Summary of Levels of Service Results: Point of Contact Surveys 2018-2019

CAUTION: pre 2015-2016 results have been provided for general information only. Trends cannot be implied due to significant question changes across all measures in 2015-2016 to reflect a more detailed customer focus component in level of service measurement.

NOTE: some pre 2018-2019 results have been adjusted to align with current LOS performance standards (footnotes below indicate which results this affects). To view unadjusted results, see previous years' results tables

Activity Group	Activity	Performance Standard	LTP Performance Standard	2018-19 LOS Target	2018-19 LOS Target Met	Satisfaction Score Trend Since Last Year	Top and Under Performing Services in 2018-19	Survey Result 2018- 19	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2018-19	Survey Result 2017- 18	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2017-18	Survey Result 2016- 17	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2016-17	Survey Result 2015- 16	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2015-16
Citizens and Communities	Citizen and Customer Services	2.6.7 Citizens and customers are satisfied or very satisfied with "first point of contact" across all service channels	Yes	At least 87%		0		86%¹	76%	88%¹	83%	90%¹	85%	90%¹	85%
	Libraries	3.1.5 Library user satisfaction with library service at Metro, Suburban and Neighbourhood libraries	Yes	At least 90%		0		94%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	93%	94%
	Recreation, Sports, Community	2.8.3.2 Produce and deliver engaging programme of community events	Yes	At least 90%		0		81% ¹	75%	84%1	86%	92%1	90%	92%1	93%
	Arts and Events	2.8.6.2 Support community based organisations to develop, promote and deliver community events and arts in Christchurch	Yes	80%		~		90%	87%	79%	73%	80%	81%	87%	88%
		7.0.3.2 Support citizen and partner organisations to develop, promote and deliver recreation and sport in Christchurch	Yes	80%				76%	74%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		7.0.7 Deliver a high level of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of facilities	Yes	At least 80% 5.6 score (CERM Survey)				6	NA	NA	NA	5.9	NA	6.0	NA
Parks, Heritage and		6.0.3 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (community parks)	Yes	≥ 70%		~		67%	69%	59%²	70%	61%²	70%	59%²	70%
Coastal Environment		6.2.2 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (Botanic Gardens and Mona Vale	Yes	≥ 95%		0		96%	98%	96%²	98%²	97%²	95%²	97%²	89%²
		6.3.5 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (regional parks)	Yes	≥ 70%		~		79%	85%	72%²	78%	73%²	75%	73%²	72%
		6.4.3 Cemeteries administration services meet customer expectations (interment application response times)	Yes	100%		S		60%³	60%³	100%²,3	100%³	100% ^{2,3}	100%³	100%²,3	88%³
		6.4.5 Cemeteries administration services meet customer expectations (interment application process)	Yes	100%		<u>~</u>		80%³	60%³	100% ^{2,3}	100%³	100% ^{2,3}	100%³	100%²,³	88%³
		6.4.4 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (cemeteries)	Yes	≥ 85%		0		78%	91%	80%	89%	88%	87%	73%	67%
		6.8.4.1 Overall customer satisfaction with the presentation of the City's Parks (Hagley Park)	Yes	≥ 90%				97%	98%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		6.8.5 Satisfaction with the range and quality of recreation opportunities within parks	Yes	≥ 85%		0		74%	NA	73%²	NA	66%²	NA	68%²	NA
		10.8.1.1 Provision of a network of publicly available marine structures that facilitate recreational and commercial access to the marine environment for citizens and visitors (marine structure facilities)	Yes	90%				55%	80%	65%	77%	61%	70%	49%	47%
		10.8.1.5 Provision of a network of publicly available marine structures that facilitate recreational and commercial access to the marine environment for citizens and visitors (equitable access for recreational, commercial and transportation purposes)	Yes	≥ 50%				71%	80%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		19.1.6 Delivery of Environmental, Conservation, Water and Civil Defence education programmes	Yes	95%		0		100%	98%	99%²	98%²	99%²	97%²	99%²	93%²

1

Regulatory and	Resource Consenting	9.2.7 % satisfaction of applicant with resource consenting process	Yes	70%	~	74%	65%	68%	58%	64%	60%	74%	65%
Compliance													

- 1 Sample may include non-residents of Christchurch
- 2 This score has been adjusted to allow comparability with current LOS scoring (ie. the same aggregate measures have been used for both years) (**NB**: 6.4.3 and 6.4.5 2017-2018 scores are indicative only due to slight question wording changes between that year and current scores) 3 Caution must be taken in interpreting this result due to small sample size (n=5)

LOS target met		LOS target not met		Data still being collected or analysed by business units
Baseline result or target to be set		Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use consistent with LOS result (within 5%)	NA	Deleted Level of Service or no information available
Top performing services (85%+ satisfaction)		Moderate performing service (between 50% to 84% satisfaction)		Under performing services (less than 50% satisfaction)
Increase in satisfaction score by 4% or more since last year	0	Satisfaction score remained same or within 3% of last year	S	Decrease in satisfaction score by 4% or more since last year
Key performing services that other services could learn from (90%+ satisfaction)				

Additional Service Satisfaction Results

Service	Detail	2017-18 LOS Target	2017-18 LOS Target Met ⁴	Satisfaction Score Trend Since Last Year	Top and Under Performing Services in 2018-19	Survey Result 2018- 19	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2018-19	Survey Result 2017- 18	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2017-18	Survey Result 2016- 17	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2016-17	Survey Result 2015- 16	Effort / Ease of Interaction or Use 2015-16
Community Development and Capacity Building	Community development projects are provided, supported and promoted	90%		0		80%	73%	83%	72%	88%	72%	79%	68%
Community Facilities	Deliver a high level of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of Council operated community facilities	80%		0		76%	61%	77%	62%	81%	74%	80%	85%
External Communications	Provide external communications and marketing that are timely, relevant, accurate and cost effective	67%		∞		59%	48%	66%	61%	54%	46%	56%	51%
Sports Parks	Deliver a high level of customer satisfaction with the range and quality of sports parks	90%		→		73 %¹	84%	68%	83%	64%	79%	63%	70%
Governance and Decision Making	Percentage of residents that understand how Council makes decisions (users of governance services)	NA		∼		61%²	36%	51%²	31%	60%²	33%	57%²	27%
	Percentage of residents that feel the public has some or a large influence on the decisions the Council makes (users of governance services)	NA				28%	36%	20%	31%	33%	33%	43%	27%
Public Transport Infrastructure	Ensure user satisfaction with the number and quality of bus shelters	≥ 70%		0		70%	88%	73%	82%	72%	76%	60%	57%
	Ensure user satisfaction with appearance, safety and ease of use of transport interchange(s) and suburban hubs	≥ 90%		~		93%	98%	89%³	97%³	90%³	94%³	83%	85%

¹ This score is based on an average of range of sport support facilities, sports park condition and information provided for sports parks

² This score is based on a single 'understanding of Council decision making' question. If three questions are aggregated to calculate the overall understanding score (as is the case for LOS 4.1.18) (a. understanding of how Council makes decisions, b. accuracy of information about Council decisions, and c. prompt and timely information about decisions), the satisfaction score would drop to 37% in 2018-2019, 32% in 2017-2018, 43% in 2016-2017 and 38% in 2015-2016

³ From 2016-2017 onward, this measure includes both Bus Interchange and suburban hubs

⁴ If the 2017-18 level of service target was applied to the 2018-19 result, would the service have passed the 2017-18 target?