, security and well-being of neighbouring properties and property values which is what CCC rates are built upon.</p>

#34981 1 Canterbury
Branch Genealogy Society


CANTERBURY BRANCH - Calendar for 2020

Fendalton Library volunteer - 1st Wednesday of the month 9.30-11.30am February-December


MONTH	DATE	MEETING	INTEREST GROUP	BOARD/REGIONAL MTG
January	15	Committee		7.30 pm start
	Saturday 18	Library re-opens		Noon - 3pm
	25		Computer	10am start
	25		European	12.30pm start
February	13	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	19	Committee		7.30 pm start
	20	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	24		Australian	10.30am start
March	7		Irish	10am start
	12	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	18	Committee		7.30 pm start
	19	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	28		Computer	10am start
	28		European	12.30pm start
April	9	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	15	Committee		7.30 pm start
	16	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
May	2		Irish	10am start
	14	Thursday evening meeting- AGM		7.30 pm start
	20	Committee		7.30 pm start
	21	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	23		Computer	10am start
	23		European	12.30pm start
June	11	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	17	Committee		7.30 pm start
	18	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
July	4		Irish	10am start
	9	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	15	Committee		7.30 pm start
	16	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	25		Computer	10am start
	25		European	12.30pm start
August	13	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	19	Committee		7.30 pm start
	20	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	24		Australian	10.30am start
September	5		Irish	10am start
	10	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	16	Committee		7.30 pm start
	17	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	26		Computer	10am start
	26		European	12.30pm start
October	8	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	15	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	21	Committee		7.30 pm start
November	7		Irish	10am start
	12	Thursday evening meeting		7.30 pm start
	18	Committee		7.30 pm start
	19	Thursday morning meeting		9.45am start
	23		Australian	10.30am start
	28		Computer	10am start
December	12	Xmas Lunch		10am-1pm
	12	Last day for library to be open		

NZSG Canterbury Branch – 2021 Calendar


January




February




March




April




May




June




July




August




September




October



November



December



Colour Coded Legend

-  Evening
-  Morning
-  Committee
-  Irish
-  Australian
-  Computer
-  European
-  LibraryOpen
-  Shirley DropIn
-  XmasLunch

#34443 1



#34443 2



#34443 3



#34443 4



#34443 5





Te Arataki Taero Kore: The Accessibility Charter

Industry, business, groups and individuals play a role in the creation of an accessible region. Accessibility is good for us all; it benefits whānau, business, tourism, economic development, iwi, and health and wellbeing.

Vision

Our region will become a model of best-practice accessibility through our community and business leaders advocating for places and spaces that are accessible for all people.

Purpose

By signing this Charter, we are:

- Ensuring that places and spaces in our region become universally accessible
- Enabling residents and visitors to live, work, learn, explore and play equally
- Setting an expectation of best-practice design and development, which goes beyond minimum expectations of the Building Code.

Commitments and Actions

Our organisation supports the vision and purpose of this Charter. We will implement the following Charter Commitments and Actions and formally review our progress in these areas:

Hautūtanga - Leadership

Our leaders will demonstrate a pro-active commitment to best-practice accessibility when setting policy and practice expectations, budgets and accountability provisions.

Mātauranga - Education

Our organisation will ensure staff are equipped with the skills and knowledge they need to apply best-practice accessibility throughout the design and development process. We will also provide information and training to help staff understand the benefits of accessible design and the consequences and barriers created by poor design.

Tohungatanga - Technical Expertise

We will seek the technical advice and guidance of professional and independent universal-design experts, appropriate to the scale and type of projects we undertake.

Te Oranga o te Tangata - Health and Wellbeing

We will actively promote the link between the creation of accessible places and spaces, and the health and wellbeing of our people.

#34918 1



Question 1: Do you know of any community-owned facilities we've missed?

Avebury House in Avebury Park, 9 Eveleyn Couzins Avenue, Richmond. Council Owned, Community Leased/Managed (blue circle) in the Linwood-Central-Heathcote Community Board area.

Question 2: Is there anything else the council and its community partners should take into consideration when establishing or disestablishing a facility?

Ask residents to vote. Residents should get to vote on where funding goes based on their perceived value of activities provided. Like 'Z' petrol station do, put your coin in the container you want 'Z' to donate to. There should be a list for each Community Board that residents get to rank/vote on to help determine where funding should be spent.

Question 3: Do you have any ideas on how we can work with the community to make community facilities busier and increase the range of activities they host?

Ask residents what they would participate in: type of activity/preferred day/time (morning/afternoon/night). Provide opportunities/support for residents to create their own activities at their own local community facility. Marketing: Community Facility 'Open Days', Share Posts/Events to local Facebook community groups, Outreach (presentation of activities available) at local Christchurch City Libraries, Advertise in local school newsletters. Create a directory/location map/inform community of facilities, the facilities data needs to be incorporated online through the CCC website, with a Community Facilities page here: <https://www.ccc.govt.nz/rec-and-sport>. With layers for each type of facility/operated by/capacity/activities, the Community groups would be visually able to see at a glance where the duplicates/gaps are in the network plan, and residents would have an online directory for activities/contact details.

Community Facilities rely on CCC/Community Board funding. Fund raise to engage/promote their community facility in the community & connect with residents. Why doesn't each Community Facility have more fundraising activities/events where the residents go: at their local Christchurch City Library, outside local shops, at local sports events?

Do you have any further comments on the Community Facilities Network Plan?

The Plan only shows buildings available. More meaningful data would have included: amenities included, capacity of building, number of people per room, current activities timetable coded per age group, % per age group/activities, % time open for activities, % time closed, location in relation to public transport/bus routes.

The Plan implies that there are no gaps in the network & residents are catered for in all locations. But if you turn off the different layers of data, church/faith based facilities, targeted at a certain age, only available at a specific time, what activities are you actually left with & where are they?

Lack of community facilities in the Papanui-Innes Community Board area (15 facilities: 5 Church Owned & Managed, 2 Council Owned & 4 Community Owned are faith based/managed by church trusts).

The residents in the Innes Community Board area come from cultural diverse backgrounds, there are many different languages/church associations, which can limit/exclude participation in church/faith based community facilities.

Christchurch City Libraries (grey circle) are not counted in the number of facilities per Community Board area.

There is no suburban Christchurch City Library in the Innes Ward.

Coastal-Burwood have 4 Christchurch City Libraries: Shirley, Parklands, Aranui & New Brighton.

The population in the Coastal-Burwood Ward has decreased due to the Red Zone.

The population in the Innes Ward has increased due to in fill housing & new social housing developments. Annual growth in the Innes Ward (since 2013) has been higher than anticipated and the estimated population has already exceeded the projected 2018 population.

Move/build a bigger/purpose built library with learning/meeting spaces at 10 Shirley Road, in the Innes Ward.


"Papanui and Innes Ward areas are ones to watch as they show fewer facilities per capita than the rest of the wards" (Pg 34, GLG Report)

"No provider is particularly strong in providing for drop-ins, bumping and social services. Council Libraries are strongest in this area" (Pg 6, GLG Report)

"Focusing investment in small number of community hubs (existing and new) of significant size co-located with other Council facilities such as libraries" (Pg 7, 4a, GLG Report)

"Worldwide trends tell us Community Facilities will be focal points in the community and will become known as neighbourhood and communal gathering places of flexible spaces that allow people to work/play/be/meet together in groups or work/play/be alone but connected to others outside of their homes" (Pg 9, GLG Report)

"At the network level where there are potential hub facilities, the approach is to support their development as Council owned and operated sites. Hub facilities are where there is co-location and clustering of services: library; service centre; community activity; recreation and sport; civic activity; culture, meeting and public assembly; education and arts activity" (Pg 18, GLG Report)



What facilities do we need in Christchurch? Where are the 'gaps' in our communities?

Hila Oren <https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/think-christchurch-with-hila-oren/> reminded me how much we value education/learning in Christchurch. Our education/learning doesn't stop at our school/polytech/university gates. We need to provide more opportunities for education/learning in our communities through our libraries with learning spaces & community/learning centres, for our social connections/networks & to improve our well-being.

Who is the target audience for these facilities? Who are we providing these facilities/activities for? How can the Council/Community Boards make informed decisions on investing in new facilities and funding existing facilities if they don't understand who in the community is using them/or not using them and why?

We have many in our communities who don't have a 'second place (work)': stay at home parents, caregivers, retirees, unemployed, people working from home etc. Some due to their circumstances don't feel like they have a safe and relaxing 'first place (home)'. This is why it is so important that our 'third places (social)' are welcoming and inclusive for everyone in our communities.

"As the COVID-19 pandemic proceeds, public life has had to shrink to ensure that the virus ceases to spread through our communities...if past crises hold any wisdom for us, we can expect that the places that have the strongest public life are currently benefiting from the strongest support networks...this [social infrastructure](#) is vital to ensuring that all of us weather disasters well, and even though we cannot gather in person during this crisis, one can already see the creative local responses taking shape around the world, fueled by social capital that has built up over time...most communities in the United States were already facing an [epidemic of loneliness](#) that has left us fragile. Now, we face not only an economic recession, but a [social recession](#) that will prey on the most vulnerable among us...this is why public space must play a key role as we recover from this pandemic. We have a duty to rebuild our communities to be more resilient by investing in welcoming, lively, meaningful public spaces for all."

Phil Myrick, CEO, Project for Public Spaces, <https://www.pps.org/>

"Urban planners seeking to stabilize neighborhoods are focusing on the critical role that "third places" can play in strengthening our sense of community. Third places have a number of important community-building attributes. Depending on their location, social classes and backgrounds can be "leveled-out" in ways that are unfortunately rare these days, with people feeling they are treated as social equals. Informal conversation is the main activity and most important linking function. One commentator refers to third places as the "living room" of society. Many city planning efforts to reinvigorate metropolitan neighborhoods now include specific steps to create third places, especially public spaces, to try and break down social siloes."

<https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2016/09/14/third-places-as-community-builders/>

"The public library is the one place, potentially the only civic place, where people are welcome to come no matter their background, their politics, their beliefs. People who are disenfranchised, have mobility issues, are socially isolated, the very old and the very young, it can be the only comfortable place to be – and their ideas are welcome." "We are becoming a bastion of wellbeing and welcome for people," says Kat Cuttriss, Hutt City Libraries manager and chair of Public Libraries of New Zealand. <https://www.stuff.co.nz/entertainment/books/113926856/how-new-zealand-libraries-are-adapting-to-the-21st-century>

"Libraries are not the kinds of institutions that most social scientists, policymakers, and community leaders usually bring up when they discuss social capital and how to build it. But they offer something for everyone, regardless of whether they're a citizen, a permanent resident, or even a convicted felon – and all of it for free...I learned that libraries and their social infrastructure are essential not only for a neighborhood's vitality but also for buffering all kinds of personal problems – including isolation and loneliness...Social infrastructure provides the setting and context for social participation, and the library is among the most critical forms of social infrastructure that we have. It's also one of the most undervalued...Our communities are full of children whose future, will be formed in the places where they go to learn about themselves and the world they'll inherit. They deserve palaces. Whether they get them is up to us."

[Palaces for the People: How To Build a More Equal and United Society by Eric Klinenberg](#)

<https://www.theguardian.com/cities/2018/sep/24/palaces-for-the-people-at-the-library-everyone-is-welcome>

April 2020 | CCC Draft Annual Plan 2020-2021 Feedback

<https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/ccd-draft-annual-plan-2020-2021-feedback/>

<https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/CCCDraftAnnualPlan2020JoannaGould.pdf>

June 2019 | CCC Draft Strategy for Arts and Creativity 2019–2024


<https://www.getcreativechristchurch.nz/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/CCCDraftArtsStrategyJune2019JoannaGould.pdf>

March 2019 | CCC Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020

<https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/CCCDraftAnnualPlanMar2019JoannaGould.pdf>


February 2019 | CCC Community Centre Network Plan


<https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/ChchCommCentreNetworkPlanJoannaGould.pdf>



Canterbury Wellbeing Index | <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/>


- Central and local government agencies have a major role in enhancing the social, economic, environmental, and cultural aspects of wellbeing within communities. Civic engagement is associated with citizens' confidence in their ability to influence local and national decision making, including their trust in the political process. <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/civic-engagement/influencing-central-and-local-government/>
- There is a well-known association between education and wellbeing, which is important throughout the life course. The relationship is complex and it appears that most of it is due to our level of education affecting our employment, income and health. For example, educational attainment strongly predicts health literacy, and the skills, knowledge and confidence needed to access and use health and social care services. Differences in educational attainment between different population groups also provide information about access to education and the equity, or fairness, of the education system. Education is a resource for life that, apart from providing qualifications and facilitating future employment, can have broader beneficial impacts on health and wellbeing through for example developing values, emotional intelligence, self-esteem, and social skills. <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/education/>
- Employment affects the economic status and standard of living of an individual and their family and also has a strong influence on social and emotional wellbeing. Being employed is an important way for a person to meet their material needs and to participate in their community. Employment is also important to an individual's identity and their role in society. Longitudinal studies show that unemployment has a direct negative effect on health, over and above the effects of socioeconomic status, poverty, and prior ill-health. Levels of employment and unemployment differ across population groups, meaning some groups are less likely to experience the positive benefits of employment. When people move from unemployment to employment, they gain in material wellbeing, subjective wellbeing, physical and mental health, and socioeconomic status. <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/employment/>
- Individuals with mental health disorders are at greater risk for decreased quality of life, educational difficulties, lowered productivity and poverty, homelessness, social problems, vulnerability to abuse, additional physical health problems, stigma, and suicide. Mental health care in New Zealand has undergone a transformation over the last several decades, moving from an institutional model to a model centred on engagement with services in community settings. In recent years, record numbers of people have accessed mental health and addiction services across New Zealand. Disasters such as the Canterbury earthquakes have well-documented negative impacts on mental health with an estimated five to ten percent of the population likely to experience a deterioration in their psychological health and to seek or require intervention in the long term. These impacts relate both to the immediate effects of the disaster and to ongoing or secondary stressors, such as a continued lack of infrastructure. International literature suggests that approximately eight percent of those affected by mass shootings - such as the March 2019 Christchurch mosque attacks - may have moderate symptoms, and two percent chronic dysfunction. The impact on individuals will be influenced by aspects including pre-existing risk factors, level of exposure to the incident, and different coping strategies. The figures show that the proportion of the Canterbury DHB population accessing mental health services (combined Non- Government Organisations, primary mental health and specialist mental health services) has increased substantially over time. <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/health/mental-health-service-access/>
- Key equity issues within social capital: A number of differences are apparent across the social capital indicators, notably: sense of community, personal identity, loneliness and isolation, and confidence in agencies. Age (particularly the youngest and oldest age groups), having a long-term health condition or disability, and identifying as belonging to certain ethnic groups, appear to be related to lower levels of social capital in greater Christchurch. <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/social-capital/>
- Feeling like one belongs and is accepted in meaningful social groups has been linked to wellbeing and health-related outcomes. Sense of community is a desirable outcome, whereby community members feel a sense of belonging and commitment, and a feeling that members matter to one another and to the group. Sense of community embraces a number of different elements including: community spirit or membership, influence, reinforcement, emotional safety, community boundaries, sense of belonging, trust, shared emotional connections, and quality interactions. These elements are considered to act together to strengthen the social fabric and improve community wellbeing and health outcomes. The figure shows that in the year following the 2010 and 2011 earthquakes, over half of respondents in greater Christchurch (54.5%) agreed or strongly agreed that they felt a sense of community with others in their neighbourhood. A pattern of declining sense of community followed, and the proportion feeling a sense of community with others in their neighbourhood (agree or strongly agree) dipped below 50 percent in 2014. The current result (47.7%, 2019) is statistically significantly lower than that for 2012 and the overall downward trend in this proportion is statistically significant. <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/social-capital/sense-of-community/>





10
SHIRLEY
ROAD

RISE UP
RICH
MOND
STANMORE RD
CHRISTCHURCH



In community building, the third place is the social surroundings separate from the two usual social environments of home ("first place") and the workplace ("second place"). Examples of third places would be environments such as churches, cafes, clubs, public libraries, bookstores or parks. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Third_place In his influential book *The Great Good Place*, Ray Oldenburg (1989, 1991) argues that third places are important for civil society, democracy, civic engagement, and establishing feelings of a sense of place. Third places, then, are "anchors" of community life and facilitate and foster broader, more creative interaction. In other words, "your third place is where you relax in public, where you encounter familiar faces and make new acquaintances."

SOCIAL PLACES: CONNECTIONS & NETWORKS

EDUCATIONAL	EDUCATIONAL & ENTERTAINMENT	ENTERTAINMENT
PreSchool Kindergarten Primary Intermediate Secondary Polytechnic University	Community Centre Learning Centre Library with Learning Spaces	Sports Club Night Club Casino Pub Cafe Restaurant Church

YOU
ARE
HERE

**SHIRLEY CENTRE: 10 SHIRLEY ROAD
LIBRARY & LEARNING SPACES**

GOVERNMENT	LOCAL GOVERNMENT	NGOs
Ministry of ... Department of ...	Council Community Boards	Trusts/Groups Community Workers

IDENTITY | WELL-BEING | LEARNING

Why don't we use the CCC Libraries/Citizen Hubs facilities to work together with different Ministry's/Govt Departments/NGOs/community workers, as an outreach to provide education and connect those in the community with the right resources at the right time?

Citizen Hub for: community education & learning, 'DIY How To' civic engagement & education sessions, civil defence education, citizenship education. Connecting NGOs with residents through 'Hello my name is...' intro sessions based in the learning spaces, 'go where the people are'.



GET
creative
CHRIST CHURCH

Improve community facilities in the Papanui-Innes Wards:

- Engage with the community over future developments of 10 Shirley Road

Vulnerable Communities are supported:

- Advocate for targeted funding to support youth, elderly, and social isolation issues
- Advocate for the Long Term Plan to include increased services and funding for social isolation issues
- Build strong relationships and well-connected networks with external agencies

Encourage civic participation in local decision making:

- Increase community engagement opportunities (which can include public meetings and targeted opportunities with organisation leaders)
- Encourage community networks
- Increase volunteerism within the community

In my opinion, the top priority for the Papanui-Innes community board should be the planning/building of a new Shirley Centre on 10 Shirley Road. New Inclusive Civic Centre with: Shirley Library | Learning Spaces | Service Centre. Supporting our communities: Identity | Well-being | Learning.

Since 1915 this location has been important part of our identity, first as the original Shirley Primary School, then as the Shirley Community Centre, until the building was demolished in 2012 due to earthquake damage.

- citizen hub for: community education & learning, 'DIY How To' civic engagement & education sessions, civil defence education, citizenship education.
- connecting NGOs with residents through 'Hello my name is...' intro sessions based in the learning spaces, 'you are here', 'go where the people are'.
- community directory to inform & direct residents out from the hub, connecting residents into activities/groups/ other community centres.
- park setting location, with significant trees, Dudley Creek and playground/half basketball court, with off street & on street parking.
- centrally located between our four remaining schools: Mairehau High School, Shirley Primary School, Shirley Intermediate & new Banks Avenue School.
- bus stops for the Orange Line/Orbiter/100 routes, are located outside 10 Shirley Road, and across the road, by Shirley Primary School.

The current Shirley library is situated inside the Coastal-Burwood ward, although it is seen as a Shirley/Richmond facility. Currently the Innes ward has no 'suburban' library. Approx 25,000 people live in the Innes ward, with our population increasing due to in fill housing & social housing developments.

'Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update' identifies Shirley as a 'Key Activity Centre' for 'new residential/commercial opportunities, meeting the demands arising from the growing population'.

'Resilient Greater Christchurch Plan' identifies Shirley as 'Under performing Commercial Centre, Mall dominated centres with higher levels of vacancy, crime, reducing retail turnover'.

Unfortunately due to the location of the library in the car park of The Palms mall, the library continues to attract anti-social behaviour, requiring a security guard to be present.

The Shirley Library & Service Centre is soon to be refurbished to include NZ Post services, in an already congested building with Shirley Library, Service Centre & Coastal-Burwood Governance unit.

This library is smaller than most 'suburban' libraries in Christchurch & yet is consistently one of the top providers of events/activities, even with no dedicated learning spaces.


We need a new community facility to serve the wider communities of Shirley, Richmond, Mairehau, Edgware and St Albans east of Cranford Street, <https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/where-is-our-community-centre-petition/>.

Citizen hubs become landmarks in a community. They are a safe place to go to. Their familiarity brings comfort in stressful times.

They are the only third place that offers education & entertainment in the one place, & transforms to fulfil the communities needs during a local emergency.


<https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/this-is-what-a-librarian-looks-like/>

<https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/> | <http://www.facebook.com/groups/www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/>







Clickable Images: Hyperlinks to Websites



Clickable Images: Hyperlinks to Websites



CCC Library Provision including proposed new library construction, plus, Christchurch Residential Land Capacity: larger Greenfields and Ongoing land Developments. Plan Change Process Approval Status at March 2012

Workplan: 2014-2015
 Date: 12/03/15
 Version: 02
 Status: Update: 28/03/2015

10. Community Facilities Network Plan

Plan Feedback

- Page 33, "5.4 City-wide there are currently no significant major geographic gaps in the network when we consider all current providers."

The plan includes "Church Owned & Religious Facilities", so there are gaps in the network for those in our communities who do not feel comfortable attending an activity at these facilities due to their own beliefs.

Some "Community Trusts" are outreach opportunities for a church, and can alienate those in our communities who feel 'judged', from attending their activities/community centres.

- Page 34, "5.16 Community operation leads to greater diversity of use and activation. Community groups/trusts can offer a greater quantity and range of use with many in the Christchurch area already doing this well."

"Community groups/trusts can", yes this 'can' happen. But also what 'can' happen, is that these groups/trusts become closed/cliques, that are not welcoming and inclusive to all the residents in our communities.

I support non partisan civic facilities (CCC Libraries/Citizen Hubs) for this reason, as they are welcoming and inclusive to all the residents in our communities, and operated by Council staff who are accountable to the Council/Community Boards. The Learning Spaces at our CCC Libraries could be better utilized by our communities through resident initiated groups, civic engagement meetings, civic education classes, community groups/trusts/association meetings etc.

- Page 44, "The spaces are more than the actual buildings, creating connections within the space/s and to the areas and amenities surrounding them. In the new community spaces people come together for a common reason/cause, creating a sense of community through social engagement, having a sense of ownership, and shared experiences."

- Page 45, "No provider is particularly strong in providing for drop-ins, bumping and social services - Council Libraries are strongest in this area."

- Page 46, "4a. Focusing investment in small number of community hubs (existing and new) of significant size co-located with other Council facilities such as libraries."

- Page 48, "Worldwide trends tell us Community Facilities will be focal points in the community and will become known as neighbourhood and communal gathering places of flexible spaces that allow people to work/play/be/meet together in groups or work/play/be alone but connected to others outside of their homes."

- Page 57, "Facility Location Significance: Some facilities are better suited to be hub locations based on their centrality within a neighbourhood/rural community, geographical location, accessibility and proximity to other hub or key locations such as libraries, social and community outdoor spaces, cafes, economic and commercial centres including malls and or proximity to aligned activity, school/education, church, sport and play related."

- Page 57, "Ward and Neighbourhood Significance: At the network level where there are potential hub facilities, the approach is to support their development as Council owned and operated sites. Hub facilities are where there is co-location and clustering of services: library; service centre; community activity; recreation and sport; civic activity; culture; meeting and public assembly; education and arts activity."

- The plan counts the number of facilities, but doesn't show the capacity of each facility/number of people able to attend each facility? One small centre doesn't cater for the same amount of people as another larger centre.

- Where in the plan is the information about the users of these community centre/facilities? Who is the target audience for these facilities? Who are we providing these facilities/activities for?

Most of these community centre/facilities are open limited hours and mainly used by people who are at home during the day: eg. stay at home parents, retirees, unemployed, people working from home, people with mental health/disability issues.

- How can the Council/Community Boards make informed decisions on investing in new facilities and funding existing facilities if they don't understand who in the community is using them/or not using them and why?


- CCC Community Board engagement staff and CCC Libraries/Service Centre staff have a wealth of information about each community and local knowledge that our communities could make better use of.

10 Shirley Road

- Page 35, "6.1 A feeling that there has been a degree of inequity across the board areas in terms of investment in repairs and new builds since 2011."

This is an issue in our community. 'Stakeholders' have been consulted, but they have a different opinion to the residents. From my perspective, the Shirley Community Centre hasn't been rebuilt due to some 'stakeholders' influencing the decision, due to fear of funding cuts.

Residents are continuing to vote with their feet every time they go to Shirley Library, just look at the numbers. The staff do a great job with a small space, imagine what they could do with dedicated learning spaces in a new library at 10 Shirley Road.



- Page 36, "7.4 Shirley Community Centre 10 Shirley Road –Emerging information points to the retention of the site at 10 Shirley Road as community space (land banking). Continue to use the site as an open air community hub or a "longer term gap filler approach" funding has been secured for a pump track and landscaping, with the potential for other outdoor activity features over time. As the site is recommended to be retained there is always to opportunity to re look at the development of a facility with a community partner into the future. Other providers have developed facilities in the area and Council has supported the development of a facility in the near-by Macfarlane Park and is currently developing a facility in St Albans."

Thanks to Council staff I was able to talk to Peter Burley as part of his research for the Community Facilities Network Plan. At our meeting in February, I did not agree with his opinion (as stated above), which is why after our meeting I created the [attached .pdf](#), and emailed it to him. I have also [attached my .pdf](#) for the Draft Annual Plan [2019-2020], that shows how my idea for 10 Shirley Road aligns with the different CCC Plans, Strategies & Policies documents "that help us to plan and shape the future of our city." We don't need Shirley Park, we need Shirley Centre. Turning 10 Shirley Road into a park does not address the social issues in our community. We already have more than enough green spaces in our communities. Another park is not the answer.

- We seem to have lost focus that well-being is more than access to a park. The Health Iceberg suggests: What we focus on: physical health (diet & exercise). What we ignore: occupational health, social health, mental health, environmental health, spiritual health, emotional health.

- "Christchurch already has far more park land, sport fields and playgrounds (local parks) per person than the national median and more than other major metropolitan cities in NZ."

<https://engage.regeneratechristchurch.nz/30274/documents/64943/download>, Page 36

The gaps in our communities are not related to physical health opportunities. The gaps that the Council (in partnership with Ministry's) can help with are: occupational health, social health, mental health, emotional health, and environmental health.

- Why don't we use the CCC Libraries/Citizen Hubs facilities to work together with different Ministry's/NGOs/ community workers, as an outreach to provide education and connect those in the community with the right resources at the right time?

"The Government has promised to set up a new universal frontline mental health service, expected to help 325,000 people with mild to moderate mental health needs by 2024...it recognised the need "to train more qualified mental health workers and build new facilities".

<https://www.stuff.co.nz/business/budget/113093191/budget-2019-mental-health-and-child-poverty-focus-in-first-wellbeing-budget>

- Last year I visited most of the libraries in Christchurch to gain a better understanding on how they were designed, what worked/didn't work etc. I quickly realised that the people within each local library, represented the health/wealth of each community.

Most of the learning spaces were under utilised, and I wondered why they weren't being used as education/outreach opportunities into the community (introduction to service, seminars, drop in session etc.) by the Ministry's/NGOs/ community workers in a neutral space?

- "The public library is the one place, potentially the only civic place, where people are welcome to come no matter their background, their politics, their beliefs. People who are disenfranchised, have mobility issues, are socially isolated, the very old and the very young, it can be the only comfortable place to be – and their ideas are welcome."

"We are becoming a bastion of wellbeing and welcome for people," says Kat Cuttriss, Hutt City Libraries manager and chair of Public Libraries of New Zealand. <https://www.stuff.co.nz/entertainment/books/113926856/how-new-zealand-libraries-are-adapting-to-the-21st-century>


- Projects Removed from Programme: Shirley Community Centre, Papanui-Innes Community Board, Finance and Performance Committee, 4th July 2019, https://christchurch.infocouncil.biz/Open/2019/07/FPCO_20190704_AGN_3476_AT.PDF, Page 78

"The capital budget for this project was removed from the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. In March 2019 the Riverside Community Network received the feasibility study and business case for a combined community hub for the Burwood, Avondale and Dallington area which they had commissioned. This will be considered as part of the delivery of the Community Facilities Network Plan project."

Has the rebuild of the Shirley Community Centre been 'sacrificed' for the new 'Riverside Community Network' centre? How is that strengthening communities, when adjacent communities feel like they are being pitted against each other for funding? <https://www.10shirleyroad.org.nz/community-needs-community-centres/>

- Inequalities: Pre-Election Report Booklet, <https://ccc.govt.nz/assets/Documents/The-Council/How-the-Council-works/2019-Elections/Pre-Election-Report-Booklet-WEB-FINAL-002-Optimized.pdf>, Page 30.

"Inequalities undermine social cohesion and have been shown to have negative consequences, including for life expectancy and health, educational performance and employment, crime and our social fabric, and cultural and civic



participation. Inequality also significantly inhibits economic growth."

"The Local Government (Community Well-being) Amendment Act 2019 restored the purpose of local government, "to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of communities in the present and for the future." This obligates the Council to consider the social and economic wellbeing of all communities in the city."

"Community organisations are in a great position to identify the needs of their communities, and to respond quickly to social and economic changes at the local level. They are also often in a position to be able to reach those groups who are 'hard to reach' and address social exclusion."

After living in Shirley for 8 years, and now in Richmond for 3 years, my experience with community organisations/groups/centres has been varied. I have found them hard to find with no community directory, targeted at certain groups with set specific activities, and open limited hours.

My idea for 10 Shirley Road is to build a new centre for the future, by creating adaptable learning spaces for community groups/organisations to share the resources and become more visible/open/available to those people in the community needing their help and support. They need to be operating from where people already are in our communities: our libraries.

Shirley Community

- "An east Christchurch suburb overlooked since the earthquakes is close to breaking point, community leaders say" Papanui-Innes Community Board chairwoman Ali Jones told a council submissions hearing on Monday Shirley was in dire need of new community facilities, but had been largely left out of the Christchurch City Council's draft 10-year budget. "Our ward has been forgotten in many ways, particularly the Shirley area – an area that is in the east but not in the east we hear so much about...The community is close to breaking point. They need a place to meet, to gather, to mend."

<https://www.stuff.co.nz/the-press/news/103484014/christchurch-suburb-overlooked-since-the-earthquakes-community-leaders-say>

- In November 2018, the Shirley Village Project conducted a "My Hopes for Shirley" survey, asking residents 'To make Shirley a better place, it needs...'

"Facilities and places to gather", 2nd highest result, the current community centres are not fulfilling the needs of the people in this community, they need more opportunities for learning and connecting with others.

Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board Plan 2017-2019

"Strong Communities | Board Priorities: (for the next two years) Develop a ten year plan for the area at 10 Shirley Road for community use. The plan will be considered in the Long Term Plan. The rebuild of a community centre on the land at 10 Shirley Road is designed and commenced. Strong Communities | We will measure our success by: Development of a ten year plan for the area at 10 Shirley Road and consideration in the Long Term Plan. This may include, among other items, a children's playground, community gardens and a community centre. A community board community working party commencing to work with technical staff to design and begin the rebuild of a community facility at 10 Shirley Road. Prosperous economy | Board Priorities: (for the next two years) Successful rebuild of the 10 Shirley Road. Prosperous economy | We will measure our success by: Commencement of the rebuild of the 10 Shirley Road Community Centre."

<https://www.ccc.govt.nz/assets/Documents/The-Council/Community-Boards/Plans/Papanui-Innes-Community-Board-Plan.pdf>


Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board Plan 2020-22

Page 6: Improve and support community facilities and amenity in the Papanui-Innes wards (proposed/existing). Why this matters: With a choice of community facilities and good amenity, a community is more able to achieve social cohesion, resilience and happiness and wellbeing. They provide opportunities to develop a strong sense of community, a space to enhance a safe and healthy community and a space to celebrate our identity through arts, culture, heritage and sport.

Page 6: Ensure vulnerable communities are supported. Why this matters: Through the community board engaging with community leaders, they have identified that citizens in the Papanui and Innes wards feel isolated and therefore are more likely to not be involved and engaged with the community. The community board takes isolation seriously due to the flow-on negative effects this can have for a community. The Waipapa/Papanui-Innes Community Board is committed to funding and advocating for programmes and initiatives that encourage social connectedness for those who are socially isolated.

Page 7: Encourage civic participation. Why this matters: Ensuring residents' voices and views are listened to and included when making local decisions ensures that the decisions are appropriate for the community involved.


<https://www.ccc.govt.nz/assets/Documents/The-Council/Community-Boards/Plans/Community-Board-Plan-Papanui-WEB-Final.pdf>



#35040



M. BROWN
W. RUSSELL
D. BUSSELL
B. C. COE
C. DINGEE
C. KASE
A. J. DORRY
C. OTT IRWIN
N. COYSEY
H. ELLEBERG
W. PAULS
H. HEAD
A. J. JAMES
W. JACKSON
B. MARTIN
D. O. CALLAGHAN
C. STAMBRIDGE



Windsor
Westhaven RA
(In recess)

Golf Links RA
(In recess)

Burwood East RA

Horseshoe Lake
RA (In recess)

Avondale RA

Dallington RA

Burwood Pegasus Ward
Western Residents
Association Boundaries as
of June 2016 (and
approximate red zone)