Submission ID	Name	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
4094	Janvier & Sue Marchand	generally support the plan	Thank you for keeping everyone informed with regards to the proposed storage ponds on Pages Road.  Sue and I can see the great benefit this will be, both practically and environmentally, and agree that this will be a real upgrade which will benefit the people in the local area.
2999	Jeffrey Hale	generally support the plan	
2484	Kathryn Bates	generally support the plan	I support the plan, but I would like to see more of these along the Otakaro. Can there be at least some reference to the design being considered for a broader implementation (either discretly or contiguously) in the catchment – and potentially in river red zoned areas in the future – subject to CCC land acquisition?
2432	Brian and Grace McNaughton	have some concerns	No consultation when new pumphouse built. There was a path from Anzac Drive over a wooden bridge leading into Farnborough reserve, existing landscape has taken away pathway and bridge. Lots of people used this to New Brighton to walk through. Smell, green sludge, black midges, sandflies and mozies.  Who is going to maintain this area and look after on a regular basis?  Why does it have to be an open drain and not covered.
2428	Brenda O'Donoghue	have some concerns	The path indicated on the plan, that runs alongside ANZAC Drive, is a shared path and not a footpath. Cyclists are not supposed to cycle along ANZAC Drive, hence the importance of the shared path. The design of the path should ensure it is done as a shared path, and connect with the ANZAC Drive - Pages Road intersection.  The path indicated on the plan, that runs alongside Pages Road, is a footpath. However, it would be good to see this changed to a shared path. This would means cyclists could shift from the cycle lane on Pages Road, to the shared path to connect with the shared path on ANZAC Drive, doing so further back from the ANZAC Drive - Pages Road intersection.  Species of trees (with their projected growth) should not inhibit the safe movements of pedestrians and cyclists along the shared path.  Include directional and warning tactiles at the necessary and correct locations.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2372	Evan Smith	generally support the plan	On behalf of Avon-Otakaro Network we wholeheartedly support this plan, particularly the use of retention ponds and native planting to intercept stormwater and improve its quality before discharge into the river
2272	Damian Boyd	do not support the plan	There are other options available to council which are just as viable but does not involve dividing a community and evicting people and familys from their homes such as the redzone or Bexley Reserve. All of the residents concerned have been there since the earthquakes and feel like council are breaking up our little community where there is other avenues to explore.
2266	Glen Koorey	have some concerns	This proposal looks nice but seems to fail to acknowledge the presence of the existing shared pathway along Anzac Drive, e.g. there is no connection shown to the crossing at Pages Rd. I also worry when it is referred to as a footpath that usually implies something that is 1.5-2.0m wide. The existing shared path is already under-width compared with current standards, and this project would present an opportunity to widen this section to 3.0m.
2195	Shaun Stewart	generally support the plan	This is the best and ideal plan for this area and will help with the food zone area  I'm happy to sell my section for this to happen and to protect the area  This a good idea for this area as it with help with the flood zone. I will so happy to see this go ahead this will protect and embrace the area.
			Will be sad to sell the land we have but this the best and ideal to fix the area

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2185	Bronwyn Larsen	generally support the plan	The CDHB commends the council initiative which seeks to restore the flooding risk in Christchurch to 'pre- earthquake levels'. This project will provide storage upstream of the new pump station, reduce floor risk to properties in the adjacent area and is also intended to improve water quality prior to discharge into the Avon River by reducing sediment and contaminants in the water.
			It is noted that the scheme will not only reduce the exposure of the community to natural hazards, but will also 'provide shading, support wildlife and reflect and enhance the surrounding environment'. The CDHB recognises the potential positive contribution to health outcomes that this project creates by reducing flooding risk and increasing local amenity value.
			The CDHB recommends that the principles of Universal Design be incorporated into the plan to ensure accessibility for people of all ages and abilities, especially in relation to access at the viewing platform. Provision of seating at the viewing platform would also ensure those with mobility difficulties can enjoy this area.
			The CDHB also recommends that a CPTED audit is completed to ensure adequate lighting and other measures are in place to ensure the safety of those using the area.
1862	Joanna Carr	generally support the	It is a resource that is definitely needed.
		plan	Land owners must be offered a reasonable price for their land, no more battles please.
			Work should be taken out with the least disruption to residents in the area.
1848	Jill Reesby	have some concerns	Has the red zone area over the road between Anzac Drive and Bexley road been looked at for this project, so as to lessen the impact of existing homeowners, (whom have already had the stress of Earthquake, EQC, insurance etc) or was this option not financially or geotechnically feasible.
1825	Helen Sandra Harris	have some concerns	Generally we are in agreement but have concerns on noise prevention as we need a bund along there to curb the noise from the existing Anzac Drive motorway. This bund needs to be high as the noise is bad. Also worried about Midges and Mosquitoes

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1824	Trish Barnes	do not support the plan	Enough is enough. I am sick of being low lying and you bringing in yet more water!! Bad move!! (I'm so sick of all of this)  I'm in a rebuild and the Council built me low!! (Shocking)
1804	Melanie Glass	generally support the plan	I totally support this The proposed ponds etc will be lovely to look at and for us personally will bring our house value up. Just wondering if a natural playground could be included?
1710	Richard Harris	generally support the plan	