

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2157	Justine Ottey			<p>My concerns about proposed changes at Sparks and Halswell Rd intersection.</p> <p>1. Footpath right angle bend could be addressed by moving path between trees and old library building. Creating a "scooter friendly" curved path. If tree roots are an issue a raised boardwalk path could be considered. The removal of the established trees should be a last option.</p> <p>2a, This is an incredibly dangerous intersection due to the angles of the feeder rds. Has consideration been given to a project to enhance road safety making use of the 2 vacant sites on north east and north west corners? This would address vehicle, cycle and pedestrian safety. It would be a shame to see the site being revisited twice.</p> <p>2b, With increased subdivision development in this area including the Redmond Spur development there will be greater traffic flows. Has this been considered by roading engineers in the town plan?</p> <p>3, Great to hear that school travel safety is being addressed but it would be interesting to know how many students or pedestrians use this part of the intersection given that the footpath disappears a few hundred metres further along Sparks rd.</p> <p>4, Removal of the short term road side carparks (outside library building) in my opinion gives less options for motorists and cyclists on a narrow part of the rd. It would also severely impact on the local businesses w limited parking already in that area.</p>
2156	Don Babe	support the plan	Spokes Canterbury Chairperson	<p>Spokes Canterbury supports this project which offers improved safety for pedestrians and children cycling on the footpath to school. The consultation leaflet indicates that the existing on road painted cycle lanes will remain. Spokes support is conditional on this remaining part of the plan.</p> <p>If at all possible a 4m wide shared path as called for in the Cycle Design Guidelines should be provided. Planning for widening of the on road cycle lanes at a future date and as usage requires it should be included as part of the project.</p>
2106	Grant McFall	do not support the plan		<p>While preparing the footpath is welcome there is insufficient foot traffic for the council to be wasting ratepayers' valuable funds on this work (removing car park). There is no logic in removing valuable car parking as it effects the businesses across the road and there is no need to upgrade the pedestrian crossing either. Another money wasting scheme by Council.</p>
2104	Caroline Dipose	support the plan		<p>We support these changes for a couple of reasons.</p> <p>1. The footpath is very Narrow & damaged by roots. This makes it hard for the kids on scooters/bikes & Prams</p> <p>2. People have been parking here and crossing the road. This is often not done safely.</p>
2103	Roger Norton	do not support the plan		<p>We believe the plan caters well for pedestrians but not for parking. Shops on the opposite side of sparks road from the mooted walkway will be neutralized further with limited parking. Particularly once the library and Parking behind it becomes restricted and at a time when traffic is increasing. A one sided! Proposal!</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
2102	Lois Norton	do not support the plan		<p>Deleting car parking particularly for the shops immediately opposite the current shops i.e. butchery hardware, souvlaki fish n chip will cut their current trade substantially.</p> <p>The trees whilst still in good condition are the cause of the problem i.e. the roots.</p> <p>Remove the trees & redesign with small trees so that both needs can be accommodated</p> <p>Halswell butchery & hammer hardware are an integral part of the area. Less parking could very well be a catalyst to their demise unless further parking is provided, as I don't think patrons appreciate having to drive halfway around Halswell just to exit from the existing parking area around the corner. Shoppers still like a quick entrance and exit to be available.</p>
1941	John Clapp	do not support the plan		<p>We do not support the removal of the P30 parking on the North side of Sparks Road. In fact we need more parking on Sparks Road.</p> <p>The removal of the P30 parking will necessitate a U-turn for anyone wanting to use the shopping area, traveling in an easterly direction. This will be a dangerous manoeuvre given the proximity to the intersection. Then, if needing to park in Kennedy's Bush road there is no way of exiting back on to Sparks Road and will require a detour of approx. 3kms. This involves driving past the school, and also to drive through the same Sparks Road/Halswell Road intersection a second time. Won't this therefore increase the traffic flow and thereby pose a greater risk to children and other pedestrians?</p> <p>The P30 is used frequently, particularly for customers of the butchery and fish & chip shops.</p> <p>Please, can the proposal include some form of parking on the North side of Sparks Road?</p> <p>Thanks for taking the time to consider this submission.</p> <p>John and Katie Clapp</p>
1915	L A Boyce			Please keep the car parks
1914	Jo Abel	do not support the plan		<p>Parking is already an issue for the shops, creates U-turn traffic problems.</p> <p>Footpath work fine BUT DO NOT TAKE THE PARKS!</p>
1905	Donald Ross ALLEN	have some concerns		<p>Generally I do support the plan but I do have concerns on the removal of the car parks outside the old library on Sparks Rd .A lot of people use these parks to go over to the butcher shop, hardware shop in particular, and all the other shops in the centre with probably a loss in trade .A suggestion is that a curved path be established between the trees and the library building even if it is raised like the foot path in Lincoln Rd between Twigger St /Parade Close. This would look nice and would stop further damage to the tree roots and the footpath.</p> <p>I would also like to see that the work is extended to the other side of Halswell Rd outside 448 Halswell Rd where the foot path is sunken and damaged and a pedestrian crossing is put there out to the island as well. I think a pedestrian could also be put in the other corner on Halswell Junction Rd as well and then the entire intersection would be all be a lot safer.</p>
1902	Sally Collins	have some concerns		The car parks are essential for people going in that direction to stop and shop at the businesses on the corner of sparks road and Kennedys Bush Road. Support owner operator small businesses. This has to stop... this area employs and is successful while drivers have the opportunity to swing into those parks.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1897	Kylie Bettridge	do not support the plan		There is not enough parking in this area as it is and it will only get worse especially when the library site is repurposed. Lack of parking will only make matters in this area worse.
1892	Barry Perkins	do not support the plan	Halswell Hammer Hardware	<p>By taking away the three car parks it would GREATLY affect the businesses of the shops opposite especially as most people who park there come to the shops.</p> <p>I have taken special notice the last three weeks re school children using the footpath in the morning to go to school. At the most ten children use the path.</p> <p>Suggestion - build a board walk between the trees & library</p>
1891	Saeed Anshasi	do not support the plan		Removing the three parking bays in Sparks Rd will stop passing traffic stopping which will affect business at all shops on the corner of Halswell/Sparks Kennedys Bush Rd. Also I have noticed hardly any foot traffic outside the Library since it has shifted
1872	Tony Stewart	have some concerns		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Love the raised zebra crossing * Turning arrows for eastbound traffic onto Sparks Road * However removing the parking bay will cause serious concerns. The idea is good go avoid tree roots, but it will be inevitable that many cars will now turn around to park on the shop side. <p>This whole intersection and layout is a mess with many cars already performing illegal U-turns to reach the butcher shop, and many cars exiting illegally from Kennedys Bust road onto Sparks (despite 1 way!)</p> <p>The consequence of no layby for parking on the north side needs to be addressed. Either extend the median island, or reintroduce roadside parking of some form.</p> <p>The butcher shop especially is very popular, and most users are in and out. Subsequently everyone thinks they'll just "pop round" the median, as they'll only be a minute! If you sit and watch for any period of time, the existing layout is a circus, without adding to it.</p>
1854	Michelle Ludecke	do not support the plan		I regularly use this parking space to walk across to the Butcher, Hammer Hardware and Fish & Chip shop. We live at 17 Blowers Place and I often travel on Halswell Road and turn left on my way home from work. It's easy and convenient and I hope it doesn't disappear. Could a board walk through the trees on the current grass be a solution to the proposed walkway? Thank you

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1850	Brad Williams	have some concerns	10 people Halswell Butchery Owner	<p>Hi my name is Brad Williams with along with my wife Moana we have owned Halswell Butchery for the past 10years. We do agree there is a need to improve the intersection of the lights of Halswell Road and Sparks Road but parking is essential for the survival of the adjacent businesses. CCC have very strict parking enforcement of the library parking. Removal of East bound parking will have significant effect on available parking for customers of adjacent businesses already affected by significant reduction of available parking in the area and consistent disruption of the flow of traffic as we still have a large number of cars doing U turns at the Island and this is only going to increase and cause a serious accident with no parking. The use of only 4 parks for 5 shops is only going to drive business' one way and that is not the right way</p> <p>The parking is in high demand between the hours of 2 and 6 pm during week days and directing more traffic down Kennedy Bush road at School time is not an option as there is already traffic issues with school pick up time now.</p> <p>Removal of these parks will only show that CCC has failed to identify the needs of key local business in there transport planning and have the thought about putting the local business before the Trees? I'm sure there could be other options on where the path could go, like behind the trees. We employ 10 staff in our shop and we are lucky we have the use of the old Library car park for them to use but that will change in the near future so that more vehicles taking up car parking around Sparks and Halswell Road. As we know if people struggle to find a park they will just drive on by and retail is pretty tough out there so one more reason not to stop is not going to help. Thanks</p>
1813	Amanda Evans	do not support the plan		
1799	Barry Cole	do not support the plan		By all means, repair the footpath. The footpath should not be widened at the expense of increasingly scarce car parks. I would favour the removal of the offending trees to the loss of car parks. I use the car parks and shop at the adjacent businesses once a week.
1776	Tom MacDonald	do not support the plan		The proposed changes have negative effects for the local business of the Sparks road. The existing parks are a small number and removal of any parking would inconvenience customers who visit the shops opposite. In the age of convenience, ease of access (parking and walking) is a big factor in deciding where to shop. There are two reasons this proposal should not continue. Firstly, this is likely to damage to the local businesses which have all occupied that block for over 10 years. Secondly, It is my belief, and the belief of other community members, that this proposal does not reflect the wishes of the immediate Halswell community.
1764	Diane Rolton	do not support the plan		As a long time Halswell resident and someone who walks a lot as well as drives. Firstly I started complaining long ago in 2014 about the crossings at this intersection and asked for the red arrow and I did contact the school. The safety of the children is important but for goodness sakes we older people and many others use it. You should say that it is for the safety of those using the crossing. Please do not take away the two car parks opposite the shops this is where people pull over to use the shops opposite. And I know of several friend who live out of Halswell who are heading towards Town always stop there. We rely on them being there. And they rely on passing trade. From this direction a U turn around to the shops is forbidden.
1757	Courtney Cutler	do not support the plan		I use this parking space regularly for the Halswell butcher, hammer hardware and local fish and chip shop. I think the raised Zebra crossing is a good idea for children and pedestrians to safely cross to school etc so that can get to the lights. A board walk through the current trees would be a better solution, raised above the tree roots and keep the car park in place to support local business nearby.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1747	Chris Manning	have some concerns		<p>Excellent idea</p> <p>Many school children attempt to cross here.</p> <p>Addition of a speed hump (?)</p> <p>Cars generally don't slow much</p> <p>Speed hump 5-10 metres back (?) from crossing</p> <p>Otherwise, go for it!</p>
1746	William & Margaret Dongel			
1740	Paul Gains	support the plan		
1725	Penny de Jong	have some concerns		My concern is the removal of parking bays outside the old library. There is already a shortage of parking for the shops on Sparks Road, and removal of these parking bays will increase the pressure on existing spaces.
1721	Paula Coll	do not support the plan		This will have a negative impact on the shops opposite. Halswell butchery is amazing and would be terrible to lose a local favourite due to parking issues...
1719	Carole Williams	do not support the plan		Parking is so limited around this area, our community cannot afford to lose any of these businesses. I have not seen in writing how many children use this path. In Westlake there are wooden footbridges in places that seem to work fine, is there any reasons something similar would work and keep everyone safe and family businesses successful.
1717	Val Rollinson	do not support the plan		I do not agree with the complete plan, however I agree that something needs to be done to prevent the footpath being a hazard with the tree roots. I DO NOT agree with the removal of the 30min. parking. This allows customers wishing to go into the stores on the opposite side of the road time to be able to pop into (in particular) the Butcher/Hardware stores and out again. It is not somewhere you would spend a day parked. These stores are doing a service to the community and to remove this parking bay would be a disaster. I would ask the council to take a good look at all the parking around the city and suburbs that is being removed. What are people supposed to do - walk/run/bike to these stores, I don't think so. There are a lot of elderly residents who frequent both these particular stores also folk who come to the butcher shop in particular from across the other side of town, i.e. Brighton, Sumner etc. (I know this for a fact). By putting the footpath further out - isn't that only going to be more dangerous with traffic coming around that corner not adhering to the speed limit and children on the very edge of the path. This would be far worse than leaving the 30min. parking as it is. You say to widen the footpath so as to tie in with the path further east is ridiculous - there are no shops along there therefore that is not necessary. By all means repair the footpath or even remove the trees (they are not natives). I am sorry, but this plan is unacceptable.
1715	Lynette Anderson	do not support the plan		As I am a regular customer of Halswell butchery and use car parks on both sides of the Sparks Road as I'm driving to the city or most of the time on my way home I use the parks in front of shop regularly. I would hate to see these handy parks to pop in and out of taken away please leave parking the way it is.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1714	Nicola Bell	have some concerns		A great number of people use the services of the Halswell Butcher and other shops. What consideration has been given to the loss of car parking near these services? These local businesses are potentially facing a great loss of trade.
1713	Debbie Baugh	do not support the plan		I understand the need for safety for children using the footpath, but this parking also allows drop off for parents dropping off children to walk to school over the crossing safely. This parking is effective to allow you to just call into these shops. More parking is needed around here.
1699	Bex Gibbs	do not support the plan		
1690	Arnold Dawson	do not support the plan		<p>Leave the parking alone. Just because you have paid and guaranteed parking doesn't mean to say you can take parking off others. These parks are used constantly and we can't park in the old library car park because you will get lost in the pot holes!</p> <p>I do agree with renewing the footpath as it is in bad need of repair</p>
1686	Diane Park	have some concerns		I agree with the idea but the loss of parking outside the shops is a problem. There is not enough parking as it is and businesses will lose customers because of lack of parking. Instead of taking parking away why not use the old library land?
1683	Carina Duke		Blind Foundation Practice Advisor	<p>Please also refer to the attachment with comments.</p> <p>Is the path shared beyond the directional tgsi on the Northern section?</p> <p>What are the kerb treatments as indicated on the plan - are cyclists entering the shared footpath from the road - if yes what will be there for pedestrians to detect before entering the roadway?</p> <p>What is kerb treatment in Sparks Road so pedestrians do not veer into the roadway?</p> <p>Recommend warning tgsi in the island and approaches that allow room without walking past/through are set back 450 mm from the road edge as heavy vehicles go through this route.</p> <p>Recommend different layout on the SE corner as the layout shown has no distinct leading edge on the left.</p> <p>Recommend that there is consistency in layout and expectations for users and a raised zebra crossing is installed on the slip lane from Halswell Junction Road into Halswell Road. Also to add in tgsi as required on the Western side.</p> <p>Recommend to add in raised section to the refuge island as shown of the map to assist with locating the two crossing positions. If the North South crossing was indented in to Sparks Road this would improve the installation of a raised section.</p> <p>What requirements will be placed on cyclists to give way to pedestrians on the shared section of path? Painting lines on the ground does not work for those who are blind or have low vision and will generally walk on the building line or central footpaths. If there is no kerbing those who are familiar with the layout will walk on the building line side to avoid stepping into the road.</p>
1682	Ginny Lovrich	do not support the plan		I support safety and the inclusion of a raised crossing however the removal of the 30 min car parking is ludicrous. East bound traffic have no parking options & removal of parking on this side will cause u turns and even more danger in addition to impacting local business. I frequently drive this direction and use this parking. 30 minutes is also ideal as it restricts long term parking and allows genuine shoppers to frequent the local business. As it is- the left turn only into Kennedys Bush is restrictive to business and difficult to access causing a significant detour to those living on the eastern side of these shops. These local businesses are widely supportive of the community giving to schools, sports teams and the wider community. The council should consider the efficiency of a blend of accessible parking options including time limited parking zones - including at the local library for drop off of books. It encourages engagement with local businesses. This set of shops have been established for many years and are a vital link to our community. Please do the right thing and maintain parking if not provide more along the left hand side going East. Local business is our community. Do not destroy it.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1681	Sera Dowie	have some concerns		Please go ahead with making safer for children but please find another way of doing this without removing the 30min parks. I'm sure this is possible and that both children and (customers with cars) can be accommodated in this space.
1679	Tracey Watson	have some concerns		We need parking to support the local businesses, please don't drive small businesses out of our area. They are part of our community.
1673	Billie Lynch	have some concerns		I don't feel it is necessary to get rid of more parks, there is already not enough parks there and local businesses will suffer.
1671	Amber Leathem	do not support the plan		Where are we going to park why are you doing this it's been there for years and hasn't been a problem to now and you wasting more money
1670	James Graham	have some concerns		Support the intention, but wish there was some easier parking access from either Halswell, Halswell Junction or Sparks road for the shops in that area. Losing those parts right there will be a bit of a pain. I'll cope, would imagine some older members of the community will struggle.
1668	Malanie Shaw	do not support the plan		I think the 30minute parking needs to remain on the northern side of sparks Rd. The block of shops is extremely busy and even as it is it can be difficult to obtain a car park when wanting to pop in to one of the shops. I often stop there on the way towards town to pick up something from the butchers and if the parking was removed then it would make it difficult to stop and the shops will lose a lot of business. Thanks
1667	Kate Moore	have some concerns		I Support the idea of making it safer for Halswell School children and love the idea of the zebra pedestrian crossing, and right arrow from Halswell to sparks Rd. I think we need a right arrow from sparks to Halswell roads too as I have been caught out not seeing children there. I am concerned about removing the parking bay, there is a lack of parking for the shops already, I am blocked from entering/exiting my drive way regularly, if that bay is removed it will be a lot worse, we need more car parking for those shops, not less
1666	Roshaan Bentley	do not support the plan		My concern lies in the removal of the adjacent parking bays, this is a difficult place to park to access these businesses as it is. There seems to be no other options, I fear removal of these parks will be overwhelmingly detrimental to the businesses trying to conduct their day to day running's here.
1665	Barbara Martindale			There is very little parking available for the shops, so taking these three away will be detrimental to these businesses. Because exit from Kennedys Bush Road is forbidden the parks on Sparks are very important. And while we stress talking about this area, how about sorting out the water leaking problem by the souvlaki shop and Steviez?
1664	Andrew Wallace	do not support the plan		There is nothing wrong with the existing footpath. The carparks I use regularly, they are needed for parking to access the shops across Sparks Road. The old Library could be gone in the future, there is no guarantee it will always be available. Please do not waste my rates on any changes to the foot rather or parking.
1663	Paul May	do not support the plan		Local businesses need nearby free parking or the public go to malls which provide free parking. Although the improvements seem good they should only occur if alternative parking is provide conveniently nearby.
1662	Nick Walls	do not support the plan		Public parking is at a premium already in this part of Halswell. The removal of these carparks will be a great loss for residents as well as having significant impact on vital local businesses. Once again it seems that motorists take a major hit in this city.
1660	Debbie Apes	do not support the plan		The road is already closed off to Kennedys Bush, so now you can't park when getting takeaways, I wouldn't stop if I couldn't get a park, so the business are going to miss out
1657	Karen Taylor	have some concerns		I am concerned about parking for the stores in the area. There are a very limited number of spots to park on Sparks Rd and if the car park at the old library disappears then losing the short term parking on Sparks will be a major impact for the shops at the corner.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1655	Diane Gaudreault	do not support the plan		
1654	Ellen Cook	have some concerns		It can be difficult enough to park outside the shops in the proposed area. If it were more difficult, as it would be if the 30 minute parks were removed, I would be less inclined to shop there. This could be detrimental to the business but also reduces the community feel of the area. Less community interaction is likely to increase crime and reduce overall wellbeing.
1653	Lisa Ross	have some concerns		I support the effort of fixing up the footpaths but taking away carparks is ridiculous!! My question is.... Do you still want local business to do well?? If we are driving home and cannot park anywhere to access these shops what will happen to these shops they will not survive.... And with the growing size of Halswell we need these shops/business... I say cut/remove the trees and plant new ones!
1652	Helen Holland	do not support the plan		Do not remove the 30 min parking bay most of the time it is the only parking
1647	Megan Douglas	have some concerns		Yes I like that plan but the removal of the 30minute car parking would make it difficult for people to find parking to support the local shops. I frequently shop at those shops and the parking is bad at times as it is. Footpath does need repaired as public safety is compromised in the current state.
1646	Paul Kearns			We need to keep the short term parking and think no upgrades should be done until the final decision on what is going to happen with the old library building. I am all for safety, but believe removing parking could induce a hazard.
1645	Sheryl Thomas	have some concerns		Disagree with 30 min parking disappearing as we Shop regularly at the Butcher shop, Cafe and takeaway bars and struggle sometimes for parking now so would be worse if this parking disappears as I am sure we will loss the old Library for parking.
1644	Kristine Wallace	do not support the plan		Fix the footpaths but don't take away the car parks. I and many Halswell residents use the car parks across from the shops outside the old library all the time. Sparks road is a road used frequently to get to town so I stop and get my goods on my way because I usually come home a different way and don't go past them again. There will not be enough parking if they are taken away. Am sure there is a way around it.
1643	Rana Ransley	do not support the plan	Harcourts Four Seasons Owner & Manager of the Halswell Office	Hello I am writing with my thoughts on the latest proposal for change to the footpath at the intersection of Sparks & Halswell Roads. I am one of the business owners of Harcourts Four Seasons & currently manage the Halswell office. Having lived in Halswell all my life (hence shop local) & owning my franchise for many years I am perplexed as to why parking is to be removed. I understand child safety & was actively on Road patrol at Halswell school when my boys were there however I think you need to be looking at the opposite side of the road at the walkway from Halswell Junction to Halswell Road. Car parking is scarce already. We have a carpark but do have a few clients park briefly in the pull in area to come & pick up a bluebook. What will happen to the businesses without a carpark across the road? I look forward to your reply Best regards
1642	Taunya Kearns	have some concerns		There is very little parking left on that corner for the local shops and the elderly patrons of that centre would have a walk to get there. The butcher and hardware are the only independents of their kind in the area and are therefore very busy! You can't keep taking parking away from people without giving other alternatives especially around local independent shopping venues. It's ok for supermarkets to have large parking facilities but I love my little locally owned shops and would hate to see them go under because no one can park in the area.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1641	Cindy Dawson	have some concerns		Concerned about removing the 30minute parking outside the library and opposite the shops. This is the only parking on this side of the road and the easiest to use. I frequent the shops, mainly the butcher, and it will take away being able to pop over into a drawn out mission to find parking!
1640	Marja Blom	support the plan		Anything to increase the safety of pedestrians especially for children!
1639	Sharon Stevenson	do not support the plan		Removing these parks will make access to the shops opposite much more difficult. If some parks were allocated specifically for the shops in the old library park and signposted as such then it would mitigate this problem. Shops are very supported locally and removal of this parks will create more congestion and difficulty. Particularly for the older people who use the Halswell Butchery on a weekly basis.
1638	Petra Dellaca	do not support the plan		The parking is required for the shops on the opposite side of the road. Especially people heading out of Halswell. It will encourage people to make dangerous U turns. Terrible idea!
1637	Melanie Ellen	do not support the plan		It is great being able to park right outside the butchers and run in!
1636	Amanda Darrell	have some concerns		I support the plan to make the footpath safer but taking away the car parks is just not a good idea. Those are popular stores and are already difficult enough to access at the moment.
1635	Tony Russell	do not support the plan		Taking out this parking would stop me shopping at the across the road shop for the following reason. Driving over the lights from Halswell Junction Road it is not easy to pull into safe parking. To me they are far more ways of making this work for everyone with ideas like having a slightly raised wooden path that would allow the roots to not be harmed. But the way the roots are heading it will not be long before they are affecting the road itself. So another way would be to drop these trees and create a better green area with trees that are fitting for the area and set out in a way that in the future this would be a better fit. Moving forward I would hope the people that live in this area and use these shops everyday would have a bigger say than someone living outside the area.
1634	Michelle Cust	do not support the plan		Please don't remove the 30min parking opposite shops, it is hard enough to get parking in that area to go to butchers or hardware.
1633	Ross and Myrtle Shaw	do not support the plan		We cannot believe that any consideration is being made to remove the existing car parks outside the old Halswell library on Sparks Road. Those car parks are necessary for people to be able to park their cars and go to the shops across Sparks Road. If these parks are removed there is nowhere else to park unless of course the old library car parks are going to be made available. There are only four parks outside the actual shops and three across the road, seven in total. We use the parks on either side of the road depending in which direction we are going from our home. These are short term parks and people use them only to access the shops. The removal of these parks would be detrimental to the local businesses involved. On occasion we have parked around the corner on Kennedys Bush Road but this is most inconvenient, because of the one way direction of the road. We then have to travel considerable distance when using this route to get back to our home. We park in one of these seven parks at least once or twice a week as do many other people. As for enhancing the footpaths, we would suggest that the money would be better spent extending the footpath and cycle way from the existing footpath all the way along Sparks Road to Milns Road. This would enable the large numbers of walkers who circuit the Milns / Sparks / Halswell Road block to walk safely on a path rather than dicing with the traffic, mud and wet, long grass.
1632	Matt Oborn	have some concerns		Fix the footpath and add a zebra crossing, but do not removing the car parks. We need to support those small local businesses across the road. Alternatively open Kennedy bush road so you can exit from the car parks at the vet back into sparks rd.

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1631	Sarah Wylie	support the plan		
1630	Rebecca Calwell	have some concerns		I agree with improving the footpath but disagree with the removal of parking. The popular local businesses need space for customers to park and removal of parking options will be detrimental to these businesses.
1629	Lisa Ward	have some concerns		I don't want to see the 30min car park bay alongside the Halswell Library to go. All those shops opposite require this to keep the flow of traffic going. I visit Halswell Butchery every week night & I rely on this 30min parking bay to stop for my quick visit.
1628	Monique McCaffrey	do not support the plan		Shops in the area rely on those parks for custom.
1627	Kate Macpherson	do not support the plan		You need to increase the parking in that area not get rid of it. You cannot park in Kennedys bush Rd as it is one way and requires a huge detour to get back to Sparks Road
1626	Michelle Vann	do not support the plan		We need to keep the parking as it's not safe for those using the services as for the likes of the Butchery if taken away. You will have to walk further (with goods in hand) if those car parks are taken away. If you make it hard to stop there, people won't, therefore the businesses will lose business. Also you have a lot of elderly and young children going to these shops which will be more unsafe for them if you take the parking away.
1625	Gail Williams	support the plan		
1620	Kathleen Smith	support the plan		
1619	Julie Turner	support the plan		10/5/17 - I have just walked out my back entrance onto Sparks Road. I walked the area concerned, crossed over into Halswell Road, both going and returning from my walk. I am in my 70's now, and feel the proposed widening of the foot path, would definitely be to my benefit. Although both times I passed the parking area, that we would use, I noticed that the park were all in use. So yes, for I support the plan, but what can we do for those who will lose the parks?

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1618	WR & BA Nelson	do not support the plan		<p>Although I am in favour of making the pathway smoother, I don't think it needs to be wider.</p> <p>* My preference would be:</p> <p>Cut down the trees</p> <p>Dig up the pathway (and tree roots)</p> <p>Widen it a bit by taking out some grass</p> <p>Plant some easy-care shrubs (NOT flax bushes!) (Or small trees?)</p> <p>* Leave the parking bays. They are necessary</p> <p>* Don't say "oh you can park in the library parking". Have you seen the POTHOLES??</p> <p>NB: Library parking will not be available if a private firm rents the building - (nor even if a Council/Community group does.</p>
1614	Nicole Graf	do not support the plan		<p>I'm a former Halswell resident and still frequently visit the shops opposite the old library, including the Halswell Butcher and Hammer Hardware. There is very limited parking outside these shops and removal of these carparks is going to increase the number of people performing illegal U-turns to access the carparks immediately in front of the shops or around the corner on Kennedy's Bush Road (accessible only from the south side of Sparks road). It's already a congested intersection and I think this would be a very dangerous move.</p>
1612	Karen Went	have some concerns		<p>The parking for the shops is important in order to keep the businesses there. If you take away more car parks it is going to affect them. Sometimes it is hard enough already to park there. There is no parking further up Sparks Road as it is and if you park around the corner on Kennedys Bush road it's a bit of a mission to get out again with the no exit area and then trying to get back onto Halswell Road at peak times of the day.</p>
1611	Alison Kendall	do not support the plan		<p>Under no circumstances can you remove any of the on street parking around library & Halswell shops. Those parks are brilliant and literally are the only decent parking left in this city!!</p>
1610	Nellie Harnett	do not support the plan		<p>Please do not remove the parking bay outside the old Halswell Library. This gives great access to shops across the road. We need to support our local shops and the parking plays a big part in this. The only other parking is across the road and this is not enough. Parking at the old library is not guaranteed to last indefinitely.</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1609	George Anderson	do not support the plan		<p>I am staunchly pro-cycling but have pretty grave concerns for the local retailers in this location. I drive past this site most days to take our kids to preschool but don't stop often because of difficulties parking and the strange traffic flow of Kennedy's Bush Road. You need to open that road back up and let some life in surely that could be achieved with a different light configuration. Rethink the plan for this intersection overall before making it even weirder by removing short term parking. From a cyclist it's more important to me to support local business than some planner ticking a box in their cycling action plan.</p> <p>I've seen some pretty significant advocacy at Ward level for having a retailer in the Quarry Park, it's kind of astonishing that an established group of retailers are being lumped with this. Especially the independent butcher competing against the major retailer only a few hundred metres up the street with excellent traffic access.</p> <p>I am not employed by or financially or personally involved with any of the local retailers on that corner.</p>
1608	Justine Huntley	do not support the plan		<p>Don't take the parking spaces away as it's hard enough now to park to get access to the shops. Maybe library carpark is ok at moment to use but that's not going to be always open for the customers to park to go to the shops, when the library was there I parked in the library carpark and got told to move as that carpark only for library patrons not for the shops so once the library building is in use again then that carpark will be closed off for the shops So a Big No to the plans. Sure there are parks on the street between shops and vets but they are not accessible to get to unless you come round back way from Halswell school or have to come down Sparks Rd towards Halswell Rd to get into them & then it's still not easy to turn.</p>
1606	Matthew Croton	have some concerns		<p>Everything fine but don't remove car park as I can't u turn to park at the shops from my house. Would prevent me from shopping there. Unless you opened up a drive way to access other car park on the corner</p>
1602	Sinead Knoyle	have some concerns		<p>Great idea to add pedestrian crossing but am concerned about losing parking spaces for shops opposite the old library. The shops are not ones people spend much time in, they just pop in to pick something up and are therefore less likely to park further away and if insufficient parking is available will park illegally as it's "just for 2 mins". Better to have safe/legal parking built in to any improvement plan?</p> <p>Thank you!</p>
1601	Petra Baker	have some concerns		<p>I am concerned about the removal of the P30 parking bay outside the old Halswell library.</p> <p>That parking bay is utilised regularly by the Halswell community as the pop across to visit the shops on the road.</p> <p>With removal of those parking bays access to those shops which are a lifeline of our Halswell community will be restricted. There is already not enough parking there to remove those bags will be detrimental.</p>
1600	Lorna Craw	have some concerns		<p>Those few shops there really require the car parks there to keep their business. I especially love the butcher there & find it hard to get a park close a lot of times especially when I have my young children with me. Please council stop taking carparks from everywhere in the city in hope that every family will stop driving cars & start walking everywhere, it is never going to happen! Look at the situation around the hospital- it's a nightmare! Please don't do the same to the rest of the city.</p>
1599	Ben Larter	do not support the plan		<p>I do not agree with this proposal.</p> <p>There is nothing wrong with the footpath that requires the removal of parking.</p> <p>There is a cluster of businesses on this Sparks/Halswell Rd corner that would be negatively affected by the removal of this parking.</p> <p>At the moment the parking on either side of the road is extremely useful to be able to pull over while going in either direction, and quickly popping across to make purchases or visit these shops.</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1571	Kevin McCrea	support the plan		<p>Yes it's a no brainer just do it. Why do we always have to waste time and money to ask the public? If we support the plan it's maintenance and needs to be done.</p> <p>We elected the Council to improve our city surely you don't need to come back for our support. No wonder it's taken so long to fix the city since the earthquakes.</p> <p>I can understand major improvements but this is basic maintenance.</p> <p>I also think the footpath improvement should go all the way to McCartney St. There is room to do this if you take into account the shoulder</p>
1570	Mrs Ashworth	do not support the plan		
1533	Denise Erikson	have some concerns		<p>There would be no parking on the North Side of the road to access the shops. It is already very difficult to get a park in this area.</p> <p>The residents need to know that we can park in the Old Library carpark and for this to be permanent.</p> <p>It is not fair on the shop keepers trying to make a living.</p>
1530	Amanda Burke	support the plan		<p>Excellent plan. My children use this route every day and I do worry about the current narrow footpath next to heavy traffic.</p> <p>Also, the many cracks in the path are very dangerous when my children scoot.</p> <p>The proposed plans are fantastic!</p>
1529	Shona Lyford	do not support the plan		<p>Is there any reason that a roundabout would be a problem?</p> <p>We folk in the lower part of Kennedy's Bush road would like to have access to Halswell Road & Sparks Road without going via School Road.</p> <p>I am sure that this could be easily be achieved with some good planning. People are sneaking out anyway for a short cut to Halswell Junction Road!!!</p>
1516	Tessa Zant	have some concerns		<p>The raised zebras should be placed on all of the slip roads at this junction - they are all well used by school aged children getting to school, Te Hapua and the shopping area. It also seems premature to be putting in the footpath when the final use of the adjoining Council owned site, is not yet known. There could be efficiencies or opportunities that are missed.</p>
1514	Jayne Perrin	have some concerns		<p>Concerned about loss of car parking, will old library parks be available for short term not charge public parking for corner shops?</p> <p>Very happy for our children to have better controlled intersection!!</p>

Submission ID	Name	I / We	Rep #, Name of Org and role	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views
1493	Geoff Farrant	do not support the plan	Vital NZ Director	<p>The system works!!! Now. It is not necessary to remove the parking opposite the shops.</p> <p>I have never seen a pedestrian go down Sparks Road.</p> <p>To protect the tree is not a desired option. Take them out. I would like extended parking on Sparks Road rather than reduced.</p> <p>With the removal of the U turn to the shops you have already made shopping an impossible option for some. The parking on Sparks Road is usually full and by removing you fail to meet the needs of the locals.</p> <p>Duplicate submission: Taking away of these car parks is another straw in the many changes that have made up the intersection and its faults. The only site visitors can stop when coming from the West, South or North and heading towards Cashmere are these parks. They are a vital link for customers and visitors to the shopping area.</p> <p>The multitude of no stopping, no turning and no entry areas has been continually been added to without any thought to how the overall function of the intersection works. I suggest a simple raise and fix of the footpath is quite enough until a complete and satisfactory plan is devised. The tree is a temporary fixture and should be removed if this is not possible.</p>
1492	Noelene Langley	support the plan		But please could a crossing also be put on the corner of Halswell Junction Road & Halswell Road over to the lights.
1464	Sally Marks	have some concerns	Whole shopping block on Sparks Road.....20 people Halswell Hammer Hardware Worker	My concern is that we are again losing Parking.....and that as the council own the old Halswell Library Building that has been empty for quite a while now..... could it not have a more pleasing looking path done..... like a boardwalk through the trees...the roots will have no competition then and we will not lose any parking and it would have a much more garden City feel about the footpath.....
1416	Lesley Testasecca	support the plan		