

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18608	have some concerns	I own [REDACTED] and will be retiring to live in this cottage after extensive repairs and renovations during 2019. I agree with the 60 minute parking but wonder what will happen if I have visitors? I presume they will have to park in front of my garage or could I get a 'parking card'. Please could signs be put up to say 'Absolutely no parking on the grass i.e. no freedom camping', or camping overnight in the street parks'. My tenant says there is a problem at times and it is also the disgusting things/rubbish that is left behind.	Carla McGraw	
18601	have some concerns	<p>1. I agree with the proposal to install parking restrictions on the north side of Selwyn Avenue between Rue Jolie and 5 Selwyn Avenue, however P60 is too restrictive because it will impact on the business of the Cinecafe which is situated opposite the car parks in question. A P150 restriction would allow cinema patrons to use these car parks.</p> <p>2. Camper vans should not be allowed to use these car parks. Large camper vans are a traffic hazard: (i) because of their length they jut out onto the roadway causing a hazard to vehicles and bicycles turning into Selwyn Avenue; (ii) large camper vans backing into these car parks so they overhang the grass verge rather than the road create a hazard as they manoeuvre into place; (iii) smaller vehicles parked beside or between large camper vans cannot see what is coming round the corner as they back out until they are well into the roadway.</p>	Elizabeth Mars	
18591	do not support the plan	<p>As a owner of a property in Selwyn Avenue we have the following concerns with restricting these parks to 60min as this is neither here nor there. Over the summer months parking is at a premium and we currently struggle to get a park outside our place and this would only make it worse with your current proposal. Our thoughts would be to restrict these parks to 180min this then allows people to go to the movies, enjoy the library at their leisure or enjoy a nice lunch & look around the shops etc. We would like to see all self contained campervans banned from parking outside residential properties in Selwyn Ave the road is not wide enough and is dangerous. We have a perfectly good camping ground and facilities in Akaroa which is the correct place for the large vehicles not outside peoples houses and have them camping on your lawn along with there rubbish. We cannot mow our lawns when the campervan's park in front of our property as they have to drive up onto the grass to stop obstructing any traffic on the road. Photo attached of 1 of these such incidents.</p> <p>We agree with the cycle stand and the mobility park outside the library.</p>	James & Angela Petrie	
18555	do not support the plan	<p>What I like in the plan:</p> <p>bicycle spots</p> <p>mobility parking space formalized</p> <p>What I don't like:</p> <p>60 Minutes parking, not good for the cinema, cinecafe, movies are generally longer than an hour. Properly will affect the library as well, regarding internet users, etc...</p> <p>the small parking place should stay a parking place, not a motorcycle space (its perfect for short cars like Sue's, make a sign: for short vehicles only)</p> <p>instead it would be good, if campervans can't park in the first 2 spots, they block the view, when you are backing out: Cars only in those 2 spots, that would be great. I would like to add an idea to my submission from yesterday:</p> <p>It would be great, if there would be 2 or 3 short term parking spaces added in front of the library, 10 to 15 minutes, just to drop off, pick up (tickets, coffees, books). Maybe in front of the mobility parking space, if the width & the traffic rules allows it. That would be of immensely help.</p>	Silke Lassen	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18549	have some concerns	<p>I support P120 for the parks directly opposite the library. I think P120 is preferable to P60 in it allows more extended access to both library & cinema. We have many events, meeting, programmes at the library which require our customers to have longer than 1 hour stay. Once the restriction is enforced for these parks, more parking will fill up the unrestricted parks especially in the busy season. Then library customers will have no choice. The elderly particularly should have the option of a longer stay at the library & close proximity to the library.</p> <p>The cinema also required their customers to be able to watch a film for at least 2 hours.</p> <p>PS: I found the online form very hard to fill in. Could we make it easier please.</p> <p>Proposed cycle stands - the School has requested they be moved to Rue Jolie side rather than in front. I support this.</p>	Wendy Murray	
18516	have some concerns	<p>I do not think the P60 restriction for that section of Selwyn Ave is sufficient, it needs to be P120 to enable library members adequate time in their local library.</p> <p>I am happy with the bike stand proposal and the mobility park being marked.</p> <p>However, as for the smaller park where the large tree is, I am astounded that you would notice it as being a safety concern when you allow large camper vans to use those spaces all along that angle parking area where they stick out so far into the roadway causing a major hazard!</p> <p>I can imagine your response to that would be if they reverse in it would not be an issue but as you can see by the photo attached, it means householders cannot mow their berms when these large vans are parked there. Of course the other issue is, whose role is it to suggest to the driver to reverse in? There will not be anybody there to enforce that and surely it is not the homeowners role to do so Also I know that homeowners do not want these vans parked directly in their frontage, they encroach on their privacy and view.</p>	Rosealie Shuttleworth	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18502	do not support the plan	<p>I do not support the proposed parking changes along Selwyn Avenue because it is largely unnecessary and a waste of ratepayer's money. I use the library several times a week and have done so since it opened at the Selwyn Street location. Once freedom camping became a popular activity Selwyn Street parking area is often overflowing with campervans, many of which extend out into the street due to their excessive size. Old vans, cars and station wagons park in the area for overnight accommodation because they have a blue self-contained sticker. They sue the parking area because occupants can access free wifi from the library. The activity occurs from around October through April. The freedom camping bylaw review should assist in relieving part of the overall parking problem.</p> <p>There are several businesses nearby that abuse the privilege of parking in proximity to their establishments. One business sometimes has three staff vehicles in place all day. The matter could easily be resolved if a diplomatic approach were to be taken on the part of the Council by speaking directly to the individuals involved. Instead the Council has taken a regulatory approach and if successful will impose a one hour parking restriction 12 months out of the year. In my view this is heavy handed and will impact on the good will of local residents. I do not support the proposed parking restriction nor do I support removing one parking space and replacing it with two spaces for motorcycles. I have never seen a motorcycle parked opposite the library, ever. The parking space is limited due to an existing tree but it can easily accommodate a compact car so I see no point in eliminating a much needed car parking space. If necessary designate it as a parking only for a compact car.</p> <p>Selwyn Street parking can become congested when the school has sporting or special events but the school also provides a long term benefit for the local community.</p> <p>I have to also express concern because backing out of parking spaces, across two lanes of Selwyn Avenue, can be dangerous given the fact that school children frequent the area to access the library, the swimming pool in warm months, gym and dentist. I have seen cars backing out and hitting parked vehicles outside the dentist office because there isn't really enough space to safely do so. Summer months can be a nightmare in this regard.</p> <p>Sadly Akaroa is becoming more like Christchurch. Various roading improvements have been imposed on the town because it is Council policy. Akaroa is nationally recognized for its heritage features and international visitors are attracted to the town because of its unique charm and spectacular natural setting. Akaroa has an historic area that was registered by Heritage New Zealand in 1999.</p> <p>Peninsula ratepayers were assured that the Council would not take a one size fits all approach in terms of administering Akaroa yet this is exactly what has been happening in recent years.</p> <p>If the Council does not show due regard and consideration towards Akaroa the town will start to look like just another suburb of Christchurch. This would eventually have a negative impact with regard to attracting visitors and their tourism dollars to the town.</p>	Victoria Andrews	
18430	do not support the plan	<p>Parking along Selwyn Avenue is a problem due to the number of camper vans, some are very large, and old vans accessing free wifi for 6 months of the year. If freedom camping is banned in Akaroa it will help to resolve the problem. The area gets busy when the school hosts events and special activities. I have never seen a motorcycle park opposite the library so why are you taking a parking place away and making it exclusive for motorcycles? This doesn't make any sense. Two business currently park in that area where you want to impose a one hour limit. Why don't you simply talk to the businesses and ask them to park further along Selwyn Avenue? Restricting parking to one hour for 12 months out of the year is absurd when the problem only happens for 5-6 months. Backing out onto Selwyn Avenue should be looked at especially given the fact that school children use the gym, dentist and library. What are you trying to do? Turn Akaroa into Christchurch? Please reconsider, there are other options, just talk to business owners in the direct area instead of imposing a one hour parking limit. The next thing the Council will impose in Akaroa are parking metres and traffic lights! Who is going to monitor parking in Akaroa, how much will it cost and who is going to pay? Us ratepayers. Spend the money where it is really needed instead.</p>	Angus Davis	
18329	support the plan		Annette Brockerhoff	
18315	do not support the plan	<p>It seems that the proposed new Freedom Camping Bylaw will negate the need for timed parking opposite the library, as it was the campervans staying there for several days that prompted complaints. However, if it is deemed necessary to limit parking there anyway, the time limit should be P120, not P60. Students, families with young</p>	Diana Sronach	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
		children and visitors often spend longer than an hour in the library, especially on wet days. Also, the cinema seems to have been forgotten in all this and naturally no movies are only 60 mins long. This parking is for everyone, but is mostly used by library users and movie goers.		
18314	have some concerns	see attached document	Annette Williams	Christchurch City Libraries - Lyttelton and Akaroa Library
18293	have some concerns	I would like to see the parking spaces to be P120 so that people can actually park there when they go to the cinema. It should not be time restricted between 7pm - 7am.	Anouk Minnaar	
18284	do not support the plan	Completely nutty. This needs to be P120, otherwise how can you attend a movie in the adjacent theatre?	Harry Stronach	
18260	have some concerns	I believe for safety and access reasons the motorbike park should be in position 1 closest to rue Jollie. Firstly there is only one side for other vehicles navigating next to any parked bikes thereby reducing the potential of a collision with bikes and secondly there is very good vision for riders to go into or particularly when vacating the parking area which again I believe will reduce the chance of an accident.	Dave Edwards	
18258	do not support the plan	I totally agree about the motor cycle space and the disability signage. I recommend that a second disability space be provided. I oppose the 60 minute parking proposal. There is not a real problem here. I live in Selwyn Ave and go past, and use the parks, frequently. The only times I have ever seen all the parks being used is when there is an event on at the school and those usually last longer than an hour. One of the main users of the parks in question is the movie theatre and, again, one hour is insufficient. There are two more significant problems that should be addressed. Buses often park here for long periods and can take up to 9 parking spaces. If the park in the other side they take up fewer spaces but their width can be a problem. In addition longer vehicles, particularly larger camper vans using the parallel parks often overhang the marked spaces, sometimes by a metre or more. Again this can cause a squeeze point problem. A related safety issue is the lack of enforcement of vehicles parking in the yellow lined no parking zone outside the library. These are often service or delivery vehicles and can be quite dangerous. While I am writing can I suggest that signage be put up reminding vehicles must park on the correct side of the road. Also some clear signage just before the fire station about the roads around the waterfront could reduce visitor confusion.	Kevin McSweeney	
18160	have some concerns	i do not support the 60 min parking on Selwyn Avenue Akaroa. If you want to attend the cinema you would need at least 2 hours of parking, 60 minutes just isn't long enough. The town already had limited car parking so to limit to 1 hour isn't fair. Maybe look at longer parking 180min would be fair.	Laurice Bradford	
18118	do not support the plan	As locals we use the movie theatre a lot . This theatre provides an excellent service all year and we as senior citizens like access to this facility. Movies run from 1.5 - 2 hours.	Margaret marie Rhodes	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18116	support the plan		Matthew Jameson	
18101	have some concerns	The time restriction of 60min is too short! It should be 2 hours.	Doreen Machnick	
18092	support the plan		Robyn Dimmock	
18082	have some concerns	Being opposite the cinema, these are also parks for cinema goers. A film takes longer than 60 minutes	dale thomas	
18071	have some concerns	I often park in the proposed 60 minute parking when attending the movie theatre. Movies are longer than 60 minutes.	Sue Manson	